## Cometabolism of Cr(VI) by Shewanella oneidensis MR-1 Produces Cell-Associated Reduced Chromium and Inhibits Growth

# Sarah S. Middleton,<sup>1</sup> Rizlan Bencheikh Latmani,<sup>2</sup> Mason R. Mackey,<sup>3</sup> Mark H. Ellisman,<sup>3</sup> Bradley M. Tebo,<sup>2</sup> Craig S. Criddle<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, California 943051; telephone: (650) 723-9032; fax: (650) 725-3164; e-mail: criddle@stanford.edu. <sup>2</sup>Marine Biology Research Division and Center for Marine Biotechnology and Biomedicine, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California—San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093-02022 <sup>3</sup>National Center for Microscopy and Imaging Research, University of California-San Diego, La Jolla, California 920933

Received 4 November 2002; accepted 1 April 2003

Published online 23 June 2003 in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com). DOI: 10.1002/bit.10725

Abstract: Microbial reduction is a promising strategy for chromium remediation, but the effects of competing electron acceptors are still poorly understood. We investigated chromate (Cr(VI)) reduction in batch cultures of Shewanella oneidensis MR-1 under aerobic and denitrifying conditions and in the absence of an additional electron acceptor. Growth and Cr(VI) removal patterns suggested a cometabolic reduction; in the absence of nitrate or oxygen, MR-1 reduced Cr(VI), but without any increase in viable cell counts and rates gradually decreased when cells were respiked. Only a small fraction (1.6%) of the electrons from lactate were transferred to Cr(VI). The 48-h transformation capacity (Tc) was 0.78 mg (15  $\mu$ moles) Cr(VI) reduced  $\cdot$  [mg protein]<sup>-1</sup> for high levels of Cr(VI) added as a single spike. For low levels of Cr(VI) added sequentially, Tc increased to 3.33 mg (64 µmoles) Cr(VI) reduced · [mg protein]<sup>-1</sup>, indicating that it is limited by toxicity at higher concentrations. During denitrification and aerobic growth, MR-1 reduced Cr(VI), with much faster rates under denitrifying conditions. Cr(VI) had no effect on nitrate reduction at 6 µM, was strongly inhibitory at 45 µM, and stopped nitrate reduction above 200 µM. Cr(VI) had no effect on aerobic growth at 60 µM, but severely inhibited growth above 150 µM. A factor that likely plays a role in Cr(VI) toxicity is intracellular reduced chromium. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) of

Correspondence to: Craig S. Criddle

Contract grant sponsor: the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS) Superfund Basic Research Program

Contract grant numbers: ES04911 (to the Institute for Environmental Toxicology at Michigan State University), ES10337 to the University of California San Diego)

Contract grant sponsor: the National Science Foundation Graduate Fellowship Program (to SM)

Contract grant sponsor: the Swiss National Science Foundation Post Graduate Fellowship (to RBL).

denitrifying cells exposed to Cr(VI) showed reduced chromium precipitates both extracellularly on the cell surface and, for the first time, as electron-dense round globules inside cells. © 2003 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **83**: 627–637, 2003.

**Keywords:** *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1; chromate; reduction; denitrification; inhibition

#### INTRODUCTION

Hexavalent chromium [Cr(VI)] is an Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) priority pollutant and a known carcinogen. Due to its widespread industrial use, it is often found in contaminated groundwater. In order to achieve levels of chromium below the EPA maximum contaminant level (100  $\mu$ g/L), remediation strategies focus on the reduction of Cr(VI) to insoluble trivalent forms, which are relatively stable and nontoxic. The only compounds able to oxidize trivalent chromium at any appreciable rate are manganese oxides (Eary and Rai, 1987). Bioremediation may be effective for the removal of Cr(VI) from groundwater, as many aerobic and anaerobic microorganisms reduce Cr(VI) to Cr(III) while utilizing a wide range of electron donors (Bopp and Ehrlich, 1988; Ishibashi et al., 1990; Shen and Wang, 1993; Rege et al., 1997; Tebo and Obraztsova, 1998; Francis et al., 2000; Myers et al., 2000). However, there are few studies that compare the kinetics of Cr(VI) reduction by bacteria under different electron-accepting conditions or that study the effects of Cr(VI) on the reduction of other electron acceptors.

Shewanella oneidensis MR-1 (formerly Shewanella putrefaciens MR-1) is a facultative Gram-negative bacterium whose respiratory versatility has prompted interest in its use in bioremediation. A nonfermenting bacterium, MR-1 can reduce a variety of compounds, including iron (III), manganese (IV), nitrate, nitrite, thiosulfate, sulfite, trimethylamine N-oxide (TMAO), fumarate, uranium(VI), technetium(VII), Cr(VI), and elemental sulfur (Myers and Nealson, 1988, 1990; Lovley et al., 1989, 1991; Kostka and Nealson, 1995; Lloyd and Macaskie, 1996; Moser and Nealson, 1996; Krause and Nealson, 1997; Myers et al., 2000). Recently, the genome of MR-1 was sequenced by the Institute for Genomic Research, making MR-1 a prime candidate for physiological and gene expression studies of Cr(VI) reduction. In vitro studies by Myers et al. (2000) localized the chromate reductase activity of MR-1 cultures growing on fumarate to the cytoplasmic membrane. Viamajala et al. (2002a) recently reported Cr(VI) reduction during denitrification in MR-1 and showed that specific rates of Cr(VI) reduction changed with incubation time. They found that nitrate and nitrite inhibited specific rates of Cr(VI) reduction in stationary phase cells and that Cr(VI) inhibited nitrite reduction (Viamajala et al., 2002b). They did not examine the effect of Cr(VI) on nitrate reduction.

For bioremediation applications, it is important to know how various electron acceptors affect the rate of Cr(VI) reduction, as well as how Cr(VI) affects other terminal electron-accepting processes. In a mixed waste setting, bioremediation often hinges on understanding the sequence in which electron acceptors will be reduced. This work evaluates the kinetics and physiology of Cr(VI) reduction in S. oneidensis MR-1 under aerobic and denitrifying conditions and without additional electron acceptors. In addition, we study the effect of Cr(VI) on denitrification and aerobic growth. We show that the maximum specific rates and halfsaturation coefficients are highest for anaerobic conditions. We also show that in both cases Cr(VI) inhibits and, at high enough concentrations, halts growth. A possible mechanism of toxicity is suggested by the presence of intracellular globules containing reduced chromium, as revealed for the first time by EELS images.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Bacterial Cultures**

Shewanella oneidensis MR-1 was isolated from the anoxic sediments of Lake Oneida, NY (Myers and Nealson, 1988). MR-1 was obtained from Oakridge National Laboratory, stored as freezer stocks at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until use, when they were maintained aerobically on LB agar (g/L: tryptone, 10; yeast extract, 5; NaCl, 10; agar, 12). All experiments were conducted in the medium of Myers and Nealson (1988) with the following composition: 9.0 mM (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 5.7 mM K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 3.3 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 2.0 mM NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 1.01 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.485 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 67.2  $\mu$ M Na<sub>2</sub>EDTA, 56.6  $\mu$ M H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, 10.0  $\mu$ M NaCl, 5.4  $\mu$ M FeSO<sub>4</sub>, 5.0  $\mu$ M CoSO<sub>4</sub>, 5.0  $\mu$ M Ni(NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, 3.87  $\mu$ M Na<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>, 1.5  $\mu$ M Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>, 1.26  $\mu$ M MnSO<sub>4</sub>, 1.04  $\mu$ M ZnSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.2  $\mu$ M CuSO<sub>4</sub>, L-arginine HCl (20 mg/L), L-glutamine (20 mg/L),

DL-serine (40 mg/L), 0.02% yeast extract, and 0.01% bactopeptone. When yeast extract and bactopeptone were excluded from the medium, no growth occurred.

#### **Anaerobic Batch Culture Studies**

Experiments were conducted in a Coy anaerobic chamber under an atmosphere of 10% hydrogen/balance nitrogen, unless otherwise noted. Inocula were grown overnight aerobically in Luria Broth (LB) liquid medium into late log phase at 30°C with shaking. The suspension was centrifuged, washed twice with sterile minimal medium (recipe above), transferred to the anaerobic chamber, and resuspended in medium (prepared anaerobically using a Widdel flask), then dispensed in 0.5-ml aliquots into sterile 250 mL serum bottles or Wheaton bottles containing 50 mL of sterile anaerobic medium and capped. Initial absorbance readings at 600 nm were ~0.05. Replicates were incubated without agitation at 23-25°C in the anaerobic chamber. Lactate was employed as the carbon and energy source and added to 20 mM (unless otherwise noted) from an autoclaved 1M sodium lactate stock. Formate was added at 20 mM from an autoclaved 1M sodium formate stock. Chromate was added as electron acceptor in the form of potassium chromate (99.9%, Sigma, St. Louis, MO) from an anaerobic 500 µg/ mL stock solution. Samples were withdrawn in the anaerobic chamber, filtered with a 0.2  $\mu$  filter and stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C until analysis. All stock solutions were stored in serum bottles with a nitrogen headspace and kept in an anaerobic chamber.

In experiments testing for Cr(VI) reduction during denitrification, nitrate was added to ~2 mM from a 2M anaerobic stock solution. After 1–2 h, when the nitrate concentration had begun to decrease, cultures were spiked with Cr(VI) (to a desired concentration ranging from 10–400  $\mu$ M). In experiments testing for growth using Cr(VI) as sole electron acceptor, 50–75  $\mu$ M Cr(VI) was added in sequential spikes to medium without other electron acceptors. In rate experiments, cultures were spiked with Cr(VI) at 10– 400  $\mu$ M. Samples were periodically removed and analyzed for soluble Cr(VI), nitrate, nitrite, and viable cell count, if applicable. In addition, samples (2 mL) were centrifuged and the pellets stored at –20°C for subsequent total cellular protein analysis.

#### **Aerobic Batch Culture Studies**

Inocula were grown overnight in LB liquid medium into late log phase at 30°C with shaking. The suspension was centrifuged, washed twice with sterile minimal medium (recipe above), resuspended in medium, then transferred in 1-ml aliquots to 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks containing 50 ml of sterile medium. Cultures were incubated at room temperature with shaking (200 rpm) until OD<sub>600</sub> readings indicated log phase growth (~3.5 h). Potassium chromate was then added to give concentrations ranging from 10–400  $\mu$ M. Subsamples were periodically removed and analyzed for soluble Cr(VI) and OD<sub>600</sub>. In addition, 2-mL samples were centrifuged and the pellets stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C for subsequent total cellular protein analysis.

#### Analytical Methods

Soluble Cr(VI) was determined colorimetrically using 1,5diphenyl-carbazide in a sulfuric acid solution (pH 2) (Sandell, 1959). Samples were filtered with 0.2 µm filters prior to analysis. Absorbance was measured at 540 nm using a Uvikon XL Spectrophotometer (Bio-Tek Instruments). Total soluble Cr was measured using a TJA IRIS Advantage/ 1000 Radial ICAP Spectrometer with a solid state CID Detector. Nitrate and nitrite were measured using ion chromatography with a LC 20 ion chromatograph (Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA) equipped with CD 25 detector and an Ion-Pac AS11-HC (4 mm) column (Dionex) using a sodium hydroxide buffer (25 mM NaOH) at a flow rate of 1.5 ml/min. Lactate and acetate were determined using ion chromatography with a 1 mM NaOH buffer. Protein was measured using the Bradford assay (Sapan et al., 1999). Viable cell numbers were determined by colony counts on LB medium.

#### **Reaction Kinetics**

Kinetics were described with a saturation kinetics expression:

$$-dCr(VI)/dt = q_{max}[Cr(VI)/(K + Cr(VI)]*X]$$

where dCr(VI)/dt = Cr(VI) reduction of rate [µmoles Cr(VI) reduced/L-h];  $q_{max} =$  maximum specific Cr(VI) reduced/mg biomass (protein)-h]; K = half-saturation coefficient [µmoles Cr(VI)/L]; X = biomass concentration [mg biomass (as protein)/L]; Cr(VI) = Cr(VI) concentration [µmoles/L]. Kinetic parameters were obtained using a nonlinear least-squares fit of the specific rate data using Micromath's software program The Scientist.

#### **TEM/EELS**

MR-1 cells were grown aerobically overnight on LB liquid medium, pelleted, and washed in growth buffer three times. The washed cells were used to inoculate 180 mL serum bottles containing 5 mM nitrate and 10 mM lactate in the minimal medium previously described. After 16 h ( $OD_{600}$ = 0.6), sodium chromate was added to a final concentration of 0.2 mM. After 24 h, Cr(VI) was completely reduced. The cells were centrifuged and the supernatant discarded. A series of pelleting and resuspension steps followed. Bacterial cells were fixed in a mixture consisting of 2% acrolein, 2% glutaraldehyde, and 2% paraformaldehyde in HEPES (pH 7.5) buffer for 30 min at room temperature. Cells were washed three times in buffer and three times in doubledistilled water, each for 3 min. The cells were dehydrated by an ethanol series of 70%, 90%, and 100% for 5 min each at 4°C, followed by three 5-min changes of absolute ethanol at room temperature. The cells were then infiltrated in a 1:1 mixture of absolute ethanol and Dow Epoxy resin (DER) for 1 h and left overnight in 100% resin. The cells were embedded in DER and cured for 24 h at 60°C. The blocks were thin-sectioned at 120–150 nm using a Leica Ultracut UCT ultramicrotome. Sections were either left unstained or stained with lead for less than 1 min.

The sections were examined by a JEOL 4000× transmission electron microscope (TEM) operated at 397 kV. EELS spectroscopy is based on the energy loss experienced by a TEM beam electron that ionizes an atom as it is transmitted through a thin section. The energy loss associated with the ionization of inner shell electrons is element-specific. Thus, elemental composition can be derived from analyzing the energy loss of electrons. For Cr, the ionization occurs at L<sub>2</sub> and  $L_3$  shells, thus producing a spectrum with two peaks. The peaks correspond to an abrupt increase in electron energy loss immediately above the threshold energy of ionization of an inner shell electron. For transition metals, the valence of the element will affect peak position and the ratio of the area under the  $L_2$  and  $L_3$  peaks (for Cr). Thus, peak position and the peak area ratio of an element are used as fingerprints for a valence state based on the spectra of valence standards. Additionally, by selecting electrons with a specific energy loss the distribution of the corresponding element in the specimen can be visualized (Elemental Mapping). Detailed reviews of the EELS technique are available (Gubbens and Krivanek, 1993; Egerton, 1996).

The electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) spectra and the energy-filtered images were acquired with a Gatan GIF200 charge couple device (CCD) camera and processed by DigitalMicrograph v. 3.4 software. The spectra and filtered images were corrected for dark current and channelto-channel gain variation of the CCD camera. EELS spectra were obtained in the imaging mode of the TEM and in the spectroscopy mode of the GIF system with an energy dispersion of 0.1 eV/channel. The collection angle was  $2\beta =$ 100 mrad. The chromium elemental maps were collected using the three-window method with pre-edges at 545 and 560 eV and a post-edge at 580 eV with a slit width of 20 eV.

EELS spectra were collected for the following standards: sodium chromate, Cr(III) oxide, and Cr(III)Cl<sub>3</sub>. The standards (in powder form) were placed on a carbon-coated Cu grid and placed directly in the sample holder. The sample holder was then placed under vacuum. EELS spectra were analyzed to determine the ratio of the area under the L<sub>3</sub> and L<sub>2</sub> chromium peaks. First, the background subtraction feature of DigitalMicrograph was used to remove the background. The surface area under each peak was determined by integration of a 3 eV region around the top of the peak (a 5eV region gives the same result) using a modified version of the Flat Two Step method (Daulton et al., 2002) and the ratio of the two peaks was calculated.

TEM images of stained sections were also obtained by a JEOL 2000FX microscope operating at 80 kV and equipped with a Teitz TemCam F224  $2K \times 2K$  CCD camera.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Cr(VI) Reduction Without Additional Electron Acceptors Present

The capacity of MR-1 to reduce Cr(VI) in the absence of other electron acceptors was investigated. All experiments were performed in the minimal medium defined in the previous section. MR-1 reduced Cr(VI) with lactate as the electron donor (Fig. 1) but not formate (data not shown). Controls prepared without cells, without lactate, and with autoclaved cells showed little decrease in Cr(VI) concentration (approximately 20, 36, and 20 µM, respectively, over 10 days). Abiotic reduction of Cr(VI) could have resulted from lactate, yeast extract, bactopeptone, or Fe(II) in the medium. In controls with cells but without lactate, yeast extract and bactopeptone could have initially been used as electron donor. Cr(VI) reduction in the absence of electron donor has also been described by Fein et al. (2002). As expected for an anion such as  $CrO_4^{2-}$ , adsorption was not observed at this pH. Cr(VI) reduction in autoclaved controls did not differ from abiotic controls.

MR-1 cultures were unable to grow during the reduction of Cr(VI), as indicated by viable cell count (Fig. 1) and total cellular protein (data not shown). Colony-forming units did not increase after the addition of almost 175  $\mu$ M Cr(VI). In addition, the specific activity of Cr(VI) reduction decreased with each addition of Cr(VI). If Cr(VI) reduction supported growth, the rate of reaction would increase. These results suggest secondary utilization or cometabolism as the mechanism for Cr(VI) reduction.

#### Cr(III) Solubilization

Total soluble chromium was measured at the end of experiments in order to probe for the presence of soluble Cr(III). At the pH of most groundwater, Cr(III) formed from Cr(VI) reduction will precipitate as insoluble Cr(III) hydroxides (Jardine et al., 1999). However, Cr(III) is known to complex with organic ligands (Nieboer and Jusys, 1988). Soluble Cr(III) is commonly computed as the difference between total soluble Cr and total soluble Cr(VI). In medium containing lactate as electron donor, 13-14% of the reduced Cr(III) remained soluble, presumably as a complex to lactate or components of yeast extract or bactopeptone. When 100 mM HEPES was included in the medium as a buffer, the percentage of soluble Cr(III) increased to 47\%. This observation is important for bioremediation of Cr(VI) because the EPA maximum contaminant level (MCL) is measured in terms of *total* soluble Cr, including both soluble Cr(VI) and Cr(III).

#### **Cr(VI) Reduction During Denitrification**

MR-1 can reduce nitrate to nitrite to N<sub>2</sub>O coupled to growth (Krause and Nealson, 1997). Batch studies were performed in minimal medium to study simultaneous Cr(VI) and nitrate reduction. Cultures were spiked with 5 mM lactate and ~2 mM nitrate and allowed to grow for several hours to ensure the onset of nitrate reduction. Cr(VI) was then added at ~6 and 45  $\mu$ M to a subset of the bottles. As shown in Figure 2, in the absence of Cr(VI) lactate was oxidized to acetate, nitrate was reduced to nitrite, and nitrite was almost completely reduced (presumably to N<sub>2</sub>O). When Cr(VI) was added at 6 µM, Cr(VI) was reduced during nitrate and nitrite reduction. Patterns of lactate oxidation, nitrate and nitrite reduction, and growth were nearly identical to controls without Cr(VI). However, when Cr(VI) was added at 45 μM, nitrate reduction was immediately inhibited and nitrite reduction stopped. Cr(VI) concentrations were reduced to the MCL (2 µM). Controls without lactate showed no nitrate reduction (data not shown). No Cr (VI) reduction was observed in abiotic controls containing nitrate, nitrite, and Cr (VI) (data not shown).



Figure 1. Shewanella oneidensis MR-1 reduces Cr(VI) in the absence of other electron acceptors, but does not grow on Cr(VI). Where error bars are shown, values are the average of duplicates and error bars represent the range. 50  $\mu$ M Cr(VI) was added at day 2 and 5. ( $\blacklozenge$ ), MR-1 + lactate + Cr(VI); ( $\triangle$ ), MR-1 + Cr(VI); ( $\blacksquare$ ), Cr(VI) + lactate; ( $\bigcirc$ ), autoclaved MR-1 + lactate + Cr(VI); and (\*), viable cell count for MR-1 + lactate + Cr(VI).



**Figure 2.** MR-1 reduces nitrate and nitrite and oxidizes lactate to acetate in the absence of Cr(VI) (**A**) and with 6  $\mu$ M Cr(VI) (**B**). 45  $\mu$ M Cr(VI) (**C**) severely inhibits the rate of nitrate and nitrite reduction and lactate oxidation. Growth is inhibited by 45  $\mu$ M Cr(VI) or the absence of lactate (**D**). Cr(VI) additions were made at 3 h. **A-C:** (**•**), nitrate; (**•**), lactate; (**□**), acetate; (**△**), Cr(VI). **D:** (**■**), no Cr(VI); (**△**), 6  $\mu$ M Cr(VI); (**−**), 45  $\mu$ M Cr(VI); (**\***), no lactate.



Figure 3. Inhibition of nitrate reduction. As Cr(VI) concentrations increase, the specific rate of nitrate reduction decreases. The initial nitrate concentration was 2  $\mu$ M. Above 190  $\mu$ M Cr(VI), nitrate reduction stops.

Additional experiments elucidated the effect of varying Cr(VI) concentrations on specific rates of nitrate reduction. Cultures were spiked with 2 mM nitrate and allowed to grow for ~30 min before being spiked with Cr(VI). Specific rates of nitrate reduction were computed from data points taken in the first 2 h following addition of Cr(VI). Figure 3 displays the specific rate of nitrate reduction as a function of initial Cr(VI) concentration. Initial rates of nitrate reduction decreased with increasing levels of Cr(VI). Above 190  $\mu$ M Cr(VI), nitrate reduction stopped.

An electron balance was determined for nitrate and chromate reduction by MR-1. Using the following half reactions, the changes in lactate, nitrate, and Cr(VI) concentration could be expressed in terms of moles of electron equivalents:

$$C_{3}H_{5}O_{3}^{-} + 2H_{2}O = C_{2}H_{3}O_{2}^{-} + 4e^{-} + HCO_{3}^{-} + 5H^{-}$$
  
 $NO_{3}^{-} + 2H^{+} + 2e^{-} = NO_{2}^{-} + H_{2}O$   
 $CrO_{4}^{2-} + 4H_{2}O + 3e^{-} = Cr(OH)_{3}(s) + 5OH^{-}$ 

Assuming that the oxidation of lactate to acetate was the dominant oxidation reaction in our system (controls with no lactate showed no nitrate reduction or growth), then the fraction of electrons used for nitrate reduction to nitrite can be compared with the fraction used for Cr(VI) reduction to Cr(III). The fraction of electrons transferred to nitrate was computed as the electron equivalents consumed through nitrate reduction (prior to the onset of nitrite reduction) divided by the corresponding total electron equivalents of lactate oxidized. A value of  $0.6 \pm 0.1$  (n = 6) was obtained in the absence of Cr(VI); a value of  $0.5 \pm 0.1$  (n = 2, range given) was obtained in the presence of Cr(VI). The fraction transferred to Cr(VI) was computed as the electron equivalents consumed by Cr(VI) reduction divided by the corresponding electron equivalents of lactate oxidized over the course of Cr(VI) reduction. A value of  $0.001 \pm 0.0001$  (n =

2, range given) was obtained at 7  $\mu$ M Cr(VI); this value increased to 0.016 ± 0.00003 (n = 3) at 40–50  $\mu$ M Cr(VI). A larger fraction of electrons is transferred to Cr(VI) at higher initial Cr(VI) concentrations where Cr(VI) reduction rates are faster. To ensure that the hydrogen present in the headspace (taken from the anaerobic chamber) did not influence calculations, experiments were repeated using inert gases in the headspace (He and N<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub>). There was no statistical difference in the values.



**Figure 4.** MR-1 reduces Cr(VI) during aerobic growth on lactate (**A**). Growth is inhibited in cultures dosed with 150, 200, and 400  $\mu$ M Cr(VI), but not 60  $\mu$ M (**B**). Values for OD are the average of duplicates, with error bars representing the range. (**●**), 60  $\mu$ M Cr(VI); (**■**), 150  $\mu$ M Cr(VI); (**▲**), 200  $\mu$ M Cr(VI); (**\***), 400  $\mu$ M Cr(VI); (- $\bigcirc$ -) no Cr(VI).

anel A. Reduction of Cr(VI) by aerobically-grown MR-1

**Table I.** 48-h Cr(VI) transformation capacity of *S. oneidensis* MR-1 under three experimental conditions following a single spike of Cr(VI) ranging from  $200-500 \mu$ M.

Experimental condition	Transformation capacity ± one standard deviation [mg Cr(VI) reduced/mg protein]
Resting cells with Cr(VI) as only e- acceptor	$0.78 \pm 0.31 (n = 6)$
In the presence of nitrate	0.54 \pm 0.14 (n = 9)
Aerobic conditions	0.08 \pm 0.02 (n = 6)

These results demonstrate the simultaneous reduction of Cr(VI) and nitrate and the inhibitory effect of Cr(VI) on denitrification. The low fraction of electrons directed to Cr(VI) suggests secondary utilization or a cometabolic process.

#### Cr(VI) Reduction During Aerobic Growth

Studies were performed to study Cr(VI) reduction during aerobic growth. Cr(VI) was added in the early logarithmic phase of growth at varying concentrations. Approximately 100  $\mu$ M Cr(VI) was reduced when lactate was present as electron donor (Fig. 4), irrespective of the amount of Cr(VI) added. In abiotic controls with lactate and Cr(VI), ~23  $\mu$ M was reduced in 20 h (data not shown). As discussed earlier, this reduction was likely due to abiotic reduction of Cr(VI) by medium components. Slightly more Cr(VI) was reduced in controls without electron donor (27  $\mu$ M), as seen previously for anaerobic resting cells. Growth was inhibited in aerobic cultures exposed to 150, 200, and 400  $\mu$ M Cr(VI); 60  $\mu$ M Cr(VI) had little effect (Fig. 4b). A lag time of ~2 h was observed before inhibition of growth occurred.

#### **Transformation Capacity**

When spiked with concentrations of Cr(VI) above 150 µM, MR-1 gradually lost its ability to reduce Cr(VI) with time under both anaerobic and aerobic (Fig. 4) conditions. This may indicate a finite reduction capacity per cell. The concept of transformation capacity (Tc) was first introduced by Alvarez-Cohen and McCarty (1991), who defined it, for resting cells, as the mass of nongrowth substrate transformed per unit of biomass. In this work, Tc was estimated as the mass of Cr(VI) reduced per unit biomass present (mg protein) after 48 h. A duration of 48 h was chosen because the rate of Cr(VI) reduction typically approached zero by this time. The mass of Cr(VI) reduced in controls without cells was subtracted from the overall mass transformed. We used data from resting cultures of MR-1 and from those grown on nitrate and oxygen to compute transformation capacities. These values were significantly different for aerobic and anaerobic conditions and are shown in Table I.

The rate of Cr(VI) reduction decreased gradually for resting cells receiving sequential spikes of 50–75  $\mu$ M Cr(VI) (Fig. 1). However, sequential spikes of lower levels of Cr(VI) allowed for a larger transformation capacity over a longer duration than single spikes of higher Cr(VI) concentrations. In resting anaerobic cultures, up to 3.5 mg (67  $\mu$ M) Cr(VI)  $\cdot$  [mg protein]<sup>-1</sup> was reduced with sequential spikes of ~75  $\mu$ M Cr(VI) over the course of 30 days (data not shown), as opposed to 0.78 mg Cr(VI)  $\cdot$  [mg protein]<sup>-1</sup> for



Figure 5. The rate of Cr(VI) reduction by MR-1 cells is first order with respect to biomass concentration. Cells were resting anaerobically with [Cr(VI)] =  $250 \mu$ M and no other electron acceptors. Values are the average of triplicates  $\pm 1$  SD, ( $\blacklozenge$ ), dCr(VI)/dt.



**Figure 6.** Specific rate of Cr(VI) reduction as a function of Cr(VI) concentration for resting cells of MR-1. Data points are the averages of triplicates with error bars representing  $\pm 1$  SD, except for the value at Cr(VI) = 300  $\mu$ M, which is an average of duplicates where the error bars represent the range. Fitting values for K and  $q_{max}$  are provided in Table 2. ( $\triangle$ ), data; (–), model.

cells exposed to a single spike of Cr(VI) at higher concentrations. This suggests that transformation capacity is limited by Cr(VI) toxicity at higher concentrations. The limited transformation capacity per unit biomass compounded by the inhibitory effect of Cr(VI) on growth could pose a challenge for chromate bioremediation.

#### **Reaction Kinetics**

Studies were performed to evaluate reaction kinetics. The Cr(VI) reduction rate was first order with respect to biomass (Fig. 5). Rate experiments were carried out under the three electron-acceptor conditions studied. Cr(VI) was added at 10–400  $\mu$ M to batch cultures of MR-1. Data points taken in the first 0–2 h following Cr(VI) addition were used to compute initial rates of Cr(VI) reduction, which were then normalized to the biomass present during the same time period. As shown in Figure 6, the kinetic data followed a saturation kinetics pattern, with specific rates approaching a maximum, q<sub>max</sub>, at higher concentrations. Table II provides a summary of the kinetic parameters for all three electronacceptor conditions; q<sub>max</sub> under anaerobic conditions was an

order of magnitude larger than under aerobic conditions. However,  $q_{max}$  for anaerobic cells with and without nitrate were not statistically different, in contrast to results reported by Viamajala et al. (2002). The contrasting results may be explained by differences in experimental conditions such as growth phase of cells and time elapsed during rate measurements, as well as the fact that our parameters were obtained through the modeling of data taken at a wide range of Cr(VI) concentrations.

For all three electron accepting conditions, the values for K (29–88  $\mu$ M) are much larger than the regulatory standard of 1.9  $\mu$ M. This indicates that first-order kinetics would likely limit the rates of Cr(VI) reduction and rates will decrease as concentrations fall. Since *initial* rates of Cr(VI) reduction are used in the computation of q<sub>max</sub> and K, we did not consider the effects of transformation capacity.

#### **TEM/EELS**

TEM images of cells in the presence of chromium showed electron-dense precipitates both around and inside cells (Fig. 7A). The majority of cells contained intracellular pre-

Table II. Kinetic parameters for S. oneidensis MR-1 under three electron-accepting conditions.

Experimental condition	q <sub>max</sub> maximum specific Cr(VI) reduction rate [μmoles Cr(VI) reduced/mg biomass (protein)-h]	K half-saturation coefficient [μmoles Cr(VI)/L]	$r^2$
Resting cells with Cr(VI) as only e- acceptor	8 ± 2	$74 \pm 24$	$0.95 \pm 0.04$
In the presence of nitrate	$6 \pm 2$	88 ± 15	$0.94 \pm 0.03$
Aerobic conditions	$0.6 \pm 0.1$	$29 \pm 6$	$0.9 \pm 0.02$

Values are based on a nonlinear least squares fit of the specific rate data using saturation kinetics (see text). Experiments were performed in triplicate and standard deviations are indicated.



**Figure 7. A:** Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image of *S. oneidensis* MR-1 after reduction of 0.2 mM Cr(VI). Cells were grown on nitrate. Sections with stained with lead. Bar = 1  $\mu$ m. **B:** Electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) was used to create an elemental map of chromium (red) overlaid on a zero energy loss filtered image of an MR-1 cell (section stained with lead). The electron-dense precipitates both inside and outside the cell are reduced chromium, including the electron-dense globules in the cytoplasm. Bar = 25 nm.

cipitates in the form of round globules. Electron-dense precipitates were not observed in cells that were not exposed to chromium (not shown). An elemental map of chromium overlaid on a zero-energy-loss filtered image of an MR-1 cell (stained with lead) showed that the electron-dense precipitates outside the cell and the large electron-dense globules inside the cells were chromium (Fig. 7B). To our knowledge, this is the first report of round globules of reduced chromium within cells. In addition, there was a significant amount of intracellular chromium that was not associated with the globules but diffused throughout the cytoplasm. A study of the peak position and the peak ratio relative to the oxidation state showed that chromium associated with cells (both intracellularly and extracellularly) does not correspond to Cr(VI) (Fig. 8). It appears to be most closely related to Cr(III) but does not overlap either of the two Cr(III) forms probed (chloride and oxide). The structure and position of the L<sub>2</sub> and L<sub>3</sub> chromium peaks depend on the local electronic structure of the atom. Both the oxidation state and the chemical environment of the chromium atoms determine the position and the ratio of the two peaks. Therefore, it is likely that the chromium in and around the cells is in a reduced form (most likely Cr(III)) but the definitive chemical form is unknown. These results strongly suggest that Cr(VI) is transformed inside cells.

A recent EELS study examined Cr(VI) reduction by MR-1 (Daulton et al., 2002). They showed chromium in a reduced oxidation state (probably Cr(III)) surrounding the cells, but did not identify intracellular chromium. Their conditions differed from this study in that the cells were exposed to 0.1 mM Cr(VI) as the sole terminal electron acceptor for 30 days. In our 2-day experiments, Cr(VI) was added at 0.2 mM to cells grown on nitrate as the terminal electron acceptor. The absence of intracellular chromium in their work is not necessarily incompatible with our results. Their study did not include an elemental map of the cell thin section. It is conceivable that there was chromium present intracellularly but did not form the distinctive round globules that we found in our system due to differences in experimental conditions. Other researchers have observed similar round bodies of reduced selenium in the cytoplasm of Ralstonia metallidurans CH34 (Roux et al., 2001).

There are widespread reports of the intracellular interaction of Cr(III) with DNA (Gulanowski et al., 1992; Zhitkovich et al., 1996). Cr(III) has been reported to crosslink the phosphate backbone of DNA with peptides and amino acids such as cysteine and histidine (Zhitkovich et al., 1996). We postulate that the round globules present in a large number of the cells is due to this cross-linking, causing Cr(III) to be bound to phosphate and amino groups. This might explain the imperfect match with the exclusively inorganic standards used to characterize the peak position and  $L_3/L_2$  peak area ratio. Cr(III) interaction with DNA is known to increase the rate of DNA polymerase bypass of DNA lesions resulting in an increase in spontaneous mutagenesis (Snow, 1994). The observed inhibitory effect of Cr(VI) on the growth and metabolism of MR-1 may be due to the deleterious interaction of intracellular Cr(III) with DNA.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Many electron acceptors are present in contaminated systems and often vary spatially and with time. That MR-1 is able to reduce Cr(VI) in environments containing oxygen or nitrate augers well for its use in bioaugmentation applica-



**Figure 8.** A study of the peak position and the peak ratio relative to the oxidation state indicate that the chromium associated with cells (both intracellularly and extracellularly) does not correspond to Cr(VI). Results suggest the chromium is in a reduced state. (–), Cr inside the cells; ( $\bullet$ ), Cr outside the cells; ( $\bullet$ ), Cr(VI) standard; ( $\triangle$ ), Cr(III) oxide standard; ( $\Box$ ), Cr(III)Cl<sub>3</sub> standard.

tions. Bacteria often preferentially utilize more energetically favorable electron acceptors. For example, in the presence of nitrate, reduction of Mn(IV), thiosulfate, and Fe(III) in *Shewanella putrefaciens* 200 was inhibited, indicating that nitrate was the preferred electron acceptor for anaerobic respiration (DiChristina, 1992). Although oxygen and nitrate have half-reaction reduction potentials that are higher or comparable (respectively) to Cr(VI), MR-1 is able to reduce Cr(VI) while reducing both of these electron acceptors. These results suggest that in bioremediation applications, the ability of MR-1 to reduce Cr(VI) would not be inhibited by oxygen or nitrate. However, it is clear that kinetic parameters vary significantly between oxic and anoxic conditions.

Our results also indicate that Cr(VI) inhibits aerobic growth and denitrification above certain levels. This may influence the sequence in which electron acceptors are reduced in a mixed waste setting. For example, the presence of toxic levels of Cr(VI) may hinder denitrification and prevent the redox potential of the system from decreasing to levels where other electron acceptors are reduced. Toxicity also appears to limit Cr(VI) transformation capacity; sequential spikes of lower levels of Cr(VI) allowed for a larger transformation capacity over a longer duration than single spikes of a higher Cr(VI) concentration. Although the mechanism of Cr(VI) toxicity in MR-1 is not well understood, TEM and EELS studies revealed reduced chromium precipitates both within and surrounding cells, including intracellular reduced chromium globules, that may contribute to the inhibitory effects of chromium.

We thank James Bouwer from the National Center for Microscopy and Imaging Research for help with the Gatan Imaging Filter. We also thank Jizhong Zhou for supplying the MR-1 strain used in this study, and Alfred Spormann and Weimin Wu for helpful suggestions on the manuscript.

#### References

- Alvarez-Cohen L, McCarty PL. 1991. A cometabolic biotransformation model for halogenated aliphatic compounds exhibiting product toxicity. Environ Sci Technol 25:1381–1387.
- Bopp LH, Ehrlich HL. 1988. Chromate resistance and reduction in *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain LB300. Arch Microbiol 150:426–431.
- Daulton TL, Little BJ, Lowe K, Jones-Meeham J. 2002. Electron energy loss spectroscopy techniques for the study of microbial chromium(VI) reduction. J Microbiol Methods 50:39–54.
- DiChristina TJ. 1992. The effects of nitrate and nitrite on dissimilatory iron reduction in *Shewanella putrefaciens* 200. J Bacteriol 174:1891–1896.
- Eary L, Rai D. 1987. Kinetics of chromium(III) oxidation to chromium(VI) by reaction with manganese dioxide. Environ Sci Technol 21: 1187–1193.
- Egerton RF. 1996. Electron energy-loss spectroscopy in the electron microscope. New York: Plenum Press.
- Fein JB, Fowle DA, Cahill J, et al. 2002. Nonmetabolic reduction of Cr(VI) by bacterial surfaces under nutrient-absent conditions. Geomicrobiol J 19:369–382.

- Francis CA, Obraztsova AY, Tebo BM. 2000. Dissimilatory metal reduction by the facultative anaerobe *Pantoea agglomerans* SP1. Appl Environ Microbiol 66:543–548.
- Gubbens AJ, Krivanek OL. 1993. Applications of a post-column imaging filter in biology and materials science. Ultramicroscopy 51:146–159.
- Gulanowski B, Swiatek J, Kozlowski H. 1992. Impact of chromium ions on nucleoside triphosphates and nucleic acids. J Inorg Biochem 48: 289–298.
- Ishibashi Y, Cervantes C, Silver S. 1990. Chromium reduction in *Pseudo-monas putida*. Appl Environ Microbiol 56:2268–2270.
- Jardine PM, Fendorf SE, Mayes MA, et al. 1999. Fate and transport of hexavalent chromium in undisturbed heterogeneous soil. Environ Sci Technol 33:2939–2944.
- Kostka JE, Nealson KH. 1995. Dissolution and reduction of magnetite by *S. putrefaciens*. Environ Sci Technol 29:2535–2540.
- Krause B, Nealson KH. 1997. Physiology and enzymology involved in denitrification by *Shewanella putrefaciens*. Appl Environ Microbiol 63:2613–2618.
- Lloyd JR, Macaskie LE. 1996. A novel phosphorimager-based technique for monitoring the microbial reduction of technetium. Appl Environ Microbiol 62:578–582.
- Lovley DR, Phillips EJP, Lonergan DJ. 1989. Hydrogen and formate oxidation coupled to dissimilatory reduction of iron or manganese by *Alteromonas putrefaciens*. Appl Environ Microbiol 55:700–706.
- Lovley DR, Phillips EJP, Gorby YA, et al. 1991. Microbial reduction of uranium. Nature 350:413–415.
- Moser DP, Nealson KH. 1996. Growth of the facultative anaerobe Shewanella putrefaciens by elemental sulfur reduction. Appl Environ Microbiol 62:2100–2105.
- Myers CR, Nealson KH. 1988. Bacterial manganese reduction and growth with manganese oxide as the sole electron acceptor. Science 240: 1319–1321.
- Myers CR, Nealson KH. 1990. Respiration-linked proton translocation coupled to anaerobic reduction of manganese (IV) and iron (III) in *Shewanella putrefaciens* MR-1. J Bacteriol 172:6232–6238.
- Myers CR, Carstens BP, Antholine WE, et al. 2000. Chromium (VI) re-

ductase activity is associated with the cytoplasmic membrane of anaerobically grown *Shewanella putrefaciens* MR-1. J Appl Microbiol 88:98–106.

- Nieboer E, Jusys AA. 1988. Biologic chemistry of chromium. In: Nriagu JO, Nieboer E, editors. Chromium in the natural and human environments. New York: John Wiley & Sons. p 31–33.
- Rege MA, Petersen JN, Johnstone DL, et al. 1997. Bacterial reduction of hexavalent chromium by *Enterobacter cloacae* strain HO1 grown on sucrose. Biotechnol Lett 19:691–694.
- Roux M, Sarret G, Pignot-Paintrand I, et al. 2001. Mobilization of Selenite by *Ralstonia metallidurans* CH34. Appl Environ Microbiol 67: 769–773.
- Sandell EB. 1959. Colorimetric determination of traces of metals. New York: Interscience Publishers.
- Sapan CV, Lundblad RL, Price NC. 1999. Colorimetric protein assay techniques. Biotechnol Appl Biochem 29:99–108.
- Shen H, Wang Y. 1993. Characterization of enzymatic reduction of hexavalent chromium by *Escherichia coli* ATCC 33456. Appl Environ Microbiol 59:3771–3777.
- Snow ET. 1994. Effects of chromium on DNA replication in vitro. Environ Health Perspect 102(Suppl 3):41–44.
- Tebo BM, Obraztsova AY. 1998. Sulfate-reducing bacterium grows with Cr(VI), U(VI), Mn(IV), and Fe(III) as electron acceptors. FEMS Microbiol Lett 162:193–198.
- Viamajala S, Peyton BM, Apel WA, et al. 2002a. Chromate reduction in *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1 is an inducible process associated with anaerobic growth. Biotechnol Prog 18:290–295.
- Viamajala S, Peyton BM, Apel WA, et al. 2002b. Chromate/nitrite interactions in Shewanella oneidensis MR-1: evidence for multiple hexavalent chromium [Cr(VI)] reduction mechanisms dependent on physiological growth conditions. Biotechnol Bioeng 78:770–777.
- Zhitkovich A, Voitkun V, Costa M. 1996. Formation of the amino acid-DNA complexes by hexavalent and trivalent chromium in vitro: importance of trivalent chromium and the phosphate group. Biochemistry 35:7275–7282.