

## provided by Infoscience -Suprathermal Electron Studies in the TCV Tokamak: **Design of a Tomographic Hard-X-Ray Spectrometer**

S. Gnesin<sup>1</sup>, S. Coda<sup>1</sup>, J. Decker<sup>2</sup>, Y. Peysson<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Centre de Recherches en Physique des Plasmas (CRPP) Association Euratom - Confédération Suisse, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

> <sup>2</sup>Association Euratom-CEA, CCEA/DSM/IRFM, CEA Cadarache, 13108 St Paul lez Durance, France

### Introduction and motivations

Electron cyclotron resonance heating (ECRH) and current drive (ECCD)<sup>[1]</sup> disruptive events, and sawtooth activity<sup>[2]</sup> are all known to produce suprathermal electrons in fusion devices, motivating increasingly detailed studies of the generation and dynamics of this suprathermal population. Measurements have been performed in past years in the TCV tokamak<sup>[3]</sup> using a single pinhole hard-X-ray (HXR)<sup>[4,5]</sup> camera and electron-cyclotron-emission (ECE)<sup>[6]</sup> radiometers, leading in particular to the identification of the crucial role of spatial transport in the physics of ECCD<sup>[7]</sup>. The observation of a poloidal asymmetry in the emitted suprathermal bremsstrahlung radiation motivates the design of a proposed new tomographic HXR spectrometer, reported in this poster. The design, which is based on a compact, modified Soller collimator concept, is being aided by simulations of tomographic reconstruction. Quantitative criteria have been developed to optimize the design for the greatly variable shapes and positions of TCV plasmas. Suprathermal electron generation in TCV Electron energy distribution function  $\frac{dN_e}{dE_K}$ > Electron cyclotron resonance heating (ECRH) and current drive (ECCD) Thermal bulk (Maxwellian) RF heating and 2nd harmonic (X-mode) : Current drive 3rd harmonic (X-mode) : 6 steerable launchers 1 upper steerable launcher а Power: 0.5 MW each Power: 1.5 MW Frequency: 82.7 GHz Frequency: 118 GHz F. Density limit: 1.1X10<sup>20</sup> m<sup>-3</sup> Density limit: 4X10<sup>19</sup> m<sup>-3</sup> Radio frequency (RF) waves (~GHz) transfer energy to electrons by resonant interaction. Pulse length: 2s Pulse length: 2s Thermal bulk (Maxwellian) >Disruptive instability events and magnetic reconnection: Suprathermal population b Neoclassical Tearing Modes (NTM) Sawtooth activity [8] Eк **Review of TCV Results** Fast electron broadening from transport observed in many ECCD discharges (resulting in ECCD profile broadening) <sup>[7]</sup> generates suprathermal electro and contributes to enhance the X3 power absorption [11] RF field-particle resonance interaction Time to peak (ms) (ECRH; ECCD) 0.5 10 HXR (a.u.) (a.u.) (a.u.) E 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 Suprathermal electron population (MMV) is generated emissivity n<sub>s</sub> (10<sup>16</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>) The HXR camera on loan from TORE SUPRA[4,5] density clearly evidenced the LFS of 0.5 poloidal bremsstrahlung distribution oossibly related to trapped particle X-ray Bremsstrahlung emission (hard X-Dower 0.5 rays) due to electron-ion collisions. Other diagnostics used: 0 Time (ms) high-field-side electron cyclotron emission Hard B (ECE) radiometer
➢ oblique ECE <sup>[9]</sup> 0.5 >ECE emission due to the Larmor motion predominantly from suprathermals Suprathermal density propagation in space after short ECCD pulses measured by HFS ECE and coherently averaged <sup>[12]</sup>. multiwire proportional chamber [10 on HFS or obliquely on LFS. 05 diamagnetic loop coil 0.5 The time at which the ECE signal peaks at a given radial position (time to peak) is affected by the characteristic radial diffusion time of the suprathermal electron population. New diagnostics being installed •tangential HXR camera •vertical ECE p Hard X-ray emissivity EC power density deposition Proposed tomographic spectroscopic system for TCV > Design for up to nine spectroscopic HXR Z [m] cameras [C1,...,C9] on the right figure. Novel collimator system design adapted from the Soller collimator concept <sup>[13]</sup>: radially-disposed Soller plates. 0.85 b C5 0.84 Two limiting concepts can be envisioned: 0.5 C4 a) Uniform angular detector spacing: provides finer spatial resolution near the instrument axis than at 0.8 its edges, suitable for cameras with a small fan aperture as in the case of mainly vertically viewing cameras (C1, C2, C3, C7, C8, C9 but also C4 and 0.82 C6) b) Uniform chord separation on any plane perpendicular to the camera axis. advantageous in 0.8 0 C5 the case of camera C5, which has a wider angular fan view 0.8



ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE

brought to you by 🗓 CC École polytechnique



17th Topical Conference on High-Temperature Plasma Diagnostics, May 11-15 2008, Albuquerque, NM, USA

# Suprathermal Electron Studies in the TCV Tokamak: Design of a Tomographic Hard-X-Ray Spectrometer

0.8

0.6

04

0.2

Tomographic reconstruction procedure

CRPP

Tomographic reconstruction of a 2D emission pattern on the TCV poloidal plane



#### The number and distribution of the cameras is determined by a compromise between the quality of tomographic reconstruction and the cost, within the constraints set by the TCV port geometry.

A quantitative estimation of the quality of the reconstructed emissivity by assuming a given set of cameras (CS) used in the tomographic process is given by defining a **reconstruction variance (RV)**:

$$RV_{CS}(R,O) = \frac{\sum_{k} \sum_{n} \left(\frac{R_{kn}}{\overline{R}} - \frac{O_{kn}}{\overline{O}}\right)^{2}}{\sqrt{\sum_{k} \sum_{n} \left(R_{kn}/\overline{R}\right)^{2} \sum_{k} \sum_{n} \left(O_{kn}/\overline{O}\right)^{2}}} \quad \text{, where} \quad \overline{F} = \left(\sum_{k} \sum_{n} F_{kn}\right) / N_{p}$$

which compares the original simulated emission (O) with the reconstructed one (R).

The **gain in the quality of the reconstruction** obtained by adding an additional camera is not a constant, the benefits being more significant when going from a 2 to a 3 camera setup and from 3 to 4 and becoming modest with each additional one. On the right-hand graph, the typical RV behavior for a particular m=2 emission pattern is shown (see also the section below).



## Tomographic validation



## **Tomographic Tests**

Tomographic reconstructions of a m = 2 asymmetric emission pattern



The physics of heating and current drive and of MHD instabilities and their mitigation are all crucial to tokamak reactor operation and are tightly linked to the understanding of suprathermal electron generation and dynamics. To address these physics questions a novel design of a tomographic hard-X-ray spectrometer is being developed for the TCV tokamak. The design for different camera setups has been assisted by tomographic validation. The flexibility and compactness of the present design is expected to be readily adaptable to other fusion devices.

N.J. Fisch, Rev. Mod. Phys. **59**, 175 (1987).
P.V. Sarushin, Phys. Reamas **9**, 3421 (2002).
F. Hofmann et al. Plasma. Phys. Control. Fusion **58**, 8277 (1994).
J.Y. Peysson, F. Imbeaux, Rev. Sci. Inst. **70**, 3987 (1993).
P. Bunchard, et al. Plasma. Phys. Control. Fusion **44**, 2231 (2002).
S. Coda et al., MacL. Fusion **44**, 3161 (2003).
S. Coda et al., MacL. Fusion **45**, 1861 (2003).
J. Klimanov et al, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion **49**, L1 (2007).

 T.P. Goodman et al, Proc. 34th EPS Corf. on Control. Fusion and Plasma Phys., Warsaw, Poland, 2007 (P2:147),
[10] A. Suskhov et al. Rev. Sci. Inst. 79, 023306 (2008).
[11] S. Abberi et al., Nucl. Fusion 42, 42 (2002),
[12] S. Codat et al. Plasma. Phys. Control. Fusion 48, B359 (2006).
[13] W. Soller, Phys. Rev. 24, 153 (1924).
[14] B.R. Frieden, J. Mod. Q1, 35, 1277 (1988).
[15] M. Anton et al., Plasma. Phys. Control. Fusion 38, 1849 (1996).
[16] J. Decker, Y. Peyscon, Dict." A fast numerical Solver for the 30 drift kinetic equation", report EUR-CEA-FC-1736, Euratom-CEA (2004)

Tomographic reconstructions of a C3PO-LUKE-R5-X2<sup>[16]</sup>

simulated bremsstrahlung emission.

C3PO: ray-tracing code



Best camera setup for N=2,3,4 tomographic camera system