Martin, Girard, and Dereux Reply: The sentence of our Letter [1] that García and Nieto-Vesperinas take out of its context [2] appeared in the discussion of Fig. 1, where we presented the amplitude of the total scattered field. Therefore, by *field,* we were referring to *total field amplitude,* as is clear from the context of our Letter and the caption of Fig. 1.

The remark (ii) made by García and Nieto-Vesperinas shows that, for subwavelength scatterers of low symmetry, a self-consistent vectorial three-dimensional calculation such as that in Ref. [1] provides more insight into the scattered near-field than a two-dimensional scalar perturbative calculation (Refs. [7,8] in [2]). Indeed, the total field amplitude does not simply "resemble" [2] the object, but can reproduce its shape or parts of its outline, depending on the incident polarization [3,4].

Olivier J. F. Martin*
  IBM Research Division
  Zurich Research Laboratory
  8803 Rüscklikon, Switzerland

Christian Girard
  Laboratoire de Physique Moléculaire UA CNRS 772
  Université de Franche Comté
  2530 Besançon, France

Alain Dereux
  Equipe Submicronique URA CNRS 1796
  Laboratoire de Physique
  Université de Bourgogne
  BP 138, 21004 Dijon Cedex, France

*Present address: Institute for Field Theory and Microwave Electronics, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, ETH-Zentrum, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland.
[1] O. J. F. Martin, C. Girard, and A. Dereux, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 526 (1995).
[2] N. García and M. Nieto-Vesperinas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 2404 (1996).
[3] C. Girard, A. Dereux, O. J. F. Martin, and M. Devel, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 14 467 (1994).
[4] C. Girard, A. Dereux, O. J. F. Martin, and M. Devel, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 2889 (1995).