On the non-stiffness of edge transport in L-modes

O. Sauter, D. Kim, R. Behn, S. Coda, B. P. Duval, T. P. Goodman and the TCV team

Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL) Centre de Recherches en Physiques des Plasmas (CRPP) Lausanne, Switzerland



Outline

- Motivation
 - Carbon profile shown independent of Ip on TCV
 - Core scalelengths seem independent of Ip, despite $\tau_E \propto I_p$
- Determine R/L $_{Te}$ vs $I_{p},$ $P_{EC},$ δ in core AND edge regions
- Core region is stiff, edge is not
- 1-D transport simulation with new model
- Conclusions



Impurity transport independent of Ip



O. Sauter et al, IAEA 2010 EXPC/P8-13 and EXS/P2-1



Same for T_i, v_{ϕ} independent of Ip



O. Sauter et al, IAEA 2010 EXPC/P8-13 and EXS/P2-1 O. Sauter TTF 2013, USA, 4

electron transport independent of Ip as well



What is R/L_{Te} global profile for gyrokinetic?



•A: $R/L_{Te} \rightarrow 0$ at $\rho=1$: Used in most simulations •B: $R/LTe \rightarrow 3-10^{*}(core)$ at $\rho=1$: seems proposed by expt

O. Sauter

CRPP

n_e , T_e versus I_p in TCV, with z-axis sweep



Thomson data, with slow z-axis sweep



n_e , T_e versus I_p in TCV, with z-axis sweep



Clear increase of total energy with Ip

O. Sauter

CRPP

Change of scalelengths only for ρ_V **>0.85**





Change of scalelengths only for ρ_V **>0.85**



PEC scan at constant Ip





PEC scan at constant Ip



• Normalization on $p_e(0.8)$ shows self-similar profiles



PEC scan at constant Ip



CRPP

P_{EC} scan at constant Ip



O. Sauter

CRPP

Strong effect of δ on global profiles



Strong effect of δ on global profiles



• $\delta < 0$: same prof with $\frac{1}{2} P_{EC}$

O. Sauter

CRPP

• $\delta < 0$: higher p_e with same P_{EC}



1¹/₂-D transport simulations with ASTRA

"Local" transport characteristics in stationary state:

$$V'Q_e = \int_0^{\rho} S_e dV = -n_e \chi_e V' \left\langle |\nabla \rho|^2 \right\rangle \frac{\partial T_e}{\partial \rho}$$

$$V' = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \rho} \qquad \frac{R_0}{L_{Te}} = -\frac{R_0}{T_e} \frac{\partial T_e}{\partial \rho} \langle |\nabla \rho| \rangle$$
$$\frac{\langle |\nabla \rho| \rangle}{\langle |\nabla \rho|^2 \rangle} \frac{V' Q_e}{T_e} = n_e \chi_e V' \frac{R_0}{L_{Te}}$$

Stiff: χ_e increases when Q_e increases => R/L_{Te} \approx cst



Qe/Te versus R/LTe from TCV data



Qe/Te versus R/LTe from TCV data



Qe/Te versus R/LTe from TCV data



A combined core-stiff / edge-non-stiff model



O. Sauter

CRPP

A combined core-stiff / edge-non-stiff model



Results using 1-D ASTRA model



- We start from this χ_e profile and other plasma parameters
- Scale core $\chi_e \sim P^{0.7}$ and edge with $P^{0.1}$



Results using 1-D ASTRA model



Same technique for $\delta = +0.4$, $\delta = -0.4$ cases



Reconciles with gyrokinetic simulations



- Difference in linear and nonlinear simulations found only for ρ >0.7
- Present model resolves this issue

O. Sauter

CRPP

GAMs, see TCV comprehensive analysis S. Coda TTF2013 TTF 2013, USA, 26

Conclusions

- Core transport limits R/L_{Te} (and R/Lne to some extent)
- Even with favourable Ip scaling profiles remain self-similar
- Therefore values at $\rho=0.8$ are changing with Ip
- This is possible with non-stiff transport in [0.8,1]:
 - $\bullet\,\chi$ hardly increase with increased power
- Simple model recovers Ip, P scsaling and δ effects with:
 - $\chi \sim P^{0.7-0.8}$ in core
 - $\chi \sim P^{0-0.2}$ in edge
- Explains effects of negative δ (which does not penetrate)
- Explains good P scaling of edge I-mode
- Explains profile consistency
- Explains "I-family", + can have wide variety of parameters
- Shows how L-mode builds up $R/L_{Te} \rightarrow 100$ with increasing

power towards H-mode transition