# Regulatory T-Cells and Associated Pathways in Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma (mRCC) Patients Undergoing DC-Vaccination and Cytokine-Therapy

Adrian Schwarzer<sup>19</sup>, Benita Wolf<sup>19</sup>, Jan L. Fisher<sup>1,2</sup>, Thomas Schwaab<sup>1</sup>, Sven Olek<sup>3</sup>, Udo Baron<sup>3</sup>, Craig R. Tomlinson<sup>4</sup>, John D. Seigne<sup>5</sup>, Nancy A. Crosby<sup>1,2</sup>, Jiang Gui<sup>6</sup>, Thomas H. Hampton<sup>4</sup>, Camilo E. Fadul<sup>1,2,7,8</sup>, John A. Heaney<sup>5</sup>, Marc S. Ernstoff<sup>1,2,7\*</sup>

1 Medical Oncology Immunotherapy Group, The Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Hanover, New Hampshire, United States of America, 2 Section of Hematology/ Oncology, The Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Hanover, New Hampshire, United States of America, 3 Epiontis GmbH, Berlin, Germany, 4 Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, The Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Hanover, New Hampshire, United States of America, 5 Section of Urology, Department of Surgery, The Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Hanover, New Hampshire, United States of America, 6 Section of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Department of Family and Community Medicine, The Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Hanover, New Hampshire, United States of America, 7 Immunotherapy Program, The Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Hanover, New Hampshire, Berger, New Hampshire, United States of America, 7 New Hampshire, New Hampshire, United States of America, 7 New Hampshire, United States of America, 8 Department of Neurology, The Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Hanover, New Hampshire, United States of America, 7 New Hampshire, United States of America, 8 Department of Neurology, The Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Hanover, New Hampshire, United States of America, 7 New Hampshire, United States of America, 8 Department of Neurology, The Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Hanover, New Hampshire, United States of America, 9 New Hampshire, United States of Am

## Abstract

*Purpose:* To evaluate CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup>FOXP3<sup>+</sup> T regulatory cells ( $T_{REG}$ ) and associated immune-regulatory pathways in peripheral blood lymphocytes (PBL) of metastatic renal cell carcinoma (mRCC) patients and healthy volunteers. We subsequently investigated the effects of immunotherapy on circulating  $T_{REG}$  combining an extensive phenotype examination, DNA methylation analysis and global transcriptome analysis.

**Design:** Eighteen patients with mRCC and twelve volunteers (controls) were available for analysis.  $T_{REG}$  phenotype was examined using flow cytometry (FCM).  $T_{REG}$  were also quantified by analyzing the epigenetic status of the FOXP3 locus using methylation specific PCR. As a third approach, RNA of the PBL was hybridized to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Gene 1.0 ST Arrays and the gene signatures were explored using pathway analysis.

**Results:** We observed higher numbers of  $T_{REG}$  in pre-treatment PBL of mRCC patients compared to controls. A significant increase in  $T_{REG}$  was detected in all mRCC patients after the two cycles of immunotherapy. The expansion of  $T_{REG}$  was significantly higher in non-responders than in responding patients. Methylation specific PCR confirmed the FCM data and circumvented the variability and subjectivity of the FCM method. Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) of the microarray data showed significant enrichment of FOXP3 target genes, CTLA-4 and TGF-ß associated pathways in the patient cohort.

**Conclusion:** Immune monitoring of the peripheral blood and tumor tissue is important for a wide range of diseases and treatment strategies. Adoption of methodology for quantifying  $T_{REG}$  with the least variability and subjectivity will enhance the ability to compare and interpret findings across studies.

Citation: Schwarzer A, Wolf B, Fisher JL, Schwaab T, Olek S, et al. (2012) Regulatory T-Cells and Associated Pathways in Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma (mRCC) Patients Undergoing DC-Vaccination and Cytokine-Therapy. PLoS ONE 7(10): e46600. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0046600

Editor: Yoshihiko Hoshino, National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Japan

Received April 20, 2012; Accepted September 3, 2012; Published October 31, 2012

**Copyright:** © 2012 Schwarzer et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was supported by grants from the NIH (RO1 CA095648, P20RR016437, P20GM103413, P30CA023108 and P42ES007373) and Berlex, Inc. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

**Competing Interests:** Sven Olek and Udo Baron work at Epiontis who did contract testing for this study under a collaborative agreement. The authors received funding from Berlex, Inc. for the clinical trial (Protocol D0238) from which patient samples reported on in this paper originated. This does not alter the authors' adherence to all PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials.

\* E-mail: Marc.S.Ernstoff@Hitchcock.ORG

• These authors contributed equally to this work.

#### Introduction

Although therapies with multi-targeted receptor tyrosine kinase or mTOR inhibitors or agents which block VEGF have made significant inroads in treatment of patients with mRCC, IL-2 therapy remains the only treatment that results in unmaintained sustained complete remissions, albeit in a small percentage of patients [1,2,3,4]. It is therefore important to identify biomarkers which would allow assessment of the probability for patients to benefit from IL-2 therapy. Increasing evidence suggests that immune regulatory pathways, especially regulatory T-cells are the key in limiting the benefits from IL-2 based immunotherapy [5,6,7,8].

We previously reported a study of 18 patients with mRCC who received intranodal vaccination with  $DC_{vacc}$  in combination with intravenous high-dose IL-2 and subcutaneous IFN- $\alpha$ 2a [9]. With this regimen we observed a surprisingly high objective response

rate of 44% (3 complete responses, 5 partial responses, median time to progression of 8 months). In this study we seek to better define the circulating  $T_{REG}$  population and associated pathways in these mRCC patients using FCM, methylation specific PCR and whole genome transcriptome analysis.

Naturally occurring CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup> FOXP3<sup>+</sup> regulatory T-cells (nT<sub>REG</sub>) are a subpopulation of CD4 T-cells capable of suppressing the activation and expansion of T-effector cells, thereby inhibiting the onset of autoimmunity [10].  $T_{REG}$  are characterized by constitutive expression of the IL-2R  $\alpha$ -chain (CD25), GITR, CTLA-4, IL-10 and TGF-B [11,12]. FOXP3, a member of the forkhead-family of transcription factors is the master regulator of T<sub>REG</sub> development and function [13,14,15]. Loss of FoxP3 leads to functionally deficient T<sub>REG</sub> and causes fatal autoimmunity [16]. Tumors often induce the expansion of  $T_{REG}$ cells and recruit them to the tumor site via soluble factors such as IL10, TGF-B and VEGF [17]. Hence, cancer patients have significantly more T<sub>REG</sub> in their blood than healthy humans and show infiltration of the tumor with  $T_{REG}$  [18,19,20]. IL-2 was initially described as T-cell growth factor and as a consequence used in immunotherapy of RCC and melanoma. However, it has recently been shown that IL-2 therapy substantially expands the number of T<sub>REG</sub> in cancer patients [18,21,22]. Some studies, including ours, suggest that non-responding patients show a higher expansion of T<sub>REG</sub> following IL-2 based immunotherapy [23]. IL-2 signalling induces the expression of FOXP3 in CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup> cells through binding of phosphorylated STAT5 to the FOXP3 proximal promoter and intron enhancers [22]. Thus, IL-2 is a crucial factor for the development and maintenance of T<sub>REG</sub> in the periphery [24]. How the tolerance inducing capacity of IL-2 can be reconciled with the anti-tumor effects in 15-25% of patients with mRCC and melanoma remains elusive.

Recently published data shows that FOXP3 and CD25 are not T<sub>REG</sub>-only specific markers. Although new markers are continuing to be found and better gating strategies are proposed (e.g.  $\text{CD127}^{\text{low/-}}$ ) [25], a clear and concise definition of a FCMstaining panel defining "true" human T<sub>REG</sub> is still elusive. In addition, FOXP3 is transiently upregulated in human naive Tcells after stimulation [26]. Therefore, it is questionable whether it is possible to distinguish between true T<sub>REG</sub> and recently stimulated T-cells solely by combined staining for CD25 and FOXP3. Nevertheless, the mainstay for quantification of  $T_{REG}$  in the majority of clinical studies has been the enumeration of FOXP3<sup>+</sup> T-cells with or without inclusion of CD25 via FCM [5,18]. Due to the lack of a consensus staining panel for  $T_{REG}$ , published studies have used different markers and gating strategies for the quantification of T<sub>REG</sub>, making comparisons between the studies challenging.

Analyzing the epigenetic status of the FOXP3 locus using methylation specific PCR might be a significant step towards improved quantification of T<sub>REG</sub>. Within the FOXP3 locus exist at least three highly conserved CpG motifs which control FOXP3 expression and are subject to epigenetic modification [27]. One of them, the TSDR ( $T_{REG}$  cell specific demethylation region) shows complete and very specific demethylation in  $T_{REG}$  [28,29]. Neither *in vitro* (stimulation with TGF $\beta$ ) generated induced T<sub>REG</sub> (iT<sub>REG</sub>) expressing FOXP3 nor any other immune cells have a complete demethylated TSDR [29]. This is consistent with data showing that in vitro generated  $T_{REG}$  display an unstable FOXP3 expression and suppressive potential [28,30]. In contrast,  $T_{REG}$ which are induced in vivo by delivery of antigen under tolerogenic conditions show stable long term FOXP3 expression and suppressive potential along with complete demethylation of the TSDR [30]. In summary, the methylation status of the TSDR

controls the stability and longevity of FOXP3 expression and is responsible for imprinting of a long lasting suppressive  $T_{REG}$ -phenotype [27]. It is thus possible to quantify the amount of  $T_{REG}$  in a mixed population of cells by determining copy number of demethylated and methylated TSDR by quantitative PCR after bisulfite treatment of genomic DNA. One aim of this study is to compare how well the FCM determined changes in CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup>FOXP3<sup>+</sup> T-cells translate into changes of T-cells which have a long lasting  $T_{REG}$  suppressive phenotype due to epigenetic modification of the FOXP3 locus.

With Microarray technology becoming more and more widespread, whole genome transcriptome profiling of PBL and peripheral blood mononuclear cells have been reported to be a potential biomarker surrogate for several medical conditions, including mRCC [31,32]. In this study we also examined how changes in immune cell subsets translate into changes seen in the PBL gene expression profile and to explore if this approach could be useful for monitoring immune-regulatory pathways during immunotherapy. RNA from patient PBL samples before (n = 17) and after therapy (n = 13) as well as from PBL of controls (n = 9) was hybridized to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Gene 1.0 ST Arrays. The analysis revealed significant enrichment of gene sets and pathways associated with inflammation and counter-regulation in the peripheral blood of mRCC patients compared to healthy controls.

### **Materials and Methods**

#### **Ethics Statement**

Protocol D0238 (Phase II Clinical Trial with IL-2, IFN- $\alpha$ 2a and autologous dendritic cell (DC) tumor vaccination) and Leukapheresis Protocol D9726 were approved by the Dartmouth College Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects (CPHS).

#### Patients & Treatment Protocol

As previously reported [23], eligible patients with metastatic RCC were treated on a phase II protocol consisting of IL-2 (Chiron, Inc. CA) administered by continuous infusion at a dose of  $18 \times 10^6$  IU/M<sup>2</sup> for 120 hours. IFN- $\alpha$  2a (6 MIU, Hofman La Roche, Nutley NJ) was given subcutaneously every other day for 3 doses with the start of each of 5 cycles. DC vaccine (1×10<sup>7</sup> autologous tumor lysate loaded DCs in 1 ml Lactated Ringer's Solution) was given intra-nodally under ultrasound guidance on the day prior to starting a cycle of IL-2/IFN- $\alpha$  2a.

#### Peripheral blood lymphocyte (PBL) isolation

PBLs were isolated from 18 patients with mRCC and 12 healthy donors (HD). Pre-treatment PBLs from mRCC patients were isolated 9 days before administration of the first treatment. The second isolation (post-treatment) took place 14 days after completion of the 2 induction cycles (33 days from start of therapy). We obtained 12 older healthy donors (mean age 48 years) who signed IRB-approved consent and underwent leukapheresis. Isolation of PBLs was achieved by fractionation of pheresis product on an ELUTRA<sup>®</sup> Cell Separation System (Gambro BCT, Lakewood, CA). Elutriated PBLs were washed and cryopreserved in 90% autologous serum and 10% DMSO until use.

## Microarrays and RT-PCR

Total RNA was isolated from PBLs using RNAeasy kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Biotin-labeled cDNA generated from  $5.5 \ \mu g$  of total RNA from PBLs of 17 patients pre-treatment, 13 patients post- treatment,

and 9 healthy donors was hybridized to the GeneChip® Human Gene 1.0 ST Arrays. Arrays were scanned on an Affymetrix GeneChip Scanner 3000. Microarrays were analyzed using R and Bioconductor [33]. Quality control was performed using Array-QualityMetrics [34], and arrays were preprocessed with RMA [35]. Differential expression was calculated using LIMMA package with Benjamini & Hochberg multiple testing adjustment. The GSEA algorithm and software has been described elsewhere [36]. For hierarchical clustering and PCA, probesets with an interguartile range >1.8 were selected (n = 1746). Microarray analysis and description was carried out according to Minimum Information About a Microarray Experiment (MIAME) guidelines. The dataset has been deposited in NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc. cgi?acc = GSE34465) and is accessible through GEO Series accession number GSE34465.

## FCM Staining

Fluorochrome conjugated anti-human antibodies were purchased from the indicated suppliers. CD3, CD4, CD8, CD45RA, CD25 from Beckman Coulter (Fullerton, CA): GITR and, CTLA-4 from BD Pharmingen (San Jose, CA). Intra-nuclear FOXP3-Staining was carried out with the Biolegend FOXP3-Kit (San Diego, CA) according to manufacturer's instructions. All samples were acquired on a FACS Canto (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA) and analyzed with FlowJo software (Tree Star Inc. Ashland, OR). To determine cells positive for respective markers, we set the gates at the <1% level of the respective isotype controls with appropriate FMO (fluorescence minus one) staining combinations.

#### Definition of FCM gates

Our FCM data is from multi-parameter staining of PBL samples with a combination of CD3, CD4, CD25 and intra-nuclear FOXP3. For comparisons to the PCR data (see below) we define T<sub>REG</sub> based on two gating strategies relevant to the published T<sub>REG</sub> data from human immunotherapy trials. The gating strategies employed for the T<sub>REG</sub> are shown in Supplementary Fig S5. Lymphocytes are pregated on CD3<sup>+</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup> T-helper cells. The FOXP3<sup>+</sup> events within the CD3<sup>+</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup> population are defined as single positive regulatory T-cells and subsequently referred to as **SP-T<sub>REG</sub>** (**SP-T<sub>REG</sub> = CD3<sup>+</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup>FOXP3<sup>+</sup>**). The CD25<sup>+</sup>FOXP3<sup>+</sup> events within the T-helper cell population are defined as double positive regulatory T-cells and will be called  $\mathbf{DP} \cdot \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{REG}} \quad (\mathbf{DP} \cdot \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{REG}} = \mathbf{CD3}^{+} \mathbf{CD4}^{+} \mathbf{CD25}^{+} \mathbf{FOXP3}^{+}).$ The FCM determined amount of  $T_{REG}$  is presented as a percentage with a numerator of SP- or DP-T<sub>REG</sub>, and a denominator of the total lymphocytes (PBL) or the CD3<sup>+</sup> T-cell population. These proportions are compared to the %TSDR of total lymphocytes or %TSDR of CD3-T-cells as determined by methylation specific PCR. The absolute number of Tregs were quantified by a complete blood count performed the day of the elutriation of the PBL. Absolute Treg numbers were calculated by multiplying the proportion of DP-T<sub>REG</sub>/PBL as quantified by FCM with the absolute lymphocyte count/ul from the blood count. For analysis of the surface markers GITR, CTLA-4, CCR7 and CD45 isoforms cells were pregated on lymphocytes and then 1-2% of these cells which were CD4<sup>+</sup> and had the highest expression of CD25 were selected for analysis. This gating strategy resulted in a subset of cells that was nearly 100% FOXP3<sup>+</sup> T-cells (Supplementary Fig S1A). This population will be subsequently referred to as CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>high</sup> T-cells. The gating strategies employed are shown in Supplementary Fig S6.

#### Methylation specific Real Time-PCR for FOXP3 and CD3

Bisulfite-conversion was performed applying the EpiTect Bisulfite Kit (Qiagen) using 1–2 ug of genomic DNA and following the suppliers' recommendations. Quantification of Treg and overall T-cells by means of epigenetic qPCR analysis was carried out as described previously [37,38].

## T<sub>REG</sub> functional assay

The suppression assay was carried out as previously described [39]. Briefly, the CD4CD25<sup>high</sup> fraction and CD4CD25<sup>-/low</sup> responder T-cells were isolated from PBL using the T<sub>REG</sub> Isolation Kit from Miltenyi Biotec (Auburn, CA). CD4+ T cells were negatively isolated. CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>high</sup> T-cells were isolated from the CD4+ cells by direct labelling with anti-CD25 microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec) followed by separation into CD25<sup>high</sup> T<sub>REG</sub> (>95% purity) and a CD25<sup>-/low</sup> fraction (responder cells). T Cell Activation/Expansion Beads (Miltenyi Biotec) were prepared according to manufacturer's instructions.  $2.5 \times 10^4$  CD4+ CD25<sup>-/low</sup> responder T cells were combined with varying numbers of CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>high</sup> T<sub>REG</sub> cells and stimulated with 50,000 T Cell Activation/Expansion Beads per well then cultured in triplicate for 5 days at 37°. On day 5, cultures were pulsed with [<sup>3</sup>H] Thymidine (Perkin-Elmer, Boston, MA) for the last 16 to 18 hours of culture, harvested, and incorporated radioactivity measured.

% Suppression was calculated as:

Suppression (%) =  

$$\left(1 - \frac{cpm(observed)}{cpm(exp\ ected)}\right) \times 100\% =$$

$$1 - \left(\frac{cpm(Treg + Tresp)}{cpm(Treg) + cpm(Tresp)}\right) \times 100\%$$

### Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis and visualization was done using R and SigmaStat Software. Data are expressed as mean and standard deviation (SD) for absolute numbers and percentage and depicted as scatter plots with the arithmetic mean indicated as a line, or as standard Box and Whiskers Plots. These plots indicate the 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentile (bottom and top box edges), median value (line in box) and the low and high values (error bars). Statistical analysis was performed by testing for normality and equal variance and using Student's *t* test to assess differences between the different study groups. Where data did not have equal variance, t-test with Welshs correction was used.  $P \leq 0.05$  was considered significant.

### Results

#### Clinical results

The clinical results of the study have been previously published [23]. Briefly, eighteen patients with advanced metastatic mRCC (13 males, 5 females) were enrolled in the study. All patients received up to 5 cycles intranodal vaccination with autologous tumor lysate pulsed dendritic cells combined with high dose IL-2 and IFN-alpha. The patient characteristics and clinical outcomes are summarized in Supplementary table 1. Overall objective clinical response rate was 44% with three long lasting complete responses.



Figure 1. nT<sub>REGs</sub> are increased in the peripheral blood of RCC patients. (A) Absolute numbers (HD = 12, mRCC = 15, for patients #3, #6 and #18 a complete blood count from the day of the elutriation was not available) and (B) frequencies of  $T_{REG}$  in the circulation of HD (n = 12) and patients with mRCC (n = 17, all but patient #15).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0046600.g001

## FCM analysis of healthy donor and pre and posttreatment patient T<sub>REG</sub> populations

Flow cytometry analysis confirmed that patients with mRCC had significantly higher absolute number of DP-T<sub>REG</sub> (DP-**T<sub>REG</sub> = CD3<sup>+</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup>FOXP3<sup>+</sup>**) in their peripheral blood than the 12 healthy donors that were available for the analysis (HD 16±10 cells/µl vs mRCC 31±15 cells/µl; p<0.01. Fig. 1A). The proportion of DP-T<sub>REG</sub> in both the total PBL and in the Tcell compartment was significantly elevated in mRCC-patients (%DP T<sub>REG</sub> of PBL: HD 0.81±0.48% vs mRCC 1.94±0.96% p<0.001, and %DP T<sub>REG</sub> of CD3+: **HD 1.30**±0.69% vs **mRCC**  2.74±1.16% p<0.001 Fig. 1B), corroborating a disease effect on this cell population.

In order to determine a treatment effect in our patient population, we compared levels of DP-T<sub>REG</sub> before therapy (Pre) and 14 days after completion of the two induction cycles (Post). We observed a significant increase of T<sub>REG</sub> absolute numbers in the blood post treatment (**Pre 30** $\pm$ 15 cells/ $\mu$ l vs **Post** 150 $\pm$ 102 cells/µl; p<0.001, Fig. 2A). The frequency of T<sub>REG</sub> cells within the total lymphocyte and within the CD3<sup>+</sup> T-cell compartment increased significantly, showing that the population of DP-T<sub>REG</sub> was expanded relative to other immune (effector) cells: (%DP- $T_{REG}$  of PBL: **Pre 1.9**±1.0 vs **Post 4.7**±3.1



Figure 2. Frequencies and absolute numbers of T<sub>REG</sub> in the circulation of patients before therapy (Pre) and 14 days after the completion of the two induction cycles (Post) (n = 14, all but patient #3,6,15,18). Pre and post of each individual patient are connected by a line. Red lines indicate complete responders. (A) absolute T<sub>REG</sub> numbers per ul blood, (B) proportion of DP-T<sub>REG</sub> within the PBL and (C) within the CD3<sup>+</sup> compartment. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0046600.g002



**Figure 3.** Box and Whiskers Plots of the absolute  $T_{REG}$  number for responders and non-responders pre and post therapy (A). Comparison of proportions of DP- $T_{REG}$  of PBL and CD3<sup>+</sup> in the circulation of responders and nonresponders Pre and Post-treatment, respectively (B) & (C). Proportion of SP- $T_{REG}$ /PBL for R and NR pre and post therapy (D). Included patients: R PRE and POST: #2,4,7–9,12,16,17; NR PRE:#1,3,5,6,10,11,13,14,18; NRPOST: #1,5,10,11,13–15.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0046600.g003

p = 0.002, Fig. 2B and %*DP*- $T_{REG}$  of *CD3*<sup>+</sup>: **Pre 2.7**±1.2 vs **Post 7.2**±5.0 p = 0.003; Fig. 2C). Thus, the frequencies of circulating DP- $T_{REG}$  had on average almost tripled after two cycles of IL-2 based immune therapy.

Patients were then divided into responders (complete: CR = 3 and partial response: PR = 5) and non-responders (stable disease: SD = 7 and progressive disease: PD = 3) based on National Cancer Institute's Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors. Pretreatment, no statistically significant differences could be found in the numbers and proportions of  $DP-T_{REG}$  in these two groups. However, absolute numbers and frequencies of  $DP-T_{REG}$  post treatment were significantly higher in non-responders (NR) than in

responding patients (R) (absolute numbers: NR 227±115/µl vs R 93±31/µl p=0.04, Fig. 3A; %DP- $T_{REG}$  of PBL: NR 7.0±2.8 vs R 2.7±1.0 p=0.001, Fig. 3B and %DP- $T_{REG}$  of CD3: NR 10.1±5.5 vs R 4.4±1.6 p=0.015, Fig. 3C). Strikingly, patients achieving complete and durable remissions showed the least expansion, or even a reduction of the proportion of DP- $T_{REG}$ (marked in red in Fig. 2A–C). Analysis of SP- $T_{REG}$  (SP- $T_{REG}$  = CD3<sup>+</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup>FOXP3<sup>+</sup>) populations in responders and non-responders revealed differences for both baseline and post therapy comparisons. Responders had a significantly lower proportion of SP- $T_{REG}$  for both timepoints (%SP- $T_{REG}$  of PBL: Pre: NR 5.4±1.6 vs R 3.5±1.0 p=0.009 and Post: NR **13.0**±4.4 vs **R 5.0**±1.8 p<0.001, Fig. 3D). Lowering the gating cutoff for CD25 led to results approaching those of the SP-gating strategy for the pre treatment comparison between responders and non-responders (data not shown). This illustrates that setting the gates on continuous markers such as CD25 can be difficult even with proper isotype controls (Supplementary Fig. 1A) and may lead to different conclusions based on the same dataset.

We further characterized the  $T_{REG}$  cell population in the patients by evaluating the expression of CTLA-4, GITR, CCR7 and CD45RA within the CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>high</sup> compartment (Supplementary Fig S2A). CTLA-4 could be found consistently on the surface of about 80% of the CD4CD25<sup>high</sup> population of healthy donors as well as in patients before and after therapy. GITR, however, was only detected on a minor fraction of CD4CD25<sup>high</sup> cells. Most CD4CD25<sup>high</sup> were found to belong to the central memory subtype in both patients and healthy donors (%*CCR7*<sup>+</sup> *CD45RA*<sup>-</sup>:**Pt 63.8**±16.4 vs **HD 56.6**±33.4; ns; Supplementary Fig S2B). About 10% were naive  $T_{REG}$  (%*CCR7*<sup>+</sup> *CD45RA*<sup>+</sup>:**Pt 9.8**±6.8 vs **HD 7.2**±5.6; ns). In summary, no significant differences in the surface phenotype of CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>high</sup> T-cells in untreated mRCC patients and healthy donors could be detected using these markers.

In further analysis, the expression of CTLA-4 and GITR did not significantly differ between pre and post-therapy  $T_{\rm REG}$ . However, within the CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>high</sup> compartment we detected a significant treatment related shift towards naive (CD45RA<sup>+</sup> CCR7<sup>+</sup>) T-cells (**Pre 6.3**±5.7% vs **Post 24.8**±11.37% p<0.001; Supplementary Fig S2B) at the cost of the central memory (CD45RA<sup>-</sup>CCR7<sup>+</sup>) CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>high</sup> T-cells. In analysis of response related differences, the expression of CTLA-4 and GITR and the distribution between memory and naive CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>high</sup> T-cells did not differ between CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>high</sup> T-cells from these two groups (data not shown), suggesting that the difference between responders and non-responders are different frequencies of T<sub>REG</sub>, not different phenotypes of the regulatory cell population.

### Functional suppressive ability of patient T<sub>REG</sub> cells

The suppressive ability of enriched CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>high</sup> T-cells was analyzed for six patients pre and post-treatment cells, as well as for

4 healthy donor controls.  $T_{REG}$  -Suppression assays (Supplementary Fig S3) revealed that the CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>high</sup> T-cells from mRCC patients were functional and efficiently inhibited proliferation of CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>-</sup> responder cells with no significant difference from the CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>high</sup> T-cells of healthy donors.

# Relationship of methylation specific PCR results and FCM data

In the PCR method T<sub>REG</sub> were quantified by determining the proportion of demethylated TSDR alleles compared to methylated TSDR alleles in the patient PBL samples. Genomic DNA was treated with bisulfite and the differently methylated TSDR were amplified with methylation specific primers in a quantitative PCR [38]. Twelve patient samples (6 responders, 6 non-responders) were available for pre and post-treatment comparison. For determination of the frequency of CD3<sup>+</sup> T- cells within the sample a similar methylation specific PCR was performed interrogating the methylation state of the CD3 locus. Results of the PCR analysis are reported as % dTSDR/PBL and %  $dTSDR/CD3^+$  (d = demethylated) reflecting the proportion of T<sub>REG</sub> of all cells in the elutriated sample (lymphocytes) and the proportion of T<sub>REG</sub> within the CD3<sup>+</sup> T-cell compartment, respectively. The latter was obtained by normalizing the number of demethylated TSDR alleles to the number of demethylated CD3 alleles.

The PCR results corroborated the FCM findings for a treatment effect: post-treatment samples had an average of more than 2 fold the  $T_{REG}$  of the pre-treatment samples for both the lymphocyte and CD3 PCR quantification (% *dTSDR/PBL*: **Pre 4.9**±1.8% vs **Post 10.1**±5.2% p=0.006, Fig. 4A; % *dTSDR/CD3*<sup>+</sup>: **Pre 6.3**±2% vs **Post 13.8**±6.8% p=0.002; Fig. 4B). Only two patients (#4 and #8) showed a reduction in the absolute number of methylated TSDR alleles, and strikingly, both were complete responders. The third CR (#17) had the lowest absolute number of dTSDR alleles at baseline and showed a moderate increase after the therapy (Fig. 4A). A fourth patient (#16) who demonstrated stable dTSDR/PBL levels exhibited a near complete response, however the response was short-lived (TTP 7 months).



Figure 4. Methylation specific PCR for 12 patients with pre and post samples available (#1, 2,4,5,7–10,13,14,16,17). (A) Treatment related results of (% dTSDR/PBL and (B) %dTSDR/CD3<sup>+</sup>: % demethylated alleles of  $T_{REG}$  cell specific demethylation region within the lymphocyte and T cell population respectively. Red lines indicate complete responders (C) Response related results of methylation specific PCR for pre and post-therapy  $T_{REG}$  (R=6, NR=6).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0046600.g004



Figure 5.  $T_{REG}$  estimates obtained by methylation specific PCR and two FCM gating strategies for 24 samples (12 pre, 12 post). (A) Measurements for the same sample are connected by a line. (B–F) Linear regression between the results of methylation specific PCR for the TSDR locus and defined FCM populations. Solid black: regression line; Black dotted: 95% confidence interval of the regression line; Red dotted: Id-line with a slope of 1.0 and an intercept of 0. In subscript numerical results of the linear model. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0046600.g005

Overall, responding patients showed a lower amount of dTSDR in their samples after therapy. However, it failed to reach statistical significance due to limited numbers of patients available for the analysis (% dTSDR of PBL: R Post 8.4±3.5% vs NR Post 12.6 $\pm$ 6.5 p=0.25, Fig. 4C). The PCR based method generally reported a higher proportion of T<sub>REG</sub> cells than the respective T<sub>REG</sub> proportions as determined by FCM (Fig. 5A). Particularly FCM gating on DP-T<sub>REG</sub> cells seemed to underestimate the proportion of regulatory T-cells as quantified by PCR by a factor of more than 2. Gating on the SP-T<sub>REG</sub> -cells led to numerical results which matched the values obtained by PCR more closely (% dTSDR **7.5**±4.5; SP- $T_{REG}$  **6.1**±3.7; % DP- $T_{REG}$  **3.2**±2.6; n = 24; Fig. 5A). This suggests that by conservative FCM gating on the CD25<sup>+</sup>FOXP3<sup>+</sup> DP-T<sub>REG</sub> population, a significant portion of functionally stable regulatory T-cells may not be taken into account.

Linear regression revealed an overall high degree of correlation between all the FCM gating strategies and the respective PCR results (Fig. 5 B–F). Quantification of CD3<sup>+</sup> T-cells within the lymphocyte population by methylation specific PCR-analysis of the CD3-locus compared to the proportion of CD3<sup>+</sup> by FCM achieved a correlation coefficient of 0.91 (Fig. 5D). Linear regression between the PCR results and % DP-T<sub>REG</sub> resulted in regression lines substantially above the id-line (Fig. 5 E–F), again indicating that FCM in our hands, underestimated the proportion of T<sub>REG</sub> within a mixed population of cells. Despite the differences in absolute values for the two methods, the FCM results from the DP-T<sub>REG</sub> gating (Fig. 5 E–F) were better correlated with the PCR results than FCM gating on SP-T<sub>REG</sub> (Fig. 5 B–C). In summary, gating on the CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup>FOXP3<sup>+</sup> DP-T<sub>REG</sub> led to quantification of T<sub>REG</sub> different in absolute value but with a high prediction confidence for the relative proportion of stably suppressive regulatory T-cells as quantified by TSDR-PCR.

# Analysis of enrichment of gene sets associated with immune regulatory pathways

RNA from patient samples pre (n = 17; #1–14 and #16–18) and post-therapy (n = 13, #1,#2,#4,#5,#8–14,#16,#17) as well as nine available controls was processed and hybridized to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Gene 1.0 ST Arrays. For unsupervised analysis, 1700 probesets with the largest variance were selected. Hierarchical clustering and principle components analysis (Fig. 6A and B) demonstrated that all HD but one formed a distinct cluster clearly separated from the patient samples. An obvious clustering of the patient samples based on grouping by the treatment related variables Pre, Post or Responder, Nonresponder was not observed (Supplementary Fig S4). This result



**Figure 6.** Ward-dendrogramm obtained by unsupervised hierarchical clustering of patients and control (HD) samples using Manhattan distance (A), Principle Components Analysis. Encircled are the healthy controls with one outlier (arrow) (B). Each point represents one microarray sample. The plot was obtained by projecting the samples from the feature space onto the first three principle components, which cover about 50% of the total variance in the data. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0046600.q006

was reproducible when the variance based gene filter (interquartile range, IQR) was set higher to select fewer genes or lower for more genes (data not shown).

We focused our analysis on pathways commonly associated with T<sub>REG</sub> and immune-regulation, using Gene Set Enrichment Analysis [36]. From more than 3000 curated gene sets stored in the MySig Database (C2.All.V3.0/Broad Institute, MIT) we searched for gene sets that matched one of the following terms: regulatory, FOXP3, CTLA-4, TGF-B, SMAD, IL2 or T-cell signal transduction. This selection was done to increase test power and reduce irrelevant discoveries by testing thousands of gene sets stored in the database not related to the immune system. A list consisting of 16 gene sets matching these terms (Supplementary table 2) was compiled and used to test for enrichment in HD vs pre-treatment patient phenotypes. Significantly enriched in mRCC patients (Fig. 7, Supplementary Table 3) were the Biocarta TGF- $\beta$  pathway (rank 1, p = 0.013, FDR = 0.17), both FOXP3 target gene sets from Marson et al (Ref; rank 2 and 3, p = 0.04, FDR = 0.14 and 0.17) and the Biocarta IL2R pathway (rank 4, p = 0.03, FDR = 0.137). Also enriched were the Biocarta CTLA-4 inhibitory pathway (rank 7, p = 0.04, FDR = 0.133) and TCR pathway (rank 6, p = 0.04, FDR = 0.013). Similar comparisons of pre vs post and responders vs non-responders, applying the selected gene sets, showed no relevant differences related to treatment or response to treatment.

An unsupervised approach testing all available gene sets ( $n\approx 3000$ ) found the previously mentioned immune regulatory signatures among the top 50 of all tested gene sets, with TCR and FoxP3 ranking 2 and 6, respectively. Furthermore, gene sets related to mTOR-activation, cell cycling and receptor tyrosine kinase signaling were found to be highly enriched in the mRCC

patient samples. Again, no gene sets or individual genes were robustly differentially regulated for Pre vs Post or R vs NR.

#### Discussion

In recent years it has become evident that tumors actively evade eradication by the immune system by several mechanisms.  $T_{REG}$ are a notable one of these, and are now considered a major obstacle towards successful immunotherapy. Several studies have addressed the role of  $T_{REG}$  in the clinical setting of cytokine therapy, particularly in melanoma and mRCC [5,18,21,40]. In this analysis, we determined the impact of combined vaccination and high dose cytokine therapy on number and function of  $T_{REG}$ cells *in vivo* employing three different methodological approaches currently available for immune monitoring of clinical trial samples.

Our study confirms previous reports that mRCC patients have higher numbers of circulating  $T_{REG}$  than healthy controls. We demonstrated that the expanded  $T_{REG}$  cells in mRCC patients are functional and further characterized them as similar to those in healthy individuals with regard to expression of CTLA-4 and GITR, as well as naive and memory phenotype.

DC-vaccination combined with high dose IL-2 and IFN $\alpha$  increased the absolute number and percentage of circulating  $T_{REG}$  significantly. In the course of therapy responding patients exhibited a significantly smaller expansion of their  $T_{REG}$  cells. The group of patients enrolled in this trial were mainly in the intermediate MSKCC category. This homogeneity of patient stage should limit a possible influence of the overall tumor burden on the outcomes observed. Our results corroborate previous findings of at least three other groups. Jensen et al. looked for FOXP3<sup>+</sup> cells in mRCC tumor core biopsies in patients undergoing IL-2 therapy



Figure 7. Gene Set Enrichment Analysis for 9 healthy donors (grey) and 17 mRCC samples pre-treatment (yellow). Shown are representative regulatory pathways that were ranked among the top 50 gene sets upregulated in the mRCC samples. (A) Marson FOXP3 target genes (p = 0.03), (B) IL2R pathway (p = 0.03), (C) TGFB pathway (p = 0.01). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0046600.g007

[40]. They showed that intra-tumoral FOXP3<sup>+</sup> regulatory immune cells significantly increase during IL-2-based immunotherapy. Patients with high expansion of FOXP3<sup>+</sup> cells in biopsy specimens had a significantly worse prognosis than patients with only a moderate rise in the FOXP3<sup>+</sup> cell numbers. Cesana et al. reported a poor outcome for patients with a very high number of  $T_{REG}$  in their blood after IL-2 based immunotherapy as well [18]. Rosenberg et al evaluated the outcome from four clinical trials employing adoptive T-cell transfer combined with various conditioning regimes and found that the levels of endogenous CD4+ FoxP3+ T-cells are inversely correlated with outcome [5].

Some studies have reported that higher numbers of  $T_{REG}$  in the blood at the beginning of therapy influenced the outcome [41]. Although we found significantly lower pre-treatment  $T_{REG}$ proportions in responding patients based on the SP-  $T_{REG}$  FCM gating (Fig. 3D), it was not statistically confirmed based on the DP- $T_{REG}$  FCM gating strategy or the PCR method. Notably, in our study the patient who had the highest pre-treatment  $T_{REG}$ proportion of all the patients (#8) exhibited a long lasting complete remission. Strikingly, this was the only patient who had a significant reduction in the proportion of  $T_{REG}$  as determined by FCM and PCR upon therapy, highlighting that minimizing the level of  $T_{REG}$  expansion under immunotherapy might be more important for objective clinical responses than the pre-treatment  $T_{REG}$  levels. Overall, our data support the use of quantifying  $T_{REG}$  as a surrogate marker for monitoring immunotherapy in patients, and highlight the prognostic importance of  $T_{REG}$  expansion under immunotherapy.

In light of these results, methods that allow reliable and consistent measurement of stable suppressive T<sub>REG</sub> across studies are essential. The recently developed methylation specific PCRbased method [38] to quantify  $T_{REG}$  by determining the amount of demethylated (T<sub>REG</sub> specific) and methylated (all other immune cells) TSDR sequences in a sample may help achieve this goal. We consistently found a lower proportion of DP-T<sub>REG</sub> by FCM compared to the TSDR PCR method, which likely resulted from the presence of a significant amount of true  $T_{\rm REG}$  in the CD25  $^{\rm low/}$ population which was excluded by conservative CD25+ FCM gating, but detected by the PCR method. Gating only on FOXP3+ T-cells (SP- $T_{REG}$ ), as for example used by Rosenberg et al [5], results in FCM  $T_{REG}$  values that match the results of the PCR better, but the "noise" introduced by inclusion of recently activated FOXP3<sup>+</sup> T-cells which are not true  $T_{REG}$  is reflected in a lower correlation of SP-T<sub>REG</sub> flow cytometry and PCR results. However, even though Rosenberg et al found, with a far larger number of patients than our study, a clear association between clinical response

and  $T_{REG}$  levels, they also found that the levels of  $T_{REG}$  had a poor prediction confidence for clinical outcome using logistic multivariable regression [5]. The authors concluded that  $T_{REG}$  levels are not useful as significant predictor of response to immunotherapy [5]. However, in this study we show that gating on CD4<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> cells has a lower prediction confidence for the true levels of  $T_{REG}$ as gating on CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup>. The noise inherent to the CD4FoxP3 method might have contributed to the lack of predictive power found by Rosenberg et al. It is therefore neccessary to establish a more precise and reproducible measurement of  $T_{REG}$ before final conclusions can be drawn whether  $T_{REG}$  can serve as a useful biomarker or not.

Most studies to date have only reported FCM results for T<sub>REG</sub> quantification, which brings into question the contribution of (IL-2) activated T-cells and transiently induced T<sub>REG</sub> to these results, as some of these cells express CD25 and FOXP3 without actually being stable functional  $T_{REG}$  [27]. The methylation specific PCR results from our study show that IL-2 based immunotherapy leads to a substantial expansion of T-cells with a demethylated TSDR, i.e. T<sub>REG</sub> which have a long lasting suppressive phenotype due to epigenetic modification of the FOXP3 locus. More substantial expansion of  $T_{REG}$  post- therapy in non-responding patients than in responding patients observed within the FCM data is supported by the PCR method results, although it does not reach statistical significance. This can be explained by a lower patient sample number available for PCR analysis (12 patients, Fig. 3) compared to FCM (18 patients, Fig. 2). Whether IL-2 therapy expands the peripheral pool of T<sub>REG</sub> or enhances thymic output, or both, remains to be determined. Results of studies with greater patient numbers will be needed to establish whether the epigenetic method for T<sub>REG</sub> quantification is superior to predict patient outcome compared to FCM based strategies. In our study, incorporating additional FCM markers of TREG (CTLA4, GITR, etc.) did not allow further discrimination of treatment related or response related differences between patients. Our results support the use of the methylation specific PCR method because it circumvents much of the variability and subjectivity of the FCM method of T<sub>REG</sub> quantification.

Genomewide transcriptional profiling of cell samples of patients is being adopted as a mainstay in the search for biomarkers predicting outcome or monitoring therapeutic response. We applied microarray analysis to PBL patient samples and healthy controls, and for this study focused on the impact of mRCC and immunotherapy on pathways associated with  $T_{REG}$  and immunosuppressive cytokines using GSEA. Analyzing gene sets rather than single ("significant") genes has been proven to be a valuable tool to compare clinical microarray datasets, due to the high variation inherent in patient derived expression profiles, leading to lack of reproducibility between studies [36]. In GSEA the overall behaviour of groups of biologically related genes is assessed without arbitrary cut offs, which is more sensitive and less error prone than the single-significant gene approach [42].

In our study, expression profiles of mRCC patients were globally different from the healthy donors assigning them to a distinct cluster in PCA and unsupervised hierarchical clustering. This makes it possible to assign a sample to the patient or control cohort based on the gene expression signature. We queried more than 3000 gene sets available from public databases and found gene sets related to cell activation, cell cycling and receptor tyrosine kinase signaling were highly enriched in the mRCC patient samples, suggesting a state of enhanced activation of the immune system. A priori defined gene sets associated with regulatory T-cells comprising the FOXP3, TGF-B, IL-2 and CTLA-4 pathways were highly upregulated in the patient samples. In contrast to the large difference between healthy donors and mRCC patients, we failed to detect robustly differentially regulated genes or gene sets between Pre and Post therapy nor between responding and non-responding patients using the preselected immune-regulatory gene sets. A possible explanation why the changes in the T<sub>REG</sub> compartment during therapy and between R and NR did not translate into readily dectectable changes in the expression profiles is that  $T_{REG}$  comprise a relatively small subset of immune cells. It is highly likely that treatment related changes in other immune cell subsets e.g. NK cells and T-cells [23], that make up a considerably larger fraction of PBL, mask the changes occuring within the T<sub>REG</sub> population. Furthermore, the considerable variance in the expression profiles of patients from different genetic background and clinical course as well as limitations in sample size restricted the power to detect possible differences. For a more thorough analysis and discussion of this dataset see Wolf et al. 2012 [43]. Larger studies across different cancer types are needed to clarify whether "cancer type specific" cell expression profiles do exist in PBMC and if they are useful for screening or monitoring (immune) therapy.

In the clinic, cytokine therapy treatment for mRCC patients has been replaced by small molecule inhibitors or antibodies like Sorafenib/Sunitib which offer a higher response rate and less adverse effects. However, these treatments fail to induce long lasting (complete) remissions, which have been observed in a limited number of patients treated with immunotherapy. Combined multi-modality treatment strategies for cancer that incorporate ways to minimize  $T_{REG}$  and other mechanisms of suppression, and that elicit tumor specific immunity using vaccines, cytokines or adoptive cellular approaches remain an attractive therapeutic approach. Monitoring treatment related changes in  $T_{REG}$  in peripheral blood and tumor tissue will continue to be important for a wide range of diseases and treatment strategies, and "standardization" of  $T_{REG}$  quantification would be beneficial.

## **Supporting Information**

**Figure S1** The FCM plot, pre-gated on lymphocytes, shows that within the CD25<sup>high</sup> population nearly 100% of the cells are also FoxP3<sup>+</sup>(A), Linear regression between CD4+CD25<sup>high</sup> T-cells and CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup>FOXP3<sup>+</sup> DP-T<sub>REG</sub> both measured by Flow Cytometry reveals a correlation of R = 0.91 between the two different populations(B).

**Figure S2** Expression of surface molecules within the CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>high</sup> compartment. (A) Expression of CTLA-4, and GITR did not differ between healthy controls and mRCC patients. (B) Distribution of T-cells belonging to central memory, effector memory or naive phenotype within the CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>high</sup> T-Cell compartment in HD and mRCC patients before and after therapy.

(TIF)

**Figure S3**  $T_{REG}$  suppression assay. CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>-</sup> T-cells were mixed with CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>high</sup> regulatory T-cells and stimulated with T-cell activation/expansion beads. Proliferation was measured by [<sup>3</sup>H]-Thymidine incorporation. Patients with pre and post samples available for the assay were: #1,2,5,9,13,16. (TIF)

Figure S4 Principle components analysis of the patients' microarray samples with respect to the variables Non-responder, Responder, Pre and Post

(TIF)

<sup>(</sup>TIF)

**Figure S5** FCM gating strategies for (A) DP- and (B) SP- $T_{REG}$  as described in materials and methods. CD3 plot is pre-gated on lymphocytes by scatter. (TIF)

**Figure S6** FCM gating strategies for CTLA4: isotype control in gray, CTLA4 Ab solid black line(A), CCR7/CD45RA T memory cell gating strategy(B). CD3 plot is pre-gated on lymphocytes by scatter.

(TIF)

**Table S1** Clinical data for enrolled patients (n = 18). Abbrevi-<br/>ations: M = male, F = female, cc = clear cell, s = sarcomatoid,<br/>m = medullary, LN = lymph node, MSKCI/UISS = Memorial<br/>Sloan Kettering Cancer Institute/UCLA Integrated Staging<br/>System, L = Low, Int = Intermediate<br/>(DOCX)

**Table S2** MSigDB Genesets associated with FoxP3, CTLA-4 and TGFB.

(DOCX)

**Table S3** Gene Sets enriched in PBL of mRCC patients at an FDR<0.2.

(DOCX)

## Acknowledgments

Statement of Translational Relevance: IL-2 based immunotherapy remains the only known treatment that can induce long lasting remissions in

#### References

- Atkins MB, Sparano J, Fisher RI, Weiss GR, Margolin KA, et al. (1993) Randomized phase II trial of high-dose interleukin-2 either alone or in combination with interferon alfa-2b in advanced renal cell carcinoma. Journal of clinical oncology: official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 11: 661–670.
- Motzer RJ, Mazumdar M, Bacik J, Russo P, Berg WJ, et al. (2000) Effect of cytokine therapy on survival for patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma. Journal of clinical oncology: official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 18: 1928–1935.
- Atzpodien J, Kirchner H, Jonas U, Bergmann L, Schott H, et al. (2004) Interleukin-2- and interferon alfa-2a-based immunochemotherapy in advanced renal cell carcinoma: a Prospectively Randomized Trial of the German Cooperative Renal Carcinoma Chemoimmunotherapy Group (DGCIN). Journal of clinical oncology: official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 22: 1188–1194.
- Cesana GC (2006) Characterization of CD4+CD25+ Regulatory T Cells in Patients Treated With High-Dose Interleukin-2 for Metastatic Melanoma or Renal Cell Carcinoma. Journal of Clinical Oncology 24: 1169–1177.
- Yao X, Ahmadzadeh M, Lu YC, Liewehr DJ, Dudley ME, et al. (2012) Levels of peripheral CD4+FoxP3+ regulatory T cells are negatively associated with clinical response to adoptive immunotherapy of human cancer. Blood 119: 5688–5696.
- Jacobs JF, Nierkens S, Figdor CG, de Vries IJ, Adema GJ (2012) Regulatory T cells in melanoma: the final hurdle towards effective immunotherapy? The lancet oncology 13: e32–42.
- Wang Y, Ma Y, Fang Y, Wu S, Liu L, et al. (2012) Regulatory T cell: a protection for tumour cells. Journal of cellular and molecular medicine 16: 425– 436.
- Onishi H, Morisaki T, Katano M (2012) Immunotherapy approaches targeting regulatory T-cells. Anticancer research 32: 997–1003.
- Schwaab T, Schwarzer A, Wolf B, Crocenzi TS, Seigne JD, et al. (2009) Clinical and immunologic effects of intranodal autologous tumor lysate-dendritic cell vaccine with Aldesleukin (Interleukin 2) and IFN-{alpha}2a therapy in metastatic renal cell carcinoma patients. Clinical cancer research: an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research 15: 4986–4992.
- Sakaguchi S (2004) Naturally Arising CD4+ Regulatory T Cells for Immunologic Self-Tolerance and Negative Control of Immune Responses. Annual Review of Immunology 22: 531–562.
- Yi H, Zhen Y, Jiang L, Zheng J, Zhao Y (2006) The phenotypic characterization of naturally occurring regulatory CD4+CD25+ T cells. Cellular & molecular immunology 3: 189–195.
- Lafaille MACd, Lafaille JJ (2009) Natural and Adaptive Foxp3+ Regulatory T Cells: More of the Same or a Division of Labor? Immunity 30: 626–635.
- 13. Ziegler S (2006) FOXP3: Of Mice and Men. Annu Rev Immunol 24: 209-226.

patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma (mRCC). However, only a minority of patients will achieve a stable remission. Characterization of immune regulatory pathways is key to monitor, predict and understand the outcome of immunotherapy. We applied Flow Cytometry, methylation specific PCR and whole genome expression profiling to monitor the regulatory immune response in mRCC patients vaccinated with dendritic cells in combination with IL-2 and IFN-a2a. Our study confirms the prognostic importance of circulating regulatory T-cells (T<sub>REG</sub>) in patients undergoing immunotherapy and provides the first detailed comparative evaluation of different methods to determine their presence. Our results demonstrate that monitoring T<sub>REG</sub> during clinical studies using epigenetic markers is feasible, reliable and may potentially replace applying combinations of surface markers and flow cytometry. Furthermore, using expression profiling of peripheral lymphocytes we showed upregulation of FOXP3, TGF-B, IL-2 and CTLA-4 pathways in mRCC patients compared to healthy volunteers confirming transcription of operational T<sub>REG</sub> pathways. Methylation specific PCR and whole genome expression profiling of peripheral blood lymphocytes is a promising approach in the evaluation of immune pathways in cancer patients.

### **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: AS BW JLF CEF MSE. Performed the experiments: AS BW JLF SO UB CRT NAC JAH MSE. Analyzed the data: AS BW JLF TS SO UB CRT JDS NAC JG THH CEF JAH MSE. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: SO UB CRT JG THH MSE. Wrote the paper: AS BW JLF MSE.

- Fontenot JD, Rasmussen JP, Williams LM, Dooley JL, Farr AG, et al. (2005) Regulatory T cell lineage specification by the forkhead transcription factor foxp3. Immunity 22: 329–341.
- Hori S, Nomura T, Sakaguchi S (2003) Control of regulatory T cell development by the transcription factor Foxp3. Science 299: 1057–1061.
- Bennett CL, Christie J, Ramsdell F, Brunkow ME, Ferguson PJ, et al. (2001) The immune dysregulation, polyendocrinopathy, enteropathy, X-linked syndrome (IPEX) is caused by mutations of FOXP3. Nat Genet 27: 20–21.
- Zou W (2005) Immunosuppressive networks in the tumour environment and their therapeutic relevance. Nat Rev Cancer 5: 263–274.
- Cesana G (2006) Characterization of CD4+CD25+ Regulatory T Cells in Patients Treated With High-Dose Interleukin-2 for Metastatic Melanoma or Renal Cell Carcinoma. Journal of Clinical Oncology 24: 1169–1177.
- Liyanage UK, Moore TT, Joo HG, Tanaka Y, Herrmann V, et al. (2002) Prevalence of regulatory T cells is increased in peripheral blood and tumor microenvironment of patients with pancreas or breast adenocarcinoma. I Immunol 169: 2756–2761.
- Wolf AM, Wolf D, Steurer M, Gastl G, Gunsilius E, et al. (2003) Increase of regulatory T cells in the peripheral blood of cancer patients. Clin Cancer Res 9: 606–612.
- Ahmadzadeh M, Rosenberg SA (2006) IL-2 administration increases CD4+ CD25(hi) Foxp3+ regulatory T cells in cancer patients. Blood 107: 2409–2414.
- Zorn E (2006) IL-2 regulates FOXP3 expression in human CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells through a STAT-dependent mechanism and induces the expansion of these cells in vivo. Blood 108: 1571–1579.
- 23. Schwaab T, Schwarzer A, Wolf B, Crocenzi T, Seigne J, et al. (2009) Clinical and Immunologic Effects of Intranodal Autologous Tumor Lysate-Dendritic Cell Vaccine with Aldesleukin (Interleukin 2) and IFN- 2a Therapy in Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma Patients. Clinical Cancer Research 15: 4986–4992.
- Fontenot JD, Rasmussen JP, Gavin MA, Rudensky AY (2005) A function for interleukin 2 in Foxp3-expressing regulatory T cells. Nature Immunology 6: 1142–1151.
- Sakaguchi S, Miyara M, Costantino CM, Hafler DA (2010) FOXP3+ regulatory T cells in the human immune system. Nature Reviews Immunology 10: 490– 500.
- Pillai V, Ortega SB, Wang CK, Karandikar NJ (2007) Transient regulatory Tcells: a state attained by all activated human T-cells. Clinical immunology 123: 18–29.
- Huehn J, Polansky J, Hamann A (2009) Epigenetic control of FOXP3 expression: the key to a stable regulatory T-cell lineage? Nat Rev Immunol 9: 83–89.
- Baron U, Floess S, Wieczorek G, Baumann K, Grutzkau A, et al. (2007) DNA demethylation in the human FOXP3 locus discriminates regulatory T cells from activated FOXP3(+) conventional T cells. European journal of immunology 37: 2378–2389.

- Floess S, Freyer J, Siewert C, Baron U, Olek S, et al. (2007) Epigenetic control of the foxp3 locus in regulatory T cells. PLoS biology 5: e38.
- Polansky J, Kretschmer K, Freyer J, Floess S, Garbe A, et al. (2008) DNA methylation controls Foxp3 gene expression. Eur J Immunol 38: 1654–1663.
- Showe M, Vachani A, Kossenkov A, Yousef M, Nichols C, et al. (2009) Gene Expression Profiles in Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells Can Distinguish Patients with Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer from Patients with Nonmalignant Lung Disease. Cancer Research 69: 9202–9210.
- Twine N (2003) Disease-associated Expression Profiles in Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells from Patients with Advanced Renal Cell Carcinoma. Cancer Research: 1–8.
- Team RDC (2010) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. . R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Kauffmann A, Gentleman R, Huber W (2009) arrayQualityMetrics-a bioconductor package for quality assessment of microarray data. Bioinformatics 25: 415-416.
- Irizarry RA, Hobbs B, Collin F, Beazer-Barclay YD, Antonellis KJ, et al. (2003) Exploration, normalization, and summaries of high density oligonucleotide array probe level data. Biostatistics 4: 249–264.
- Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, et al. (2005) Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102: 15545–15550.

- Sehouli J, Loddenkemper C, Cornu T, Schwachula T, Hoffmuller U, et al. (2011) Epigenetic quantification of tumor-infiltrating T-lymphocytes. Epigenetics : official journal of the DNA Methylation Society 6: 236–246.
- Wieczorek G, Asemissen A, Model F, Turbachova I, Floess S, et al. (2009) Quantitative DNA Methylation Analysis of FOXP3 as a New Method for Counting Regulatory T Cells in Peripheral Blood and Solid Tissue. Cancer Research 69: 599–608.
- Oberg H, Wesch D, Lenke J, Kabelitz D (2006) An Optimized Method for the Functional Analysis of Human Regulatory T Cells. Scand J Immunol 64: 353– 360.
- Jensen HK, Donskov F, Nordsmark M, Marcussen N, von der Maase H (2009) Increased intratumoral FOXP3-positive regulatory immune cells during interleukin-2 treatment in metastatic renal cell carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 15: 1052–1058.
- Tatsugami K, Eto M, Naito S (2010) Influence of immunotherapy with interferon-alpha on regulatory T cells in renal cell carcinoma patients. Journal of interferon & cytokine research : the official journal of the International Society for Interferon and Cytokine Research 30: 43–48.
- Jiang Z, Gentleman R (2006) Extensions to gene set enrichment. Bioinformatics 23: 306–313.
- 43. Wolf B, Schwarzer A, Côté A, Hampton T, Schwaab T, et al. (2012) Gene Expression Profile of Peripheral Blood Lymphocytes from Metastatic Renal Cell Cancer Patients treated with IL-2, Interferon-α and Dendritic Cell Vaccine. PLoS ONE.