AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR

MICROBIOLOGY

mBio





The Role of Lipid Competition for Endosymbiont-Mediated Protection against Parasitoid Wasps in *Drosophila*

Juan C. Paredes,^a Jeremy K. Herren,^b Fanny Schüpfer,^a Bruno Lemaitre^a

Global Health Institute, School of Life Science, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland^a; Molecular Biology and Bioinformatics Unit, Martin Lüscher Emerging Infectious Diseases (ML-EID) Laboratory, International Centre of Insect Physiology and Ecology (icipe), Nairobi, Kenya^b

ABSTRACT Insects commonly harbor facultative bacterial endosymbionts, such as *Wolbachia* and *Spiroplasma* species, that are vertically transmitted from mothers to their offspring. These endosymbiontic bacteria increase their propagation by manipulating host reproduction or by protecting their hosts against natural enemies. While an increasing number of studies have reported endosymbiont-mediated protection, little is known about the mechanisms underlying this protection. Here, we analyze the mechanisms underlying protection from parasitoid wasps in *Drosophila melanogaster* mediated by its facultative endosymbiont *Spiroplasma poulsonii*. Our results indicate that *S. poulsonii* exerts protection against two distantly related wasp species, *Leptopilina boulardi* and *Asobara tabida*. *S. poulsonii*-mediated protection against parasitoid wasps takes place at the pupal stage and is not associated with an increased cellular immune response. In this work, we provide three important observations that support the notion that *S. poulsonii* bacteria and wasp larvae compete for host lipids and that this competition underlies symbiont-mediated protection. First, lipid quantification shows that both *S. poulsonii* and parasitoid wasps deplete *D. melanogaster* hemolymph lipids. Second, the depletion of hemolymphatic lipids using the *Lpp* RNA interference (*Lpp RNAi*) construct reduces wasp success in larvae that are not infected with *S. poulsonii* and blocks *S. poulsonii* growth. Third, we show that the growth of *S. poulsonii* bacteria is not affected by the presence of the wasps, indicating that when *S. poulsonii* is present, larval wasps will develop in a lipid-depleted environment. We propose that competition for host lipids may be relevant to endosymbiont-mediated protection in other systems and could explain the broad spectrum of protection provided.

IMPORTANCE Virtually all insects, including crop pests and disease vectors, harbor facultative bacterial endosymbionts. They are vertically transmitted from mothers to their offspring, and some protect their host against pathogens. Here, we studied the mechanism of protection against parasitoid wasps mediated by the *Drosophila melanogaster* endosymbiont *Spiroplasma poulsonii*. Using genetic manipulation of the host, we provide strong evidence supporting the hypothesis that competition for host lipids underlies *S. poulsonii*-mediated protection against parasitoid wasps. We propose that lipid competition-based protection may not be restricted to *Spiroplasma* bacteria but could also apply other endosymbionts, notably *Wolbachia* bacteria, which can suppress human disease-causing viruses in insect hosts.

Received 8 June 2016 Accepted 13 June 2016 Published 12 July 2016

Citation Paredes JC, Herren JK, Schüpfer F, Lemaitre B. 2016. The role of lipid competition for endosymbiont-mediated protection against parasitoid wasps in *Drosophila*. mBio 7(4):e01006-16. doi:10.1128/mBio.01006-16.

Editor Margaret J. McFall-Ngai, University of Hawaii

Copyright © 2016 Paredes et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license

Address correspondence to Juan C. Paredes, juan.paredes@epfl.ch, or Bruno Lemaitre, bruno.lemaitre@epfl.ch.

nsects commonly harbor bacterial endosymbionts (i.e., bacteria living inside the host) that are transmitted from mothers to their offspring, often in the egg cytoplasm (1). The fitness of these inherited symbionts is intimately tied to that of their hosts, with vertical transmission serving as the key force driving their longterm coevolution. Some endosymbionts are obligate because they are essential for host survival, for instance by supplying their host with essential nutrients that are missing from its diet (2). Other endosymbionts are facultative, since they are not required for host development or survival (1, 3). Despite their imperfect maternal transmission, facultative insect endosymbionts are widespread in insect populations. This contradiction is likely explained by the fact that facultative endosymbionts have developed additional strategies to increase their own transmission, such as manipulating host reproduction (e.g., male killing, parthenogenesis induction, or cytoplasmic incompatibility) or protecting their hosts

against natural enemies (4, 5). In insects, symbiont-mediated protection has now been demonstrated against various pathogens, including parasitic wasps, nematodes, RNA viruses, fungi, and *Plasmodium* parasites (6–13). Symbiont-mediated protection is also the cornerstone of a number of symbiont-based control strategies, such as the recent field release of *Aedes* mosquitoes transfected with a strain of *Wolbachia* with the aim to suppress dengue virus transmission (14).

Although the mechanistic bases of protection by endosymbionts remain poorly characterized, three mechanisms have been proposed (5). The first proposes that endosymbionts have the capacity to enhance insect immune responses. This mechanism has been described in the pea aphid (*Acyrthosiphon pisum*) when it harbors a secondary endosymbiont (15, 16). Also, a number of studies have suggested that *Wolbachia* bacteria protect insects against viruses by priming their immune system (17, 18). Never-

theless, this mechanism has been observed only in novel hostendosymbiont associations, in which insect species have been experimentally infected with Wolbachia bacteria in the laboratory. In contrast, studies based on natural Drosophila-endosymbiont associations have not observed any impact of endosymbionts, including Spiroplasma and Wolbachia bacterial species, on the host immune system (19-21). The second mechanism involves endosymbionts producing a toxin that targets host enemies. Evidence for the importance of this mechanism is found in the results of several studies. The production of bacteriophage toxins (such as YD repeat toxin) has been proposed to underlie the protection exerted by Hamiltonella defensa in aphids against parasitoid wasps (22). Moreover, pederin, which blocks protein and DNA synthesis by endosymbiotic Pseudomonas species, has been shown to be involved in the protection of rove beetles against predators (23). Finally, a ribosome-inactivating-protein (RIP) toxin encoded by Spiroplasma bacteria has been implicated in the protection of Drosophila neotestacea against entomopathogenic nematodes (24). All of these toxins target essential eukaryotic processes, and therefore, it is still unclear what prevents their toxicity to the insect host. The third mechanism is metabolic competition, where the symbiont inhibits the growth of its host's parasites by depleting resources necessary for their development. The metabolic competition hypothesis is supported by observations that insect endosymbionts and the parasites against which they protect share the same environment: the intracellular compartment for Wolbachia and viruses or the hemolymph compartment for Spiroplasma and wasp and nematode macroparasites. The metabolic competition theory is very appealing because it does not require a specific interaction between the endosymbiont and the host and, therefore, could explain the broad spectrum of protection provided by endosymbionts. While many authors have discussed the idea of nutrient competition, there is a lack of experimental evidence for it. It is, however, notable that one study has linked Wolbachia protection against viruses to a competition for cholesterol (25).

Here, we have investigated the mechanisms by which Spiroplasma bacteria protect their host against parasitoid wasps. Our study focuses on Spiroplasma poulsonii strain MSRO (melanogaster sex ratio organism; isolated from a fruit fly captured in Uganda), a natural endosymbiont of Drosophila melanogaster (3, 26). In this work, we provide strong evidence that the protection against parasitoid wasps in D. melanogaster provided by S. poulsonii is mediated by a competition for host lipids. The genus Spiroplasma belongs to a group of wall-less bacterial species called Mol*licutes* that infect a broad range of arthropods (27, 28). S. poulsonii resides in large numbers in the hemolymph (the insect "blood") of larvae and adults. S. poulsonii cells are neither detected nor affected by the D. melanogaster immune system, but their proliferation is constrained by the availability of hemolymph lipids (19, 29). This dependence on lipids is thought to couple the proliferation of S. poulsonii to the nutritional state of its host. To ensure efficient vertical transmission, these bacteria use the yolk uptake machinery to colonize the germ line (30). Spiroplasma is also a male killer (the male offspring of infected females die during embryogenesis), and it has been hypothesized that this reproductive manipulation is one of the driving forces that maintains this facultative endosymbiont in fly populations (31). Recently, it has been shown that Spiroplasma also confers resistance to parasitoid wasps, which are major macroparasites of *Drosophila* flies (12),

В А 100 % of individuals % of individuals 50 50 n + + Sp Sp No wasp L. boulardi No wasp L. boulardi Oregon Canton С % of individuals 00 00 wasps 🗖 flies 50 D. dead pupae D. dead larvae + + Sp No wasp A tab I het OregonF

FIG 1 Spiroplasma poulsonii confers protection against two distantly related species of parasitoid wasps. Quantification of *D. melanogaster* (*D.*) dead larvae and pupae, emerging fly adults, and wasp adults. (A) *Leptopilina boulardi* infestation in *D. melanogaster* flies with an Oregon-R genetic background (***, $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$; chi-square = 1,240.5; df = 3). (B) *Leptopilina boulardi* infestation in *D. melanogaster* flies with a Canton-S genetic background (***, $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$; chi-square = 175.81; df = 3). (C) *Leptopilina heterotoma* (*L. het*) (***, $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$; chi-square = 180.82; df = 3) and *Asobara tabida* (*A. tab*) (***, $P < 5.75 \times 10^{-10}$; chi-square = 45.972; df = 3) infections in *D. melanogaster* flies with an Oregon-R genetic background harboring (+) or not harboring (-) *S. poulsonii* (*Sp*). (A to C) Results are represented as mean percentages ± standard errors of the means (SEM) of a minimum of 270 *D. melanogaster* larvae from three independent experiments. Statistical significance was calculated using Pearson's chi-square test.

although the mechanism underlying this protection has remained unknown.

RESULTS

S. poulsonii confers protection against two distantly related species of parasitoid wasps. Leptopilina wasps (Figitidae) are major parasites of Drosophila. In the wild, these wasps lays eggs in first- and second-instar (larval stage 2 [L2]) Drosophila larvae; the wasp larvae then develop in the host hemolymph during the larval and early pupal stages and hatch from the parasitized pupal case. Previous studies showed that Spiroplasma infection enhances the survival of Drosophila hydei flies infested with Leptopilina heterotoma and of D. melanogaster flies infested with Leptopilina boulardi. We first extended the studies on the D. melanogaster-Spiroplasma-L. boulardi association (32) by analyzing the impact of S. poulsonii strain MSRO in a different host genetic background, D. melanogaster strain Oregon-R wild-type flies infested with L. boulardi. We monitored the four possible outcomes of infestation: wasp-infested individuals die as larvae or as pupae (both wasp and fly die), a wasp emerges from the pupa (wasp success), or a fly emerges from the infested pupa (fly success). The results presented in Fig. 1A show that more than 60% of infested Oregon-R larvae give rise to an adult wasp, while the other 25% die either as larvae or as pupae. Only 15% of the flies were recovered following L. boulardi infestation, consistent with the high virulence attributed to this species (33). Strikingly, the presence of *S. poulsonii* strongly protected *D. melanogaster* against *L. boulardi*, as 60% of the emerging adult insects were flies, and almost no wasps were recovered. The protection exerted by *S. poulsonii* was not caused by a lower infestation rate, as *D. melanogaster*-harboring larvae were infected with an efficiency similar to the infection of larvae devoid of *S. poulsonii* (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). The protection phenotype was not linked to a specific genetic background, since we observed the same level of protection in *D. melanogaster* strain Canton-S as in the Oregon-R wild-type strain (Fig. 1B). Interestingly the Canton-S strain used in this study is naturally infected with *Wolbachia*. Thus, the high level of wasp success in Canton-S flies (Fig. 1B) suggests that the intracellular symbiont *Wolbachia* does not confer significant protection against this parasitoid wasp, as previously reported in Xie et al. (32).

L. boulardi is a highly specialized parasitoid wasp infecting mostly D. melanogaster (34). Thus, the S. poulsonii-mediated protection observed could be an outcome of a coevolutionary process and, thus, specific to this species. To analyze whether Spiroplasma mediates a broad range of protection against wasps, we performed similar experiments using L. heterotoma, a generalist wasp strain from the Leptopilina group, and Asobara tabida, belonging to the Braconidae family, which is phylogenetically distant from the Figitidae. The results presented in Fig. 1C show that S. poulsonii also confers protection against L. heterotoma and A. tabida, with a lower percentage of wasps emerging from infested pupae in the presence of S. poulsonii. The levels of fly success in the presence of S. poulsonii were lower with these two wasp species than was observed with L. boulardi; however, the wasp success was strongly reduced. This is probably due to the strong pupal lethality (neither flies nor wasps emerge) observed with these generalist wasps, which has also been reported in previous studies (12, 32). Altogether, these findings indicate that S. poulsonii can provide broad protection against various wasp species, suggesting a rather general mechanism of protection linked to the biology of parasitoids.

S. poulsonii inhibits wasp growth at the pupal stage. S. poulsonii and wasp larvae coinhabit the same compartment, the hemolymph. We monitored the reciprocal impact of S. poulsonii on the wasp during D. melanogaster larval growth. We observed that wasp larvae in S. poulsonii-infected third-instar (L3) D. melanogaster larvae have the same infestation rates in the absence of S. poulsonii (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). This observation suggests that the symbiont-mediated protection takes place after the so-called "wandering larva" stage, when the larvae stop eating and initiate metamorphosis. During fly metamorphosis, the fly, wasp, and S. poulsonii depend upon nutrients accumulated during larval stages, since there is no food uptake at the pupal stage. Given that the Spiroplasma growth rate increases drastically at the pupal stage, we hypothesized that this increased growth rate negatively impacts the growth of the wasp at this critical stage (19). To test this hypothesis, we used quantitative PCR (qPCR) to monitor the growth of L. boulardi and S. poulsonii over different time periods after infestation. The growth of L. boulardi was completely blocked in the presence of S. poulsonii after the 3rd day of infestation (Fig. 2A, red line). Interestingly, the 3-day time point corresponds to D. melanogaster larvae entering the wandering stage. This result is consistent with a previous study indicating that L. boulardi wasps die during pupal stages in Spiroplasma-infected flies (12). Importantly, the proliferation of S. poulsonii was not affected by the presence of the wasp (Fig. 2B, red line). The addition of a bacteriostatic antibiotic, tetracycline, to the food of *Drosophila* larvae 2 days after infestation with *L. boulardi* (before the wandering larva stage) largely suppressed the *S. poulsonii*-mediated inhibition of wasp growth (Fig. 2C). It is noteworthy that tetracycline treatment does not eliminate *S. poulsonii* but only blocks its growth by inhibiting translation (see Fig. S2). The addition of the antibiotic markedly increased the number of emerging wasps in *S. poulsonii*-infected flies (Fig. 2D). This suggests that the capacity of *S. poulsonii* to protect against wasps depends on bacterial growth at the pupal stage. An alternative hypothesis, although less likely, is that the antibiotic inhibits the translation of a putative "anti-wasp factor."

S. poulsonii does not induce the larval cellular immune response. The strong *S. poulsonii*-mediated protection against wasp parasites led us to examine whether this endosymbiont acts by enhancing the cellular immune response during the tripartite *S. poulsonii-D. melanogaster*-wasp interaction. Insects combat wasp infestation by encapsulation, a cellular immune process that involves the formation of a capsule composed of large flat hemocytes called lamellocytes. Lamellocytes stick around the developing wasp larva and are subsequently melanized by prophenoloxidase from both lamellocytes and crystal cells (33, 35, 36). Lamellocytes differentiate from 0 to 24 h postinfestation from hemocyte progenitors in the lymph gland or directly from plasmatocytes present in the circulation or in the sessile niche (37). Both melanization and hemocyte number have been shown to be involved in the level of protection (38–40).

We measured the number of hemocytes in wandering larvae 72 h postinfection with *L. boulardi* and did not observe any differences from the uninfected counterpart (Fig. 3A). *S. poulsonii* also did not affect the total number of crystal cells upon infestation with *L. boulardi* (Fig. 3B). Moreover, we did not observe any difference in melanization rates or capsule formation between *D. melanogaster* larvae that were infected or not infected with *S. poulsonii* (Fig. 3C). This result indicates that *S. poulsonii* protection is not likely to be mediated by an amplification of the fly cellular immune response.

S. poulsonii and L. boulardi consume hemolymphatic lipids. Most parasitoid wasps, including L. boulardi, do not have the capacity to synthetize lipids de novo and rely on their host (41). We have previously shown that Spiroplasma growth in adult flies relies on host lipids, notably diacylglycerols (DAGs) and sterol (29). This raises the possibility that S. poulsonii and parasitoid wasps might compete for host lipids. In Drosophila larvae, the main circulating lipids are DAGs. DAGs are synthesized in the intestine from dietary lipids and then loaded with phosphoethanolamine, sterol, and other minor lipids on the apolipophorin (Lpp) vesicles. Lpp is a lipoprotein produced by the fat body (42). Lipid vesicles ensure lipid transport from the intestine to other organs. Lpp is the main hemolymph lipid carrier, since more than 95% of the hemolymph lipids in *Drosophila* cofractionate with Lpp (43). While DAGs are the predominant circulating lipids in the hemolymph, lipids are stored in the fat body as triacylglycerols (TAGs), which are produced from hemolymphatic DAGs (44).

The impact of *S. poulsonii* on hemolymphatic lipid has never been investigated at the larval stage. We therefore monitored the amount of DAGs in hemolymph samples from third-instar larvae infected or not infected with *S. poulsonii*. The results shown in Fig. 4A indicate a reduction of DAG levels in *Spiroplasma*-infected wandering larvae compared to the levels in their uninfected coun-



FIG 2 *Spiroplasma* inhibits wasp growth at the pupal stage. (A and C) Quantification of wasp growth was performed by monitoring the amount of wasp 28S rRNA relative to *D. melanogaster RpL32* RNA in *D. melanogaster* larvae/pupae harboring (*Sp*+) or not harboring (*Sp*-) *S. poulsonii.* ***, P < 0.001 for comparison of wasp growth in presence or absence of *S. poulsonii* (A); ***, P < 0.001; t = 24.22; df = 4, for comparison of wasp growth with or without tetracycline treatment at 7 days using unpaired Student *t* test (C). (B) *S. poulsonii* absolute titers monitored by qPCR of the *S. poulsonii dnaA* gene. Wasp infestation has no effect on *S. poulsonii* growth. Not significant [ns], P = 0.2867. (A and B) Statistical significance of the data was calculated using two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA); see details in Table S1 in the supplemental material. (D) Quantification of *D. melanogaster* (*D.*) dead larvae and pupae, fly adults, and wasp adults on medium complemented or not, 1.5 days postinfestation, with the bacteriostatic antibiotic tetracycline. ***, $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$; chi-square = 102.61; df = 3, using Pearson's chi-squared test. Results are the percentages of a minimum of 270 *Drosophila* larvae. (A to D) Results are means ± SEM from three independent experiments.

terparts. As the hemolymph extract we used to monitor the DAGs also contained S. poulsonii, this depletion of DAGs was detectable because DAGs are metabolized by S. poulsonii into cardiolipins, as previously reported in adult flies (29). We conclude that Spiroplasma depletes hemolymphatic DAG levels in larvae. We next investigated the levels of hemolymphatic lipids in larvae infested by L. boulardi. The results in Fig. 4A show that the presence of the wasp also depleted the quantity of DAGs in the hemolymph extract to a level comparable to that observed with S. poulsonii. It is noteworthy that when both S. poulsonii and the wasp were present, they had a cumulative effect on lipid depletion. In the presence of both the endosymbiont and the parasitoid, hemolymphatic lipids were decreased by about 50% compared to the amount in the control (Fig. 4A, compare 1st to 4th bar). These results, together with those of previous studies (29, 41), are consistent with the notion that both S. poulsonii and the wasp consume hemolymphatic lipids of *D. melanogaster* larvae to sustain their growth.

Depletion of host lipid constrains the growth of *S. poulsonii* and *L. boulardi*. We then analyzed whether reduction of host lipids affects the growth of *S. poulsonii* and *L. boulardi*. For this, we used the *Gal4^{TS}/UAS* system combined with *Lpp* RNA interference (*Lpp RNAi*) to knock down Lpp in the fat body of *Drosophila* larvae (genotype, *C564-Gal4^{TS}* > *UAS-iLpp*). Palm et al. have already shown that the silencing of *Lpp* in the fat body reduces the amount of circulating lipids in larvae, resulting in pupal lethality at 25°C (42). To circumvent this lethality, *Lpp RNAi* embryos were raised at 18°C during the first 4 days and then switched as L2 larvae



FIG 3 *S. poulsonii* does not affect the fly immune response. (A) Left, ratios of lamellocytes over total number of hemocytes (plasmatocytes and lamellocytes) in *D. melanogaster* flies harboring (+) or not harboring (-) *S. poulsonii* (*Sp*); right, hemocyte preparation stained with phalloidin to reveal cell shape. Nuclei of hemocytes are stained with 4[prime],6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Lamellocytes are identified by their large size and flat shape (white arrows). ns, P = 0.76; t = 0.3053; df = 33. (B) Crystal cell counts in whole larvae after heat treatment. ns, P = 0.60; t = 0.5260; df = 38. (C) Percentages of melanized wasp eggs or larvae. Data shown are from an experiment representative of three independent experiments. ns, P = 0.6110; t = 5363; df = 6. Statistical significance was calculated using the unpaired Student's *t* test.



FIG 4 S. poulsonii and wasps compete for hemolymph lipids. (A) Quantification of hemolymphatic DAGs in D. melanogaster flies with or without wasp infestation and harboring (+) or not harboring (-) S. poulsonii (Sp). *, P = 0.0041; t = 3.268; df = 19; **, P = 0.0450; t = 2; df = 18; **, P = 0.0020; t =3.612; df = 18; using unpaired Student's t test. (B) Absolute quantification of S. poulsonii titers by qPCR. **, P = 0.00286; two-way ANOVA; see Table S1 in the supplemental material for details. (C) Fly survival after Lpp knockdown mediated by the activation of UAS-iLpp in the fat body using a specific thermosensitive driver (C564-Gal4TS, C564TS>). UAS-iLpp in the absence of driver (UAS-iLpp/+) and Oregon-R (ORR) flies were used as negative controls. (C) D. melanogaster (D.) dead larvae and pupae, emerging fly adults, and wasp adults. Results are percentages of a minimum of 270 D. melanogaster larvae. ***, from left to right, respectively: $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$; chi-square = 153.96; df = 3; $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$; chi-square = 620.75; df = 3; $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$; chi-square = 84.458; df = 3; using Pearson's chi-square test. (A to C) Results are means \pm SEM from at least three independent experiments.

to 25°C. As previously shown in adult flies (29), the growth of *S. poulsonii* was blocked when Lpp was depleted in larvae (Fig. 4B). Depletion of Lpp also reduced the success of *L. boulardi* after *D. melanogaster* infestation independently of the presence of *S. poulsonii*: the wasp success rate dropped from 46% in the wild type to 27% in *Lpp RNAi*-treated flies (corresponding to a 30% decrease of the fly/wasp ratio) (Fig. 4C, compare 3rd to 7th bar).

Finally, we monitored the impact of Lpp depletion on *S. poulsonii*-mediated protection against wasps. Strikingly, Lpp depletion led to a strong reduction in the *S. poulsonii*-induced protection against parasitoid wasps (Fig. 4C, 8th bar). This is probably a consequence of the impact of Lpp depletion on the growth of *S. poulsonii* (Fig. 4B). *S. poulsonii*-infected, *Lpp RNAi*-treated individuals infested with *L. boulardi* also exhibited significant lethality at the larval and pupal stages, indicating that the depletion of lipid by Lpp in the presence of *S. poulsonii* and wasps had severe negative consequences for *D. melanogaster* pupal development (Fig. 4C, compare 4th to 8th bar). These experiments demonstrate that the growth of both *S. poulsonii* and *L. boulardi* was constrained after Lpp knockdown, likely due to lipid depletion.

DISCUSSION

Several studies recently added Spiroplasma to the list of inherited symbionts that confer host protection against natural enemies, with strains infecting D. hydei (strain SPHY) and D. neotestacea shown to protect against parasitoid wasps and parasitic nematodes, respectively (11, 12). These observations suggest that Spiroplasma-mediated protection may be common in the wild, where it could contribute to the maintenance of this symbiont in insect populations, especially under conditions of high parasite pressure (45). Our study extends some of these results by showing that S. poulsonii strain MSRO exerts a strong protective effect against the parasitoid wasp L. boulardi in two D. melanogaster strains (32). We further show that this protection is also observed against two distantly related wasp genera, Leptopilina and Asobara, which belong to the Figitidae and Braconidae families, respectively. L. boulardi, L. heterotoma, and A. tabida share the same habitats, infecting frugivorous Drosophila flies and, in some cases, even coinfecting the same populations (34). While L. boulardi is a specialist of D. melanogaster, A. tabida and L. heterotoma can infect up to nine Drosophila species. These parasitoid wasps use very distinctive infection strategies (46). Both L. boulardi and L. heterotoma have been reported to alter encapsulation by injecting venom-containing virus-like particles that target lamellocytes (33, 47). In contrast, A. tabida lacks virus-like particles and might be protected from encapsulation by the nature of the egg exochorion, which is sticky, allowing the egg to be embedded in host tissue (48). Spiroplasma-mediated protection is functional against a diversity of parasitoid species with diverse infection strategies. This leads us to favor a more generic protective mechanism.

It is noteworthy that, while *S. poulsonii* exerts some protection against three parasitoid wasp species, the outcome of the *S. poulsonii-D. melanogaster*-wasp tripartite interaction differs according to the wasp species. The presence of *S. poulsonii* markedly increases fly success upon *L. boulardi* infestation, while it leads to increased larval and pupal lethality upon *L. heterotoma* and *A. tabida* infestation. Although the former is more beneficial to the fly, high larval and pupal lethality blocks the transmission of wasps to the next generation (32). These differences are likely to be explained by differences in virulence and growth among the wasp strains.

We next investigated how *Spiroplasma* protects against parasitoid wasps and show that, consistent with a previous study, parasitoid death takes place during metamorphosis (12). Interestingly, symbiont-mediated protection correlates with symbiont growth, as protection was not observed when animals were treated with a bacteriostatic antibiotic. Quantification of a number of immunological markers relevant to encapsulation did not reveal any significant impact of *S. poulsonii* on the cellular response. This is in agreement with observations that *Spiroplasma* is not detected by the *Drosophila* immune system (19). While some studies initially suggested a role of symbionts in priming the immune system, further studies reveal that this is not likely to be the case in native host-endosymbiont interactions. Notably, *Spiroplasma* and *Wolbachia* have little, if any, effect on the immune gene expression of their native hosts (19–21).

We have recently shown that *S. poulsonii* consumes hemolymphatic lipids in adult flies, resulting in a depletion of hemolymph DAGs and a decrease of TAG storage in the fat body (29). While most lipids are directly incorporated into cell membranes in *Spi*-

roplasma, DAGs are transformed into cardiolipins. Metabolic analysis has suggested that parasitoid wasps are also dependent on Drosophila lipids (41). Some parasitoids have been reported to induce fat body lipogenesis via a specialized large cell type, the teratocyte, deriving from the extraembryonic tissue of the wasp (49). Others induce the release of lipid particles that are phagocytized by the hemocytes, and then lipid-filled hemocytes are ingested by the developing wasp larvae (50). In this work, we provide three important observations that support the notion that S. poulsonii bacteria and wasp larvae compete for host lipids. First, both S. poulsonii bacteria and L. boulardi wasp larvae decrease hemolymph lipids in D. melanogaster larvae, consistent with the notion that they consume host lipids. Second, S. poulsonii growth is not affected by the presence of the wasps, indicating that when S. poulsonii bacteria are present, the wasp larvae will develop in a lipid-depleted environment. Third, the depletion of hemolymphatic lipids using the Lpp RNAi construct reduces wasp success in larvae not infected with S. poulsonii bacteria and reduces S. poulsonii-mediated protection by blocking S. poulsonii growth in infected larvae.

Collectively, this supports a model in which lipid depletion due to the growth of S. poulsonii prevents efficient development of the wasp. Depletion of lipids would explain the broad-spectrum protection by S. poulsonii against diverse parasitoid wasps. We suspect that this protection could apply to other endosymbionts, notably Wolbachia bacteria. It is well known that lipid droplets interact with various organelles, including mitochondria (51). Taking into consideration the endosymbiontic resemblance between Wolbachia and mitochondria, Wolbachia might deplete lipid droplets that are required both for virus envelope formation and autophagy, an antiviral response (52). Thus, the depletion of lipid droplets by Wolbachia could be a potential explanation for the protection exerted by intracellular symbionts against viruses. The metabolic competition hypothesis for Wolbachia-mediated viral protection is supported by a study showing that Wolbachiainfected flies reared in cholesterol-enriched medium die faster after viral infection (25). In this study, the authors suggest that by using cholesterol as a key component of its own membrane, Wolbachia might deplete host cells of this lipid and, thus, interfere with viral cell entry and replication.

Consistent with our model, we observed that parasitoid wasp success is reduced when D. melanogaster larvae are grown on a poor diet (see Fig. S3 in the supplemental material). Attempts to improve wasp success by injecting lipids failed, either because the nature of the lipids involved is too complex or the parasitoid wasp development is too sensitive to these kinds of manipulation. Attempts to modify the S. poulsonii-D. melanogaster-wasp tripartite interaction by affecting fly nutrition also failed, likely as a consequence of D. melanogaster's metabolic versatility. The dynamic nature of the Spiroplasma-Drosophila-wasp tripartite interaction over time suggests that this metabolic competition results from a complex interplay. We previously reported that S. poulsonii specifically depletes certain DAG species ($C_{16:0}$ and $C_{18:1}$) (29). We could speculate that, whereas S. poulsonii utilizes (and perhaps fully depletes) specific lipids, the wasp might require a broad range of lipids but not fully deplete any single class. This may explain why S. poulsonii affects wasp growth severely but the opposite is not the case.

Our analysis does not eliminate a role of toxin in *S. poulsonii*mediated protection against wasps. Analysis of the *Spiroplasma*

genome reveals a number of candidates, including a chitinase and five putative proteins, with low homology with the RIP contained by the D. neotestacea Spiroplasma that has been implicated in protection against nematodes (24, 53). Interestingly, Spiroplasma poulsonii MSRO did not protect D. neotestacea against the entomopathogenic nematode when transferred by hemolymph injection, while the Spiroplasma naturally found in D. neotestacea does protect against both parasitoid wasps and nematodes (54). These discrepancies could be explained by differences in the mechanisms of protection against distinct macroparasites. Importantly, our study does not preclude that Spiroplasma-mediated protection can involve, in addition to metabolic competition, the use of toxins. The contribution of each of the two mechanisms, lipid competition or toxin, could differ according to the parasite. Future research should investigate the role of such toxins and the exact nature of the lipids involved in Spiroplasma-wasp competition.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Insect and S. *poulsonii* strains. We used *D. melanogaster* wild-type Oregon-R fly stocks harboring or not harboring *S. poulsonii* strain MSRO but not *Wolbachia* (3, 19). We also used *D. melanogaster* Canton-S fly stocks harboring or not harboring *Spiroplasma*. The Canton-S flies both harboring and not harboring *S. poulsonii* also harbored *Wolbachia*. Canton-S fly stock harboring *S. poulsonii* also harbored *Wolbachia*. Canton-S fly stock harboring *S. poulsonii* also harbored *Wolbachia*. Canton-S fly stock harboring *S. poulsonii* was obtained by the injection of hemolymph from the infected Oregon-R flies and has been maintained in the laboratory for nearly 4 years now. To knock down the expression of *Lpp*, we used the *C564-Gal4* fat body driver in conjunction with *tubulin-Gal80*^{ts} and *UAS-iLpp* (TRiP no. HM05157).

Leptopilina boulardi strain Lb17 was kindly provided by Michèle Crozatier. Leptopilina Heterotoma strain Lh14 was kindly provided by Todd Schlenke. Asobara tabida was kindly provided by Tadeusz J. Kawecki. All wasps were reared on Oregon-R flies at 25°C. After emergence, wasps were kept at 18°C and provided with honey. Wasps were trained for infection using L2 Oregon-R larvae before the experiments.

Wasp infections. *D. melanogaster* embryos were collected from 4-dayold flies by using embryo collection cages and yeasted-grape juice plates every 2 h. Once collected, embryos were maintained at 25°C for 2 days (48 h), except in experiments conducted with *C564-Gal4ts UAS-iLpp* flies, and then L2 larvae were collected with a paintbrush for infection. Thirty L2 larvae were deposited on the surface of a regular corn medium vial or poor diet medium, and 4 experienced female wasps were added for 2 h. See the supplemental material in reference 30 for the composition of *Drosophila* medium. The same 4 wasps that infested the control stocks were used subsequently to infest the larvae harboring *S. poulsonii*, and vice versa.

DNA extraction and qPCR. We extracted DNA from 30 L2 larvae or 10 L3 larvae or pupae per sample. The DNA extraction and quantitative PCR (qPCR) protocols have been described previously (1, 55). The *Leptopilina boulardi* qPCR primers used were Lb_rRNA28qF1 (5' GGCGAG CGAACAGGGAATA 3') and Lb_rRNA28qR1 (5' CCTCTATGGGTAAG TGGCCC 3').

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material for this article may be found at http://mbio.asm.org/ lookup/suppl/doi:10.1128/mBio.01006-16/-/DCSupplemental.

Text S1, DOCX file, 0.1 MB. Figure S1, PDF file, 0.1 MB. Figure S2, PDF file, 0.1 MB. Figure S3, PDF file, 0.1 MB. Table S1, XLSX file, 0.04 MB.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Steve Perlman for stimulating discussions, Christoph Vorburger and Ana De Busturia for comments on the manuscript, Maroun Bou Sleiman and Florent Masson for help with statistics, Tess Baticle for technical help, Michèle Crozatier, Tadeusz J. Kawecki, and Todd Schlenke for the wasp stocks, and the Bloomington Stock Center, National Institute of Genetics (NIG), and Harvard Medical School for fly stocks.

This work was funded by ERC advanced grant no. 339970 and SNF Sinergia grant no. CRSII3_154396.

FUNDING INFORMATION

This work, including the efforts of Juan Camilo Paredes, Jeremy K. Herren, Fanny Schüpfer, and Bruno Lemaitre, was funded by EC | European Research Council (ERC) (339970) and the SNF Sinergia grant no. CRSII3_154396.

REFERENCES

- 1. Zug R, Hammerstein P. 2012. Still a host of hosts for *Wolbachia*: analysis of recent data suggests that 40% of terrestrial arthropod species are infected. PLoS One 7:. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0038544.
- Douglas AE, Prosser WA. 1992. Synthesis of the essential amino acid tryptophan in the pea aphid (*Acyrthosiphon pisum*) symbiosis. J Insect Physiol 38:565–568. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-1910(92)90107-O.
- Pool JE, Wong A, Aquadro CF. 2006. Finding of male-killing *Spiroplasma* infecting *Drosophila melanogaster* in Africa implies transatlantic migration of this endosymbiont. Heredity 97:27–32. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ sj.hdy.6800830.
- 4. Stouthamer R, Breeuwer JA, Hurst GD. 1999. *Wolbachia pipientis*: microbial manipulator of arthropod reproduction. Annu Rev Microbiol 53: 71–102. http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.micro.53.1.71.
- 5. Haine ER. 2008. Symbiont-mediated protection. Proc Biol Sci 275: 353–361. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2007.1211.
- Oliver KM, Russell JA, Moran NA, Hunter MS. 2003. Facultative bacterial symbionts in aphids confer resistance to parasitic wasps. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100:1803–1807. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.0335320100.
- Scarborough CL, Ferrari J, Godfray HC. 2005. Aphid protected from pathogen by endosymbiont. Science 310:1781. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/ science.1120180.
- Hedges LM, Brownlie JC, O'Neill SL, Johnson KN. 2008. Wolbachia and virus protection in insects. Science 322:702. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/ science.1162418.
- Teixeira L, Ferreira A, Ashburner M. 2008. The bacterial symbiont Wolbachia induces resistance to RNA viral infections in Drosophila melanogaster. PLoS Biol 6:e2. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000002.
- Moreira LA, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Jeffery JA, Lu G, Pyke AT, Hedges LM, Rocha BC, Hall-Mendelin S, Day A, Riegler M, Hugo LE, Johnson KN, Kay BH, McGraw EA, van den Hurk AF, Ryan PA, O'Neill SL. 2009. A *Wolbachia* symbiont in *Aedes aegypti* limits infection with dengue, chikungunya, and plasmodium. Cell 139:1268–1278. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.cell.2009.11.042.
- Jaenike J, Unckless R, Cockburn SN, Boelio LM, Perlman SJ. 2010. Adaptation via symbiosis: recent spread of a *Drosophila* defensive symbiont. Science 329:212–215. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1188235.
- 12. Xie J, Tiner B, Vilchez I, Mateos M. 2011. Effect of the *Drosophila* endosymbiont *Spiroplasma* on parasitoid wasp development and on the reproductive fitness of wasp-attacked fly survivors. Evol Ecol 25: 1065–1079. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10682-010-9453-7.
- 13. Łukasik P, van Asch M, Guo H, Ferrari J, Godfray HCJ. 2013. Unrelated facultative endosymbionts protect aphids against a fungal pathogen. Ecol Lett 16:214–218. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ele.12031.
- Bhatt S, Gething PW, Brady OJ, Messina JP, Farlow AW, Moyes CL, Drake JM, Brownstein JS, Hoen AG, Sankoh O, Myers MF, George DB, Jaenisch T, Wint GR, Simmons CP, Scott TW, Farrar JJ, Hay SI. 2013. The global distribution and burden of dengue. Nature 496:504–507. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature12060.
- Schmitz A, Anselme C, Ravallec M, Rebuf C, Simon J-C, Gatti J-L, Poirié M. 2012. The cellular immune response of the pea aphid to foreign intrusion and symbiotic challenge. PLoS One 7:e42114. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0042114.
- Laughton AM, Garcia JR, Gerardo NM. 2016. Condition-dependent alteration of cellular immunity by secondary symbionts in the pea aphid, *Acyrthosiphon pisum*. J Insect Physiol 86:17–24. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2015.12.005.

- Kambris Z, Blagborough AM, Pinto SB, Blagrove MSC, Godfray HCJ, Sinden RE, Sinkins SP. 2010. Wolbachia stimulates immune gene expression and inhibits *Plasmodium* development in *Anopheles gambiae*. PLoS Pathog 6:e1001143. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1001143.
- Ye YH, Woolfit M, Rancès E, O'Neill SL, McGraw EA. 2013. Wolbachiaassociated bacterial protection in the mosquito Aedes aegypti. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 7:e2362. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002362.
- Herren JK, Lemaitre B. 2011. Spiroplasma and host immunity: activation of humoral immune responses increases endosymbiont load and susceptibility to certain gram-negative bacterial pathogens in Drosophila melanogaster. Cell Microbiol 13:1385–1396. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1462 -5822.2011.01627.x.
- Rancès E, Johnson TK, Popovici J, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Zakir T, Warr CG, O'Neill SL. 2013. The Toll and Imd pathways are not required for *Wolbachia*-mediated dengue virus interference. J Virol 87:11945–11949. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01522-13.
- Chrostek E, Marialva MS, Yamada R, O'Neill SL, Teixeira L. 2014. High anti-viral protection without immune upregulation after interspecies *Wolbachia* transfer. PLoS One 9:e99025. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0099025.
- 22. Oliver KM, Degnan PH, Hunter MS, Moran NA. 2009. Bacteriophages encode factors required for protection in a symbiotic mutualism. Science 325:992–994. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1174463.
- Piel J. 2002. A polyketide synthase-peptide synthetase gene cluster from an uncultured bacterial symbiont of *Paederus* beetles. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99:14002–14007. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.222481399.
- Hamilton PT, Peng F, Boulanger MJ, Perlman SJ. 2016. A ribosomeinactivating protein in a *Drosophila* defensive symbiont. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 113:350–355. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1518648113.
- Caragata EP, Rancès E, Hedges LM, Gofton AW, Johnson KN, O'Neill SL, McGraw EA. 2013. Dietary cholesterol modulates pathogen blocking by *Wolbachia*. PLoS Pathog 9:e1003459. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/ journal.ppat.1003459.
- Mateos M, Castrezana SJ, Nankivell BJ, Estes AM, Markow TA, Moran NA. 2006. Heritable endosymbionts of *Drosophila*. Genetics 174:363–376. http://dx.doi.org/10.1534/genetics.106.058818.
- Hackett KJ, Clark TB. 1979. Ecology of spiroplasmas, p 113–200. In Whitcomb RF, Tully JG (ed), Molecular biology and pathogenicity of mycoplasmas. Academic Press, New York, NY.
- Watts T, Haselkorn TS, Moran NA, Markow TA. 2009. Variable incidence of *Spiroplasma* infections in natural populations of *Drosophila* species. PLoS One 4:e5703. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0005703.
- Herren JK, Paredes JC, Schüpfer F, Arafah K, Bulet P, Lemaitre B. 2014. Insect endosymbiont proliferation is limited by lipid availability. eLife 3:e02964. http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02964.
- Herren JK, Paredes JC, Schüpfer F, Lemaitre B. 2013. Vertical transmission of a *Drosophila* endosymbiont via cooption of the yolk transport and internalization machinery. mBio 4:e00532-12. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/ mBio.00532-12.
- Jaenike J. 2009. Coupled population dynamics of endosymbionts within and between hosts. Oikos 118:353–362. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600 -0706.2008.17110.x.
- Xie J, Butler S, Sanchez G, Mateos M. 2014. Male killing *Spiroplasma* protects *Drosophila melanogaster* against two parasitoid wasps. Heredity 112:399–408. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2013.118.
- Dubuffet A, Colinet D, Anselme C, Dupas S, Carton Y, Poirié M. 2009. Variation of *Leptopilina boulardi* success in *Drosophila* hosts: what is inside the black box? Adv Parasitol 70:147–188. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0065 -308X(09)70006-5.
- Lee MJ, Kalamarz ME, Paddibhatla I, Small C, Rajwani R, Govind S. 2009. Virulence factors and strategies of *Leptopilina* spp.: selective responses in *Drosophila* hosts. Adv Parasitol 70:123–145. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/S0065-308X(09)70005-3.
- Carton Y, Nappi AJ. 1997. Drosophila cellular immunity against parasitoids. Parasitol Today 13:218-227. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0169 -4758(97)01058-2.
- Mortimer NT. 2013. Parasitoid wasp virulence: a window into fly immunity. Fly (Austin) 7:242–248. http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/fly.26484.
- 37. Márkus R, Laurinyecz B, Kurucz E, Honti V, Bajusz I, Sipos B, Somogyi K, Kronhamn J, Hultmark D, Andó I. 2009. Sessile hemocytes as a hematopoietic compartment in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106:4805–4809. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0801766106.
- 38. Binggeli O, Neyen C, Poidevin M, Lemaitre B. 2014. Prophenoloxi-

dase activation is required for survival to microbial infections in *Drosophila*. PLoS Pathog 10:. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004067.

- 39. Dudzic JP, Kondo S, Ueda R, Bergman CM, Lemaitre B. 2015. *Drosophila* innate immunity: regional and functional specialization of prophenoloxidases. BMC Biol 13:81. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12915-015-0193-6.
- Kacsoh BZ, Schlenke TA. 2012. High hemocyte load is associated with increased resistance against parasitoids in *Drosophila suzukii*, a relative of *D. melanogaster*. PLoS One 7:e34721. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0034721.
- Visser B, Le Lann C, den Blanken FJ, Harvey JA, van Alphen JJ, Ellers J. 2010. Loss of lipid synthesis as an evolutionary consequence of a parasitic lifestyle. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107:8677–8682. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.1001744107.
- Palm W, Sampaio JL, Brankatschk M, Carvalho M, Mahmoud A, Shevchenko A, Eaton S. 2012. Lipoproteins in *Drosophila melanogaster* assembly, function, and influence on tissue lipid composition. PLoS Genet 8:e1002828. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1002828.
- Sieber MH, Thummel CS. 2012. Coordination of triacylglycerol and cholesterol homeostasis by DHR96 and the *Drosophila* LipA homolog magro. Cell Metab 15:122–127. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.cmet.2011.11.011.
- Canavoso LE, Jouni ZE, Karnas KJ, Pennington JE, Wells MA. 2001. Fat metabolism in insects. Annu Rev Nutr 21:23–46. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1146/annurev.nutr.21.1.23.
- 45. Xie J, Winter C, Winter L, Mateos M. 2015. Rapid spread of the defensive endosymbiont *Spiroplasma* in *Drosophila hydei* under high parasitoid wasp pressure. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 91:1–11. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ femsec/fiu017.
- Fleury F, Gibert P, Ris N, Allemand R 2009. Chapter 1 ecology and life history evolution of frugivorous *Drosophila* parasitoids. Adv Parasitol 70: 3–44. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0065-308X(09)70001-6.
- Schlenke TA, Morales J, Govind S, Clark AG. 2007. Contrasting infection strategies in generalist and specialist wasp parasitoids of *Drosophila melanogaster*. PLoS Pathog 3:1486–1501. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.0030158.

- Eslin P, Giordanengo P, Fourdrain Y, Prévost G. 1996. Avoidance of encapsulation in the absence of VLP by a braconid parasitoid of *Drosophila* larvae: an ultrastructural study. Can J Zool 74:2193–2198. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1139/z96-248.
- Nakamatsu Y, Fujii S, Tanaka T. 2002. Larvae of an endoparasitoid, *Cotesia kariyai* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), feed on the host fat body directly in the second stadium with the help of teratocytes. J Insect Physiol 48:1041–1052. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1910(02)00192-0.
- 50. Nakamatsu Y, Tanaka T. 2004. Venom of *Euplectrus separatae* causes hyperlipidemia by lysis of host fat body cells. J Insect Physiol 50:267–275. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2003.12.005.
- Walther TC, Farese RV, Jr. 2012. Lipid droplets and cellular lipid metabolism. Annu Rev Biochem 81:687–714. http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/ annurev-biochem-061009-102430.
- Shelly S, Lukinova N, Bambina S, Berman A, Cherry S. 2009. Autophagy is an essential component of *Drosophila* immunity against vesicular stomatitis virus. Immunity 30:588–598. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.immuni.2009.02.009.
- 53. Paredes JC, Herren JK, Schüpfer F, Marin R, Claverol S, Kuo C-H, Lemaitre B, Béven L. 2015. Genome sequence of the *Drosophila melano*gaster male-killing Spiroplasma strain MSRO endosymbiont. mBio 6:e02437-14. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02437-14.
- Haselkorn TS, Jaenike J. 2015. Macroevolutionary persistence of heritable endosymbionts: acquisition, retention and expression of adaptive phenotypes in *Spiroplasma*. Mol Ecol 24:3752–3765. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1111/mec.13261.
- Anbutsu H, Fukatsu T. 2003. Population dynamics of male-killing and non-male-killing spiroplasmas in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Appl Environ Microbiol 69:1428–1434. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.3.1428 -1434.2003.
- McGuire SE, Le PT, Osborn AJ, Matsumoto K, Davis RL. 2003. Spatiotemporal rescue of memory dysfunction in *Drosophila*. Science 302: 1765–1768. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1089035.
- 57. Hildebrandt A, Bickmeyer I, Kühnlein RP. 2011. Reliable *Drosophila* body fat quantification by a coupled colorimetric assay. PLoS One 6:e23796. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0023796.