

MR2373463 (2009b:91025) 91A15 (91A06 93C70)

Haurie, Alain (CH-GENV-MG); Moresino, Francesco

Singularly perturbed piecewise deterministic games. (English summary)

SIAM J. Control Optim. **47** (2008), no. 1, 73–91.

A hybrid game is examined that consists of a stochastic jump process together with a deterministic part governed by differential equations. Specific results are obtained when the time constants of the deterministic part are small compared with the time between two events of the jump process. Under such circumstances, the solution to the hybrid game is built from the solution of a purely stochastic game—the limit game—by selecting equilibrium strategies of a set of infinite horizon open-loop deterministic differential games defined by this limit game. These deterministic games, in turn, are solved each time the jump process switches mode. Such a decomposition principle is similar to what occurs in singularly perturbed dynamical systems.

The hybrid game G^ε , with $\varepsilon > 0$, is played by m players, each player $j \in M = \{1, \dots, m\}$ selecting a value of $u_j(t)$ in a compact set $U_j^i \subset \mathbb{R}^{\nu_j}$. The game evolves according to the dynamics $\varepsilon \dot{x}_j(t) = f_j^i(x_j(t), u_j(t))$ where the state $x_j(t)$ remains in a compact set $X_j \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_j}$ for all times. The functions $f_j^i(x_j, u_j)$ are activated depending on the current active mode i determined by a continuous-time jump process $\xi(\cdot)$ with state set $I = \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ and transition rates $q_{kl}(x(t)) = \lim_{dt \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{dt} P[\xi(t+dt) = l \mid \xi(t) = k, x_1(t), \dots, x_m(t)]$. This means that while in mode i , each player's state x_j evolves independently from the other players.

However, coupling is introduced through the reward rates $L_j^i(x(t), u_j(t))$ that are given to each player j where $x(t) = (x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))$. All players decide simultaneously upon their strategies after the jump process has occurred. At jump times $\tau^0 = 0, \tau^1, \dots, \tau^\nu, \dots$, of the ξ process, the players observe the state of the system $s^\nu = (\xi^\nu, x_1^\nu, \dots, x_n^\nu)$ and select absolute continuous functions $y_j: [0, \infty) \rightarrow X_j$ with initial conditions $y_j(0) = x_j^\nu$.

Unfortunately, when ε becomes small, the game becomes ill-conditioned. Nevertheless, it is possible to define a purely stochastic limit game G^0 as a controlled Markov chain on the discrete set I . The strategy of player j is defined by a vector $\tilde{x}_j = (x_j^i: i \in I)$ with $x_j^i \in X_j, j \in M$. The discounted payoff for player j (with discount rate ρ_j) is

$$V_j(\tilde{x}, i) = E_{\tilde{x}} \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-\rho_j t} \mathcal{L}_j^{\xi(t)}(x^{\xi(t)}, 0) dt \mid \xi(0) = i \right],$$

where $\mathcal{L}_j^i(x, z_j)$ is obtained by selecting the supremum value of the reward rates $L_j^i(x, u_j)$ for all possible input choices u_j constrained by the state velocity $z_j = f_j^i(x_j, u_j)$, that is

$$\mathcal{L}_j^i(x, z_j) = \begin{cases} -\infty & x_j \notin X_j \text{ or } F_j^i(x_j, z_j) = \emptyset; \\ \sup_{u_j \in F_j^i(x_j, z_j)} L_j^i(x, u_j) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

with $F_j^i(x_j, z_j) = \{u_j \mid z_j = f_j^i(x_j, u_j)\}$. Because the game is the limit game, the state velocity z_j is set to zero.

It is assumed that the state evolution equation $\dot{x}_j = f_j^i(x_j, u_j)$, in the stretched out time scale $\varepsilon = 1$, is controllable to such an extent that there exists a feedback law determining u_j for which $\dot{x}_j = s_j^i(x_j, x^0, x^f) = f(x_j, u_j)$ reaches a ball around the final state x^f in bounded time (uniform reachability). Therefore, for any strategy \tilde{x} of the limit game G^0 , a strategy $\tilde{\gamma}^\varepsilon = \sigma^\varepsilon(\tilde{x})$ for G^ε can be associated as follows: When in mode $i \in I$, select $x^i(\cdot): [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$, where $x_j(t)$ is a solution of $s_j^i(x_j(t), x^0, \tilde{x}) = \varepsilon \dot{x}_j(t)$ with $x_j^i(0) = x_j^0$.

A key result is that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} |V_j^\varepsilon(\sigma^\varepsilon(\tilde{x}), i, x^0) - V_j(\tilde{x}, i)| = 0,$$

with the G^ε -game payoff

$$V_j^\varepsilon(\gamma, i, x^0) = E_\gamma \left[e^{-\rho_j t} \mathcal{L}_j^{\xi(t)}(x(t), \varepsilon \dot{x}_j(t)) dt \mid (\xi(t_0) = i, x(t_0) = x^0) \right],$$

which fully justifies the definition of G^0 as the limit game.

Moreover, let \tilde{x}^* be an equilibrium of G^0 . Then for all positive ζ , there exists ε_0 such that for all $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, the strategy m -tuple $\sigma^\varepsilon(\tilde{x}^*)$ defines a ζ -Nash equilibrium for the game G^ε , that is

$$V_j^\varepsilon([\sigma_{M-j}^\varepsilon(\tilde{x}^*), \gamma_j^\varepsilon], i, x^0) \leq V_j^\varepsilon(\sigma^\varepsilon(\tilde{x}^*), i, x^0) + \zeta.$$

(The strategy vector $[\sigma_{M-j}^\varepsilon, \gamma_j^\varepsilon]$ is obtained from the vector σ^ε by replacing the j -th strategy component with γ_j^ε .)

Another fundamental aspect about G^0 is that its resolution (providing the equilibrium payoffs $V_j^*(l)$, $l \in I$) can be used to define a family of infinite-horizon open-loop differential games (IHOLDGs) with payoffs over the time interval $[0, \Theta)$ given as

$$J_j^\Theta[x^0; x(\cdot)] = \int_0^\Theta \left\{ \mathcal{L}_j^i(x(\tau), \dot{x}_j(\tau)) + \sum_{l \in I} q_{il}(x(\tau)) V_j^*(l) \right\} d\tau, \\ j \in M.$$

When $\Theta \rightarrow \infty$, an M -trajectory $(x^*(\tau), \tau \geq 0)$ such that

$$\lim_{\Theta \rightarrow \infty} \inf (J_j^\Theta[x^0; x^*(\cdot)] - J_j^\Theta[x^0; [x_{M-j}^*(\cdot), x_j(\cdot)]]) \geq 0$$

is defined to be an overtaking equilibrium for the open-loop game.

It is then shown that whenever—(i) the jump rates $q_{il}(x)$ are affine in x , (ii) the reward functions $\mathcal{L}_j(x, z_j)$ are concave in a strong sense (strict diagonal concavity), (iii) in mode i , the overtaking trajectories of player j , emanating from different initial states x_j^0 , can be synthesized—then the Nash equilibria of these IHOLDGs define a piecewise open-loop strategy for the G^ε game which is a ζ -equilibrium if ε is small enough.

This gives a decomposition principle to play the hybrid game: At a high level, the limit stochastic game G^0 is solved. For each player j , both an equilibrium steady state \tilde{x}_j and an equilibrium potential function $V_j^*(\cdot)$ are obtained. These potential functions are transmitted to all players. The G^ε game is then played by observing the state $(\xi(t^{0+}), x(t^{0+})) = (i, x^i)$ at a jump time t^0 and making a time translation to get $t^0 = 0$. This allows the authors to solve the IHOLDG so as to find the unique Nash overtaking equilibrium and then to follow this trajectory, as long as the jump

process remains in state i .

The paper ends with two interesting examples. The first one is a duopoly example for which the authors provide a complete, numerical solution. The second example shows how a climate-change model can be cast as a hybrid game of the kind studied in the paper.

Reviewed by *Philippe A. Müllhaupt*

References

1. P. Billingsley, *Convergence of Probability Measures*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1968. [MR0233396 \(38 #1718\)](#)
2. M. Breton and P. L'Ecuyer, *Noncooperative stochastic games under a local contraction assumption*, *Stochastics*, 26 (1989), pp. 227–245. [MR1056072 \(91g:90199\)](#)
3. W. Brock, *Differential games with active and passive variables*, in *Mathematical Economics and Game Theory: Essays in Honor of Oskar Morgenstern*, Springer, Berlin, 1977, pp. 34–52. [MR0444076 \(56 #2437\)](#)
4. D. Carlson and A. Haurie, *A turnpike theory for infinite horizon open-loop differential games with decoupled dynamics*, in *New Trends in Dynamic Games and Applications*, G. Olsder, ed., *Ann. Internat. Soc. Dynam. Games* 3, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1995, pp. 353–376. [MR1413990 \(97g:90197\)](#)
5. D. Carlson, A. Haurie, and A. Leizarowitz, *Infinite Horizon Optimal Control: Deterministic and Stochastic Systems*, Springer, Berlin, 1991. [MR1117222 \(92e:49001\)](#)
6. J. Filar, V. Gaitsgory, and A. Haurie, *Control of singularly perturbed hybrid systems*, *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, 46 (2001), pp. 179–190. [MR1814567 \(2001k:93076\)](#)
7. A. Haurie, *Piecewise deterministic differential games*, in *Differential Games and Applications*, T. Başar and P. Bernhard, eds., *Lecture Notes in Control and Inform. Sci.* 119, Springer, Berlin, 1989, pp. 114–127. [MR1230194](#)
8. A. Haurie, *Integrated assessment modeling for global climate change: An infinite horizon optimization viewpoint*, *Environmental Modeling and Assessment*, 8 (2003), pp. 117–132.
9. A. Haurie and M. Roche, *Turnpikes and computation of piecewise open-loop equilibria in stochastic differential games*, *J. Econom. Dynam. Control*, 18 (1994), pp. 317–344. [MR1264355 \(95a:90220\)](#)
10. A. Haurie and F. Moresino, *A stochastic control model of economic growth with environmental disaster prevention*, *Automatica*, 42 (2006), pp. 1417–1428. [MR2242926 \(2007a:91125\)](#)
11. I. Konnov, *Combined relaxation methods for finding equilibrium points and solving related problems*, *Russian Math. (Iz. VUZ)*, 37 (1993), pp. 44–51. [MR1258456 \(94k:90106\)](#)
12. W. Nordhaus, *An optimal path for controlling greenhouse gases*, *Science*, 258 (1992), pp. 1315–1319.
13. W. Nordhaus and J. Boyer, *Warming the World: Economic Models of Global Warming*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2000.
14. W. Nordhaus and Z. Yang, *RICE—a regional dynamic general equilibrium model of alternative climate change strategies*, *Amer. Econom. Rev.*, 86 (1996), pp. 741–765.
15. A. Nowak, *Existence of equilibrium stationary strategies in discounted noncooperative stochastic games with uncountable state space*, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.*, 45 (1985), pp.

591–602. [MR0788649 \(86g:90138\)](#)

16. J. B. Rosen, *Existence and uniqueness of equilibrium points for concave n -person games*, *Econometrica*, 33 (1965), pp. 520–534. [MR0194210 \(33 #2423\)](#)
17. W. Whitt, *Representation and approximation of noncooperative sequential games*, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 18 (1980), pp. 33–48. [MR0554702 \(81b:90178\)](#)

Note: This list, extracted from the PDF form of the original paper, may contain data conversion errors, almost all limited to the mathematical expressions.

© Copyright American Mathematical Society 2009