Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **52**, 6 (2002), 1753–1784

LIOUVILLE TYPE THEOREMS FOR MAPPINGS WITH BOUNDED (CO)-DISTORTION

by M. TROYANOV & S. VODOP'YANOV *

1. Introduction.

A mapping $f: M \to N$ between oriented n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds is said to have bounded s-distortion (or s-dilatation) $(1 \leq s < \infty)$ if $f \in W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(M, N)$ and

(1) $|df_x|^s \leqslant K J_f(x)$

a.e. $x \in M$.

The Sobolev class of mappings $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(M,N)$ is defined in Section 3 below; these mappings have a formal differential $df_x : T_x M \to T_{f(x)} N$ almost everywhere; in the above inequality, $|df_x|$ denotes its operator norm and $J_f(x) = \det df_x$ its Jacobian.

Mappings with bounded s-distortion are generalizations of quasiregular mappings; they have been studied (under various names and viewpoints) since about 30 years, see [6], [8], [24], [25], [28], [30], [41], [44] among other works. In the special case of homeomorphisms with bounded s-distortion with s > n - 1, a metric characterization has been given in [8].

These mappings originated as suitable class of mappings in the change-of-variable formula for functions in the Sobolev spaces $\mathcal{L}^{1,s}$ (see Section 4). As it turns out, this class of mappings feels quite well the

 $^{^{*}}$ This work is partially supported by the INTAS, grant N $^{\rm o}$ 10170.

Keywords: Mapping with bounded distortion - Capacity - Parabolicity.

Math. classification: 30C65 - 31B15 - 26B10.

asymptotic geometry of Riemannian manifolds. In [6], J. Ferrand was able to prove that a Riemannian manifold is characterized up to bilipschitz equivalence by its Royden algebra; the proof heavily uses the theory of mappings with bounded *s*-distortion. In [28], P. Pansu gave conditions on the geometry of manifolds implying that mappings with bounded *s*distortion are quasi-isometries. The work of Ferrand and Pansu has been extended to the case of metric measure spaces in the recent thesis of K. Gafaïti.

Mapping with bounded s-distortion are a subclass of the so-called mappings with finite distortion which are defined by the condition that $J_f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$ and $|df_x|^n \leq \Phi(x)J_f(x)$ where $\Phi(x)$ is finite a.e. (see the argument in the proof of Corollary 7.1). Mappings with finite distortion play an important role in non-linear elasticity (see e.g. [27]) and they are now being intensively studied. See e.g. the papers [16], [18], [41] and the rich references therein.

Another important generalization of mappings with bounded sdistortion is given by the class of mappings such that $|\Lambda_k f_x|^q \leq K J_f(x)$ where $\Lambda_k f$ is the k-th. exterior power of df, i.e. the effect of df_x at the level of k-forms. These mappings appear in L^p cohomology; see e.g. the recent paper [29] of P. Pansu, where flows of such mappings are used in the computation of L^p -cohomology of manifolds with negative curvature and solvable Lie groups.

In the present paper, we will consider the case k = n - 1; let us thus define a mappings with bounded q-codistortion $(1 < q < \infty)$ to be a mapping $f \in W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(M, N)$ for which there exists a constant K' such that

(2)
$$|\Lambda_{n-1}f_x|^q \leqslant K' J_f(x) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

We now state a number of questions, concerning mappings with bounded s-distortion, we are interested in

1) What are the obstructions to the existence of a non constant mapping with bounded s-distortion $f: M \to N$?

2) Describe the set of all $s \ge 1$ for which there exists a homeomorphism (or a diffeomorphism) $f: M \to N$ with bounded s-distortion.

3) Suppose that $f: M \to N$ is a non constant mapping with bounded *s*-distortion: How big may the omitted set $N \setminus f(M)$ be? (In particular, when can it be said that f is onto?)

4) Assuming that $f: M \to N$ is a continuous mapping with bounded

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

s-distortion. What can be said about the topological and or the geometrical properties of f?

Similar questions may be raised about mappings with bounded q-codistortion.

We will give some answers to all of these questions. The main techniques we use are based on potential theory: Recall that a *condenser* in M is a pair (D, A) where $A \subset M$ is a connected open subset and $D \subset A$ is compact. The *p*-capacity of the condenser (D, A) is defined as

$$\operatorname{Cap}_p(D,A) = \inf \left\{ \int_A |\nabla u|^p : u \in C_0^0(A) \cap W^{1,p}(A) \text{ and } u \ge 1 \text{ on } D \right\}.$$

DEFINITION. — The manifold M is p-parabolic if $\operatorname{Cap}_p(D, M) = 0$ for all compact subsets $D \subset M$ and p-hyperbolic otherwise.

We have included in Section 7.2 below a brief discussion of this notion.

An answer to the first question above is the following Liouville type theorem:

THEOREM. — Let M and N be oriented n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds and let $f \in W^{1,n}_{\text{loc}}(M,N)$ be a mapping with bounded s-distortion with s > (n-1). Assume that M is p-parabolic, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{n-1}{s} = 1$. Then either f is constant a.e. or N is also p-parabolic.

This result is a consequence of Theorem A and Corollary 7.1 in the present paper; it is in fact proved for a wider class than $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(M,N)$.

In the special case of quasi-regular mappings (i.e. s = p = n), this result has been obtained around 1968 by Y. Reshetnyak and, independently, by O. Martio, S. Rickman and J. Väisälä (see [32] and [22]). At the end of the paper we shortly recall the original argument of Reshetnyack.

Some answers to the other questions mentioned above are given in Sections 2, 4 and 7.

The paper is organized as follow: In Section 2, we give some additional definitions, state the main results of the paper and give some corollaries. In Section 3 we recall some basic facts about Sobolev mappings, in Section 4 we discuss homeomorphisms with bounded s-distortion and in Section 5 we prove a capacity inequality. After these preparations, we prove the main theorems in Section 6. Finally, in Section 7, we give some complementary information on mappings with bounded s-distortion.

2. Definitions and statement of the results.

Throughout the paper M and N are oriented, connected *n*-dimensional Riemannian manifolds. We denote by $d\mu$ and $d\nu$ the volume elements of M and N respectively.

In order to state our results, we need some additional definitions:

DEFINITIONS. — (1) The map f has essentially finite multiplicity if $N_f(M) < \infty$, where

$$N_f(A) := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_u \operatorname{Card}(f^{-1}(y) \cap A)$$

for any measurable subset $A \subset M$.

(2) A continuous map is open and discrete if the image of any open set $U \subset M$ is an open set $f(U) \subset N$ and the inverse image $f^{-1}(y)$ of any point $y \in N$ is a discrete subset of M. The branch set of such a mapping is the set $B_f \subset M$ of points $x \in M$ such that f is not a local homeomorphism in a neighborhood of x.

The next two definitions are regularity assumptions. They are always satisfied if one assumes e.g. that f is locally Lipschitz, or that $f \in W^{1,s}_{loc}(M,N)$ for s > n, or that f is locally quasi-regular.

(3) A measurable map $f: M \to N$ satisfies Lusin's property if the image of any set $E \subset M$ of measure zero is a set $f(E) \subset N$ of measure zero.

An important and well-known result (see Proposition 3.2) states that for any map $f: M \to N$ belonging to $W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(M, N)$ there exists a sequence of compact sets $A_i \subset M$ such that the restriction of f to each A_i is Lipschitz and the complementary set $E_f := M \setminus \bigcup_i A_i$ has measure zero. We call E_f the exceptional set of f.

(4) The map $f \in W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(M,N)$ is almost absolutely continuous if it is continuous and for any bounded domain $\Omega \in M$ the following property holds: for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find $\delta = \delta(\Omega, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that for any finite or infinite sequence of pairwise disjoint balls $\{B(x_i, r_i)\}$ contained in Ω with center $x_i \in E_f$, we have

$$\sum \operatorname{vol}(B_i) \leq \delta \implies \sum \operatorname{diam}(fB_i)^n < \varepsilon.$$

Remark. — The notion of almost absolute continuity appeared in [41], [42]; it is a generalization of absolute continuity in the sense of Malý

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

as defined in [19]. In particular any mapping in $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(M,N)$ with p > nand any continuous mapping in $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^n)$ with monotone coordinate functions is an example of almost absolutely continuous mapping, see [19].

In dimension 2, a mapping has bounded *s*-distortion if and only if it has bounded *s*-codistortion. In higher dimension, we have the following relation between distortion and codistortion:

LEMMA 2.1. — Let $f: M \to N$ be a mapping with bounded sdistortion for some s > n - 1, then f has bounded q-codistortion for q = s/(n-1).

Conversely, if $f: M \to N$ is a mapping with bounded q-codistortion for some $q < \frac{n-1}{n-2}$ such that $J_f > 0$ a.e., then f has bounded s-distortion for $s = \frac{q}{(n-1)-q(n-2)}$.

The exponents in this lemma are sharp.

Proof. — It is a trivial consequence of the inequalities
$$|\Lambda_{n-1}f_x| \leq |df_x|^{n-1}$$
 and $|df_x| J_f(x)^{n-2} \leq |\Lambda_{n-1}f_x|^{n-1}$.

We now state the main results of the present paper:

THEOREM A. — Let $f \in W^{1,s}_{\text{loc}}(M,N)$ be a continuous open and discrete mapping with bounded s-distortion, where s > (n-1), satisfying Lusin's property. If M is p-parabolic with $p = \frac{s}{s-(n-1)}$, then N is also p-parabolic.

Recall that a map $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,s}$ always satisfies Lusin's property if s > n. In Section 3 below we give other sufficient conditions. In Section 7.1 below, we will also give sufficient conditions for a continuous mapping with bounded s-distortion to be discrete and open.

The next result is an analog of Theorem A. It holds without any topological restrictions but assumes that f has finite essential multiplicity:

THEOREM B. — Let $f \in W^{1,s}_{\text{loc}}(M,N)$ be a mapping of essentially finite multiplicity with bounded s-distortion where s > (n-1). Assume either

1) $|\Lambda_{n-1}f| \in L^{n/(n-1)}_{loc}(M)$, or

2) f is almost absolutely continuous and $J_f \in L^1_{loc}(M)$.

If M is p-parabolic with $p = \frac{s}{s-(n-1)}$, then either f is constant a.e. or N is also p-parabolic.

In Theorem B (under assumption 2) no continuity is assumed. The proofs of theorems A and B are based on quite different approaches; it would be interesting to have a unified method proving both results.

Remark 1. — These results are sharp. They say for instance that there is no mapping of finite essential multiplicity with bounded s-distortion from the Euclidean space to the hyperbolic space for s > (n - 1). This is optimal since the Riemannian exponential $\exp : T_{x_0} \mathbb{H}^n \to \mathbb{H}^n$ (where \mathbb{H}^n is the hyperbolic space) is a diffeomorphism with bounded (n - 1)-distortion. Other comments on the optimality of these results are given in [7].

Theorem B will be obtained as a consequence of the following result on mappings with bounded codistortion:

THEOREM C. — Let $f: M \to N$ be a mapping of essentially finite multiplicity with bounded q-codistortion where q > 1. Suppose that $J_f > 0$ on some set of positive volume. Assume furthermore either

1) $f \in W^{1,n-1}_{loc}(M,N)$ and $|\Lambda_{n-1}f| \in L^{n/(n-1)}_{loc}(M)$, or

2) f is almost absolutely continuous, $f \in W^{1,s}_{\text{loc}}(M,N)$ for some s > (n-1) and $J_f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(M)$.

If M is p-parabolic with p = q/(q-1), then N is also p-parabolic.

Remark 2. — The condition that $J_f > 0$ on some set of positive volume cannot be replaced by the weaker condition that f is not constant a.e. For instance, look at the hyperbolic three-space in the upper-half space model $\mathbb{H}^3 = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | z > 0\}$ (with metric tensor $ds^2 = (dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2)/z^2$)). Then the mapping $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{H}^3$ given by f(x, y, z) = (x, 0, 1) is of finite essential multiplicity and has bounded qcodistortion for all $q \ge 1$. Yet \mathbb{H}^3 is p-hyperbolic for all p and \mathbb{R}^3 is p-parabolic for all $p \ge 3$.

The next result goes in the other direction:

THEOREM D. — Let $f \in W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(M,N)$ be a continuous non constant proper mapping with bounded s-distortion of finite essential multiplicity. If M is s-hyperbolic, then so is N.

Remark 3. — The hypothesis that f is proper is necessary. For

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

instance if N is a compact manifold and $M \subset N$ is an open domain whose complement $N \setminus M$ has non empty interior, then N is s-parabolic for all s and M is s-hyperbolic for all $s \in [1, \infty]$. Yet the inclusion $f : M \hookrightarrow N$ has bounded s-distortion for all s.

We now give some applications of our results. We begin by a Picard type theorem for mappings with bounded s-distortion.

COROLLARY 2.1. — Let $f: M \to N$ be a continuous mapping with bounded s-distortion, s > (n-1) satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem A. Assume that the manifold M is p-parabolic where $p := \frac{s}{s-(n-1)}$. Then f is surjective if p > n, and the omitted set $N \setminus f(M)$ has Hausdorff dimension $\leq (n-p)$ if $p \leq n$.

Proof. — Observe that f actually maps M onto N' = f(M) (which is an open subset of N). By Theorem A, the manifold N' is thus p-parabolic and therefore the Hausdorff dimension of $N \setminus N'$ is $\leq n - p$.

For a quasiregular mapping on Euclidean space $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, a stronger result is due to S. Rickman. He proved that f omits at most finitely many points (see theorem 2.1 in [34], chapter IV]).

COROLLARY 2.2. — Let $f: M \to N$ be an injective C^1 mapping with bounded q-codistortion. Assume that $q < \frac{n}{n-1}$ and that M is pparabolic with $p = \frac{q}{q-1}$. Then f is a diffeomorphism.

For the proof of this corollary, will need a lemma. Recall that the principal dilatation coefficients (or singular values) at $x \in M$ of a mapping $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(M,N)$ are the square roots $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_n$ of the eigenvalues of $df_x df_x^t$; they are defined almost everywhere. Observe the following useful inequalities:

$$|df_x| = \lambda_n, \qquad |J_f(x)| = \lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n, \qquad |\Lambda_{n-1}f_x| = \lambda_2 \cdot \lambda_3 \cdots \lambda_n.$$

LEMMA 2.2. — Let $f : M \to N$ be a mapping with bounded q-codistortion. If $q < \frac{n}{n-1}$ then either $J_f = 0$ a.e. or there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that all the principal dilatation coefficients are almost everywhere $\geq \delta$.

Proof. — Let $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_n$ be the dilatation coefficients of f at x. We have by hypothesis $|\Lambda_{n-1}f_x|^q \leq K J_f(x)$ a.e., i.e. $(\lambda_2 \cdot \lambda_3 \cdots \lambda_n)^q \leq$

 $K(\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n)$. This implies $\lambda_1^{(n-1)(q-1)} \leq (\lambda_2 \cdot \lambda_3 \cdots \lambda_n)^{q-1} \leq K \lambda_1$, from which one obtains $\lambda_1 \geq K^{1/(n+q-nq)}$, provided $q < \frac{n}{n-1}$.

Proof of Corollary 2.2. — By the previous lemma, all principal dilatation coefficients are bounded below, in particular f is a local diffeomorphism. Assume now that f is not surjective. Then there exists a point $y_0 \in N \setminus f(M)$. Let $N' := N \setminus \{y_0\}$, this is a p-hyperbolic manifold (since p > n). By Theorem C, the manifold M must therefore be p-hyperbolic; but this contradicts the hypothesis and we thus conclude that f is surjective.

If $M = N = \mathbb{R}^n$, we don't need to assume global injectivity in the previous corollary.

COROLLARY 2.3. — Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a C^1 mapping with bounded q-codistortion where $q < \frac{n}{n-1}$ and such that $J_f \neq 0$. Then fis a global diffeomorphism.

Proof. — By Lemma 2.2 all the eigenvalues of $df_x^t df_t$ are uniformly bounded below. We thus conclude from a recent theorem of M. Chamberland and G. Meister that f is injective (see [1], th. 1.1).

Now set $p := \frac{q}{q-1}$, then p > n and hence \mathbb{R}^n is *p*-parabolic. We conclude the proof from the previous corollary.

We also have similar results for mappings with bounded s-distortion.

COROLLARY 2.4. — Let $f: M \to N$ be an injective C^1 mapping with bounded s-distortion.

Assume that (n-1) < s < n and that M is p-parabolic with $p = \frac{s}{s-(n-1)}$. Then f is a diffeomorphism.

The proof is similar to that of Corollary 2.2. $\hfill \Box$

COROLLARY 2.5. — Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a non constant C^1 mapping with bounded s-distortion where (n-1) < s < n. Then f is a global diffeomorphism.

 $\mathit{Proof.}$ — This is clear from Lemma 2.1 and the previous corollaries. $\hfill \square$

This last result also holds for $s = n \ge 3$. Indeed, V.A. Zorich has

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

proved that a quasi-regular mapping $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, which is a local homeomorphism is in fact a global homeomorphism provided $n \ge 3$, see [46].

3. Calculus of Sobolev mappings.

Since a mapping $f: M \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is given by its components which are n functions: $f = (f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_m)$, it is natural to say that f belongs to the Sobolev space $W^{1,s}_{\text{loc}}(M, \mathbb{R}^m)$ if each component $f_i \in W^{1,s}_{\text{loc}}(M, \mathbb{R})$.

In the case of a continuous mapping $f: M \to N$ between Riemannian manifolds, we may define the condition $f \in W^{1,s}_{\text{loc}}(M,N)$ by the use of local coordinates charts; however, such a procedure is in general not possible for a discontinuous map and we have to proceed differently to define the class of Sobolev mappings between Riemannian manifolds.

We follow the approach of [33], [42].

DEFINITIONS. — 1) The mapping $f: M \to N$ belongs to $L^s_{\text{loc}}(M, N)$, $1 \leq s \leq \infty$, if and only if the function $[f]_y : M \to \mathbb{R}$, defined by $[f]_y(x) = d(f(x), y)$, is in $L^s_{\text{loc}}(M, \mathbb{R})$ for all point $y \in N$.

2) The map f belongs to $W^{1,s}_{\text{loc}}(M, N)$ if and only if $[f]_y \in W^{1,s}_{\text{loc}}(M, \mathbb{R})$ and there exists a function $g \in L^s_{\text{loc}}(M, \mathbb{R})$ such that $|\nabla[f]_y|(x) \leq g(x)$ a.e. in M for any point $y \in N$.

3) The map f belongs to $ACL_{loc}^{s}(M, N)$ if it satisfies the following three conditions:

- i) the function $M \ni x \to [f]_z(x) = d(f(x), z)$ belongs to $L^s_{loc}(M)$ for every point $z \in N$;
- ii) the mapping $f: M \to N$ is absolutely continuous on lines in the following sense: for any coordinate chart $\varphi: U \to \mathbb{R}^n$ on M, the function

$$(x, \tau) \to g_i(x, \tau) := \operatorname{length} (f \circ \varphi^{-1}([x, x + \tau \mathbf{e}_i]))$$

is absolutely continuous in the parameter τ for all *i* and almost all $x \in \mathbf{e}_i^{\perp}$.

iii) the derivative $\partial_i g_i : x \to \lim_{\tau \to +0} \frac{g_i(x,\tau)}{\tau}$, which exists almost everywhere in U, belongs to $L^s_{loc}(U)$ for all i.

PROPOSITION 3.1. — The following assertions are equivalent:

- 1) $f \in W^{1,s}_{\text{loc}}(M,N);$
- 2) $f \in ACL^s_{loc}(M, N);$

3) $f \in L^s_{\text{loc}}(M, N)$ and there exists a function $g \in L^s_{\text{loc}}(M, \mathbb{R})$ such that for any Lipschitz function $\psi : N \to \mathbb{R}$, the function $\varphi := \psi \circ f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ belongs to $W^{1,s}_{\text{loc}}(M, \mathbb{R})$ and $|\nabla \varphi(x)| \leq \text{Lip}(\psi) g(x)$ a.e. in M.

4) for any isometric embedding $i: N \to \mathbb{R}^k$ all coordinate functions of the composition $i \circ f$ belong to $W^{1,s}_{\text{loc}}(M,\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. — The proof follows the order $(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (2)$. Observe that $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ is trivial since distance functions are 1-Lipschitz.

Then $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ and $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ are proven in [42, Proposition 3] (notice that $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$ is also proven in [33, Theorem 5.1] by other arguments).

The proof of $(4) \Rightarrow (2)$ is given in [34, Proposition 1.2] for the special case $N = \mathbb{R}^n$. Its extension to the case of a submanifold $N \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ is based on the formula

$$g_i(x,\tau) = \int_0^\tau \left| \frac{d}{dt} (f \circ \varphi^{-1}([x,x+t\mathbf{e}_i])) \right| dt$$

which holds for all absolutely continuous curves in the \mathbb{R}^k . The general case now follows from the fact that any Riemannian manifold admits an isometric embedding in some Euclidean space.

(3) \Rightarrow (4). We consider an isometric embedding $i : N \to \mathbb{R}^k$ and some coordinate function z_j in \mathbb{R}^k . The restriction $z_j|_N$ is a Lipschitz function on N, thus the composition $z_j \circ f$ belongs to $W^{1,s}_{\text{loc}}(M, \mathbb{R})$.

The next proposition says that a Sobolev mapping is Lipschitz on a big set.

PROPOSITION 3.2. — Let $f \in W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(M,N)$. Then there exists a measurable decomposition $M = E_f \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ such that $\mu(E_f) = 0$, A_i is compact for all i and $f|_{A_i}$ is Lipschitz.

Proof. — Using the previous proposition (assertion 4) we can reduce the proof to the well-known Whitney's approximation theorem for Sobolev function (see e.g. [4, p. 254]).

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

As a consequence of this proposition, we have the following version of the change of variables formula for integrals (also known as the area formula), recall that χ_A denotes the characteristic function of a set $A \subset M$.

Proposition 3.3. — Let $f \in W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(M,N)$ be a Sobolev mapping between Riemannian manifolds of the same dimension. Then there exists a subset $E_f \subset M$ of measure zero such that for all measurable function $\psi: M \to \mathbb{R}_+$ we have

$$\int_{M} \psi(x) \left| J_f(x) \right| d\mu(x) = \int_{N} \left(\sum_{f(x)=y} \psi(x) \, \chi_{M \setminus E_f}(x) \right) \, d\nu(y).$$

If f satisfies Lusin's property, then one may take $E = \emptyset$.

See e.g. [11] for a proof.

For the area formula to be useful, we need to work with mappings having a locally integrable Jacobian. Observe in particular that if $f \in$ $W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(M,N)$ has bounded s-distortion and $J_f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(M)$, then we have in fact $f \in W^{1,s}_{\text{loc}}(M,N)$.

The next two lemmas give us sufficient conditions for the local integrability of the Jacobian.

LEMMA 3.1. — Let $f: M \to N$ be a mapping such that $f \in W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(M,N)$ and $|\Lambda_{n-1}f| \in L^{n/(n-1)}_{\text{loc}}(M)$. Then $J_f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(M)$.

Proof. — This is a trivial consequence of the inequality $J_f \leq$ $|\Lambda_{n-1}f|^{n/(n-1)}.$ П

LEMMA 3.2. — If $f \in W^{1,1}_{loc}(M, N)$ is continuous and has essentially finite multiplicity or is open and discrete, then $J_f \in L^1_{loc}(M)$.

Proof. — This follows directly from the area formula.

We now give sufficient conditions for Lusin's property:

LEMMA 3.3. — Let $f: M \to N$ be a mapping satisfying one of the following conditions:

1) $f \in W^{1,s}_{\text{loc}}(M,N)$ with $s \ge (n-1)$, $J_f > 0$ a.e. and $|\Lambda_{n-1}f| \in$ $L_{\rm loc}^{n/(n-1)}(M);$

2) $f \in W^{1,1}_{loc}(M,N)$ is almost absolutely continuous;

3) $f \in W^{1,n}_{loc}(M,N)$ is continuous open and discrete. Then it also satisfies Lusin's property.

Under hypothesis (1) this is Theorem 5.3 in [26]; see also [43] for the case s = n. In case (2), this is Theorem 8 from [41]. In case (3), this is a result from [20]; see also [40] for a short proof.

We refer to [23] and [19] for further results on Lusin's condition.

PROPOSITION 3.4. — If the map f is continuous, open and discrete and has bounded s-distortion for s > (n-1), then it is differentiable almost everywhere.

See Lemma 4.4 in chapter VI of Rickman's book [34] or Proposition 1 in [41] for a more general result.

Finally we will also need the following result about the exterior differential of the pull-back of a (n-1)-form:

LEMMA 3.4. — Let $f: M \to N$ be a mapping satisfying one of the following conditions:

1) $f \in W^{1,n-1}_{\text{loc}}(M,N)$ and $|\Lambda_{n-1}f| \in L^{n/(n-1)}_{\text{loc}}(M);$

2) f is almost absolutely continuous, $f \in W^{1,s}_{\text{loc}}(M,N)$ for some s > (n-1) and $J_f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(M)$.

Let β be a smooth (n-1)-form. Then $\alpha := f^*\beta \in L^1_{loc}(M, \Lambda^{n-1})$ and $d\alpha = f^*(d\beta).$

This result is proved in [26, Th. 3.2] under the first hypothesis and in [41, Th. 8] in the case of the second hypothesis \Box

4. On homeomorphisms with bounded s-distortion.

In this section, we discuss the special case of homeomorphisms with bounded s-distortion.

DEFINITION. — The s-Dirichlet space of a Riemannian manifold M is the space $\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(M)$ of functions $u \in W^{1,s}_{\text{loc}}(M,\mathbb{R})$ such that $\int_M |\nabla u|^s d\mu < \infty$. This space is equipped with the semi-norm

$$||u||_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(M)} = ||\nabla u||_{L^{s}(M)}.$$

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

If $f: M \to N$ is a homeomorphism and $v: N \to \mathbb{R}$ is any function, we denote by $f^*v = v \circ f$ its pull back on M. If $u: M \to \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $f_{\sharp}u = u \circ f^{-1}: N \to \mathbb{R}$ its pushforward.

S. Vodop'yanov has proved the following result [38], [39] (see its generalized version in [44, Theorems 1 and 9]):

THEOREM 4.1. — Let $f : M \to N$ be a homeomorphism between *n*-dimensional Riemannian manifolds. Fix $s \in [1, \infty)$, then the following assertions are equivalent:

1) $f^*: \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N) \to \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(M)$ is a bounded operator;

2) $f \in W^{1,s}_{\text{loc}}(M,N)$ and f has bounded s-distortion: $|df(x)|^s \leq KJ_f(x)$ a.e. $x \in M$.

Moreover, if $s \in (1, \infty)$, then condition (1) or (2) are equivalent to

3) f^{-1} decreases the s-capacities of condensers up to a constant:

 $\operatorname{Cap}_{s}(C, A) \leq \operatorname{const.} \operatorname{Cap}_{s}(f(C), f(A))$

for any condensers (C, A) in M.

Finally, if s > (n-1) and Lusin's property holds, then any condition (1)–(3) is equivalent to

4) $f_{\sharp}: \mathcal{L}^{1,p}(M) \to \mathcal{L}^{1,p}(N)$ is a bounded operator where $p = \frac{s}{s-(n-1)}$, and $|df^{-1}(y)|^p \leq K^{p-1}J_{f^{-1}}(y)$ a.e. $y \in N$, consequently f^{-1} has bounded *p*-distortion.

Proof. — We only give a short proof of the second part of assertion (4). By Proposition 3.4, the map f is differentiable a.e. and by [44, Theorem 9], we know that $g := f^{-1} : N \to M$ is ACL (see also Lemma 5.6 below). Thus we have $dg_{f(x)} \circ df_x = \text{Id}$ a.e. in M. Notice also that $J_g(y) \neq 0$ a.e. in N since f has Lusin's property by hypothesis, we thus have almost everywhere

$$|dg_{f(x)}| \leqslant \frac{|df_x|^{n-1}}{J_f(x)},$$

and therefore

$$|dg_{f(x)}|^{p} \leq \frac{(|df_{x}|^{s})^{\frac{p(n-1)}{s}}}{J_{f}^{p}(x)} = \left(\frac{|df_{x}|^{s}}{J_{f}(x)}\right)^{p-1} J_{f}^{-1}(x) \leq K^{p-1} J_{g}(f(x)).$$

A useful consequence of this theorem is the following

COROLLARY 4.1. — If $f: M \to N$ and $g: N \to W$ are homeomorphisms with bounded s-distortion, then $g \circ f: M \to W$ also has bounded s-distortion.

Special cases of the previous result where also obtained in [9.2 and 12.3], [24], [25, Section 6.4.3] and [30].

DEFINITION. — The Royden algebra of M is the subspace $\mathcal{R}^{s}(M) \subset \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(M)$ of bounded continuous functions; it is a Banach algebra with norm

$$||u||_{\mathcal{R}^s} = ||u||_{L^{\infty}} + ||\nabla u||_{L^s}.$$

We denote by $K_{\mathcal{R}}$ the norm of the operator $f^* : \mathcal{R}^s(N) \to \mathcal{R}^s(M)$ and by $K_{\mathcal{L}}$ the norm of the operator $f^* : \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N) \to \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(M)$.

PROPOSITION 4.1. — Suppose $1 < s < \infty$, then for any homeomorphism $f: M \to N$ we have $K_{\mathcal{R}} = \max\{1, K_{\mathcal{L}}\}$.

We will need the following

LEMMA 4.1. — Let $v \in \mathcal{R}^{s}(N)$ be a non constant function, and fix $\varepsilon > 0$. If $1 < s < \infty$, then for any $t \in (\alpha, \beta)$, where $\alpha := \inf v$ and $\beta := \sup v$, there exists $r = r(t, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that $r < \min\{t - \alpha, \beta - t\}$ and

(3)
$$\varepsilon^{-1}(t''-t') \leq \|\max(\min(v,t''),t')-t'\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N)}$$

for all $t', t'' \in (\alpha, \beta)$ such that $t - r < t' \leq t \leq t'' < t + r$.

Proof. — Suppose the lemma false, then the function

$$v_{t',t''} := \frac{\max(\min(v,t''),t') - t'}{t'' - t'}$$

satisfies $||v_{t',t''}||_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N)} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and all $t', t'' \in (\alpha, \beta)$ such that $t - r < t' \leq t \leq t'' < t + r$. Consider a bounded domain $A \subset N$ such that $A_0 := \{x \in A : v(x) < t\}$ and $A_1 := \{x \in A : v(x) > t\}$ are non empty open subsets.

The family $\{v_{t',t''}\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,s}(A)$ and hence weakly compact. It follows that there is a sequence $v_n := v_{t'_n,t''_n}$ such that $t'_n \leq t \leq t''_n$ and $(t''_n - t'_n) \to 0$, which converges weakly to some function $w \in W^{1,s}(A)$. We can furthermore assume that the sequence $\lambda_n := \frac{t-t'_n}{t''_n-t'_n} \in [0,1]$ converges to some number λ . Using Mazur's Lemma, we can produce convex combinations of the v_n converging strongly to w. Hence w = 0 a.e. on A_0 ,

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

w = 1 a.e. on A_1 and $w = \lambda$ a.e. on the level set $A_t := \{x \in A : v(x) = t\}$. But then $\nabla w = 0$ a.e. in A, hence w is constant a.e. in A which is impossible since A_0 and A_1 have positive measure.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. — Observe that $K_{\mathcal{R}} \ge 1$ since constant functions belong to the Royden algebras. So we only need to prove the inequalities $K_{\mathcal{L}} \le K_{\mathcal{R}} \le \max\{1, K_{\mathcal{L}}\}$. Since f is a homeomorphism, f^* defines an isometry $f^* : L^{\infty}(N) \to L^{\infty}(M)$ and the inequality $K_{\mathcal{R}} \le \max\{1, K_{\mathcal{L}}\}$ follows immediately.

To prove the inequality $K_{\mathcal{L}} \leq K_{\mathcal{R}}$ it suffices, by density of $\mathcal{R}^{s}(N)$ in $\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N)$, to show that

(4)
$$\|f^*v\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(M)} \leq (1+\varepsilon)K_{\mathcal{R}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N)}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any function $v \in \mathcal{R}^s(N)$.

Set $\alpha := \inf v$ and $\beta := \sup v$. By compactness of the interval $[\alpha, \beta]$, we can find a subdivision $\tau = \{\alpha = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_l < t_{l+1} = \beta\}$, such that $(t_{i+1} - t_i) < r_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, l - 1$, where $r_i = r(t, \varepsilon)$ satisfies the property of the previous lemma for some $t \in (t_i, t_{i+1})$.

Set $v_{\tau} := \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} v_i$, where $v_i := \max(\min(v, t_{i+1}), t_i) - t_i$. By the lemma we have $\|v_i\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \varepsilon \|v_i\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N)}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, l-1$, hence

$$\|f^* v_{\tau}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(M)}^{s} \leqslant \|f^* v_{\tau}\|_{\mathcal{R}^{s}(M)}^{s} \leqslant \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \|f^* v_{i}\|_{\mathcal{R}^{s}(M)}^{s}$$
$$\leqslant \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} K_{\mathcal{R}}^{s} \left(\|v_{i}\|_{L^{\infty}(N)} + \|v_{i}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N)}\right)^{s}$$
$$\leqslant K_{\mathcal{R}}^{s} (1+\varepsilon)^{s} \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \|v_{i}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N)}^{s}$$
$$\leqslant K_{\mathcal{R}}^{s} (1+\varepsilon)^{s} \|v_{\tau}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N)}^{s}$$

because $||v_{\tau}||_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N)}^{s} = \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} ||v_{i}||_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N)}^{s}$. The inequality (4) now follows since $||v - v_{\tau}||_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N)} \to 0$ and $||f^{*}v - f^{*}v_{\tau}||_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N)} \to 0$ as $\max\{t_{1} - t_{0}, t_{l+1} - t_{l}\} \to 0$.

Remark. — Pierre Pansu has defined in [28, p. 475] the notion of homeomorphism of bounded s-dilatation as homeomorphism such that $K_{\mathcal{R}} \leq \infty$. It follows from the results of this section that the definition of homeomorphism of bounded s-dilatation used by Pansu, coincides with our notion of homeomorphism with bounded s-distortion if $1 < s < \infty$.

It also follows from Theorem 4.1 that if f is a homeomorphism satisfying Lusin's property with bounded s-dilatation in Pansu's sense, then f^{-1} is a homeomorphism with bounded p-dilatation where 1/s + (n-1)/p = 1. This gives a positive answer to question 10.3 in [28] in the case where Lusin's property holds.

5. Pushing functions forward.

The proof of Theorem A is based on a capacity estimate for the pushforward operator (Corollary 5.1) which is important in itself. It is the goal of this section to prove this capacity estimate.

Let $f : M \to N$ be a continuous mapping and $u : M \to \mathbb{R}$ a bounded function. We define the *pushforward* of u to be the function $v = f_{\sharp}u : N \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$v(y) := \begin{cases} \sup\{u(x) : f(x) = y\} & \text{if } y \in f(M), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 5.1. — If f is continuous discrete and open, and $u: M \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous with compact support, then the function $v = f_{\sharp}u: N \to \mathbb{R}$ is also continuous and $\operatorname{supp} v \subset f(\operatorname{supp} u)$.

This is Lemma 7.6 in [22].

If the mapping f has bounded s-distortion and $u \in C_0^1(M, \mathbb{R})$ then $v = f_{\sharp}u$ belongs to $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(N, \mathbb{R})$ where $p = \frac{s}{s-(n-1)}$ provided s > (n-1). More precisely:

THEOREM 5.1. — Let $f \in W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(M,N)$ be a continuous open and discrete mapping with bounded s-distortion, $(n-1) < s < \infty$. Assume also that f satisfies Lusin's property if n-1 < s < n. Then the operator $f_{\#}$ possesses the following properties:

1) $f_{\sharp} : C_0^1(M) \to W^{1,p}(N) \cap C_0^0(N),$

2) $\int_N |df_{\sharp}(u)|^p d\nu \leq K^{p-1} \int_M |du|^p d\mu$, for any $u \in C_0^1(M)$. where $p = \frac{s}{s-n+1}$ and K is the constant in (1).

Remarks. — 1) If f is a continuous open mapping and $f \in W^{1,n}_{\text{loc}}(M,N)$, then it always satisfies Lusin's property [20] (see also [40] for a short proof).

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

2) This theorem is known for s = n (see [22]). It is also known for general values of s when f is a homeomorphism [44]. Our proof will be based on techniques borrowed from these two papers.

If f is continuous and open, then the image (f(C), f(A)) of a condenser (C, A) in M is again a condenser in N.

COROLLARY 5.1. — For any condenser (C, A) in M we have $\operatorname{Cap}_p(f(C), f(A))) \leqslant K^{p-1} \operatorname{Cap}_p(C, A).$

Proof. — Choose a non negative function $u \in C_0^1(M)$ such that u = 1 on C, $\operatorname{supp}(u) \subset A$ and $\int_A |du|^p \leq \operatorname{Cap}_p(C, A) + \varepsilon$ where $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary.

Let us set $v = f_{\sharp}u : N \to \mathbb{R}$. Then, by Theorem 5.1 we have $v \in W^{1,p}(A) \cap C_0^0(A)$. Since $v \ge 1$ on C, we have

$$\operatorname{Cap}_p(fC, fA) \leqslant \int_{fA} |dv|^p \leqslant K^{p-1} \int_A |du|^p \leqslant K^{p-1}(\operatorname{Cap}_p(C, A) + \varepsilon).$$

We begin the proof of Theorem 5.1 by some lemmas on capacities of condensers:

LEMMA 5.2. — The inequality

$$\operatorname{Cap}_s(C,A) \leqslant \frac{|A|}{\operatorname{dist}(C,\partial A)^s}$$

holds for the capacity of any bounded condenser $(C, A) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

$$Proof. \quad - \text{ Take } u(x) := \min \left\{ \frac{\operatorname{dist}(\partial A, x)}{\operatorname{dist}(\partial A, C)}, 1 \right\} \text{ as a test function.} \quad \Box$$

LEMMA 5.3. — Let $(C, A) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a condenser such that C is connected. If $(n-1) < s < \infty$, then

$$\operatorname{Cap}_{s}^{n-1}(C, A) \ge b(n, s) \operatorname{(diam} C)^{s} |A|^{(n-1-s)}$$

where the constant b(n, s) depends on n and s only.

Proof. — See Lemma 5 of [44].
$$\Box$$

Recall that a domain $\Omega \subset M$ is said to be a normal domain for f if $\overline{\Omega}$ is compact and $\partial(f(\Omega)) = f(\partial\Omega)$. For any normal domain $\Omega \subset M$ we have $N_f(\Omega) < \infty$. A condenser (C, A) is a normal condenser if A is a normal domain of f.

LEMMA 5.4. — If $\Omega \subset M$ is a normal domain then $\operatorname{Cap}_{s}(C, A) \leq KN_{f}(\Omega) \operatorname{Cap}_{s} f(C, A)$ for any condenser (C, A) in Ω .

This is a direct consequence of Lemma 6.2 below. See also [44, Th. 4]. $\hfill \Box$

The next lemma sums up the basic topological properties of a discrete and open mapping $f: M \to N$. If $x \in M$ and r > 0, then we denote by U(x, f, r) the connected component of $f^{-1}(B(f(x), r))$ containing x.

LEMMA 5.5. — Let $f: M \to N$ be a continuous discrete and open mapping. Then $\lim_{r\to 0} \dim U(x, f, r) = 0$ for every $x \in M$. If $\overline{U}(x, f, r)$ is compact then U(x, f, r) is a normal domain and f(U(x, f, r)) = B(f(x), r). Furthermore, for every point $x \in N$ there is a positive number σ_x such that the following conditions are satisfied for $0 < r \leq \sigma_x$:

- i) U(x, f, r) is a normal neighborhood of x,
- ii) $U(x, f, r) = U(x, f, \sigma_x) \cap f^{-1}(B(f(x), r)),$
- iii) $\partial U(x, f, r) = U(x, f, \sigma_x) \cap f^{-1}(S(f(x), r))$ if $r < \sigma_x$,
- iv) $M \setminus U(x, f, r)$ is connected if M is connected,
- v) $M \setminus \overline{U}(x, f, r)$ is connected if M is connected,

vi) if $0 < r < s \leq \sigma_x$, then $\overline{U}(x, f, r) \subset U(x, f, s)$, and $U(x, f, s) \setminus \overline{U}(x, f, r)$ is a ring.

See [22], [34] or [12] for a proof.

LEMMA 5.6. — Let $f : M \to N$ be as in Theorem 5.1 and $u \in C_0^1(M)$. Then the function $v = f_{t}u$ is ACL.

Recall that a function $v : N \to \mathbb{R}$ is absolutely continuous on lines (ACL) if for any local parametrization $\varphi : Q \to N$ (where $Q = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : a_i \leq y_i \leq b_i\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is some *n*-interval) and for almost all $z \in P_k(Q)$ (= the projection of Q on the hyperplane $y_k = 0$), the one-variable function $t \to v(\varphi(z + t\mathbf{e}_k))$ is absolutely continuous.

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

1770

Proof. — Let us fix some notations. Fix a local parametrization $\varphi : Q \to N$ (where $Q = \{t \in \mathbb{R}^n : a_i \leq t_i \leq b_i\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is some closed *n*-interval). Choose Q small enough so that for any ball $B(y,r) \subset \varphi(Q)$ the domains $U_i := U(x_i, f, r)$ are disjoint normal neighborhoods of x_i for $1 \leq i \leq q$ where $\{x_1, \ldots, x_q\} = f^{-1}(y) \cap \text{supp } u$.

The function $v \circ \varphi^{-1}$ will be simply denoted by $v : Q \to \mathbb{R}$. We need to show that for any l = 1, ..., n and for almost all $z \in P_l(Q)$, the function v is absolutely continuous on the line segment $\beta_z : [a_l, b_l] \to Q$ defined by $\beta_z(t) = z + t\mathbf{e}_l$.

To this aim, we define a set function φ on $P_l(Q)$ by

$$\Phi(A) := \left| U \cap f^{-1} \left(\varphi(A \times [a_l, b_l]) \right) \right|$$

where $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^{q} U_i$ and $A \subset P_l(Q)$ is any Borel set. Then Φ is a completely additive set function in $P_l(Q)$ and from Lebesgue's differentiation theorem, we know that $\Phi'(z) < \infty$ for almost all $z \in P_l(Q)$.

It is known (see [22, Lemma 2.7]) that for every point $x_0 \in U \cap f^{-1}(z + a_l \mathbf{e}_l)$ there exists a path $\alpha : [a_l, b_l] \to U$ such that $\alpha(a_l) = x_0$ and $f \circ \alpha = \varphi \circ \beta_z$. We call such a path a lift of $\beta_z(t) = z + t\mathbf{e}_l$ with base point x_0 ; clearly the number of lifts does not exceed $N_f(U)$.

CLAIM. — Let $\alpha : [a_l, b_l] \to U$ be any lift of β_z . If $\Phi'(z) < \infty$, then α is absolutely continuous.

Since the ACL-property is local it suffices to show that α is ACL in a neighborhood of every point. We may thus restrict our considerations to the case of mappings $f: U \to Q$ where U is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n .

To prove the claim, we fix some arbitrary pairwise disjoint closed segments $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_k \subset (a_l, b_l)$ of lengths b_1, \ldots, b_k . Choose r > 0 small enough so that the sets

$$R_i := \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n | \operatorname{dist}(y, \Delta_i) < r \}$$

are pairwise disjoint. Let $T_i := \bigcup_{z \in \Delta_i} U(\alpha(z), f, r)$, then $(\alpha(\Delta_i), T_i)$ and (Δ_i, R_i) are condensers and $(\Delta_i, R_i) = (f(\alpha(\Delta_i)), f(T_i))$; indeed, we have $f(\alpha(\Delta_i)) = \Delta_i$ and

$$f(T_i) = f\Big(\bigcup_{z \in \Delta_i} U(\alpha(z), f, r)\Big) = \bigcup_{z \in \Delta_i} B(z, f, r) = R_i.$$

From Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3, we have

$$\operatorname{Cap}_{s}(\Delta_{i}, R_{i}) \leq \frac{|R_{i}|}{r^{s}} \leq c_{1}b_{i}r^{n-1-s}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Cap}_{s}(\alpha(\Delta_{i}), T_{i}) \geq c_{2} \frac{(\operatorname{diam} \alpha(\Delta_{i}))^{s/(n-1)}}{|T_{i}|^{(1-n+s)/(n-1)}}.$$

These inequalities, together with Lemma 5.4, imply

$$\operatorname{diam} \alpha(\Delta_i) \leqslant c_3 \, b_i^{\frac{n-1}{s}} \left(\frac{|U \cap T_i|}{r^{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{1-n+s}{s}}$$

where the constant c_3 depends on previous constants, K and $N_f(\text{supp } u)$.

Set $E(z,r) = \{y \in Q : \operatorname{dist}(y, \beta_z([a_i, b_i])) < r\}$, then $\bigcup_{i=1}^k T_i \subset f^{-1}(E(z,r))$. Summing the previous inequality over $i = 1, \ldots, k$ and applying Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{diam} \alpha(\Delta_i) \leqslant c_4 \left(\frac{|U \cap f^{-1}(E(z,r))|}{r^{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{1-n+s}{s}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i \right)^{\frac{n-1}{s}}.$$

Letting $r \to 0$, we find that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{diam} \alpha(\Delta_i) \leqslant c_5 \, \varphi'(z) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i\right)^{\frac{n-1}{s}},$$

hence α is absolutely continuous if $\varphi'(z) < \infty$.

We now conclude the proof of the lemma as follows: Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_d$ be all the lifts of the segment β_z . If $\Phi'(z) < \infty$, then $u \circ \alpha_i$ is absolutely continuous since u is C^1 and α_i is absolutely continuous. We conclude that $v \circ \beta_z$ is absolutely continuous since

$$v \circ \beta_z = \max_i u \circ \alpha_i.$$

LEMMA 5.7. — Let $f: M \to N$ be as in Theorem 5.1, then $J_f = 0$ almost everywhere on the branch set and the image of the branch set has measure zero.

Proof. — Because f has bounded s-distortion and s > (n - 1), $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,s}$, it then follows from 3.4 that f is differentiable almost everywhere.

Suppose that f is differentiable at x and $J_f(x) > 0$, then the index j(x, f) = 1 (because the map is continuous open and discrete and the topological degree is stable under homotopy, see e.g. pp. 15-21 in [34]).

If j(x, f) = 1, then $x \notin B_f$ (see [34, Proposition 4.10]); it follows that $J_f = 0$ a.e. on B_f .

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Because f is assumed to satisfy Lusin's property, we can use the area formula (Proposition 3.3) to conclude that $f(B_f)$ has measure zero:

$$\nu(f(B_f)) \leqslant \int_N \left(\sum_{f(x)=y} \chi_{B_f(x)}\right) d\nu(y) = \int_M \chi_{B_f(x)} J_f(x) d\mu(x) = 0.$$

Proof of Theorem 5.1. — To conclude the proof of the theorem it only remains to check the integrability of dv. To do this we first observe that Vitali's covering Theorem implies

$$\operatorname{supp} v \setminus f(B_f \cap \operatorname{supp} u) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B(y_i, r_i) \cup A,$$

where B_f is the branch set of $f, A \subset N$ is a set with $\nu(A) = 0$ and $B(y_i, r_i)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, are mutually disjoint balls small enough so that the components of $f^{-1}(B(y_i, r_i))$ which meet the support of u form a finite disjoint collection $D_{i_1}, D_{i_2}, \ldots, D_{i_k}$ of open subsets of M such the restrictions of f define homeomorphisms $f_j: D_{i_j} \to B(y_i, r_i), j = 1, \ldots k$.

By Theorem 4.1, the inverse of f_i , i.e. the map $g_j := f_j^{-1} : B(y_i, r_i) \to D_{i_j}$ is ACL, furthermore, we have $|dg_j|^p \leq K^{p-1}J_{g_j}$ a.e. Hence we obtain

$$|dv(z)|^{p} \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} |du(g_{j}(z))|^{p} |dg_{j}(z)|^{p} \leq K^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k} |du(g_{j}(z))|^{p} J_{g_{i}}(z)$$

for almost every $z \in B(y_i, r_i)$. This implies

$$\begin{split} \int_{B(y_i,r_i)} |dv(z)|^p \, d\nu \leqslant K^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{B(y_i,r_i)} |du(g_j(z))|^p J(z,g_j) \, d\nu \leqslant K^{p-1} \cdot \\ \int_{f^{-1}(B(y_i,r_i))} |du|^p \, d\mu. \end{split}$$

From Lemma 5.7, we know that $\nu(f(B_f)) = 0$ and $J_f = 0$ a.e. on B_f ; we thus have from the area formula

$$\begin{split} \int_{N} |dv(z)|^{p} \, d\nu &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{B(y_{i},r_{i})} |dv(z)|^{p} \, d\nu \leqslant K^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{f^{-1}(B(y_{i},r_{i}))} |du|^{p} \, d\mu \\ &\leqslant K^{p-1} \int_{M} |du|^{p} \, d\mu. \end{split}$$

6. Proofs of the main theorems.

6.1. Proof of Theorem A.

Let us recall the statement :

THEOREM A. — Let $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(M,N)$ be a continuous open and discrete mapping with bounded s-distortion where s > (n-1). Assume also that f satisfies Lusin's property. If M is p-parabolic with $p = \frac{s}{s-(n-1)}$, then N is also p-parabolic.

Proof. — Let $D \subset M$ be a compact subset with non empty interior. Because f is a continuous and open map, $f(D) \subset N$ is also a compact set with non empty interior. By Corollary 5.1 we have

$$\operatorname{Cap}_p(f(D), N) \leqslant \operatorname{Cap}_p(f(D), fM) \leqslant K^{p-1} \operatorname{Cap}_p(D, M),$$

hence if M is p-parabolic then so is N.

6.2. Proofs of Theorems C and B.

The proofs will use the following criterion for hyperbolicity which is due to V. Gol'dshtein and M. Troyanov (see [9]).

THEOREM 6.1. — Let M be an oriented connected Riemannian manifold M. Then the following are equivalent $(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1)$:

1) M is p-hyperbolic;

2) there exists a smooth form $\alpha \in L^q(M, \Lambda^{n-1})$ such that $d\alpha \ge 0$ and $\int_M d\alpha \ne 0$;

3) there exists a form $\alpha \in L^q(M, \Lambda^{n-1})$ such that $d\alpha \ge 0$ and $\int_M d\alpha \ne 0$;

4)
$$H^n_{\operatorname{comp},q}(M) = 0.$$

The cohomology space $H^n_{\text{comp},q}(M)$ is the space of all closed differential forms of degree n with compact support modulo the differential of (n-1)-forms in L^q .

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

We will also need the following lemma :

LEMMA 6.1. — Let $f: M \to N$ be a mapping of class $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}$ with essentially finite multiplicity and bounded q-codistortion: $|\Lambda_{n-1}f|^q \leq K J_f$. Then

$$\Lambda_{n-1}f: L^q(N, \Lambda^{n-1}) \to L^q(M, \Lambda^{n-1})$$

is a bounded operator with norm $\leq (K \cdot N_f(M))^{1/q}$.

(Recall that $N_f(A) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_y \operatorname{Card}(A \cap f^{-1}(y))$ for any set $A \subset M$.)

Proof. — Let
$$\beta \in L^q(N, \Lambda^{n-1})$$
, then

$$\int_M |\Lambda_{n-1}f(\beta)|^q d\mu \leqslant K \int_M |\beta_{f(x)}|^q J_f(x) d\mu$$

$$= K \int_N \left(\sum_{f(x)=y} |\beta_{f(x)}|^q \chi_{M \setminus E_f}(x) \right) d\nu$$

$$\leqslant (K \cdot N_f(M)) \int_N |\beta|^q d\nu.$$

We now prove Theorem C; we restate it in the following form:

THEOREM C. — Let $f: M \to N$ be a mapping of essentially finite multiplicity with bounded q-codistortion where q > 1 and such that $J_f > 0$ on some set of positive measure. Assume furthermore either

1) $f \in W^{1,n-1}_{loc}(M,N)$ and $|\Lambda_{n-1}f| \in L^{n/(n-1)}_{loc}(M)$, or

2) f is almost absolutely continuous, $f \in W^{1,s}_{\text{loc}}(M,N)$ for some s > (n-1) and $J_f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(M)$.

If N is p-hyperbolic with $p = \frac{q}{q-1}$, then M is also p-hyperbolic

Proof. — Let us choose a bounded Borel set $U \subset M$ such that U has positive measure, f(U) is bounded and $J_f > 0$ on U. Observe that, by the area formula, $\nu(f(U)) > 0$.

Choose a non negative smooth function $h: N \to \mathbb{R}$ with compact support and such that h > 0 in a neighborhood of f(U). Since N is p-hyperbolic, $H^n_{\text{comp},q}(N) = 0$, hence there exists an (n-1)-form $\beta \in L^q(N, \Lambda^{n-1})$ such that $d\beta = h \cdot \omega_N$ (ω_M and ω_N are the volume forms of M and N respectively).

TOME 52 (2002), FASCICULE 6

By Lemma 6.1, we have $\alpha := f^*\beta \in L^q(M, \Lambda^{n-1})$. We then have from Lemma 3.4

$$d\alpha = f^*(d\beta) = (h \circ f) \cdot f^*\omega_N = (h \circ f) \cdot J_f \,\omega_M.$$

Thus $d\alpha \ge 0$ and $\int_M d\alpha \ge \int_U (h \circ f) \cdot J_f d\mu > 0$ and we conclude by Theorem 6.1 that M is p-hyperbolic.

Finally, we deduce Theorem B from Theorem C.

THEOREM B. — Let $f \in W^{1,s}_{loc}(M,N)$ be a mapping of essentially finite multiplicity with bounded s-distortion where s > (n-1). Assume either

1)
$$|\Lambda_{n-1}f| \in L^{n/(n-1)}_{loc}(M)$$
, or

2) f is almost absolutely continuous and $J_f \in L^1_{loc}(M)$.

If M is p-parabolic and N is p-hyperbolic with p = s/(s - (n - 1)), then f is constant a.e.

Proof. — Let q = p/(p-1). Then s = q(n-1) and from Lemma 2.1 we know that if f has bounded s-distortion, then it has bounded q-codistortion. Hence by Theorem C, we have $J_f = 0$ a.e. and thus |df| = 0 a.e. since $|df|^s \leq KJ_f$. As f is a Sobolev mapping, we conclude that f is constant a.e.

6.3. Proof of Theorem D.

LEMMA 6.2. — Let $f \in W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(M,N)$ be a mapping with bounded s-distortion and essential finite multiplicity. Then $f^* : \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N) \to \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(M)$ is a bounded operator with operator norm at most $(K N_f(M))^{1/s}$.

Proof. — Let us first consider a function $v \in C^1(N) \cap \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(M)$. Then $u := f^*v \in W^{1,1}_{loc}(M)$ and $du_x = df^t_x(dv_{f(x)})$. Hence we have almost everywhere $|du|^s \leq |dv|^s |df|^s \leq K |dv|^s J_f$. From the area formula we thus obtain

$$\int_{M} |du_{x}|^{s} d\mu(x) \leqslant K \int_{M} |dv_{f(x)}|^{s} J_{f}(x) d\mu(x)$$
$$= K \int_{N} \left(\sum_{f(x)=y} |dv_{f(x)}|^{s} \chi_{M \setminus E_{f}}(x) \right) d\nu(y)$$
$$\leqslant K N_{f}(M) \int_{N} |dv|^{s} d\nu(y).$$

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Thus $u \in \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(M)$ and $||u||_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(M)} \leq (K N_f(M))^{1/p} ||v||_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N)}$.

Using the argument on page 673 of [44], we can extend this estimate from functions $v \in C^1(N) \cap \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(M)$ to all functions $v \in \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N)$. This proves that the norm of the operator $f^* : \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N) \to \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(M)$ is bounded by $(KN_f(M))^{1/s}$.

Recall the statement of Theorem D:

THEOREM D. — Let $f \in W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(M, N)$ be a continuous non constant proper mapping with bounded s-distortion of finite essential multiplicity. If N is s-parabolic then so is M.

Proof. — Let $D \in M$ be a compact set; then $D' = f(D) \subset N$ is also compact and, by hypothesis, it has zero *p*-capacity. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, one can thus find a continuous function $v \in \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N)$ with compact support and such that $v \equiv 1$ on D' and $\int_N |dv|^s \leq \varepsilon$.

Since f is a proper map, the function $u := f^*(v)$ also has compact support and, clearly, $u \equiv 1$ on D. Let A be the norm of the operator $f^*: \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N) \to \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(M)$; we know by Lemma 6.2 that A is finite. We then have $\int_M |du|^s \leq A^s \int_N |dv|^s \leq A^s \varepsilon$. Hence D has zero p-capacity and we conclude that M is p-parabolic.

7. Complements.

7.1. A topological result.

A famous theorem of Yu. Reshetnyak states that a non constant quasiregular mapping is open and discrete. We formulate below a generalization of this theorem established recently by S. Vodop'yanov's in [41], which provides topological properties for mappings with integrable distortion.

THEOREM 7.1. — Let $f \in W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(M,N)$ be a continuous non constant mapping with nonnegative Jacobian $J_f(x) \ge 0$ and $K(x) = \frac{|df_x|^n}{J_f(x)} \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(M)$ for some n-1 . Assume either

- 1) $|\Lambda_{n-1}f| \in L^{n/(n-1)}_{loc}(M)$, or
- 2) f is almost absolutely continuous and $J_f \in L^1_{loc}(M)$.

Then f is discrete and open.

Remarks. — 1) If the manifolds are two-dimensional, then the condition $n-1 , can be relaxed to <math>1 \leq p \leq 2$.

(2) This result was also proven in [13] and [21] under the assumption $f \in W^{1,n}_{\text{loc}}(M,N)$. It has been also recently proved in [18] under different analytical assumptions.

As a consequence of Theorem 7.1 we obtain topological properties for mappings with bounded *s*-distortion. The next assertion gives a positive answer to the question 10.8 of [28].

COROLLARY 7.1. — Let $f \in W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(M,N)$ be a continuous non constant mapping with bounded s-distortion where $n-1 < s \leq n$. Assume either

- 1) $|\Lambda_{n-1}f| \in L^{n/(n-1)}_{loc}(M)$, or
- 2) f is almost absolutely continuous and $J_f \in L^1_{loc}(M)$.

Then f is discrete and open.

Remark. — This result does not hold if s > n. Consider for instance the map $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |x| \le 1, \\ ||x| - 1|^{\alpha} \frac{x}{|x|} & \text{if } 1 \le |x| \le 2, \\ ||x| - 1| \frac{x}{|x|} & \text{if } |x| \ge 2, \end{cases}$$

for some $\alpha > 1$. Then f is Lipschitz and has bounded *s*-distortion for $s = \frac{n\alpha - 1}{\alpha - 1} > n$. Clearly f is neither open nor discrete; however f has finite essential multiplicity.

Proof. — We suppose that $|df|^s \leq CJ_f$ a.e. for some n - 1 < s < n. Let us define the function

$$K_f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{|df_x|^n}{J_f(x)} & \text{if } J_f(x) \neq 0, \\ 1 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Set $p = \frac{s}{n-s}$, we have at almost all points where $J_f(x) \neq 0$,

$$|K_f|^p = \frac{|df|^{np}}{J_f^p} \leqslant C^{np/s} \frac{J_f^{np/s}}{J_f^p} = C^{np/s} J_f^{p(n/s-1)} \leqslant C^{np/s} J_f.$$

Thus $K_f \in L^p_{\text{loc}}$. Since n - s < 1, we have p > s > n - 1 and we can conclude the proof from Theorem 7.1.

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

COROLLARY 7.2. — Let $f \in W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(M,N)$ be a mapping with bounded q-codistortion where

(5)
$$\frac{(n-1)^2}{1+(n-1)(n-2)} < q \leqslant \frac{n(n-1)}{1+n(n-2)}.$$

Assume that $J_f > 0$ a.e. and either

- 1) $|\Lambda_{n-1}f| \in L^{n/(n-1)}_{loc}(M)$, or
- 2) f is almost absolutely continuous and $J_f \in L^1_{loc}(M)$.

Then f is discrete and open.

Proof. — By Lemma 2.1, *f* has bounded *s*-distortion for $s = \frac{q}{(n-1)-q(n-2)}$; observe that the inequalities (5) are equivalent to $n-1 < s \leq n$. Thus the corollary follows from Corollary 7.1. □

7.2. On *p*-parabolic manifolds.

A connected oriented Riemannian *n*-manifold M is called *p*-parabolic, $1 \leq p < \infty$, if $\operatorname{Cap}_p(C, M) = 0$ for all compact subsets $C \Subset M$ and *p*-hyperbolic otherwise. In this section, we list some facts concerning *p*parabolicity. We refer to [37], [10], and [45] for further information on this notion.

- a) If M contains one compact subset with nonempty interior having zero p-capacity then M is p-parabolic.
- b) The Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n is *p*-hyperbolic for p < n and *p*-parabolic for any $p \ge n$.
- c) If M is p-hyperbolic, then any domain $\Omega \subset M$ is also p-hyperbolic.
- d) If a closed subset $S \subset M$ with Hausdorff dimension > (n p) is removed from any manifold M and if $M \setminus S$ is connected, then $M \setminus S$ is *p*-hyperbolic.
- e) In particular, if one removes a point x_0 , then $M \setminus \{x_0\}$ is *p*-hyperbolic for all p > n and if one removes a non separating closed subset with nonempty interior $D \subset M$, then $M \setminus D$ is *p*-hyperbolic for all $p \ge 1$.
- f) If the manifold is complete and $Vol(B(x_0, r)) \leq \text{const. } r^d$ then M is p-parabolic for any $p \geq d$ (finer estimates relating the volume growth to parabolicity are in fact available).

g) If the isoperimetric inequality

Area $(\partial \Omega)^{d/(d-1)} \ge \text{const. Vol}(\Omega)$

holds for any big smooth domain $\Omega \subset M$, then M is *p*-hyperbolic for p < d.

h) Suppose that a Sobolev inequality

 $\|u\|_{L^q} \leq \text{const.} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p}$

holds for some $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and all functions $u \in C_0^1(M)$. Then M is p-hyperbolic.

Recall that the *p*-Laplacian is the operator $\Delta_p u := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$. A function is called *p*-superharmonic if $\Delta_p u \leq 0$.

- i) M is *p*-parabolic if and only if every positive *p*-superharmonic function on M is constant.
- j) M is *p*-hyperbolic if and only if there exists a positive Green function for the *p*-Laplacian.
- k) M is 2-hyperbolic if and only if the Brownian motion is transient.
- 1) If M has finite volume, then there exists a number $d \in [1, \infty]$ such that M is p-parabolic for $1 \leq p < d$ and p-hyperbolic for p > d.
- m) For a non compact manifold with bounded geometry, we have the opposite behaviour: there exists a index d, called the *parabolic dimension* of M, such that M is p-hyperbolic for $1 \leq p < d$ and p-parabolic for p > d.
- n) The parabolic dimension is a quasi-isometric invariant of manifolds with bounded geometry.
- o) *n*-parabolicity is a quasi-conformal invariant for any manifolds.

Proof. — The proofs of (a)-(h) and (l)-(n) can be found in [37]. The proofs of (i) and (j) are in [14] (see also [17]). We refer to [10] for (k) and [45] for (o).

7.3. An improvement of a result by Pierre Pansu.

The following result gives an improvement of our Theorem B for Sobolev homeomorphisms with Lusin's property between manifolds with

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

bounded geometry. It was proved by P. Pansu for diffeomorphisms, see [28, corollaire 2.1].

THEOREM. — Let M and N be Riemannian manifolds with bounded geometry, and assume that N satisfies an isoperimetric inequality of order d > n:

Area
$$(\partial \Omega)^{d/(d-1)} \ge \text{const. Vol}(\Omega)$$

for all smooth compact domain $\Omega \subset N$ of volume ≥ 1 (in particular N is *n*-hyperbolic).

If $d\frac{n-1}{d-1} < s < n$, then every homeomorphism $f \in W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(M,N)$ with bounded s-distortion satisfying Lusin's property is a rough quasi-isometry.

Proof. — We know that if f has bounded s-distortion, s > (n-1) and satisfies Lusin's property, then f^{-1} has bounded p-distortion where $p = \frac{s}{s-(n-1)}$ (see Theorem 4.1 and the Remark at the end of Section 4). The above theorem thus follows from [28, Théorème 1].

7.4. On Reshetnyak's proof for the case of quasi-regular mappings.

In order to illustrate the alternative approach based on methods of non-linear potential theory, we give a short proof of Liouville's theorem for quasi-regular mappings along Reshetnyak's ideas.

THEOREM. — Let $f : M \to N$ be a non constant quasi-regular mapping between oriented *n*-dimensional Riemannian manifolds. Assume that M is *n*-parabolic, then so is N.

Proof. — Assume that $f: M \to N$ is a non constant quasi-regular mapping, then it is known (see [31, Th. 6.4, chap. II]) that f is an open map; in particular $N' := f(M) \subset N$ is open. If N is n-hyperbolic, then so is N' and, by [14, Th. 5.2], we know that there exists a non constant positive nsuperharmonic function $v: N' \to \mathbb{R}$. The function $u = f^*v = v \circ f: M \to \mathbb{R}$ is then A-superharmonic where A is the pull back to M of the operator $TN' \to TN'$ given by $\eta \to |\eta|^{n-2}\eta$ (see [31, Th. 11.2, chap. II] or [12, Th. 14.42]). By [14, Th. 5.2] again, one concludes that M is also n-hyperbolic, contradicting the hypothesis. □

Final remarks. (1) The argument of Martio, Väisälä and Rickman are based on capacity estimates in the spirit of our proof of Theorem A (see [22]).

2) Another proof can be found in [3]. This paper gives other obstructions to the existence of quasi-regular mappings.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- M. CHAMBERLAND and G. MEISTER, A Mountain Pass to the Jacobian Conjecture, Canad. Math. Bull., Vol. 41 (1998), 442–451.
- V. M. CHERNIKOV and S. K. VODOP'YANOV, Sobolev Spaces and Hypoelliptic Equations, II, Siberian Advances in Mathematics, 6 (1996), 64–96.
- [3] T. COULHON, I. HOLOPAINEN and L. SALOFF-COSTE, Harnack Inequality and hyperbolicity for subelliptic *p*-Laplacians with applications to Picard type theorems, Geom. Funct. Anal., 11 (2001), 1139–1191.
- [4] L. C. EVANS and R. F. GARIEPY, Measure Theory and Fine properties of Functions, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC press (1992).
- [5] H. FEDERER, Geometric Measure Theory, Grundlehren der Mathematik, 153 (1969).
- [6] J. LELONG-FERRAND, Étude d'une classe d'applications liées à des homomorphismes d'algèbres de fonctions et généralisant les quasi-conformes, Duke Math. J., 40 (1973), 163–186.
- [7] K. GAFATTI, Algèbre de Royden et Homéomorphismes p-dilatation bornée entre espaces métriques mesurés, Thèse, EPFL Lausanne (2001).
- [8] V. GOL'DSHTEIN, L. GUROV and A. ROMANOV, Homeomorphisms that induce Monomorphisms of Sobolev Spaces, Israel J. of Math., 91 (1995), 31–60.
- [9] V. GOL'DSHTEIN and M. TROYANOV, The Kelvin-Nevanlinna-Royden criterion for p-parabolicity, Math. Zeitschrift, 232 (1999), 607–619.
- [10] A. GRIGOR'YAN, Analytic and Geometric Background of Recurence and Non-Explosion of the Brownian Motion on Riemannian Manifolds, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 36 (1999), 135–242.
- P. HAJIASZ, Change of Variables Formula under Minimal Assumptions, Colloquium Mathematicum, 64 (1993), 93–101.
- [12] J. HEINONEN, T. KILPELÄINEN and O. MARTIO, Non Linear Potential Theory of Degenerate Elliptic Equations, Oxford Math. Monographs (1993).
- [13] J. HEINONEN and P. KOSKELA, Sobolev Mappings with Integrable Dilatation, Arch. Rational Mech.Anal., 125 (1993), 81–97.
- [14] I. HOLOPAINEN, Non linear Potential Theory and Quasiregular Mappings on Riemannian Manifolds, Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae Series A, 74 (1990).
- [15] I. HOLOPAINEN, Rough isometries and p-harmonic functions with finite Dirichlet integral, Revista Mathemática Iberoamericana, 10 (1994), 143–175.
- [16] T. IWANIEC, P. KOSKELA and J. ONNINEN, Mappings of finite distortion: Monotonicity and continuity, Preprint.

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

- [17] M. KANAI, Rough isometries and the parabolicity of Riemannian manifolds, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 38, n^o 2 (1986), 227–238.
- [18] J. KAUHANEN, P. KOSKELA and J. MALY, Mappings of finite distortion: discreteness and openess, University of Jyvvaskylä (2000), preprint 226.
- [19] J. MALŶ, Absolutely continuous functions of several variables, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 231 (1999), 492–508.
- J. MALY and O. MARTIO, Lusin's condition (N) and mappings of the class W^{1,n}, J. Reine Angew. Math., 458 (1995), 19–36.
- [21] J. J. MANFREDI and E. VILLAMOR, An extension of Reshetnyak's theorem, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 47, nº 3 (1998), 1131–1145.
- [22] O. MARTIO, S. RICKMAN and J. VÄISÄLÄ, Definitions for Quasiregular Mappings, Ann. Acad. Sc. Fenn., 448 (1969), 5–40.
- [23] O. MARTIO and W. P. ZIEMER, Lusin's condition (N) and mappings of non negative Jacobian, Michigan. Math. J., 39 (1992), 495–508.
- [24] V.G. MAZ'YA, Sobolev Spaces, Springer Verlag, 1985.
- [25] V. MAZ'YA and T. SHAPOSHNIKOVA, Theory of Multipliers in Spaces of Differentiable Functions, Pitman, 1985.
- [26] S. MÜLLER, T. QI and B.S. YAN, On a new class of elastic deformations not allowing for cavitation, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, 11 (1994), 217–243.
- [27] S. MÜLLER and S. SPECTOR, An existence theory for nonlinear elasticity that allows for cavitation, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 131, n° 1 (1995), 1–66.
- [28] P. PANSU, Difféomorphismes de p-dilatation bornées, Ann. Acad. Sc. Fenn., 223 (1997), 475–506.
- [29] P. PANSU, Cohomologie L^p , espaces homogènes et pincement, preprint, Orsay 1999.
- [30] M. REIMAN, Über harmonishe Kapazität und quasikonforme Abbildungen in Raum., Comm. Math. Helv., 44 (1969), 284–307.
- [31] Yu. G. RESHETNYAK, Space Mappings with Bounded Distortion, Translation of Math. Monographs, 73 (1989).
- [32] Yu. G. RESHETNYAK, Mappings with bounded distortion as extremals of integrals of Dirichlet type, Siberian Math. Journal, 9 (1968), 652–666.
- [33] Yu. G. RESHETNYAK, Sobolev classes of functions with values in a metric space, Siberian Math. Journal, 38 (1997), 657–675.
- [34] S. RICKMAN, Quasi-regular Mappings, Springer Ergebnisse der Mathematik, 26 (1993).
- [35] S. RICKMAN, Topics in the Theory of Quasi-regular Mappings, Aspekte der Mathematik, E12 (Kulkarni and Pinkall eds) (1988).
- [36] C. J. TITUS and G. S. YOUNG, The extension of interiority, with some applications, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 103 (1962), 329–340.
- [37] M. TROYANOV, Parabolicity of Manifolds, Siberian Adv. Math., 9, nº 4 (1999), 1–25.
- [38] S. K. VODOP'YANOV, Taylor Formula and Function Spaces (in Russian), Novosibirsk University (1988).
- [39] S. K. VODOP'YANOV, Geometric Properties of Function Spaces (in Russian), D. Sc. Thesis, Novosibirsk, Sobolev Institute of Mathematics (1992).

- [40] S. K. VODOP'YANOV, Monotone Functions and Quaiconformal Mappings on Carnot Groups, Siberian Math. J., 37, n^o 6 (1996), 1269–1295.
- [41] S. K. VODOP'YANOV, Topological and Geometric Properties of Mappings of Sobolev Spaces with Integrable Jacobian I, Siberian Math. J., 41, nº 1 (2000), 23–48.
- [42] S. K. VODOP'YANOV, Mappings with Bounded Distortion and with Finite Distortion on Carnot Groups, Siberian Math. J., 40, n° 4 (1999), 764–804.
- [43] S. VODOP'YANOV and V. GOL'DSHTEIN, Quasi-conformal mapping and spaces of functions with generalized first derivatives, Siberian Math. J., 17, n^o 4 (1977), 515–531.
- [44] S. VODOP'YANOV and A. UKHLOV, Sobolev Spaces and (P, Q)-Quasiconformal Mappings of Carnot Groups, Siberian Math. J., 39, n° 4 (1998), 665–682.
- [45] V. A. ZORICH and V. M. KESEL'MAN, On the Conformal Type of a Riemannian Manifold, Func. Anal. and Appl., 30 (1996), 106–117.
- [46] V. A. ZORICH, A theorem of M.A. Lavrent'ev on quasiconformal space maps, Mat.Sb., 74, 116 (1967), 417–433; English transl. in Math. USSR Sb., 3 (1967).

Manuscrit reçu le 7 novembre 2000, révisé le 26 avril 2002, accepté le 26 juin 2002.

Marc TROYANOV, E.P.F.L. Institut de Mathématiques CH-1015 Lausanne (Switzerland). marc.troyanov@epfl.ch Sergei VODOP'YANOV, Sobolev Institute of Mathematics Novosibirsk 630090 (Russia).

vodopis@math.nsc.ru

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER