

brought to you by

Self-consistent ICRF simulations in fully shaped anisotropic plasmas

<u>M. Jucker</u>,¹J.P. Graves,¹W.A. Cooper,¹S. Brunner,¹N. Mellet¹ and T. Johnson²

¹Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Centre de Recherches en Physique des Plasmas, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland ² Fusion Plasma Physics Association Euratom-VR, School of Electrical Engineering, KTH, Stockholm, Sweden

Overview: Self-consistent model of ICRH

For self-consistent ICRF heating simulations, four codes have been coupled: VMEC[1] provides a fully shaped, anisotropic 3D MHD equilibrium (bi-Maxwellian distribution function), transferred to Boozer coordinates by TERPSICHORE[2]. The full-wave code LEMan[3,4] provides the IC wave field, power deposition and wave numbers (new anisotropic dielectric tensor and upshifted k). Finally, VENUS[5,6] computes the evolution of the distribution function due to ICRF heating and Coulomb collisions on the background thermal plasma (using Monte Carlo operators for Coulomb collisions and ICRH).



Model check

Power deposition P(R,Z)

Use a simple, circular equilibrium with flat temperature and density profiles: 1% thermal Hydrogen minority in a Deuterium background. Power deposition in the wave code LEMan and in the PIC code VENUS agree well. In VENUS, power is deposited using Monte Carlo operators in velocity space[7,8]. Benchmark with SELFO[9] is underway.





$$\omega = \Omega_c + k_{\parallel} v_{\parallel} \Longrightarrow \begin{array}{l} \Delta v_{\perp} & \sim & |E_+ J_0 + E_- J_1| \\ \Delta v_{\parallel} & = & k_{\parallel} v_{\perp} \Delta v_{\perp} / \Omega \end{array}$$



 $T_{\parallel}^{H} = T_{\perp}^{H} = T_{th}^{D} = T_{th}^{e} = 5 \text{keV}; \ n_{e} = 4e19 \text{m}^{-3}, n_{D} = 0.99 n_{e}, n_{H} = 0.02 n_{D}; \ R_{0} = 3\text{m}, a = 1.04\text{m}; \quad B_{0} = 3.45\text{T}, B_{c} = 3.24\text{T}, \beta = 1.3\%$



Temporal evolution with ICRH





Splitting the distribution function



CONCLUSIONS

FUTURE WORK

- The coupled numerical model for ICRH in fully shaped 3D plasmas has Conclude benchmarking with SELFO. undergone first testing and shown very good behaviour (e.g. power - Test on more complex geometries, where self-consistent iterations of the deposition).
- First benchmark efforts with SELFO (not shown here) are encouraging.
- model are expected to evolve the dielectric tensor and the equilibrium.
- Apply the code to realistic plasmas: Compare e.g. JET shots with

- In a simple geometry (circular, flat profiles), the resulting distribution simulations. Study the effect of upshift in highly polarized E-field. - Include 3D effects such as magnetic ripple. function is consistent with what we expect.

References

[1] W. Cooper *et al.*, *Comp. Phys. Comm.* **72**, 1 (1992) [2] D.V. Anderson *et al.*, *Supercomput. Rev.* **3**, 29 (1991) [3] P. Popovich *et al., Comp. Phys. Comm.* **175**, 250 (2006) [4] N. Mellet et al., Theory of Fusion Plasmas: Joint Varenna-Lausanne Int. Workshop, p. 382 (2006) [5] O. Fischer *et al., Nucl. Fusion* **42**, 817 (2002)

Acknowledgments

[6] M. Jucker et al., Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 50, 065009 (2008) [7] S. Murakami *et al., Nucl. Fusion* **42,** S425 (2006) [8] T.H. Stix, Waves in Plasmas, NY: AIP, 1992 [9] J. Hedin *et al., Nucl. Fusion* **42**, 527 (2002)

martin.jucker@epfl.ch Contact

This work, supported by the European Communities under the contract of Association between EURATOM-Confédération-Suisse, was carried out within the framework of the European Fusion Development Agreement. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission. It was also supported by part by the Swiss National Science Foundation.