1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A family of double-homeodomain transcription factors regulates zygotic genome activation in placental mammals
9 10	
11	Alberto De Iaco, Evarist Planet, Andrea Coluccio, Sonia Verp, Julien Duc, and
12	Didier Trono*
13	
14	School of Life Sciences, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL),
15	1015 Lausanne, Switzerland
16	
17	
18	*Correspondence to:
19	Didier Trono
20	Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL)
21	School of Life Sciences
22	SV-LVG Station 19, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland
23	Phone: +41 (0)21 693 1761
24	Email: <u>didier.trono@epfl.ch</u>
25	
26	

27 In metazoan embryos, transcription is mostly silent for a few cell 28 divisions, until release of a first major wave of embryonic transcripts by so-called zygotic genome activation (ZGA)¹. Maternally provided ZGA-29 30 triggering factors have been identified in Drosophila melanogaster and Danio rerio ^{2,3}, but their mammalian homologues are still undefined. 31 Here, we reveal that the DUX family of transcription factors ^{4,5} is 32 33 essential to this process in human and mouse. First, human DUX4 and murine Dux are both expressed prior to ZGA in their respective species. 34 Second, both orthologues bind the promoters and activate the 35 36 transcription of ZGA genes. Third, Dux knockout in mouse embryonic 37 stem cells (mESCs) prevents their cycling through a 2-cell-like state. 38 Finally, zygotic depletion of *Dux* leads to impaired early embryonic 39 development and defective ZGA. We conclude that DUX proteins are key inducers of zygotic genome activation in placental mammals. 40

41 Dux genes encode for double-homeodomain proteins and are conserved throughout placental mammals ^{4,5}. Human *DUX4*, the intronless product of an 42 43 ancestral DUXC, is nested within the D4Z4 macrosatellite repeat of 44 chromosome 4 as an array of 10 to 100 units ⁶. DUX4, DUXC, and Dux genes from other placental mammals display the same repetitive structure, with 45 DUX4 from primates and Afrotheria and DUXC from cow and other 46 47 Laurasiatheria localizing at telomeric or pericentromeric regions, and murine 48 Dux tandem repeats lying adjacent to a mouse-specific chromosomal fusion point that resembles a subtelomeric structure ^{4,5}. 49

50 Overexpression-inducing mutations in *DUX4* are associated with facio-51 scapulo-humeral dystrophy (FSHD), the third most common muscular

52 dystrophy ^{7,8}, and forced DUX4 production in human primary myoblasts leads 53 to upregulation of genes active during early embryonic development ⁹. Based 54 on this premise, we analyzed publicly available RNA-seq datasets 55 corresponding to this period, focusing on DUX4 and the 100 genes most upregulated in *DUX4*-overexpressing muscle cells (Figure 1A, Table S1)^{10,11}. 56 57 DUX4 RNA was detected from oocyte to 4-cell (4C) stage, while transcripts 58 from its putative targets emerged on average at 2-cell (2C) and peaked at 8cell (8C) stages, as previously defined for human ZGA ¹². Transcripts 59 upregulated in *DUX4*-overexpressing muscle cells ¹¹ were also enriched at 8C 60 61 stage (Supplementary Figure 1AB), and upon clustering genes according to 62 their patterns of early embryonic expression (Figure 1B) we could delineate i) 63 1517 genes, the transcripts of which were already detected in oocytes, 64 plateaued up to 4C and abruptly dropped afterwards (maternal gene cluster); ii) 94 genes and 124 genes, the expression of which started at 2C, and 65 66 peaked at 4C and 8C, respectively, before decreasing briskly, consistent with 67 early ZGA genes (2-4C and 2-8C gene clusters); and iii) 1352 genes expressed only from 4C, peaking at 8C, and then decreasing progressively, 68 69 as expected for late ZGA genes (4-8C gene cluster). Only the two early ZGA 70 clusters (2-4C and 2-8C) were highly enriched for genes upregulated in 71 DUX4-overexpressing myoblasts (Figure 1C, Supplementary Figure 1C).

Chromatin cannot be reliably analyzed from the very low number of cells that make up an early embryo, but ChIP-seq data obtained in DUX4 overexpressing human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) (Figure 2AB, Supplementary Figure 2) and myoblasts ⁹ (Supplementary Figure 3) revealed a marked enrichment of the transcription factor around the annotated 77 transcriptional start site (TSS) region of early ZGA genes (2-4C and 2-8C 78 clusters), but not of zvgotic (maternal) and late ZGA (4-8C) genes. Interestingly, several genes were not bound on their annotated TSS, but on 79 80 neighboring sequences, and their transcription was found to start near this 81 DUX4 binding site (Supplementary figure 4). It was previously demonstrated 82 that DUX4 drives expression of many of its target genes from alternative 83 promoters ¹¹. Upon examining publicly available single-cell RNA sequencing data quantifying the far 5'-ends of transcripts (TFEs) in early human 84 development ¹³, we correspondingly found that the TFE of 24 out of 31 early 85 86 ZGA genes overlapped with DUX4 binding sites (Figure 2CD, Supplementary 87 figure 3CD). DUX4 was also recruited to several groups of transposable 88 elements (TEs), notably endogenous retroviruses such as HERVL, MER11B 89 and C, the expression of which increased at ZGA (Supplementary figure 2BC). 90 Furthermore, DUX4 overexpression in hESCs led to early ZGA genes 91 induction, as previously observed in myoblasts (Figure 2E)¹¹.

92 Dux and DUX4 have largely conserved amino acid sequences, in particular 93 within the two DNA-binding homeodomains and the C-terminal region, 94 previously described as responsible for recruiting p300/CBP (Supplementary 95 Figure 5B) ¹⁴. The murine *Dux* tandem repeat encodes two main transcripts, 96 full-length Dux (or Duxf3) and a variant named Gm4981 lacking the first 97 homeodomain (Supplementary Fig. 5A). Both Dux and Gm4981 are 98 expressed in mouse embryos prior to ZGA-defining genes and transposable 99 elements (e.g. murine ERVL or MERVL) at the middle 2C stage, indicating 100 that their products likely are functional homologues of DUX4 (Figure 3A)¹⁵. 101 To consolidate these results, we turned to mESCs, a small percentage of 102 which displays at any given time a 2C-like transcriptome in culture, with 103 expression of ZGA genes notably from the MERVL promoter ^{16,17}. Upon analyzing single-cell RNA-seq data from 2C-like mESCs ¹⁸, we confirmed that 104 Dux transcripts were markedly enriched, as were early ZGA RNAs such as 105 106 Zscan4, Zfp352 and Cml2 (Figure 3B and Supplementary Figure 6). We used 107 CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing to delete the Dux-containing 108 macrosatellite repeat in mESCs expressing a GFP reporter under control of a 109 MERVL promoter. This resulted in a complete absence of GFP⁺ 2C-like cells, 110 and in the loss of a large fraction of 2C-like cell-specific transcripts (Figure 111 3CD, Supplementary Figure 7). Overexpression of Dux but not DUX4 rescued 112 the 2C-like state in the mESC KO clones (Figure 3EF, Supplementary Figure 113 8 and 9), albeit not in all cells where Dux was produced (Figure 3G). 114 Interestingly, both murine Dux and human DUX4 were able to induce the transcription of ZGA genes in the human 293T cell line (Supplementary Figure 115 10). 116

117 Upon depletion of the transcriptional repressor TRIM28 (tripartite motifcontaining protein 28; KAP1) from mESCs, expression of 2C-specific genes 118 119 increased as previously observed ¹⁷, as did levels of *Dux* transcripts (Figure 120 4A, Supplementary Figure 11BCD). Remarkably, this phenotype was 121 completely abrogated in *Dux*-depleted mESCs (Figure 4BC, Supplementary 122 Figure 9 and 11ABCD). Correspondingly, we found that TRIM28 associates 123 with the 5'-end of the Dux gene and that tri-methylation of histone 3 lysine 9 124 (H3K9me3), a canonical marker of TRIM28-mediated repression, was 125 enriched on the Dux locus and lost upon knockdown of the heterochromatin 126 inducer (Figure 4D, Supplementary Figure 11EF).

127 Finally, we addressed the role of Dux during murine early embryonic 128 development. For this, we injected zygotes with plasmids encoding for the Cas9 nuclease and either the two guide RNAs (sgRNAs) used to generate 129 130 Dux KO mESCs or a non-targeting sgRNA control. We then determined the RNA profile of 2C embryos around 7 hours after the first cell division or 131 132 monitored their ex vivo development into blastocysts over 4 days (Figure 5A). 133 We found that Dux-depleted embryos presented a major differentiation defect, most failing to reach the morula/blastocyst stage, and did not exhibit 134 135 transcriptional changes typical of ZGA, such as induction of MERVL, Zscan4 136 and several other tested early ZGA genes, and drop in Mpo maternal 137 transcript (Figure 5BC, Supplementary Figure 12).

138 In sum, our data reveal DUX genes as key regulators of early embryonic 139 development. The demonstrated ability of DUX4 to recruit the p300/CBP complex and to induce local chromatin relaxation ¹⁴ as well as the mechanism 140 of action of Zelda, a master inducer of ZGA in Drosophila ^{19,20}, suggest that 141 142 DUX proteins could act as pioneer factors for transcriptional activation, by 143 opening chromatin around the TSS of early ZGA genes to facilitate access for 144 other transcription factors. Still, the genomic recruitment of pioneer factors 145 such as OCT4, NANOG and KLF4 can be hampered if heterochromatin marks 146 are overly abundant at their target loci ²¹. Many murine ZGA genes are 147 expressed from the LTR of endogenous retroviruses, which in mESC cells are 148 typically enriched in repressive marks ¹⁷. It could be that, at any given time, 149 these marks are relieved in only a small percentage of mESC in culture. What 150 drives this fluctuation remains to be determined. As well, what controls 151 expression of DUX genes themselves is yet to be defined, although the

152 conserved genomic localization of all placental mammal DUX orthologs close 153 to telomeric and subcentromeric regions suggests that this genomic context, characterized by high levels of repression, might be of primary relevance ^{4,5,22}. 154 DUX genes seem indeed to become expressed only during events associated 155 with major chromatin relaxation, for instance in early embryos and upon loss 156 157 of repression of the D4Z4 macrosatellite repeat in myoblasts of FSHD patients 158 ^{23,24}. Our data indicate that TRIM28 plays a major role in murine Dux 159 repression, but the only mild increase in cells entering the 2C state when it is 160 depleted (around 5% of mESCs) and the demonstrated ability of several other 161 transcriptional modulators (e.g. SETDB1, EHMT2, HP1, CHAF1A/B, RYBP, 162 KDM1A) to prevent cycling of mESCs through this state indicate that control of the Dux macrosatellite repeat is most likely multifactorial ^{16,25-29}. Broad de-163 164 repression of the human and murine *DUX*-containing repeats could similarly 165 occur right after fertilization in either species. Future investigations of the 166 chromatin state of these loci in early embryos will shed light on the epigenetic 167 changes responsible for this process and on the nature of their molecular mediators. 168

169

170 Materials and methods

171

172 Cell lines and tissue culture

mesc WT and KO for *Trim28 ³⁰*, and E14 mescs containing the MervL regulatory sequence driving expression of a 3XturboGFP-PEST ¹⁶ were cultured in feeder-free conditions on 0.1% gelatin-coated tissue culture plates in 2i medium, a N2B27 base medium supplemented with the MEK inhibitor, PD0325901 (1 μM), the GSK3β inhibitor CHIR99021 (3 μM) and LIF. E14
mESCs express the main markers of pluripotency (RNA-seq). H1 ESCs
(WA01, WiCell) were maintained in mTesRI (StemCell Technologies) on hESqualified Matrigel (BD Biosciences). 293T cells were maintained in DMEM
supplemented with 10% FCS. All cells were regularly checked for the absence
of mycoplasma contamination.

- 183
- 184 Plasmids and lentiviral vectors

The MT2/gag sequence was amplified from the pGL3 plasmid ²⁹, and the 185 186 human PGK promoter from pRRLSIN.cPPT.R1R2.PGK-GFP.WPRE ³⁰, to be 187 cloned upstream of luciferase in pGL4.20. Table S2 shows the primers used to obtain truncations of the MT2/gag sequence. Single guide RNAs (sgRNAs) 188 189 targeting sequences flanking the 5' and 3' of the Dux-containing 190 macrosatellite repeat were cloned into px459 (version 2) using a standard protocol ³¹. Table S2 shows the primers used to clone the sgRNAs. The 191 192 pLKO.1-puromycin shRNA vector was used for the Trim28 knock-down ³⁰. 193 The pLKO.1 vector was further modified to express blasticidin-S-deaminase 194 drug resistance cassette in place of the puromycin N-acetyltransferase. The 195 resulting pLKO.1-blasticidin backbone was used to clone shRNAs against the 196 murine Dux transcript. The sequence of the primers used to clone the Dux 197 shRNA is shown in Table S2. The Gm4981 cDNA was cloned from the genome of E13 mESCs while codon-optimized hDUX4 and mDux were 198 199 synthesized (Invitrogen). Gm4981, DUX4, Dux and LacZ cDNAs were cloned 200 in the pAIB HIV-1-based transfer vector encoding also for blasticidin 201 resistance using the In-Fusion® HD Cloning Kit (Clontech) ³². pMD2-G

encodes the vesicular stomatitis virus G protein (VSV-G). The minimal HIV-1
packaging plasmid 8.9NdSB carrying a double mutation in the capsid protein
(P90A/A92E) was used to achieve higher transduction of the lowly permissive
mESCs ³³.

206

207 Production of lentiviral vectors, transduction and transfection of mammalian208 cells

209 Lentiviral vectors were produced by transfection of 293T cells using Polyethylenimine (PEI) (Sigma, Inc) ³³. To generate stable KDs, mESCs were 210 211 transduced with empty pLKO.1 vector or vectors containing the shRNA 212 targeting Kap1 or Dux transcripts ³⁰. Cells were selected with 1 µg/ml 213 puromycin or 3 µg/ml blasticidin starting one day after transduction. hESCs 214 expressing LacZ and DUX4 were generated by transfecting the corresponding 215 AIB plasmids with TransIT®-LT1 Transfection Reagent (Mirus Bio LLC), while nucleofection (Amaxa[™] P3 Primary Cell 4D-Nucleofector[™] X Kit) was used 216 217 to engineer mESC expressing LacZ, DUX4, Dux and Gm4981.

218

219 Creation of Dux KO mESC lines

E14 mESCs containing the MERVL regulatory sequence driving expression of a 3XturboGFP-PEST were co-transfected with px459 plasmids encoding for Cas9, the appropriate sgRNAs and puromycin resistance cassette by nucleofection (AmaxaTM P3 Primary Cell 4D-NucleofectorTM X Kit). 24 hours later, the cells were selected for 48 hours with 1 μ g/ml puromycin, single-cell cloned by serial dilution, expanded and their DNA was extracted to detect the presence of WT and/or KO alleles. Three WT and three homozygous *Dux* KOclones were selected and used in this study.

228

229 Luciferase assay

230 293T or E14 mESCs were cotransfected with the various pGL4.20 derivatives,
231 the renilla plasmid and the pAIB transfer vector encoding either for LacZ, Dux,
232 Gm4981 or DUX4 using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen). Luciferase activity
233 was quantified 24h after transfection. Firefly luciferase activity was normalized
234 to the activity of *Renilla* luciferase. Light emission was measured on a
235 luminescence plate reader.

236

237 Immunofluorescence assay

mESC clones expressing an HA-tagged Dux protein were fixed for 20 min 238 with 4% paraformaldhyde, permeabilized for 5 min with 0.1% Triton-X 100, 239 240 and blocked for 30 min with 1% BSA in PBS. Cells were then incubated for 1 241 hour with anti-HA.11 (Covance) or anti-NANOG (Active Motif) or anti-SOX2 (Active Motif) antibodies diluted in PBS with 1% BSA. After 3 washes, the 242 243 cells were incubated with anti-mouse (HA) or anti-rabbit (NANOG, SOX2) Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 hour and washed 244 245 again three times. Every step until this point, was carried with cells in 246 suspension. Pelleted cells were then resuspended in VECTASHIELD® 247 Mounting Medium with DAPI (Vector Laboratories) and mounted on the coverslip. The slides were viewed with a Zeiss LSM700 confocal microscope. 248

249

250 Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS)

FACS analysis was performed with a BD FACScan system. Trim28 knockdown mESCs containing the MT2/gag-GFP reporter were subjected to FACS sorting with Ariall (BD Biosciences).

254

255 Standard PCR, RT-PCR and RNA sequencing

For the genotyping of *Dux* WT and KO alleles, genomic DNA was extracted with DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kits (QIAGEN) and the specific PCR products were amplified using PCR Master Mix 2X (Thermo Scientific) combined with the appropriate primers (design in Supplementary Figure 6A; primer sequences in Table S2).

261 Total RNA from cell lines was isolated using the High Pure RNA Isolation Kit 262 (Roche). cDNA was prepared with SuperScript II reverse transcriptase 263 (Invitrogen). Ambion Single Cell-to-CT kit (Thermo Fisher) was used for RNA extraction, cDNA conversion and mRNA pre-amplification of 2C stage 264 265 embryos. Primers listed in Supplementary Table S2 were used for SYBR 266 green gPCR (Applied Biosystems). Library preparation and 150-base-pair paired-end RNA-seq were performed using standard Illumina procedures for 267 268 the NextSeq 500 platform (GSE94325).

269

270 ChIP and ChIP sequencing

ChIP and library preparation were performed as described previously ³⁰.
DUX4-HA ChIP was done using the anti-HA.11 (Covance) antibody.
Sequencing of Trim28 and H3K9me3 ChIP was performed with Illumina
HiSeq 2500 in 100-bp reads run. Sequencing of DUX4 was performed with
Illumina NextSeq 500 in 75-bp paired-end reads run.

276

277 RNA-seq datasets preprocessing

Single-cell RNA-Seq of human and mouse early embryo development 278 279 (GSE36552 and GSE45719 respectively), single-cell RNA-Seg of 2C-like cells (E-MTAB-5058), DUX4 overexpression in human myoblasts (GSE45883), and 280 281 KAP1 KO (GSE74278) datasets were downloaded from different repositories 282 (GEO, and ArrayExpress) ^{34,35}. Reads were mapped to the human genome (hg19) or mouse genome (mm9) using TopHat (v2.0.11) ³⁶ in sensitive mode 283 284 (the exact parameters are: tophat -g 1 --no-novel-juncs --no-novel-indels -G 285 \$gtf --transcriptome-index \$ transcriptome --b2-sensitive -o \$localdir \$index 286 \$reads1 \$reads2). Gene counts were generated using HTSeq-count. 287 Normalization for sequencing depth and differential gene expression analysis 288 was performed using Voom ³⁷ as it has been implemented in the limma package of Bioconductor ³⁸. TEs overlapping exons were removed from the 289 290 analysis. Counts per TE integrant (genomic loci) were generated using the multiBamCov tool from the bedtools software ³⁹. Normalisation for sequencing 291 292 depth was performed using Voom, with total number of reads on genes as 293 size factor. To compute total number of reads per TE family, counts on all 294 integrants of each family were added up.

295

Analysis of single cell expression data from human and mouse embryonicstages

For every embryonic stage we performed a statistical test to find the genes that had a different expression level compared to the other stages ¹⁰, using a moderated F-test (comparing the interest group against every other) as 301 implemented in the limma package of Bioconductor. Genes were selected as 302 expressed in a specific stage if having a significant p-value (<0.05 after 303 adjusting for multiple testing with the Benjamini and Hochberg method) and 304 an average fold change respective to the other embryonic stages bigger than 305 10. We additionally removed all genes exhibiting a 1.1-fold higher expression 306 in any of the embryonic stages compared to the stage analyzed (Suppl. 307 Figure 1A). Note that with this approach a gene can be marked as expressed 308 in more than one stage. Codes are available on demand.

309

310 Correspondence between DUX4 overexpression and single cell expression
311 data from human embryonic stages

For every stage, we classified the genes in 4 patterns of expression by performing a hierarchical clustering (with Pearson correlation as distance and complete agglomeration method). Figure 1B shows the 2 most relevant patterns derived from the 4C and 8C stages.

Expression of the genes identified with this method was then compared between DUX4- and GFP-overexpressing human myoblast cells. For a gene to be considered differentially expressed, a p-value (after multiple testing correction with the Benjamini and Hochberg method) lower than 0.05 and a fold change bigger than 2 were imposed. A moderated t-test was used for the statistical test, as implemented in the limma package of Bioconductor.

322

323 ChIP-seq data processing

324 ChIP-seq dataset of DUX4 overexpressed in human myoblasts (GSE94325) 325 was downloaded from GEO. Reads were mapped to the human genome 326 assembly hg19 using Bowtie2 using the sensitive-local mode ⁴⁰. SICER was 327 used to call histone mark peaks ⁴¹. For the ones that are not histone marks, 328 we used MACS (with default parameters) when the data was single-end and 329 MACS2 (the exact parameters are: macs2 callpeak -t \$chipbam -c \$tibam -f BAM -g \$org -n \$name -B -q 0.01 --format BAMPE) when the data was 330 paired-end ⁴². Both, SICER peaks with an FDR above 0.05 and MACS peaks 331 332 with a score lower than 50 were discarded. RSAT was used for motif 333 discovery and to compute motif abundance ⁴³. To compute the percentage of 334 bound TE integrants in each family, we used bedtools suite.

335

336 Coverage plots

ChIP-seq signals on features of interest were extracted from the bigWigs beforehand normalized for sequencing depth (reads per hundred millions). Each signal was then smoothed using a running average of window 75bp for DUX4, 250bp for Trim28, and 500bp for H3K9me3. Finally, the mean and standard error of the mean of the signals were computed and plotted for each set of features of interest. Scripts are available on demand.

343

344 Pronuclear injection of mouse embryos

Pronuclear injection was performed according to the standard protocol of the Transgenic Core Facility of EPFL. In summary, B6D2F1 mice were used as egg donors (5 weeks old). Mice were injected with PMSG (10 IU), and HCG (10 IU) 48 hours after. After mating females with B6D2F1 males, zygotes were collected and kept in KSOM medium pre-gassed in 5% CO2 at 37 °C. Embryos were then transferred to M2 medium and microinjected with 10 351 ng/µg of either a px459 plasmid containing a non-targeting sgRNA or a mix of 352 the two plasmids used to obtain the KO in mESCs, in injection buffer (10mM Tris HCI pH7.5, 0.1mM EDTA pH8, 100mM NaCI). After microinjection, 353 embryos were cultured in KSOM medium at 37 °C in 5% CO2 for 4 days. In 354 355 each of three independent experiments, 5 embryos per condition were 356 collected around 7 hours after first cell division (2C formation) for gPCR 357 analysis, and differentiation of the remaining embryos was followed. At day 4, 358 all the fertilized embryos (between 16 to 23 per condition) were classified for 359 their developmental state. Randomization and blind outcome assessment 360 were not applied. All animal experiments were approved by the local 361 veterinary office and carried out in accordance with the EU Directive (2010/63/ 362 EU) for the care and use of laboratory animals.

363

364 Sample sizes and statistical tests

We used non-parametric statistical tests (2-sided Wilcoxon test), when we had enough sample size (low-cell number qPCR). Otherwise we used a 2sided unpaired t-test (standard qPCR and FACS). Fisher's exact test was used to test for differences in proportions in contingency tables.

369

370 Data availability

RNA-seq and ChIP-seq data generated in this study have been deposited in
the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under accession number
GSE94325.

374

375 Acknowledgments

We thank T. Macfarlan and M.E. Torres-Padilla for sharing reagents and for helpful discussions, the Transgenic and Gene Expression Core Facility (EPFL) and S. Offner for technical assistance. This work was financed through grants from the Swiss National Science Foundation, the Gebert-Rüf Foundation, the INGENIUM grant (FP7 MC-ITN INGENIUM 290123), and the European Research Council (ERC 268721 and ERC 694658) to D.T.

382

383 Author contributions

A.D.I and D.T. conceived the project, designed the experiments, analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript; A.D.I., A.C. and S.V. carried out the experiments; E.P. and J.D. performed the bioinformatics and statistical

analyses.

388

389 **Conflict of interest**

390 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

391

392 References

- Lee, M. T., Bonneau, A. R. & Giraldez, A. J. Zygotic genome activation
 during the maternal-to-zygotic transition. *Annual review of cell and developmental biology* **30**, 581-613, doi:10.1146/annurev-cellbio100913-013027 (2014).
- 3972Liang, H. L. *et al.* The zinc-finger protein Zelda is a key activator of the398early zygotic genome in Drosophila. *Nature* **456**, 400-403,
- doi:10.1038/nature07388 (2008).
- 400 3 Lee, M. T. *et al.* Nanog, Pou5f1 and SoxB1 activate zygotic gene expression
 401 during the maternal-to-zygotic transition. *Nature* 503, 360-364,
 402 doi:10.1038/nature12632 (2013).
- 4034Leidenroth, A. *et al.* Evolution of DUX gene macrosatellites in placental404mammals. *Chromosoma* 121, 489-497, doi:10.1007/s00412-012-0380-y405(2012).
- 4065Clapp, J. *et al.* Evolutionary conservation of a coding function for D4Z4, the407tandem DNA repeat mutated in facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy.

408		American journal of human genetics 81 , 264-279, doi:10.1086/519311
409		(2007).
410	6	Hewitt, J. E. et al. Analysis of the tandem repeat locus D4Z4 associated
411		with facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy. Human molecular genetics
412		3 , 1287-1295 (1994).
413	7	Wijmenga, C. et al. Chromosome 4q DNA rearrangements associated with
414		facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy. <i>Nature genetics</i> 2 , 26-30,
415		doi:10.1038/ng0992-26 (1992).
416	8	Gabriels, J. <i>et al.</i> Nucleotide sequence of the partially deleted D4Z4 locus
417		in a patient with FSHD identifies a putative gene within each 3.3 kb
418		element. <i>Gene</i> 236 , 25-32 (1999).
419	9	Geng, L. N. et al. DUX4 activates germline genes, retroelements, and
420		immune mediators: implications for facioscapulohumeral dystrophy.
421		Developmental cell 22, 38-51, doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2011.11.013 (2012).
422	10	Yan, L. et al. Single-cell RNA-Seq profiling of human preimplantation
423		embryos and embryonic stem cells. <i>Nature structural & molecular biology</i>
424		20 , 1131-1139, doi:10.1038/nsmb.2660 (2013).
425	11	Young, J. M. et al. DUX4 binding to retroelements creates promoters that
426		are active in FSHD muscle and testis. <i>PLoS genetics</i> 9 , e1003947,
427		doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003947 (2013).
428	12	Vassena, R. et al. Waves of early transcriptional activation and
429		pluripotency program initiation during human preimplantation
430		development. <i>Development</i> 138 , 3699-3709, doi:10.1242/dev.064741
431		(2011).
432	13	Tohonen, V. et al. Novel PRD-like homeodomain transcription factors and
433		retrotransposon elements in early human development. Nature
434		<i>communications</i> 6 , 8207, doi:10.1038/ncomms9207 (2015).
435	14	Choi, S. H. <i>et al.</i> DUX4 recruits p300/CBP through its C-terminus and
436		induces global H3K27 acetylation changes. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> ,
437		doi:10.1093/nar/gkw141 (2016).
438	15	Deng, Q., Ramskold, D., Reinius, B. & Sandberg, R. Single-cell RNA-seq
439		reveals dynamic, random monoallelic gene expression in mammalian cells.
440		<i>Science</i> 343 , 193-196, doi:10.1126/science.1245316 (2014).
441	16	Ishiuchi, T. et al. Early embryonic-like cells are induced by
442		downregulating replication-dependent chromatin assembly. Nature
443		<i>structural & molecular biology</i> 22 , 662-671, doi:10.1038/nsmb.3066
444		(2015).
445	17	Macfarlan, T. S. et al. Embryonic stem cell potency fluctuates with
446		endogenous retrovirus activity. <i>Nature</i> 487 , 57-63,
447		doi:10.1038/nature11244 (2012).
448	18	Eckersley-Maslin, M. A. et al. MERVL/Zscan4 Network Activation Results
449		in Transient Genome-wide DNA Demethylation of mESCs. <i>Cell reports</i> 17 ,
450		179-192, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2016.08.087 (2016).
451	19	Sun, Y. <i>et al.</i> Zelda overcomes the high intrinsic nucleosome barrier at
452		enhancers during Drosophila zygotic genome activation. Genome research
453		25 , 1703-1714, doi:10.1101/gr.192542.115 (2015).
454	20	Schulz, K. N. et al. Zelda is differentially required for chromatin
455		accessibility, transcription factor binding, and gene expression in the

456		early Drosophila embryo. <i>Genome research</i> 25 , 1715-1726,
457		doi:10.1101/gr.192682.115 (2015).
458	21	Soufi, A., Donahue, G. & Zaret, K. S. Facilitators and impediments of the
459		pluripotency reprogramming factors' initial engagement with the genome.
460		<i>Cell</i> 151 , 994-1004, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.09.045 (2012).
461	22	Perrod, S. & Gasser, S. M. Long-range silencing and position effects at
462		telomeres and centromeres: parallels and differences. <i>Cellular and</i>
463		<i>molecular life sciences : CMLS</i> 60 , 2303-2318, doi:10.1007/s00018-003-
464		3246-x (2003).
465	23	van der Maarel, S. M., Tawil, R. & Tapscott, S. J. Facioscapulohumeral
466		muscular dystrophy and DUX4: breaking the silence. <i>Trends in molecular</i>
467		<i>medicine</i> 17 , 252-258, doi:10.1016/j.molmed.2011.01.001 (2011).
468	24	Wu, J. <i>et al.</i> The landscape of accessible chromatin in mammalian
469		preimplantation embryos. <i>Nature</i> 534 , 652-657,
470		doi:10.1038/nature18606 (2016).
471	25	Maksakova, I. A. <i>et al.</i> Distinct roles of KAP1, HP1 and G9a/GLP in
472		silencing of the two-cell-specific retrotransposon MERVL in mouse ES
473		cells. <i>Epigenetics & chromatin</i> 6 , 15, doi:10.1186/1756-8935-6-15 (2013).
474	26	Lu, F., Liu, Y., Jiang, L., Yamaguchi, S. & Zhang, Y. Role of Tet proteins in
475		enhancer activity and telomere elongation. <i>Genes & development</i> 28 ,
476		2103-2119, doi:10.1101/gad.248005.114 (2014).
477	27	Schoorlemmer, J., Perez-Palacios, R., Climent, M., Guallar, D. & Muniesa, P.
478		Regulation of Mouse Retroelement MuERV-L/MERVL Expression by REX1
479		and Epigenetic Control of Stem Cell Potency. <i>Frontiers in oncology</i> 4 , 14,
480		doi:10.3389/fonc.2014.00014 (2014).
481	28	Walter, M., Teissandier, A., Perez-Palacios, R. & Bourc'his, D. An epigenetic
482		switch ensures transposon repression upon dynamic loss of DNA
483		methylation in embryonic stem cells. <i>eLife</i> 5 , doi:10.7554/eLife.11418
484		(2016).
485	29	Macfarlan, T. S. <i>et al.</i> Endogenous retroviruses and neighboring genes are
486		coordinately repressed by LSD1/KDM1A. Genes & development 25, 594-
487		607, doi:10.1101/gad.2008511 (2011).
488	30	Ecco, G. et al. Transposable Elements and Their KRAB-ZFP Controllers
489		Regulate Gene Expression in Adult Tissues. <i>Developmental cell</i> 36 , 611-
490		623, doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2016.02.024 (2016).
491	31	Ran, F. A. <i>et al.</i> Genome engineering using the CRISPR-Cas9 system.
492		<i>Nature protocols</i> 8 , 2281-2308, doi:10.1038/nprot.2013.143 (2013).
493	32	De Iaco, A. et al. TNPO3 protects HIV-1 replication from CPSF6-mediated
494		capsid stabilization in the host cell cytoplasm. <i>Retrovirology</i> 10 , 20,
495		doi:10.1186/1742-4690-10-20 (2013).
496	33	De Iaco, A. & Luban, J. Cyclophilin A promotes HIV-1 reverse transcription
497		but its effect on transduction correlates best with its effect on nuclear
498		entry of viral cDNA. <i>Retrovirology</i> 11 , 11, doi:10.1186/1742-4690-11-11
499		(2014).
500	34	Edgar, R., Domrachev, M. & Lash, A. E. Gene Expression Omnibus: NCBI
501		gene expression and hybridization array data repository. <i>Nucleic acids</i>
502		research 30 , 207-210 (2002).
503	35	Kolesnikov, N. <i>et al.</i> ArrayExpress updatesimplifying data submissions.
504		<i>Nucleic acids research</i> 43 , D1113-1116, doi:10.1093/nar/gku1057 (2015).

- 505 36 Kim, D. et al. TopHat2: accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the 506 presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. *Genome biology* **14**, 507 R36, doi:10.1186/gb-2013-14-4-r36 (2013). Law, C. W., Chen, Y., Shi, W. & Smyth, G. K. voom: Precision weights unlock 508 37 509 linear model analysis tools for RNA-seq read counts. *Genome biology* **15**, 510 R29, doi:10.1186/gb-2014-15-2-r29 (2014). 511 38 Gentleman, R. C. et al. Bioconductor: open software development for 512 computational biology and bioinformatics. *Genome biology* 5, R80, 513 doi:10.1186/gb-2004-5-10-r80 (2004). 514 39 Quinlan, A. R. & Hall, I. M. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for 515 comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 841-842, 516 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq033 (2010). 517 40 Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nature methods 9, 357-359, doi:10.1038/nmeth.1923 (2012). 518 519 41 Zang, C. *et al.* A clustering approach for identification of enriched domains 520 from histone modification ChIP-Seq data. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1952-1958, 521 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp340 (2009). Zhang, Y. et al. Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). Genome biology 522 42 9, R137, doi:10.1186/gb-2008-9-9-r137 (2008). 523 Thomas-Chollier, M. et al. RSAT: regulatory sequence analysis tools. 524 43 525 *Nucleic acids research* **36**, W119-127, doi:10.1093/nar/gkn304 (2008). 526 527
- 528

529 Figure Legends

Figure 1. DUX4 promotes transcription of genes expressed during earlyZGA

532 (A) Comparative expression during early human embryonic development of 533 DUX4 (red) and the top 100 genes upregulated upon DUX4 overexpression in 534 human primary myoblasts (blue, full line average, dashed lines 95% 535 confidence interval around the mean). Oo, oocyte; Zy, zygote; 2C, 4C, 8C, 536 corresponding n-cell stage; Mo, morula; BI, blastocyst. (B) Cluster of genes 537 differentially expressed during early embryonic development were selected 538 from the previously identified subsets of genes (Supplementary Figure 1A) 539 based on high expression at 4C (upper panels) and 8C (lower panels). Blue and dotted line delineate mean and 95% confidence, respectively. (C) 540 541 Expression of genes from each cluster illustrated in (B) when DUX4 is 542 ectopically expressed in human primary myoblasts. Lower parts of the panels depict the fold change expression of genes within these clusters, all randomly 543 544 distributed along the y-axes, with kernel density plotted in the upper part.

545

546 Figure 2. DUX4 binds TSSs of genes expressed during early ZGA and 547 activates their expression in hESCs.

(A) Average coverage normalized for sequencing depth of ChIP-seq signal of DUX4 (blue) when overexpressed in hESCs in a window of 5 kb from the annotated TSS of genes belonging to the 2-4C and 2-8C clusters from Figure 1B. Total input is represented in gray (line, average; shade, standard error of the mean). B) Fraction of genes belonging to each cluster from Figure 1B with a DUX4 peak within 5 kb of their annotated TSS. Fisher's exact test was

performed to compare maternal vs. 2-4C and 2-8C (p= 3.54e⁻⁶¹ and p= 2.23e⁻ 554 555 ¹³ respectively) **(C)** Average coverage of ChIP-seg signal of DUX4 (blue) when overexpressed in hESCs within 5 kb of TFE of transcripts specifically 556 557 upregulated at oocyte-to-4C and 4C-to-8C transitions. Total input is represented in gray (line, average; shade, standard error of the mean). (D) 558 559 Fraction of TFE from oocyte-to-4C (n=32) and 4C-to-8C (n=128) transitions 560 that have a DUX4 peak overlapping with their 5' end. Fisher's exact test was performed to compare 4C-to-8C vs. oocvte-to-4C TFEs (p= 4.48e⁻¹⁷). (E) 561 Comparative expression in hESCs of three genes activated at ZGA (ZSCAN4, 562 563 *MBD3L2* and *DUXA*) and two control housekeeping genes (*ACTB and TBP*) 564 24 hours after transfection with plasmids expressing LacZ (green squares) or 565 DUX4 (blue circles). Expression was normalized to ACTB. Horizontal lines 566 represent the mean. *** $p \le 0.001$, unpaired t-test.

567

568 **Figure 3. Dux is necessary for formation of 2C-like mESCs.**

569 (A) Comparative expression of the two alternative transcripts of Dux, Dux 570 (pink) and Gm4981 (orange), with genes (blue) and transposable elements 571 (MERVL; green) specifically expressed during murine ZGA. Full lines 572 represent the average and dashed lines the 95% confidence interval around the mean (B) Single-cell RNA-sequencing comparison between mESCs 573 574 sorted for expression of both tomato and GFP reporters driven by MERVL 575 and Zscan4 promoters, respectively (revelators of 2C-like cells), and the 576 double negative population. Average gene expression was quantified and fold 577 change between positive and negative cells was plotted. Dots are randomly 578 distributed along the y-axes. The upper plot represents the kernel density 579 estimate of middle-2C stage (blue line) and the rest of the genes (gray line).

The Dux macrosatellite repeat was deleted in mESCs carrying a MERVL-GFP 580 reporter by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated excision. (C) Fraction of GFP⁺ cells in 581 582 WT or Dux-deleted cells. (D) RNA sequencing analysis of WT and Dux KO 583 mESC clones. The dot plot displays the average gene expression of three 584 independent clones from each cell type. (E) GFP expression in Dux KO (blue 585 circles) and WT (green squares) mESC clones carrying an integrated MERVL-GFP reporter, and transiently expressing LacZ, DUX4, Dux or 586 587 Gm4981 transgenes. (F) RNA sequencing analysis of Dux KO mESC clones 588 transiently expressing *Dux* or control. The dot plot displays the average gene 589 expression of two independent clones from each cell type. (G) Dux KO 590 mESCs carrying an integrated MERVL-GFP reporter and transiently 591 expressing a HA-tagged form of Dux were stained for HA and 592 immunofluorescence was detected by confocal microscopy. DAPI, blue; GFP, green; HA, red. Horizontal bars in (C) and (E) represent the mean. *** $p \le p$ 593 594 0.001, unpaired t-test.

595

Figure 4. TRIM28 regulates formation of 2C-like mESCs by repressing *Dux* expression

(A) RNA sequencing analysis of WT and *Trim28* KO mESCs. Average gene
expression was quantified and fold change between KO and WT cells plotted.
Dots are randomly distributed along the y-axes. The upper plot represents the
kernel density estimate of genes specifically expressed in 2C-like mESCs
(green line) and the rest of the genes (gray line). (B) WT (blue circles) and

603 Dux KO (green squares) mESC clones carrying an integrated MERVL-GFP 604 reporter were transduced with lentiviral vectors encoding for shRNAs targeting 605 *Trim28* or a control. 4 days later GFP expression was quantified. Horizontal lines represent the mean. *** $p \le 0.001$, unpaired t-test. (C) RNA sequencing 606 607 of Trim28-depleted or control Dux KO mESC clones. The dot plot represents 608 the average gene expression of three independent KO clones transduced with 609 lentiviral vectors encoding for a control or a Trim28-specific shRNA. (D) 610 Average coverage of ChIP-seq signal of Trim28 (top plot; blue lines; two 611 replicates) and H3K9me3 (bottom plot; two replicates) in control (red lines) 612 and Trim28 KD mESCs (green line) around the Dux gene. Total input is 613 represented in gray. ChIP-seq reads were mapped on the genome, before 614 focusing the analysis on a 500bp window around the main Dux gene. 615 H3K9me3 peaks over the Dux macrosatellite repeat were only called in the 616 control KD mESCs (Sicer; false discovery rate 0.05)

617

618 Figure 5. Dux is necessary for mouse early embryonic development

619 (A) Schematic of the Dux loss-of-function experiment in mouse pre-620 implantation embryos. Zygotes were first injected in the pronucleus with 621 plasmids encoding for the Cas9 nuclease and sgRNAs targeting the flanking 622 region of the Dux macrosatellite repeat or a non-targeting sgRNA, then were 623 either (B) monitored for their ability to differentiate ex vivo or (C) collected at 624 2C-stage for mRNA quantification. (B) Average percent of embryos reaching 625 the morula/blastocyst stages (white) or failing to differentiate (delayed/dead 626 embryos, black; defective morula/blastocyst, grey) 4 days after pronuclear 627 injection. The plot represents an average from 3 independent experiments

with 16 to 23 embryos for each condition. Fisher's exact test was performed to 628 629 compare the embryonic stage of control against Dux KO (p= 1.54e⁻¹⁰) (C) 630 Comparative expression of Dux, early ZGA genes (Zscan4, Sp110, B020004J07Rik, Dub1, Tdpoz4, Eif1a, Tcstv3, Cml2), 2C-restricted TE 631 632 (MERVL, the LTR and int regions of which are detected with MT2 mm and 633 MERVL-int primers, respectively), a gene (Mpo), the expression of which 634 decreases at ZGA, 2 genes (Actb, Zbed3) stably expressed during pre-635 implantation embryonic development and a control TE (IAPEz) in 15 2C stage embryos (5 from each of 3 independent experiments) 15-24 hours after 636 637 pronuclear injection with plasmids expressing Cas9 and control or Dux-638 specific sgRNAs. Boxes depict the 25 and 75 percentiles, line in the boxes 639 represents the median. Expression was normalized to Actb. * $p \le 0.05$ ** $p \le$ 640 $0.01, *** p \le 0.001, Wilcoxon test.$