## COMPETITION BETWEEN RAMAN AND LINE CENTER OSCILLATION IN OPTICALLY PUMPED FIR LASERS

M.A. Dupertuis, M.R. Siegrist
R.R.E. Salomaa

## Competition Between Raman and Line Center Oscillation in Optically Pumped FIR Lasers

M.A. Dupertuis, M.R. Siegrist

Centre de Recherches en Physique des Plasmas

Association Euratom - Confédération Suisse

Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne

CH-1007 Lausanne / Switzerland

and

R.R.E. Salomaa\*

Max-Planck-Institut für Quantenoptik

D-8046 Garching

Federal Republic of Germany

\*Permanent address: Helsinki University of Technology

Department of Technical Physics,

SF-02150 Espoo 15, Finland

ABSTRACT

Competition between Raman and line center oscillation in off-

resonantly pumped FIR lasers is studied by means of semiclassical

laser theory. The observed preferential Raman line operation is shown

to be caused by an inversion of the line center gain when the Raman

mode reaches intensities well below full saturation. This inversion is

partly due to simple population effects. A further enhancement in the

cross saturation at line center is caused by population pulsations.

Numerical examples of mode competition are presented.

PACS numbers: 42.65.Cq, 42.55.Bi, 42.55.Hq, 33.80.Bc, 33.80.Kn

Semiclassical laser theory predicts an equal small signal gain for both the laser-like line center oscillation and the Raman-like transition in an off-resonantly pumped homogeneously broadened far infrared (FIR) laser  $^{1,2}$ . Contrary to expectations, however, only the Raman line is usually excited in experiments  $^3$ . Mechanisms suggested as being responsible for the preferential Raman line oscillation include i.a. ground state absorption 4 and the different saturation behaviour of the FIR and line center modes  $^{5}$  . For short pulse excitation the build-up time for Raman oscillation may be too long in which case only the line center transition is able to start lasing after the excitation pulse  $^6$ . In this letter we show that for long excitation pulses the preferred Raman oscillation is a result of mode competition between the two lines. To correctly describe the situation we must include mode-coupling terms into the gain equations of the two FIR modes. In the literature, mode competition in the present context has often improperly been discussed on the basis of results valid for a single pump and FIR mode. The effects discussed in this paper are also relevant for other kinds of optically pumped lasers as well as for some stimulated Raman scattering (SRS) experiments.

We consider a non-degenerate homogeneously broadened three-level system where the levels are indexed by 1 (ground level), 2 (upper FIR level), and 3 (lower FIR level). The transition  $1\leftrightarrow 2$  is coupled by the optical pump field  $1/2 \cdot E_0 \exp[i(Kz - \Omega t)] + c.c.$  The far infrared field is assumed to contain two co-travelling modes

(1) 
$$E_{FIR} = 1/2 \cdot \xi_0 \exp[i(k_0 z - v_0 t)] + 1/2 \cdot \xi_1 \exp[i(k_1 z - v_1 t)] + c.c.$$

Within the rotating wave approximation the response of the three-level system to these three fields is exactly soluble with matrix continued fraction techniques  $^7$ . To simplify the analytical expressions below we assume that the pump laser is so much detuned that it suffices to include terms up to order  $(E_0^2)$  and that the FIR coupling is adequately described to lowest order. Also AC Stark shifts are ignored for brevity. These limitations are readily relaxed at the expense of longer expressions and we emphasize that the numerical results below are calculated with the full code described in reference 7.

Let us assume that the FIR mode 0 oscillates at the Raman resonance, i.e.  $\Omega$  -  $\nu_0$  =  $\omega_{31}$  where  $\omega_{31}$  is the atomic resonance frequency, and the mode 1 exactly at the line center ( $\nu_1$  =  $\omega_{23}$ ). In this case the Raman gain is approximately

(2) 
$$G_0(\beta_0, \beta_1) = C \cdot \alpha^2/\Delta^2 \cdot (1 + \beta_1^2/\gamma^2)^{-1}$$

and the line center gain

(3) 
$$G_1(\beta_0,\beta_1)=C \cdot \alpha^2/\Delta^2 \cdot (1+\beta_1^2/\gamma^2)^{-1}(1+4\beta_1^2/\gamma^2)^{-1}(1-3\beta_0^2/\gamma^2+\beta_1^2/\gamma^2)$$

where  $C = \nu\mu_{23}^2 n_1^0/(\frac{1}{\hbar}\epsilon_0 c\gamma)$ . In (2) and (3) we have denoted the flipping frequencies by  $\alpha = \mu_{21} E_0/2 \frac{1}{\hbar} (\mu_{mn})$  is the dipole matrix element between states n and m) and  $\beta_k = \mu_{23} \frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{$ 

The stability analysis of an assumed single mode operation is readily performed with the aid of (2) and (3). Stable single mode Raman line oscillation ( $\beta_0 \neq 0$ ,  $\beta_1 = 0$ ) is expected for  $\beta_0^2 > \gamma^2/3$  because then the small signal line center gain  $G_1(\beta_0,0)$  is negative according to (3) and small fluctuations around  $\nu_1 = \omega_{23}$  are damped. In the case of an oscillator the Raman intensity is solved from  $G_0(\beta_0,0)$  =  $\ell$  where  $\ell$  represents cavity losses. Stability requires that  $G_1(\beta_0,0) < \ell$ . Note that for very high values of  $\beta_0$  ( $\beta_0^2/\gamma\Delta \gtrsim 0.5$ ) Eqs. (2) and (3) are not valid and, therefore, they should be applied only to study the initial mode competition period i.e. to study whether  $\beta_0$  can grow large enough to suppress  $\beta_1$ . According to (2) line center oscillation is not able to suppress Raman oscillation:  $G_0(0,\beta_1)$  remains positive throughout the validity range of (2).

Part of the mode competition is easily understood. The two photon Raman transition transfers ground level population to level 3. This reduces (for the line center oscillation required) population inversion between levels 2 and 3 created by off-resonant single photon pumping  $1 \rightarrow 2$ . On the other hand, line center oscillation tends to equalize only populations between the FIR levels and thus has a small influence on the Raman-line which mainly depends on ground level population. The single photon pumping rate 1  $\rightarrow$  2 is roughly  $\gamma \alpha^2/\Delta^2$  and the two photon pumping rate 1 + 3  $\alpha^2 \beta_0^2/\Delta^2 \gamma$  which would suggest that the population inversion between levels 2 and 3 is destroyed for  ${\beta_0}^2$  >  $\gamma^2$ . As expected, simple population arguments are insufficient and coherence effects have to be included to explain the smaller observed critical value  $\beta_0^2 = \gamma^2/3$ . (Already in the single mode case the coherence part gives an important contribution; e.g. at line center the population part interferes destructively with the coherence part, reducing it to one half). The line center oscillation in the presence of three waves is affected, in addition to the "step-wise"processes (1  $\rightarrow$  (Q)  $\rightarrow$  2 and 1  $\rightarrow$  (Q +  $\nu_1$ )  $\rightarrow$  3), also by a three-photon process 1  $\rightarrow$  2 where a pump photon  $\Omega$  and a line center photon  $\nu_1$  get absorbed and simultaneously a Raman photon  $\nu_{\theta}$  is emitted (parametric absorption). Due to the fact that the intermediate level 3 is resonant, it is not easy to distinguish this process from a step-wise one without considering clearly unequal relaxation rates or pump laser incoherence  $^8$  .

We have studied the questions above by plotting the various contributions to the density matrix elements  $\rho_{23}(1)$  and  $\rho_{23}(0)$  which

give the gain at line center and at the Raman transition, respectively. In the equation of motion for  $\rho_{23}(1)$ ,

$$\rho_{23}(1) = -(\gamma + i\Delta)\rho_{23}(1) + i\beta_1[\rho_{22}(0) - \rho_{33}(0)]$$

$$+ i\beta_0[\rho_{22}(1) - \rho_{33}(1)] - i\alpha\rho_{31}*(-1)$$

there appears a DC population term  $[\rho_{22}(0) - \rho_{33}(0)]$ , a term  $[\rho_{22}(1) - \rho_{33}(1)]$  arising from population pulsations at the beat frequency  $\nu_1$  - $\nu_0$ , and an off-diagonal term  $\rho_{31}*(-1)$  describing coherence between levels 1 and 3. These give contributions  $(2 - 2\beta_0^2/\gamma^2)$ ,  $-\beta_0^2/\gamma^2$ , and -1, respectively, to the factor  $(1 - 3\beta_0^2/\gamma^2)$  occurring in Eq. (3) in the limit  $\beta_1 << \gamma$ . The DC population difference indeed changes its sign at  $\beta_0^2/\gamma^2 = 1$  as was qualitatively argued above. The two other source terms representing pure coherence effects reduce the small signal gain (factor -1 due to  $\rho_{31}*(-1)$ ) and increase the cross saturation (population pulsations). The line center gain, therefore, changes sign already at  $\beta_0^2 = \gamma^2/3$ .

The discussion above elucidates part of the physics behind the asymmetric mode coupling appearing in (2) - (3). We will now discuss if a FIR laser or amplifier can reach a single mode operating point after an initial transient period which would verify that mode competition is the main reason for the preferred Raman line oscillation in FIR lasers. Assuming a steady state amplifier (the oscillator case is analogous in the case of long pulse excitation when pulse propagation and transient effects are negligible), we obtain the normalized mode

intensities from

(5) 
$$\frac{d \beta_k^2}{dz} = G_k (\beta_0^2, \beta_1^2) \beta_k^2 \qquad (k = 0,1)$$

Figure 1 gives an example of a numerical integration of Eq. (5) where  $\mathsf{G}_{k}$  are calculated with the full code instead of the approximate equation (2) - (3). At the entrance plane the modes are assumed to have the same boundary value  $\beta_0^2(0) = \beta_1^2(0) = \xi^2(0) << \gamma^2$ . Initially both modes grow exponentially with the same small signal gain. Once  ${\beta_0}^2$  and  ${\beta_1}^2$  become of the order  $\gamma^2$  the Raman line suppresses the growth of the line center oscillation. One the other hand, the increase of the Raman intensity is only moderately slowed down by the presence of line center oscillation. The maximum of  $\beta_1^{\ 2}$  appears when  ${\beta_0}^2 \approx 0.4 \; {\gamma^2}$  which is in good agreement with the small signal  ${({\beta_1}^2}$  = 0) prediction  ${\beta_0}^2 = {\gamma^2}/{3}$ . After this point  ${\beta_1}^2$  starts to decrease, but  ${\beta_0}^2$  continues to increase. Notice that higher order terms which are for simplicity neglected in Eq. (2) are responsible for the saturation behaviour of the Raman mode. For comparison we have also shown in Fig. 1 the line center evolution in the case when the Raman mode is neglected. Single mode line center oscillation saturates more strongly than Raman oscillation, but this effect does not suppress the line center oscillation and cannot explain the observed preferential Raman operation. Mode competition effects instead lead to true extinction of the line center oscillation.

Simple analytical results are obtained by neglecting  ${\beta_1}^2$  in (2) and (3). In this case we get

(6) 
$$\beta_0^2(z) = \mathbf{\xi}^2(0) \cdot \exp(g_0 z)$$

(7) 
$$\beta_1^2(z) = \xi^2(0) \cdot \exp(g_0 z) \cdot \exp(-3 \xi^2(0)/\gamma^2 \cdot (e^{g_0 z} - 1))$$

where  $g_0 = c \alpha^2 / \Delta^2$  is the small signal gain.

According to (7) the maximum value of  $\beta_1^2$ , Max  $\beta_1^2 = \gamma^2/3 \exp[3\xi^2(0)/\gamma^2 - 1]$ , appears at  $g_0 z_{max} = + \ln[\gamma^2/(3\xi^2(0))]$ . The decay of the line center mode back to an insignificant level takes place over a very short distance. According to (6) and (7) an intensity ratio  $R = \beta_0^2/\beta_1^2$  of the two modes is obtained at a distance  $g_0 z = \ln[\ln(R)]$  from the peak of the line center mode (e.g. R = 100 at  $g_0 z = 1.53$ ). After this transient period single mode operation is established. Fig. 1 reveals that Eq. (7) is a reasonably good approximate solution of the line center evolution.

According to the results above single mode Raman line oscillation in an optically pumped FIR laser is well explained by mode competition effects. So far we have assumed that the two modes are exactly on line center and on the Raman line. In Fig. 2 we show the small signal gain spectrum for a weak pump at various values of Raman intensity  $\beta_0^2$ . The gain peak around the line center becomes negative for  $\beta_0^2 \simeq \gamma^2/3$  and oscillations in this region are suppressed. The gain near the Raman operation is less affected and, in principle, FIR modes under the Raman peak are able to grow. While correctly represented in the figure, this situation cannot be treated with the present analytical approximation because the condition  $\beta/|\nu_0-\nu_1| \ll 1$  is violated. Its

detailed study is deferred to a future report. Figure 3 shows the small signal gain spectrum for the case when the strong FIR mode oscillates at line center, i.e.  $\beta_1 \neq 0$ . Apart from Stark splitting at higher intensities, the gain near the Raman peak is only weakly affected. In contrast the behavior near the line center shows very strong saturation due to the resonance of the oscillating mode  $\beta_1$ . The gain spectra in Figs. 2 and 3 differ drastically from the single mode results which are reproduced only when  $\beta_0^2 \neq 0$  in Fig. 2 and when  $\beta_1^2 \neq 0$  in Fig. 3. This demonstrates the importance of a proper mode interaction treatment.

## REFERENCES

- S.J. Petuchowski, A.T. Rosenberger, T.A. De Temple, IEEE J. of Quantum Electron. QE-13, 476-481 (1977).
- R.L. Panock, R.J. Temkin, IEEE J. of Quantum Electron. <u>QE-13</u>, 425-434 (1977).
- P. Woskoboinikow, H.C. Praddaude, W.J. Mulligan, B. Lax, J. Appl. Phys. <u>50</u>, 1125-1127 (1979); R. Frey, F. Pradère, J. Ducuing, Opt. Com. <u>23</u>, 65 (1977); R. Marx et al., IEEE J. of Quantum Electron. QE-<u>17</u>, 1123 (1981).
- T.A. De Temple "Pulsed optically pumped far infrared lasers" in "Infrared and millimeter waves", vol. I, ed. K.J. Button, New York: Academic 1979, pp. 129-184.
- Z. Drozdowicz, R.J. Temkin, B. Lax, IEEE J. of Quantum Electron. QE-15, 170-178 (1979), and QE-15, 865-869 (1979).
- J.D. Wiggins, Z. Drozdowicz, R.J. Temkin, IEEE J. of Quantum Electron. QE-14, 23-30 (1978).
- M.A. Dupertuis, R.R.E. Salomaa, M.R. Siegrist, accepted for publication in IEEE J. of Quantum Electron..
- R.R.E. Salomaa, J. Phys. B. Atom. Molec. Phys. <u>11</u>, 3745-3755 (1978); J. Mostowski, S. Stenholm, Physica Scripta <u>26</u>, 211-216 (1982).

## FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1 : Line center (LC) and Raman line (R) intensity vs distance of propagation in an amplifier. ( $\alpha$  = 1,  $\Delta$  = 4,  $\gamma$  = 1).

curve 1 :  $exp(g_0 z)$ 

curve 2 : single R mode

curve 3 : single LC mode

curve 4 : LC mode in presence of R mode

curve 5 : as 4, but  $\alpha$  = 0.1,  $\Delta$  = 30

curve 6 : equation 7

- Fig. 2 : Probe gain ( $\rho_{23}(1)$ ) profile vs detuning for  $\alpha$  = 0.1,  $\beta_1$  = 0.1,  $\Delta$  = 30,  $\gamma$  = 1 and  $\beta_0$  = 0.1, 0.5, 0.7, 1., 1.5 resp. (in order of decreasing peak value).
- Fig. 3 : As Fig. 3 but the main mode on line center.  $\beta_0$  = 0.1,  $\beta_1$  = 0.01, 0.7, 3. (in order of decreasing peak value).







