

# Development and Benchmarking of the Global Gyrokinetic Code GENE

X. Lapillonne<sup>1</sup>, B. F. McMillan<sup>1</sup>, T. Görler<sup>2</sup>, J. Chowdhury<sup>3</sup>, F. Merz<sup>2</sup>, T. Dannert<sup>2</sup>, F. Jenko<sup>2</sup>, L. Villard<sup>1</sup>, and <u>S. Brunner<sup>1</sup></u>

<sup>1</sup>Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Centre de Recherches en Physique des Plasmas, Association Euratom-Confédération Suisse, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland <sup>2</sup> Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Boltzmannstr. 2, D-85748 Garching, Germany <sup>3</sup> Institute for Plasma Research, Bhat, Gandhinagar, India



### Introduction

### The Gyrokinetic Equation

- The gyrokinetic code GENE [1], has been extended from its original flux-tube version to a global geometry.
- Includes radial variation of temperature and density profiles, as well as of magnetic geometry.
- Non-periodic boundary conditions allow for profile relaxation.
- ► Heat sources & sinks enable quasi-stationary microturbulence simulations.
- ► Interface with the MHD equilibrium code CHEASE [2,3].
- Various benchmarks, including comparisons with other global codes are presented.

## **Global GENE Model**

Field aligned coordinate system 
$$\vec{X} = (x : radial, y : binormal, z : parallel) \implies \vec{B}_0 = C(x) \vec{\nabla} x \times \vec{\nabla} y$$

$$-\partial_{t} g_{1j} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{C}} \frac{B_{0}}{B_{0\parallel}^{\star}} \left[ \frac{1}{L_{nj}} + \left( \frac{m_{j} v_{\parallel}^{2}}{2T_{0j}} + \frac{\mu B_{0}}{T_{0j}} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \frac{1}{L_{Tj}} \right] f_{0j} \partial_{y} \bar{\chi}_{1} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{C}} \frac{B_{0}}{B_{0\parallel}^{\star}} \left( \partial_{x} \bar{\chi}_{1} \Gamma_{y,j} - \partial_{y} \bar{\chi}_{1} \Gamma_{x,j} \right) \\ + \frac{B_{0}}{B_{0\parallel}^{\star}} \frac{\mu B_{0} + m_{j} v_{\parallel}^{2}}{m_{j} \Omega_{j}} \left( \mathcal{K}_{x} \Gamma_{x,j} + \mathcal{K}_{y} \Gamma_{y,j} \right) - \frac{1}{\mathcal{C}} \frac{B_{0}}{B_{0\parallel}^{\star}} \frac{\mu_{0} v_{\parallel}^{2}}{\Omega_{j} B_{0}} \frac{P_{0}}{L_{p}} \Gamma_{y,j} + \frac{\mathcal{C} v_{\parallel}}{B_{0} J} \Gamma_{z,j} - \frac{\mathcal{C} \mu}{m_{j} B_{0} J} \partial_{z} B_{0} \partial_{v_{\parallel}} f_{1j} ,$$

 $\blacktriangleright \text{ where } g_{1j} = f_{1j} + q_j v_{\parallel} \bar{A}_{1\parallel} f_{0j} / T_{0j}, \ \bar{\chi}_1 = \bar{\Phi}_1 - v_{\parallel} \bar{A}_{1\parallel}, \ \Gamma_{\alpha,j} = \partial_\alpha f_{1j} + q_j \partial_\alpha \bar{\Phi}_1 f_{0j} / T_{0j} \text{ for } \alpha = (x, y, z).$ 

► The overbar denotes gyroaveraged quantities.

- Gyrokinetic equation with radial (x) variations of equilibrium quantities.
- ▶ Particle distribution function  $f_j(\vec{X}, v_{\parallel}, \mu) = f_{0j} + f_{1j}$ , with  $f_{0j}$  a local Maxwellian.
- Gyrokinetic equation is solved for the perturbed distribution function  $f_{1i}$ .
- Perturbed electrostatic and vector potentials (Φ<sub>1</sub>, A<sub>1||</sub>) are self-consistently computed through the quasineutrality (Q.N.) equation and parallel component of Ampère's law.
- Gyrokinetic ordering  $|k_{\parallel}| \ll |k_{\perp}| \Longrightarrow$  Neglect  $\partial/\partial z$  compared to  $\partial/\partial x$  and  $\partial/\partial y$ .

► Background density, temperature and pressure profiles:  $n_{0j}(x)$ ,  $T_{0j}(x)$ ,  $p_0(x)$ . Corresponding inverse logarithmic gradients:  $L_A(x) = -(d \ln A/dx)^{-1}$  for  $A = [n_j, T_j, p]$ .

►  $\mathcal{K}_{X}(x, z)$  and  $\mathcal{K}_{Y}(x, z)$  are related to curvature and gradients of  $\vec{B}_{0}$ .  $J(x, z) = [(\vec{\nabla}x \times \vec{\nabla}y) \cdot \vec{\nabla}z]^{-1}$  is the Jacobian.

• 
$$\Omega_j(\mathbf{X}, z) = q_j B_0/m_j$$
, and  $B_{0\parallel}^{\star}(\mathbf{X}, z, v_{\parallel}) = B_0 + (m_j/q_j)v_{\parallel}(\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{b}_0) \cdot \vec{b}_0$ , with  $\vec{b}_0 = \vec{B}_0/B_0$ .

## **Benchmarking and Code Comparisons**

#### **Codes Used for Comparisons**

- Comparison with linear and non-linear global PIC codes GYGLES [4] and ORB5 [5] based on  $\delta f$  scheme.
- Analytic, "ad-hoc" equilibrium with circular concentric magnetic surfaces is considered here.
- ► Global GENE :
- Solving in direct space except y-direction for which Fourier representation is used.
- Derivatives in real space computed with finite differences.
- Dirichlet radial boundary conditions.
- Direct space anti-aliasing scheme in radial direction.
- Direct space integral gyroaveraging operator in radial direction.

### Linear ITG Spectra for CYCLONE Base Case [6] with

Linear ITG-TEM Spectra for CYCLONE Base Case with Kinetic Electrons

• CYCLONE parameters with kinetic electrons ( $m_i/m_e = 400$ ).



Non-Linear ITG Simulations with Sources  $\implies$  Quasi-Stationary Microturbulence

Radially dependent heat source/sink over whole system, conserving surface-averaged density and parallel momentum:

$$\frac{df_{1}}{dt} = -\gamma_{h} \left[ \langle f_{1}(\vec{X}, |\mathbf{v}_{\parallel}|, \mu) \rangle - \langle f_{0}(\vec{X}, |\mathbf{v}_{\parallel}|, \mu) \rangle \frac{\langle \int d\vec{v} \langle f_{1}(\vec{X}, |\mathbf{v}_{\parallel}|, \mu) \rangle \rangle}{\langle \int d\vec{v} \langle f_{0}(\vec{X}, |\mathbf{v}_{\parallel}|, \mu) \rangle \rangle} \right]$$

Relaxation coefficient γ<sub>h</sub> ~ 10<sup>-1</sup> γ<sub>ITG</sub> ⇒ Background temperature profile is approximately maintained, while avoiding direct effect on microturbulence.
CYCLONE parameters with flat gradient profiles.
Numerical resolution for GENE: (120 × 48 × 16 × 48 × 16) in the (x, y, z, v<sub>||</sub>, μ) directions.

#### **Adiabatic Electrons**

CYCLONE parameters with adiabatic electrons : a/R = 0.36,  $\rho^* = \rho_s/a = 1/180$ ,  $q = 0.85 + 2.4(x/a)^2$ ,  $T_i/T_e = 1$ , peaked *T* and *n* profiles with  $R/L_{Ti}(x_0) = 6.96$ ,  $R/L_n(x_0) = 2.2$ , and  $x_0 = 0.5a$ .



Good agreement on growth rates and real frequencies.

► Remaining discrepancies at high k<sub>y</sub> can be assigned to differences in the field solvers (2nd order expansion in k<sub>⊥</sub>ρ<sub>s</sub> in GYGLES, all orders kept in GENE).

### **Rosenbluth-Hinton Test**

Parameters : a/R = 0.1,  $\rho^* = 1/180$ ,  $q = 1 + 0.75(x/a)^2$ ,  $T_i/T_e = 1$ ,  $R/L_T = R/L_n = 0$ ,  $f_1(t = 0) = cos(\pi x/lx)$ . Adiabatic electrons.





еФ<sub>1</sub>/Те

- Transition from ITG to TEM at higher  $k_y \rho_i$ .
- Differences between global GENE and ORB5 results may be related to ORB5 treating only trapped electrons kinetically (adiabatic response for passing), while GENE treats electrons fully kinetically.
- ► Resolution for global GENE simulations:  $(320 \times 64 \times 64 \times 32)$ in the  $(x, z, v_{\parallel}, \mu)$  directions  $\implies$  High resolutions in  $(x, v_{\parallel}, \mu)$ required for resolving non-adiabatic response of passing electrons at mode rational surfaces.
- Do the corresponding radial fine structures in the linear eigenmodes survive in the non-linear regime? In particular, do they affect the non-linear fluxes?







Time evolution of (a) heat diffusivity  $\chi_i$ , and (b) temperature gradient  $R/L_{T_i}$  for CYCLONE parameters with heat sources/sinks.

Dependance of Ion Heat Diffusivity on System Size and Gradient Profile Width  $\Longrightarrow$  Effective  $\rho^{\star}$ 

- Nonlinear electrostatic simulations of ITG turbulence with heat sources, assuming adiabatic electrons. CYCLONE Base Case equilibrium parameters.
- Study of global effects by carrying out both a scan in ρ<sup>\*</sup> = ρ<sub>s</sub>/a at fixed relative temperature gradient profile width Δ<sub>T</sub>/a, as well as in Δ<sub>T</sub>/a at fixed ρ<sup>\*</sup>.



- Good agreement obtained for GAM frequency and damping rate, as well as for residual.
- Remaining discrepancies related to p\* approximations in GENE, in particular in the gyroaveraging appearing in Q.N. equation.
- After correcting these p<sup>\*</sup> approximations on gyroaveraging:
   Very good agreement is reached on the Q.N. solution.
- However, zonal modes become unstable! (under investigation).
- Current simulation results are thus still obtained using the uncorrected gyroaveraging operator.

#### stephan.brunner@epfl.ch

Evolution of ion heat diffusivity  $\chi_i$ for CYCLONE parameters with peaked gradient profiles.  $(R/L_T, \chi_i)$  trace for CYCLONE parameters with flat gradient profiles [7].

10

-ORB5

-- GENE

--- LLNL fit

- Global GENE recovers well the non-linear relaxation traces in the (*R*/*L*<sub>T</sub>, χ<sub>i</sub>) plane published in [7].

References :
[1] F. Jenko, et al., Phys. Plasmas 7, 1904 (2000).
[2] H. Lütjens, et al., Comp. Phys. Comm. 97, 219 (1996).
[3] X. Lapillonne, et al., Phys. of Plasmas 16, 032308 (2009).
[4] M. Fivaz, et al., Comp. Phys. Comm. 111, 27 (1998).
[5] S. Jolliet, et al., Comput. Phys. Comm. 177, 409 (2007).
[6] A. M. Dimits, et al., Phys. Plasmas 7, 969, (2000).
[7] G. L. Falchetto, et al., Plasma Phys. and Control. Fusion 50, 124015 (2008).

Heat diffusivity  $\chi_i$  in Gyro-Bohm units ( $\chi_{GB} = \rho_s^2 c_s/a$ ) as a function of (a)  $1/\rho^* = a/\rho_s$  at fixed  $\Delta_T/a$ , and (b) as a function of  $1/\rho_{eff}^* = \Delta_T/\rho_s$  varying both  $\rho^*$  at fixed  $\Delta_T/a$  and  $\Delta_T/a$  at fixed  $\rho^*$ .

• The main variation of  $\chi_i$  from global effects is caught by its dependence with respect to the effective parameter  $\rho_{\text{eff}}^{\star} = \rho_s / \Delta_T = \rho^{\star} (\Delta_T / a)^{-1}$ , which represents the width of the strong gradient region in gyroradius units.

Global results converge towards local, flux-tube results for

 $1/\rho_{eff}^{\star}$  → ∞: Agreement within less than 10% for  $1/\rho_{eff}^{\star} > 200$ . ► The reduction of the heat diffusivity due to global effects thus does not appear to result from profile shearing but rather from

the constriction of non-linear turbulent structures within the unstable gradient region.

Global effects may not only be important in small machines (i.e. low 1/p\*) but also in larger machines with short gradient lengths such as found in transport barriers.

Gyrokinetics in Laboratory and Astrophysical Plasmas, Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Cambridge, July 19 - August 13