





(1) IDENTIFICATION OF > **RESIDENTIAL ARCHETYPES** CASE STUDIES



E0



WITH BIPV SOLUTIONS



View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk Phase 01 | Definition of Arch

A - Construction period	
	before 1919
B - Urban context	Isol / Adj. building
C - Roof potential	Sloped roof
D – Façade potential	1-4 floors
E - Architectural quality Level of protection	Common II
	Arch. 1
Phase 02 Cas	a Ctudy Cala
A - Construction period	before 1919
A - Construction period B - Urban context	before 1919 Isol / Adj. building
A - Construction period B - Urban context C – Roof potential	before 1919 Isol / Adj. building Sloped roof
A - Construction period B - Urban context C - Roof potential D - Façade potential	before 1919 Isol / Adj. building Sloped roof
A - Construction period B - Urban context C - Roof potential D - Façade potential E - Architectural quality Level of protection	before 1919 Isol / Adj. building Sloped roof 1-4 floors Common II
A - Construction period B - Urban context C - Roof potential D - Façade potential E - Architectural quality Level of protection	before 1919 before 1919 befor

brought to you by TCORE

(Reside	ntial buildings)		ACTIVE INTERFACE		
19-1945	1946-1970	1971-1985	1986-2005		
2	Ø	Ø	0		
ed building	Isolated building	Isolated building	Isolated building		
0	¢ ¢	٢			
ed roof	Sloped / Flat roof	Flat roof	Flat roof		
	6		Î		
floors	1-4 floors	>7 floors	5-7 floors		
nmon II	Common II	Common II	Common / Unattractive /		
ch. 2	Arch. 3	Arch. 4	Arch. 5		
			1st PhD Seminar 11.04.2016		
Archetyp	e 4	First case study	ACTIVE INTERFACES		
19-1945	1946-1970	1971-1985	1986-2005		
2	Ø	Ø	Ø		
ed building	Isolated building	Isolated building	Isolated building		
ed roof	Sloped / Flat roof	Flat roof	Flat roof		
2	Ŵ	Î	Ŵ		
floors	1-4 floors	>7 floors	5-7 floors		
nmon II	Common II	Common II	Common / Unattractive Ii / III		
ch. 2	Arch. 3	Arch. 4	Arch. 5		

1st PhD Seminar | 11.04.2016

Phase 02 | Case Study Selection | Archetype 4





First case study : Rue Troncs 12 (Residental archetype 4, Period of construction: 1972-1973)

1st PhD Seminar | 11.04.2016

ACTIVE INTERFACES

Phase 03 | Design scenarios with BIPV solutions



Current status

S0









BIPV renovation: Maintaining the general expressive lines of the building while reaching high energy performances (at least Minergie standard)

BIPV conservation: Maintaining the expression of the building while improving

the energy performances of the building (at least current legal requirements)

Baseline: Compliance with current legal requirements

BIPV transformation: Best energy performances and maximum electricity production possible with aesthetic and formal coherence of the whole building (at least 2000 Watt Society | Energy strategy 2050)

Phase 03 | Design scenarios | E0 – Current status

attic

10

6 5

3 2

GF

-1 -2



1st PhD Seminar | 11.04.2016

ACTIVE INTERFACES

Phase 03 | Design scenarios | S0 – Baseline





- to be mantained - to be built to be demolished * BIPV elements

Phase 03 | Design scenarios | S1 – Conservation

atic

10

9

8

7

6

5

4

3

2

1

GF

-1

-2



1st PhD Seminar | 11.04.2016

Phase 03 | Design scenarios | S2 – Renovation







Phase 03 | Design scenarios | S3 – Transformation



ACTIVE INTERFACES

Phase 03 | Design scenarios | Construction details



Phase 03 | Design and technological approach

Final design PHASE3: Design renovation scenarios **Active Interfaces** Workshop collaboration Group of experts **Design proposition** Iterative Group of non-experts Technology, Constructive aspects, Urban planning commission PHASE 4: Multi-criteria assessment Final quantitative assessment To ensure the acceptability of each scenario project (qualitative)

1st PhD Seminar | 11.04.2016

ACTIVE INTERFACES

ACTIVE INTERFACES

Phase 03 | Design scenarios | Technological approach

(a)

(C)

Evolutive : Products directly issued from mainstream PV, but which naturally fits better for BIPV (e.g. "smart wire" modules, Metallization-Wrap-Through -MWT - modules).

Transformative : Products based on low-cost "standard" technology products, but which integrate low-cost modifications, such as texture or colour variation with "adaptation" foils.

Disruptive : Products including customized-size products or on-site shaping of PV elements.

(a) Polycrystalline silicon PV module (55% of the market) with a black backsheet (SIGNATURETM BLACK) - http://us.sunpower.com/ (b) White c-Si based PV modules (shiny & matt) as developed by CSEM and now commercialized by Solaxess. (c) Customized-size PV modules by Meyer Burguer AG - www.meyerburger.ch 1st PhD Seminar | 11.04.2016



Phase 03 | Design with techological approach | S1 – Conservation

ACTIVE INTERFACES

8 2810





ACTIVE INTERFACES



Phase 03 | Design with techological approach | S2 - Renovation



ACTIVE INTERFACES

Phase 03 | Design with techological approach | S3 – Transformation



ACTIVE INTERFACES

Phase 03 | Design with techological approach | S3 – Transformation

ACTIVE INTERF



Phase 04 | Multi-criteria assessment

1 51 × 12 × 153 Final design PHASE3: Design renovation scenarios Active Interfaces Iterative proces Workshop collaboration Group of experts **Design proposition** Group of non-experts Technology, Constructive aspects, Urban planning commission PHASE 4: Multi-criteria assessment Final quantitative assessment To ensure the acceptability of each scenario project (qualitative)

Phase 04 | Multi-criteria assessment | Indicators

Assessment indicator	Unit	Method / tool used	3D modelling LoD	
1. Energy and emissions				
- Primary energy consumption	kWh _{PE} /m ² .year	Energy Plus	LOD3	
- Equivalent GHG emissions	CO _{2EQ} /m ² .year	Energy Plus	LOD3	
2. LCA - Life Cycle Analysis				
- Embodied energy balance	MJ/m2.year	ecoinvent + KBOB	-	
- Global warming potential	kgCO2/m2.year	ecoinvent + KBOB	-	
3. Photovoltaic generation				
- PV Generation	kWh _{FE} /m ² .year	Energy Plus	LOD3	
- Self-consumption	%	-	-	
- Self-sufficiency	%	-	-	
4. Indoor comfort				
- Daylight autonomy (DA) – 300 lux	% of time	Radiance / Daysim	LOD4	
- Overheating	hours per year	Energy Plus	LOD3	
5. Global cost-effectiveness				
- Annual rent increase	%	-	-	
- Accumulated cost and Payback	CHF and years	-	-	

1st PhD Seminar | 11.04.2016

ACTIVE INTERFACES

Phase 04 | Multi-criteria assessment | Indicators

Assessment indicator	Unit	Method / tool used	3D modelling LoD	
1. Energy and emissions				
- Primary energy consumption	kWh _{PE} /m ² .year	Energy Plus	LOD3	
- Equivalent GHG emissions	CO_{2EQ}/m^2 .year	Energy Plus	LOD3	
2. LCA - Life Cycle Analysis				
- Embodied energy balance	MJ/m2.year	ecoinvent + KBOB	-	
- Global warming potential	kgCO2/m2.year	ecoinvent + KBOB		
3. Photovoltaic generation				
- PV Generation	kWh _{FE} /m².year	Energy Plus	LOD3	
- Self-consumption	%	-	-	
- Self-sufficiency	%			
4. Indoor comfort				
- Daylight autonomy (DA) – 300 lux	% of time	Radiance / Daysim	LOD4	
- Overheating	hours per year	Energy Plus	LOD3	
5. Global cost-effectiveness				
- Annual rent increase	%	-	-	
- Accumulated cost and Payback	CHF and years	-	-	

ACTIVE INTERFACES

ACTIVE INTERFACES

Phase 04 | Multi-criteria assessment | Photovoltaic installation

S2 - Renovation

3- Photovoltaic installation | Irradiation simulation

S1 - Conservation

200

kWh/m²

1200

S3 - Transformation

What is the best indicator for the BIPV installation in renovation projects ?

Annual electricity coverage ratio -> sending all the electricity to the grid

or Self-consumption ratio

1st PhD Seminar | 11.04.2016

ACTIVE INTERFACES

Phase 04 | Multi-criteria assessment | Photovoltaic installation

<u>Annual electricity coverage ratio:</u> Ratio between the total production of PV electricity produced by the BIPV installation respect to the total electricity consumption.

(1) Anual electricity coverage ratio
$$[\%] = \frac{\text{total anual PV generation}}{\text{total anual electricity needs}}$$

<u>Self-consumption ratio</u>: Percentage of electricity produced by the BIPV system that is consumed directly by the building. Shows the level of utilization on-site of the electricity produced by the BIPV system.

(2) $Self - consumption ratio [\%] = \sum_{0}^{8760} \frac{Hourly PV electricity consumption on - site}{Hourly PV production}$

<u>Self-sufficiency ratio</u>: Ratio between the photovoltaic electricity consumed on-site by the total electricity needs. Shows the real coverage of the demand for electricity on the basis of self-consumption, equivalent to the level of energy independence of the building.

(3)
$$Self - sufficiency ratio [\%] = \sum_{0}^{8760} \frac{Hourly PV electricity consumption on - site}{Hourly electricity needs}$$

Phase 04 | Multi-criteria assessment | Photovoltaic installation

1st PhD Seminar | 11.04.2016 ACTIVE INTERFACES

3- Photovoltaic installation (annual analysis with hourly simulation) Electricity needs: Equipment + Lighting



1st PhD Seminar | 11.04.2016

ACTIVE INTERFACES

Phase 04 | Multi-criteria assessment | Photovoltaic installation

3- Photovoltaic installation (annual analysis with hourly simulation) Electricity needs: Equipment + Lighting



1st PhD Seminar | 11.04.2016

ACTIVE INTERFACES

Phase 04 | Multi-criteria assessment | Photovoltaic installation

3- Photovoltaic installation (annual analysis with hourly simulation) Electricity needs: Equipment + Lighting + HVAC (heat pump)



Phase 04 | Multi-criteria assessment | Photovoltaic installation

3- Photovoltaic installation (annual analysis with hourly simulation)

Electricity needs: Equipment + Lighting + HVAC (heat pump)



Phase 04 | Multi-criteria assessment | Photovoltaic installation

ACTIVE INTERFACES

3- Photovoltaic installation (daily analysis)



Scenario S1 - Equipment + Light + HVAC (Electric heat pump) - Simulation day: 21 - 03

Phase 04 | Multi-criteria assessment | Photovoltaic installation

ACTIVE INTERFACES

3- Photovoltaic installation (daily analysis)



Scenario S2 - Equipment + Light + HVAC (Electric heat pump) - Simulation day: 21 - 03

1st PhD Seminar | 11.04.2016

ACTIVE INTERFACES

Phase 04 | Multi-criteria assessment | Photovoltaic installation

3- Photovoltaic installation (daily analysis)



Scenario S3 - Equipment + Light + HVAC (Electric heat pump) - Simulation day: 21 - 03

Phase 04 | Multi-criteria assessment | Photovoltaic installation

Study of the electricity production profile depending on the location of the active elements respect the electricity consumption profile.

Case study – Design strategy based on scenario S3-Transformation

- A BIPV system with active elements covering all <u>South-West</u> façade.
- B BIPV system with active elements covering all <u>South-East</u> façade.
- C BIPV system with active elements covering all <u>North-East</u> façade.
- D BIPV system with active elements covering all North-West façade.

Objective:

Matching the electricity production with the electricity needs. It is essential to install BIPV elements in the specific location (façades) and in a good orientation and inclination (roof).

```
1st PhD Seminar | 11.04.2016
```

ACTIVE INTERFACES

Phase 04 | Multi-criteria assessment | Photovoltaic installation

3- Photovoltaic installation (daily analysis) - Simulation day: May, 21th

Façade: South-West

1st PhD Seminar | 11.04.2016



Phase 04 | Multi-criteria assessment | Photovoltaic installation

ACTIVE INTERFACES

3- Photovoltaic installation (daily analysis) - Simulation day: May, 21th



1st PhD Seminar | 11.04.2016

Phase 04 | Multi-criteria assessment | Photovoltaic installation

3- Photovoltaic installation (daily analysis) - Simulation day: May, 21th



Phase 04 | Multi-criteria assessment | Photovoltaic installation

3- Photovoltaic installation (daily analysis) - Simulation day: May, 21th

Façade: North-West

ACTIVE INTERFACES



1st PhD Seminar | 11.04.2016

ACTIVE INTERFACES

BIPV | Renovation projects

Open discussion:

Location of the active elements in the existing facades in order to maximize the self-consumption on-site (hourly simulation) and minimize the overproduction, avoiding the injection of the energy excess to the city grid.

ACTIVE INTERFACES

Phase 04 | Multi-criteria assessment | Global cost-effectiveness





3. Global cost-effectiveness

1st PhD Seminar | 11.04.2016

ACTIVE INTERFACES

Phase 04 | Multi-criteria assessment | Photovoltaic installation

3- Photovoltaic installation (annual analysis with hourly simulation)

Electricity needs: Equipment + Lighting

Sce	nario	S1	S2	S3
-	Electricity needs [kWh _{FE} /year]	120704	120704	120704
-	PV Generation [kWh _{FE} /year]	74879	98382	142727
-	Self-consumption [kWhFE/year]	32759	35502	38653
-	Self-consumption [%]	44%	36%	27%
-	Grid feed-in [kWh _{FE} /year]	42120	62880	104074
-	Purchased electricity [kWh _{FE} /year]	87945	85202	82051
-	Self-sufficiency [%]	27%	29%	32%
-	Annual electricity coverage ratio [%]	62%	81%	118%

Electricity needs: Equipment + Lighting + HVAC (heat pump)

Sc	enario	S1	S2	S3
-	Electricity needs [kWh _{FE} /year]	235416	235033	235033
-	PV Generation [kWh _{FE} /year]	74879	98382	142727
-	Self-consumption [kWhFE/year]	45531	51456	59589
-	Self-consumption [%]	61%	52%	42%
-	Grid feed-in [kWh _{FE} /year]	29349	46926	83137
-	Purchased electricity [kWh _{FE} /year]	189885	183577	175444
-	Self-sufficiency [%]	19%	22%	25%
-	Annual electricity coverage ratio [%]	32%	42%	61%
				1 st PhD Seminar 11.04.2016