

Southern Methodist University SMU Scholar

Historical Working Papers

Cox School of Business

1-1-1983

Rural Versus Urban Bank Performance: An Analysis of Market Competition for Small Business Loans

Jonathan A. Scott Southern Methodist University

William C. Dunkelberg Purdue University

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholar.smu.edu/business_workingpapers

Part of the Business Commons

This document is brought to you for free and open access by the Cox School of Business at SMU Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in Historical Working Papers by an authorized administrator of SMU Scholar. For more information, please visit http://digitalrepository.smu.edu.

Research and Development School of Business Administration Southern Methodist University Dallas, Texas 75275

RURAL VERSUS URBAN BANK PERFORMANCE: AN ANALYSIS OF MARKET COMPETITION FOR SMALL BUSINESS LOANS

Working Paper 83-112*

by

Jonathan A. Scott

and

William C. Dunkelberg

Jonathan A. Scott Assistant Professor of Finance Edwin L. Cox School of Business Southern Methodist University Dallas, Texas 75275

William C. Dunkelberg Krannert Graduate School of Management Purdue University

This research has been supported by a grant from the Small Business Administration (#SB24-00084-01).

*This paper represents a draft of work in progress by the authors and is being sent to you for information and review. Responsibility for the contents rests solely with the authors. This working paper may not be reproduced or distributed without the written consent of the authors. Please address all correspondence to Jonathan A. Scott.

Abstract

This paper analyzes the competitiveness of rural versus urban banking markets from the perspective of the small business borrower. Interest rates paid on loans, measures of credit availability (e.g., satisfaction with loan terms, loan turndown rates), and bank performance on desired service characteristics are compared across urban and rural banking markets. The results of the analysis suggest that rural banking markets are no less competitive (across many output measures) than urban markets from the small business perspective. However, recent data suggest that the borrowing rate advantage rural firms have had over urban firms had disappeared.

Rural Versus Urban Bank Performance: An Analysis of Market Competition for Small Business Loans

1. Introduction

The deregulation of the banking industry has renewed an interest in studying the competitiveness of banking markets. The removal of branching and holding company restrictions may provide opportunities for dominant regional or national banks to penetrate rural or small city banking markets. Less restrictive branching laws may produce better service to rural markets by increasing the degree of competitiveness which presumably increases output and lowers prices. Rural independent bankers themselves have questioned their ability to compete in a deregulated environment, expressing concern that they will be replaced by branches of larger banks that would serve customers no better and not increase the number of competitors in their markets.

Contrary evidence is found in a paper by Savage and Rhoades which shows that small banks are competing successfully in markets with large bank.¹ Using selected performance measures (e.g., ratios of capital and loans to assets, and net income to equity, and deposit growth) for the largest and smallest banking organizations in 271 SMSAs, they found that large banks did not systematically outperform small banks. If larger banks were to penetrate rural markets, these results would not suggest the demise of small rural banks.

Increasing the degree of competitiveness in banking markets could be important to small business because commercial banks are an important source of funds.² However, the degree to which competitiveness could be increased in rural markets by liberalized branching laws is not likely to be great because all markets exhibit some degree of competition. Even in "one bank towns" the threat of entry or the potential for customers to travel to nearby markets is always present. Any broadening of branching authority could only have a second-order effect on the degree of competition and bank performance.

The objective of this paper is to analyze the competitiveness of rural versus urban banking markets from the perspective of the small business borrower. Most financial services are obtained in a local market of small geographic size because this arrangement minimizes transactions costs for the borrower and the cost of collecting information for the lender. Lenders may collect structured information (such as that on loan applications), but also have unstructured information based on their lending experience with the firm and a knowledge of the owners or managers. This information has "local" value in that it is not easily transmitted to a lender outside of the local market. Most previous studies of bank performance have used the SMSA as the measure of the local market, but in most all cases, the SMSA would be too large an area to fit into this characterization of a local banking market.³ The advantage of this study is that the small business borrower implicitly defines its actual geographic market and reports data on that market.

Measuring bank performance across urban and rural banking markets is a difficult task because of the multi-dimensional nature of bank output and the lack of explicit prices for some of this output. The interest rate charged is only one of several elements in the price vector which can be adjusted to clear the loan market. Other elements include maturity, loan size, and collateral. Banks also provide commitments (formal or informal) for future funds, business counseling, and other services that are a form of output, but difficult to quantify. Any attempt to measure competitiveness with differentials in average interest rates charged across markets thus presents formidable interpretation problems if only some of these outputs (such as loan

volume) can be measured. For example, if high interest rates in rural markets (relative to urban markets) are accompanied by superior service or more dependable credit lines or riskier loans, higher rates would not indicate less competition.

Recent studies of the membership of the National Federation of Independent Business (NFIB) allow a more precise analysis of the potential existence of competitive differentials between rural and urban markets. The data used in this paper were obtained from two national survey samples of the NFIB membership (over 500,000 firms) in April 1980 and April 1982. In the 1980 survey, 2657 members responded (a response rate of 33 percent); in 1982, there were 2349 respondents (a response rate of 31 percent). "No answer" responses to any given question were usually less than five percent of all respondents and below two percent for those reporting loans. About half of the firms had gross sales under \$350,000 per year, employed less than five persons and had been in business over 10 years. Over half borrowed at least once per year. Of those that borrowed, nearly half reported loans under \$20,000, while 10 percent reported loans over \$200,000. Data describing the 1980 sample are given in Table 1.⁴

Insert Table 1 Here

The paper begins with a description of the characteristics of small business borrowers and lenders in urban and rural markets. Next, the various output measures such as interest rates paid on loans, credit availability, and bank service offerings are presented by bank market type. With these measures of output, an assessment of the degree of competitiveness between the rural and urban markets is presented.

2. Characteristics of Rural and Urban Markets

Respondents were classified as operating in a "rural" market if the local population was under 15,000. "Small city" respondents resided in towns with a population between 15,000 and 100,000, and urban respondents in towns with a population over 100,000.⁵ The breakdown of the respondents by market size was approximately 40 percent rural, 30 percent small city, and 26 percent urban, with the remainder unclassified.

The characteristics of small business borrowers in urban and rural markets are presented in Table 2. Rural small businesses were more frequently organized as proprietorships (40 percent versus 20 percent in urban areas). Reported annual sales were generally lower for rural firms: about 40 percent had annual sales under \$200,000 compared to 25 percent in urban markets. Rural firms were more concentrated in retail enterprises and (not surprisingly) agriculture, and less in construction and manufacturing in comparison to urban firms. Despite the differences in form of business, sales, and industry composition, the distribution of years in business did not differ between rural and urban firms.

Insert Table 2 Here

Bank structure differences by market size are presented in Table 3. Rural firms, as expected, more frequently reported less than five banks in their community: almost 75 percent versus 20 percent for urban firms. The principal bank size was also smaller for rural firms with 55 percent reporting less than \$100 million in assets versus under 20 percent for urban firms. Rural firms were more frequently located in limited branching states, while urban firms were more frequently located in statewide branching states. Despite the differences in both firm and bank characteristics, rural firms did

not significantly differ from urban firms in borrowing frequency or the number of banks used.⁶

Insert Table 3 Here

3. Performance Measures

Interest Rates

Average interest rates paid on short-term (less than 12 months) and all other loans (greater than 12 month maturity) during selected time frames are presented in the top panel of Table 4 for each survey. In the bottom panel of Table 4, a series of short-term rates paid by regular borrowers obtained from the Quarterly Economic Report is presented.⁷ The data suggest that rural firms have borrowed at rates substantially lower than their counterparts in urban areas for short-term loans. Several possible explanations of these differences exist, including lower non-money costs in rural areas (lower overhead and wage costs), a higher proportion of agricultural (subsidized) loans in the bank's portfolio, less reliance on federal funds due to higher levels of excess reserves, lower proportions of deposits in interest-sensitive forms, or a reflection of management preferences and philosophy.

Insert Table 4 Here

Although these differences were substantial for short-term loans at the beginning of 1980 (140 basis points), they narrowed by early 1982. Additional data on short-term borrowing costs is available from the NFIB's Quarterly Economic Survey. Since the first quarter of 1982, the rural-urban short-term borrowing cost differential rapidly narrowed, then reversed to the point where rural short-term borrowing costs as of July 1983 averaged 70 basis points above urban rates. This inversion in the rural-urban borrowing differential may be due to several factors. The higher proportion of agricultural businesses in rural areas combined with several very bad years for farm income may have increased the overall riskiness of rural banks' loan portfolios. If banks "rolled" these loans over at higher rates (to reflect the increased risk), these additional risk premiums would be reflected more in rural versus urban loan rates. The deregulation on the liability side of commercial bank balance sheets has also affected this differential. With historically higher proportions of deposits in non-interest sensitive sources,⁸ rural banks would see a proportionally larger increase in their cost of funds (relative to urban banks) with the offering of jumbo (over \$10,000) CDs at market rates and the Money Market Deposit Accounts.

Another reason for the narrowing interest rate spread is the difference in the proportion of loans that were tied to the prime rate. Almost half of the urban borrowers reported loan rates linked to the prime rate while less than 20 percent of the rural firms did. If banks price loans on the basis of average cost,⁹ in periods of rising rates urban banks would find their cost of funds rising proportionally faster than rural banks; but with falling rates, rural banks would find their cost of funds falling more slowly. This explanation is consistent with the reversal of the rural-urban borrowing differential just as short-term interest rates were falling dramatically in the third quarter of 1982.

The interest rates reported in the surveys are contract rates, not effective rates and thus other non-price dimensions of the loan contract could systematically affect the true borrowing costs across market size. Rural firms less frequently were required to provide collateral for loans, keep compensating balances, or pay other fees (Table 5). The availability of a line of

credit, however, did not differ between rural and urban markets. This higher incidence of contract terms favorable to the lender in urban markets raises the effective yields, suggesting that the contract rate differentials presented in Table 4 are biased downwards.

Insert Table 5 Here

Credit Availability

Another aspect of bank performance is credit availability. In previous studies of bank performance, credit availability was generally measured as a percent of the banks' assets devoted to loans (or the percentage of a given loan type to total loans).¹⁰ Balance sheet measures of credit availability, however, do not provide any information about loan turndown rates, the amount of the initial loan request granted, or satisfaction with loan terms, all of which are measures of credit availability from the borrower's perspective.

One set of questions from the NFIB survey that addresses the issue of credit availability include responses regarding the firm's general credit experience, satisfaction with loan terms, and the effect of availability on expansions plans (Table 6). Rural firms more frequently felt that all of their credit needs were met in the 1980 survey (relative to urban firms), although this difference narrowed to the point where it was not significant in 1982. No significant differences existed between rural and urban firms regarding satisfaction with loan terms or the impact of availability on plans for expansion.

Insert Table 6 Here

Two specific measures of credit availability, the status of the most recent loan request and, if accepted, the percent of the original loan request received, also favored rural firms in 1980. Rural firms reported lower loan turndown rates in 1980 (nine percent versus 13 percent for urban firms), but by 1982 the turndown rates were nearly identical, due exclusively to a drop in the urban refusal rate. The proportion of firms that received their full initial loan request was higher for rural firms in 1980 (86 percent versus 82 percent for urban firms), but this difference disappeared by 1982. Overall, the results suggest that rural small firms may have had better success with credit availability than their urban counterparts in 1980, but this advantage disappeared by 1982.

Other Bank Service

Banks also provide services to firms such as business counseling, information, locational convenience, and speed of response to credit needs. The provision of these services generates costs that ought to be passed on to borrowers in the form of higher contract rates or explicit fees. Any evaluation of competitiveness across bank market type should compare performance on these non-price dimensions given explicit charges such as interest rates or fees.

In each of the surveys, firms were asked to rate ten factors in terms of importance to their desired banking relationship, and then to rate their principal bank on how well it provided the services identified. The results are shown in Table 7. There were few significant differences in the ratings of the desired factors between rural and urban firms. "One Person Handling All Credit Needs" was very important for 48 percent of the urban firms, compared to 38 percent for rural borrowers in 1982, a difference that was not present in 1980. "Easy Access to the Loan Officer" was also more frequently rated as very important to urban firms. "Offers the Cheapest Money Available" was more important to rural firms in 1980 (53 percent versus 44 percent), but this difference was not significant by 1982. Of the ten characteristics, then, only

one significant difference in desired ratings occurred in 1980 and two in 1982, which suggest that urban and rural borrowers are virtually identical in terms of what they desire in a banking relationship.

Insert Table 7 Here

Ratings of actual performance were more clearly separated. For all ten factors, rural firms gave their banks higher ratings more often than their urban counterparts (nine of 10 in 1980 and 10 of 10 in 1982). For about half of the factors, the differences in the percentages (as much as ten percentage points) giving "good" ratings were significant ("Knows You and Your Business", "One Person Handles Credit Needs", "Reliable Source of Credit", "Knows Your Industry", "Knows Your Financial Needs", and "Convenient Location").¹¹ A directional test indicates that the systematic pattern of rural responses in excess of urban percentages is not likely a random result of sampling error as was the case for the ratings of importance in the "desired" banking relationship.¹²

Degree of Competitiveness -- A Summary

The results of this analysis suggest that firms in rural markets are no less well served than their counterparts located in urban areas. Rural firms did not pay significantly higher contract rates of interest. In fact, they paid significantly lower rates on short-term loans prior to the third quarter of 1982. Urban firms reported a higher incidence of fees, compensating balances, and security requirements on their loans. But even if the effective rates were higher for urban firms, it does not follow that urban markets are less competitive, because urban banks may be operating with smaller differentials over their cost structures.¹³

There were no significant differences in credit availability measures including general credit experience, loan term satisfaction, impact on expansion plans, percent of initial loan request granted, and loan turndown rates. In the 1980 survey, rural firms generally reported more favorable loan experience, but, as was the case with interest rates, this advantage disappeared by 1982.

Rural firms ranked their principal bank's performance above urban banks on knowledge of their business, industry and financial needs, and also on having one person handle their credit needs and providing a reliable source of credit. This ranking would be expected for rural banks which have less employee turnover. On average, rural lending officers probably have more information about their customers (current and potential) due to this stability relative to their urban counterparts. Whether this above average service translates into a "true" competitive advantage is difficult to assess because these measures are more judgmental than measures such as contract rates paid, incidence of fees, compensating balances, collateral, the percent of initial loan request granted, or loan turndown rates.

Overall the analysis suggests that rural markets are no less competitive than urban markets based on performance measures from the small business perspective. Considering Savage and Rhoades' results that small banks are at no competitive disadvantage to large banks within the same SMSA, it is doubtful that further deregulation (e.g., more liberalized branching or holding company laws) would better serve small business, especially those in rural areas.

Footnotes

¹See S. A. Rhoades and D. T. Savage, "Can Small Banks Compete?" <u>The</u> Bankers Magazine (January/February 1981), pp.59-65.

²Small business obtains 90 percent of its short-term financing and 85 percent of all financing from commercial banks. See J. A. Scott and W. C. Dunkelberg, <u>Credit, Banks and Small Business</u> (May 1983) National Federation of Independent Business.

³For example see F. A. Edwards, "Concentration in Banking and its Effects on Business Loan Rates," <u>Review of Economics and Statistics</u> (August 1964), pp. 294-300 or <u>D. T. Savage and S. A. Rhoades</u>, "The Effects of Branch Banking on Pricing, Profits, and Efficiency of Unit Banks," in <u>Proceedings of a Conference on Bank Structure and Competition</u> (1980) Chicago: Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, pp. 187-196.

⁴These data have been analyzed for both response bias and their representativeness of the small business population. Response bias has been found to be negligible, and, with few exceptions, the data adequately represent the experience of four million small business employers in the United States. See W. C. Dunkelberg and J. A. Scott, "Report on the Representativeness of the National Federation of Independent Business Sample of Small Firms in the United States," mimeo to the Small Business Administration, July 1983.

⁵The urban category includes those reporting both city (100,000 to 1,000,000 population) and metropolis (over 1,000,000 population). Because the metropolis category includes less than 10 percent of the responses, it was collapsed into the city category.

⁶Significance is used here in a statistical sense where the null hypothesis is that the sample proportions are not statistically different. For 500 cases, the approximate sampling error (two tail, 95 percent confidence interval) is 4.4 percentage points when the sample proportion equals 0.50. Tests can be developed about the difference between two proportions using the sampling error.

⁷The Quarterly Economic Report is compiled each quarter (January, April, July, and October) by the NFIB. The firms are asked to report on current economic status and plans for future business activity. The questions cover sales, earnings, price changes, inventory and capital expenditures, hiring activity, and credit market experience. One of the credit market questions is to report the interest the most recent short-term loan.

⁸For example, the 1980 Annual Report of the FDIC shows that borrowings (Fed funds, repos, other borrowed money) constitutes 14 percent of large bank (over \$500 million assets) sources of funds, but less than 1 percent of small bank (under \$100 million) sources of funds. ⁹See R. W. Hafer, "The Prime Rate and the Cost of Funds: Is the Prime Too High," Review, Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis, May 1983.

¹⁰For example, see Robert A. Eisenbeis, "The Allocative Effects of Branch Banking Restrictions on Business Loan Markets," <u>Journal of Bank Research</u> (Spring 1975) or Alan S. McCall and M. O. Peterson, "The Impact of De Novo Commercial Bank Entry," <u>Journal of Finance</u> (December 1977).

11Ibid, 6.

 12 The sign test for paired comparisons is based on the null hypothesis of "no difference." If there is no difference, then the proportion of characteristics ranked higher by rural firms should be equal to 0.50. Use of the standard sign test gives a 95 percent confidence interval of 5 ± 3 for the expected number of favorable ratings for rural firms.

¹³Savage and Rhoades (see footnote 1) find that small banks had a higher return on assets than large banks, due largely to lower interest expense.

Credit, Banks and Small Business Data

	Percent	of Firms ¹		Percent	of Firms
	1980	1982		1980	1982
Gross Sales					
(Thousands S):			Industry:		
<u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>					
Under \$50	8%	8%	Construction	15%	15%
\$50 -99	11	11	Manufacturing	12	12
100-199	17	15	Transportation	3	3
200-349	15	15	Wholesale	8	8
350-499	9	10	Retail	33	33
500-799	9	10	Agriculture	5	5
800-1499	12	12	Financial	8	7
1500-2,999	7	8	Service	10	11
3,000-or more	9	10	Professional	6	6
Fulltime Employment:			Form of Business		
			Torm or Dusiness.	5	
One	10	7	Proprietorship	33	30
Two	10	9	Partnership	9	7
3-5	29	28	Corporation	56	62
6-9	18	18	_		
10-14	10	11			
15-19	5	5	Loan Size: ²		
20-39	9	9			
40 or more	9	8	Under \$5,000	14	13
			5,000-9,999	16	15
			10,000-19,999	18	21
Years in Business:			20,000-39,999	26	17
			40,000-99,999	16	15
1-2	9	6	100,000-299,999	10	12
3-4	12	10	300.000-or more	5	7
5-6	9	9	,		3 7 7
7-10	16	16			
11-16	12	12			
16-20	10	9			
over 20	32	37			

 $^{1}\mathrm{Does}$ not add to 100% because no answers are omitted.

 2 Reported percentages are <u>conditional</u> on the respondent reporting a loan.

Characteristics of Rural versus Urban Borrowers

			Sma	11				
Form of Business	R1 80	ural 82	C1 80	ty 82	$\frac{\text{Urb}}{80}$	an 82	80	A11 82
Proprietorship	41%	40%	31%	28%	20%	21%	32	\$ 31%
Partnership	11	8	8	6	6	6	9	7
Corporation	47	51	59	66	72	72	57	61
No Answer	1	1	2	*	2	1	2	1
Industry							10	
Construction	13	12	17	16	19	19	16	15
Manufacturing	10	10	13	14	16	16	12	13
Transportation	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Wholesale	6	5	8	8	13	12	9	8
Retail	39	39	32	34	23	23	32	33
Agriculture	9	10	3	2	1	1	5	5
Finance	7	7	8	7	8	7	8	7
Service	8	10	10	9	12	12	10	10
Professional	5	5	7	7	6	7	6	6
No Answer	*		*		*		*	*
Years in Business								
1-2	8	6	8	8	9	4	8	6
3-4	12	11	12	11	11	9	12	10
5-6	10	8	10	9	8	11	9	9
7-10	16	16	16	16	18	17	16	16
11-15	12	12	12	11	13	14	12	12
16-20	10	9	9	11	11	8	10	9
21 or more	31	39	33	33	29	38	31	37
No Answer	1		1	100	1	0.0	1	υ.
Sales (Annual)	24							
Under \$100,000	22	21	17	17	13	12	18	18
\$100,000-199,999	19	18	16	13	13	12	17	15
\$200,000-349,999	16	16	15	14	15	13	15	14
\$350,000-499,999	9	10	10	10	9	11	9	10
\$500,000-799,999	8	9	10	11	9	11	9	10
\$800,000-1,499,999	10	11	12	12	15	15	12	13
\$1,500,000 or more	13	12	17	21	24	25	18	18
No Answer	2	3	2	3	1	1	2	2
Total Observations	980	873	740	623	627	572	2403	2092

*Less than .5 percent

Banking Activity and Structure by Market Size

	Rura	1	Smal Cit	1 y	Met	ro	Al	1
Borrowing Frequency (Per Year)	80	82	80	82	80	82	80	82
Three or more	15%	20%	16%	21%	16%	19%	15%	20%
Twice	17	16	14	12	14	11	15	14
Once	26	23	23	24	26	25	25	24
Infrequent	36	42	41	43	40	44	39	41
No Answer	6		7		5		6	
Number of Banks Used								
One	59	59	53	54	56	58	56	57
Two	30	31	32	35	31	29	31	31
Three or More	9	8	13	9	11	12	11	10
No Answer	2	2	2	1	2	1	2	2
Number of Banks								
in the Community								
1	16		2		2		8	
2-3	50		20		4		28	
4-5	20		39		14		24	
6-10	9		27		25		19	
11 or more	2		11		54		19	
No Answer	3		2		2		2	
Bank Asset Size (\$million)								
Under \$100	56	54	38	30	22	15	42	36
\$100-500	22	22	30	34	29	27	26	27
Over \$500	17	18	27	30	46	52	28	31
Don't Know	4	4	4	3	2	4	3	4
No Answer	1	2	2	3	1	2	1	2
Branching Laws								
Statewide	28	31	38	38	37	40	34	35
Limited	38	39	38	36	30	30	36	36
Unit	31	31	20	25	30	29	27	29
No Answer	4	*	3	*	3	*	3	*

*Less than .5 percent

Average Interest Rates Paid (in Percentage Points)

Credit, Banks and Small Business

		Short-term ¹	1	All Other					
April 1980	Rural	Small City	Urban	Rural	Small City	Urban			
1978111-79111	11.7	12.4	12.9	12.20	12.25	12.7			
1979IV	13.6	14.9	15.0	13.4	14.0	14.6			
19801	15.8	16.4	17.2	14.5	15.3	15.2			
April 1982									
19811-11	15.7	16.9	16.3	14.80	15.7	16.3			
198111-111	17.2	17.6	18.2	15.8	15.4	17.1			
19821	17.4	17.7	18.5	17.1	17.40	17.5			

Quarterly Economic Report Interest Rate Paid on Most Recent Short-Term Loan¹

Year-Quarter	<u>801V</u>	811	8111	<u>81111</u>	81 IV	821	8211	82111	82IV	831	8311
Rural	17.1	17.1	18.2	18.6	17.6	17.4	17.4	15.9	14.6	23.8	13.4
Suburban	17.9	17.8	19.1	19.6	18.0	17.7	17.5	15.5	14.2	13.7	13.1
Metropolitan	19.1	18.3	19.7	20.1	18.1	18.1	17.8	14.8	13.7	12.7	12.7
End of Quarter Prime	20.25	17.25	20.0	18.5	15.75	16.5	16.0	12.0	11.0	10.5	10.5

112 months or less maturity

Other Loan Terms

	Rur	al	City		Metro		All	
	80	82	80	82	80	82	80	82
Collateral	56%	58%	62%	61%	61%	63%	59%	60%
Business	48	51	52	51	50	52	50	51
Personal	24	25	33	32	38	33	30	29
Compensating Balances	na	9	na	11	na	13	na	11
Other Fees	na	10	na	11	na	14	na	12
Had Line of Credit	na	37	na	40	na	37	na	38

na: not asked

Credit Availability Measures

	Small			.1						
	<u>Rura</u>	<u>82</u>	Cit 80	<u>82</u>	<u>Met</u>	82	A1 80	1 82		
All Credit Needs Met	57%	53%	55%	53%	51%	50%	55%	57%		
Received Originally Requested Loan Terms	76	73	75	73	74	75	75	73		
Loan Terms Very Satisfactory	43	34	40	38	40	34	41	35		
Bank Actively Recruited Business	30	32	45	44	48	53	39	41		
No Availability Problems Regarding Expansion Plans	41	48	42	46	45	50	43	48		
Latest Loan Attempt Rejected	9	9	11	10	13	8	10	9		
Received Full Amount Requested	86	85	83	86	82	87	84	80		

Evaluation of Bank Performance

	Importance					Performance			
	Very			Important/				Good/	
Characteristics	Impor	tant	Very	Imp.	God	bd	Above	Avg.	
	80	82	80	82	80	82	80	82	
Knows You and Your Business									
Rural	72%	71%	92%	93%	52%	53%	79%	81%	
Small City	70	72	93	92	50	46	78	76	
Metropolitan	70	68	90	91	39*	42*	73*	74*	
Provides Helpful Business Sugg	gestions	2023							
Rural	26	23	60	57	23	20	50	47	
Small City	25	20	58	55	21	18	47	46	
Metropolitan	22	19	57	52	16	15	41*	44	
Offers Cheapest Money Availabl	le								
Rural	53	53	80	81	29	23	62	57	
Small City	49	52	78	84	28	23	64	60	
Metropolitan	44*	51	76	83	23	22	60	60	
One Person Always Handles Cred	iit Need	ls							
Rural	42	38	77	77	47	48	79	83	
Small City	39	41	78	82	45	46	79	79	
Metropolitan	45	48*	80	83*	46	46	75*	78*	
Convenient Location									
Rural	41	30	78	70	58	60	84	88	
Small City	41	26	82	66	53	55	85	85	
Metropolitan	37	31	75	66	51*	53*	80	80*	
Reliable Source of Credit									
Rural	58	56	88	90	52	55	79	81	
Small City	55	59	89	88	48	49	79	79	
Metropolitan	58	60	87	90	44*	47*	77	79	
Knows Your Industry									
Rural	32	30	67	64	28	25	56	55	
Small City	29	26	63	60	24	21	53	50	
Metropolitan	30	24	60*	56*	19*	18	47*	50	
Comes With Ideas to Improve Ba	ank Serv	rice					111111	22.501	
Rural	19	18	55	53	15	16	38	38	
Small City	20	16	54	55	16	13	37	38	
Metropolitan	21	18	54	52	11	14	34"	41	
Knows Your Financial Needs									
Rural	36	na	76	na	30	na	63	na	
Small City	36	na	77	na	27	na	59	na	
Metropolitan	36	na	73	na	23	na	55*	na	
Easy Access to Loan Officer				22				1	
Rural	na	41	na	85	na	54	na	86	
Small City	na	43	na	87	na	50	na	85	
Metropolitan	na	50*	na	89^	na	52	na	85	
Offers A Wide Range of Banking	g Servic	es							
Rural	35	32	75	76	43	50	77	80	
Small City	33	32	74	75	46	47	80	84	
Metropolitan	34	34	73	74	43	4/	80	81	

*Significantly different from the "rural" category at the five percent level.

na: not asked

The following papers are currently available in the Edwin L. Cox School of Business Working Paper Series.

- 79-100 "Microdata File Merging Through Large-Scale Network Technology," by Richard S. Barr and J. Scott Turner
- 79-101 "Perceived Environmental Uncertainty: An Individual or Environmental Attribute," by Peter Lorenzi, Henry P. Sims, Jr., and John W. Slocum, Jr.
- 79-103 "A Typology for Integrating Technology, Organization and Job Design," by John W. Slocum, Jr., and Henry P. Sims, Jr.
- 80-100 "Implementing the Portfolio (SBU) Concept," by Richard A. Bettis and William K. Hall
- 80-101 "Assessing Organizational Change Approaches: Towards a Comparative Typology," by Don Hellriegel and John W. Slocum, Jr.
- 80-102 "Constructing a Theory of Accounting--An Axiomatic Approach," by Marvin L. Carlson and James W. Lamb
- 80-103 "Mentors & Managers," by Michael E. McGill
- 80-104 "Budgeting Capital for R&D: An Application of Option Pricing," by John W. Kensinger
- 80-200 "Financial Terms of Sale and Control of Marketing Channel Conflict," by Michael Levy and Dwight Grant
- 80-300 "Toward An Optimal Customer Service Package," by Michael Levy
- 80-301 "Controlling the Performance of People in Organizations," by Steven Kerr and John W. Slocum, Jr.
- 80-400 "The Effects of Racial Composition on Neighborhood Succession," by Kerry D. Vandell
- 80-500 "Strategies of Growth: Forms, Characteristics and Returns," by Richard D. Miller
- 80-600 "Organization Roles, Cognitive Roles, and Problem-Solving Styles," by Richard Lee Steckroth, John W. Slocum, Jr., and Henry P. Sims, Jr.
- 80-601 "New Efficient Equations to Compute the Present Value of Mortgage Interest Payments and Accelerated Depreciation Tax Benefits," by Elbert B. Greynolds, Jr.
- 80-800 "Mortgage Quality and the Two-Earner Family: Issues and Estimates," by Kerry D. Vandell
- 80-801 "Comparison of the EEOCC Four-Fifths Rule and A One, Two or Three σ Binomial Criterion," by Marion Gross Sobol and Paul Ellard
- 80-900 "Bank Portfolio Management: The Role of Financial Futures," by Dwight M. Grant and George Hempel

- 80-902 "Hedging Uncertain Foreign Exchange Positions," by Mark R. Eaker and Dwight M. Grant
- 80-110 "Strategic Portfolio Management in the Multibusiness Firm: An Implementation Status Report," by Richard A. Bettis and William K. Hall
- 80-111 "Sources of Performance Differences in Related and Unrelated Diversified Firms," by Richard A. Bettis
- 80-112 "The Information Needs of Business With Special Application to Managerial Decision Making," by Paul Gray
- 80-113 "Diversification Strategy, Accounting Determined Risk, and Accounting Determined Return," by Richard A. Bettis and William K. Hall
- 80-114 "Toward Analytically Precise Definitions of Market Value and Highest and Best Use," by Kerry D. Vandell
- 80-115 "Person-Situation Interaction: An Exploration of Competing Models of Fit," by William F. Joyce, John W. Slocum, Jr., and Mary Ann Von Glinow
- 80-116 "Correlates of Climate Discrepancy," by William F. Joyce and John Slocum
- 80-117 "Alternative Perspectives on Neighborhood Decline," by Arthur P. Solomon and Kerry D. Vandell
- 80-121 "Project Abandonment as a Put Option: Dealing with the Capital Investment Decision and Operating Risk Using Option Pricing Theory," by John W. Kensinger
- 80-122 "The Interrelationships Between Banking Returns and Risks," by George H. Hempel
- 80-123 "The Environment For Funds Management Decisions In Coming Years," by George H. Hempel
- 81-100 "A Test of Gouldner's Norm of Reciprocity in a Commercial Marketing Research Setting," by Roger Kerin, Thomas Barry, and Alan Dubinsky
- 81-200 "Solution Strategies and Algorithm Behavior in Large-Scale Network Codes," by Richard S. Barr
- 81-201 "The SMU Decision Room Project," by Paul Gray, Julius Aronofsky, Nancy W. Berry, Olaf Helmer, Gerald R. Kane, and Thomas E. Perkins
- 81-300 "Cash Discounts to Retail Customers: An Alternative to Credit Card Performance," by Michael Levy and Charles Ingene
- 81-400 "Merchandising Decisions: A New View of Planning and Measuring Performance," by Michael Levy and Charles A. Ingene
- 81-500 "A Methodology for the Formulation and Evaluation of Energy Goals and Policy Alternatives for Israel," by Julius Aronofsky, Reuven Karni, and Harry Tankin

- 81-501 "Job Redesign: Improving the Quality of Working Life," by John W. Slocum, Jr.
- 81-600 "Managerial Uncertainty and Performance," by H. Kirk Downey and John W. Slocum, Jr.
- 81-601 "Compensating Balance, Rationality, and Optimality," by Chun H. Lam and Kenneth J. Boudreaux
- 81-700 "Federal Income Taxes, Inflation and Holding Periods for Income-Producing Property," by William B. Brueggeman, Jeffrey D. Fisher, and Jerrold J. Stern
- 81-800 "The Chinese-U.S. Symposium On Systems Analysis," by Paul Gray and Burton V. Dean
- 81-801 "The Sensitivity of Policy Elasticities to the Time Period Examined in the St. Louis Equation and Other Tests," by Frank J. Bonello and William R. Reichenstein
- 81-900 "Forecasting Industrial Bond Rating Changes: A Multivariate Model," by John W. Peavy, III
- 81-110 "Improving Gap Management as a Technique for Reducing Interest Rate Risk," by Donald G. Simonson and George H. Hempel
- 81-111 "The Visible and Invisible Hand: Source Allocation in the Industrial Sector," by Richard A. Bettis and C. K. Prahalad
- 81-112 "The Significance of Price-Earnings Ratios on Portfolio Returns," by John W. Peavy, III and David A. Goodman
- 81-113 "Further Evaluation of Financing Costs for Multinational Subsidiaries," by Catherine J. Bruno and Mark R. Eaker
- 81-114 "Seven Key Rules for Successful Stock Market Speculation," by David Goodman
- 81-115 "The Price-Earnings Relative as an Indicator of Investment Returns," by David Goodman and John W. Peavy, III
- 81-116 "Strategic Management for Wholesalers: An Environmental Management Perspective," by William L. Cron and Valarie A. Zeithaml
- 81-117 "Sequential Information Dissemination and Relative Market Efficiency," by Christopher B. Barry and Robert H. Jennings
- 81-118 "Modeling Earnings Behavior," by Michael F. van Breda
- 81-119 "The Dimensions of Self-Management," by David Goodman and Leland M. Wooton
- 81-120 "The Price-Earnings Relatives A New Twist to the Low-Multiple Strategy," by David A. Goodman and John W. Peavy, III
- 82-100 "Risk Considerations in Modeling Corporate Strategy," by Richard A. Bettis

- 82-101 "Modern Financial Theory, Corporate Strategy, and Public Policy: Three Conundrums," by Richard A. Bettis
- 82-102 "Children's Advertising: The Differential Impact of Appeal Strategy," by Thomas E. Barry and Richard F. Gunst
- 82-103 "A Typology of Small Businesses: Hypothesis and Preliminary Study," by Neil C. Churchill and Virginia L. Lewis
- 82-104 "Imperfect Information, Uncertainty, and Credit Rationing: A Comment and Extension," by Kerry D. Vandell
- 82-200 "Equilibrium in a Futures Market," by Jerome Baesel and Dwight Grant
- 82-201 "A Market Index Futures Contract and Portfolio Selection," by Dwight Grant
- 82-202 "Selecting Optimal Portfolios with a Futures Market in a Stock Index," by Dwight Grant
- 82-203 "Market Index Futures Contracts: Some Thoughts on Delivery Dates," by Dwight Grant
- 82-204 "Optimal Sequential Futures Trading," by Jerome Baesel and Dwight Grant
- 82-300 "The Hypothesized Effects of Ability in the Turnover Process," by Ellen F. Jackofsky and Lawrence H. Peters
- 82-301 "Teaching a Financial Planning Language as the Principal Computer Language for MBA's," by Thomas E. Perkins and Paul Gray
- 82-302 "Put Budgeting Back Into Capital Budgeting," by Michael F. van Breda
- 82-400 "Information Dissemination and Portfolio Choice," by Robert H. Jennings and Christopher B. Barry
- 82-401 "Reality Shock: The Link Between Socialization and Organizational Commitment," by Roger A. Dean
- 82-402 "Reporting on the Annual Report," by Gail E. Farrelly and Gail B. Wright
- 82-600 "The Relationship Between Computerization and Performance: A Strategy for Maximizing the Economic Benefits of Computerization," by William L. Cron and Marion G. Sobol
- 82-601 "Optimal Land Use Planning," by Richard B. Peiser
- 82-602 "Variances and Indices," by Michael F. van Breda
- 82-603 "The Pricing of Small Business Loans," by Jonathan A. Scott
- 82-604 "Collateral Requirements and Small Business Loans," by Jonathan A. Scott
- 82-605 "Validation Strategies for Multiple Regression Analysis: A Tutorial," by Marion G. Sobol

- 82-700 "Credit Rationing and the Small Business Community," by Jonathan A. Scott
- 82-701 "Bank Structure and Small Business Loan Markets," by William C. Dunkelberg and Jonathan A. Scott
- 82-800 "Transportation Evaluation in Community Design: An Extension with Equilibrium Route Assignment," by Richard B. Peiser
- 82-801 "An Expanded Commercial Paper Rating Scale: Classification of Industrial Issuers," by John W. Peavy, III and S. Michael Edgar
- 82-802 "Inflation, Risk, and Corporate Profitability: Effects on Common Stock Returns," by David A. Goodman and John W. Peavy, III
- 82-803 "Turnover and Job Performance: An Integrated Process Model," by Ellen F. Jackofsky
- 82-804 "An Empirical Evaluation of Statistical Matching Methodologies," by Richard S. Barr, William H. Stewart, and John Scott Turner
- 82-805 "Residual Income Analysis: A Method of Inventory Investment Allocation and Evaluation," by Michael Levy and Charles A. Ingene
- 82-806 "Analytical Review Developments in Practice: Misconceptions, Potential Applications, and Field Experience," by Wanda Wallace
- 82-807 "Using Financial Planning Languages for Simulation," by Paul Gray
- 82-808 "A Look at How Managers' Minds Work," by John W. Slocum, Jr. and Don Hellriegel
- 82-900 "The Impact of Price Earnings Ratios on Portfolio Returns," by John W. Peavy, III and David A. Goodman
- 82-901 "Replicating Electric Utility Short-Term Credit Ratings," by John W. Peavy, III and S. Michael Edgar
- 82-902 "Job Turnover Versus Company Turnover: Reassessment of the March and Simon Participation Model," by Ellen F. Jackofsky and Lawrence H. Peters
- 82-903 "Investment Management by Multiple Managers: An Agency-Theoretic Explanation," by Christopher B. Barry and Laura T. Starks
- 82-904 "The Senior Marketing Officer An Academic Perspective," by James T. Rothe
- 82-905 "The Impact of Cable Television on Subscriber and Nonsubscriber Behavior," by James T. Rothe, Michael G. Harvey, and George C. Michael
- 82-110 "Reasons for Quitting: A Comparison of Part-Time and Full-Time Employees," by James R. Salter, Lawrence H. Peters, and Ellen F. Jackofsky
- 82-111 "Integrating Financial Portfolio Analysis with Product Portfolio Models," by Vijay Mahajan and Jerry Wind

- 82-112 "A Non-Uniform Influence Innovation Diffusion Model of New Product Acceptance," by Christopher J. Easingwood, Vijay Mahajan, and Eitan Muller
- 82-113 "The Acceptability of Regression Analysis as Evidence in a Courtroom -Implications for the Auditor," by Wanda A. Wallace
- 82-114 "A Further Inquiry Into the Market Value and Earnings' Yield Anomalies," by John W. Peavy, III and David A. Goodman
- 82-120 "Compensating Balances, Deficiency Fees and Lines of Credit: An Operational Model," by Chun H. Lam and Kenneth J. Boudreaux
- 82-121 "Toward a Formal Model of Optimal Seller Behavior in the Real Estate Transactions Process," by Kerry Vandel1
- 82-122 "Estimates of the Effect of School Desegregation Plans on Housing Values Over Time," by Kerry D. Vandell and Robert H. Zerbst
- 82-123 "Compensating Balances, Deficiency Fees and Lines of Credit," by Chun H. Lam and Kenneth J. Boudreaux
- 83-100 "Teaching Software System Design: An Experiential Approach," by Thomas E. Perkins
- 83-101 "Risk Perceptions of Institutional Investors," by Gail E. Farrelly and William R. Reichenstein
- 83-102 "An Interactive Approach to Pension Fund Asset Management," by David A. Goodman and John W. Peavy, III
- 83-103 "Technology, Structure, and Workgroup Effectiveness: A Test of a Contingency Model," by Louis W. Fry and John W. Slocum, Jr.
- 83-104 "Environment, Strategy and Performance: An Empirical Analysis in Two Service Industries," by William R. Bigler, Jr. and Banwari L. Kedia
- 83-105 "Robust Regression: Method and Applications," by Vijay Mahajan, Subhash Sharma, and Jerry Wind
- 83-106 "An Approach to Repeat-Purchase Diffusion Analysis," by Vijay Mahajan, Subhash Sharma, and Jerry Wind
- 83-200 "A Life Stage Analysis of Small Business Strategies and Performance," by Rajeswararao Chaganti, Radharao Chaganti, and Vijay Mahajan
- 83-201 "Reality Shock: When A New Employee's Expectations Don't Match Reality," by Roger A. Dean and John P. Wanous
- 83-202 "The Effects of Realistic Job Previews on Hiring Bank Tellers," by Roger A. Dean and John P. Wanous
- 83-203 "Systemic Properties of Strategy: Evidence and a Caveat From an Example Using a Modified Miles-Snow Typology," by William R. Bigler, Jr.
- 83-204 "Differential Information and the Small Firm Effect," by Christopher B. Barry and Stephen J. Brown

- 83-300 "Constrained Classification: The Use of a Priori Information in Cluster Analysis," by Wayne S. DeSarbo and Vijay Mahajan
- 83-301 "Substitutes for Leadership: A Modest Proposal for Future Investigations of Their Neutralizing Effects," by S. H. Clayton and D. L. Ford, Jr.
- 83-302 "Company Homicides and Corporate Muggings: Prevention Through Stress Buffering - Toward an Integrated Model," by D. L. Ford, Jr. and S. H. Clayton
- 83-303 "A Comment on the Measurement of Firm Performance in Strategy Research," by Kenneth R. Ferris and Richard A. Bettis
- 83-400 "Small Businesses, the Economy, and High Interest Rates: Impacts and Actions Taken in Response," by Neil C. Churchill and Virginia L. Lewis
- 83-401 "Bonds Issued Between Interest Dates: What Your Textbook Didn't Tell You," by Elbert B. Greynolds, Jr. and Arthur L. Thomas
- 83-402 "An Empirical Comparison of Awareness Forecasting Models of New Product Introduction," by Vijay Mahajan, Eitan Muller, and Subhash Sharma
- 83-500 "A Closer Look at Stock-For-Debt Swaps," by John W. Peavy III and Jonathan A. Scott
- 83-501 "Small Business Evaluates its Relationship with Commercial Banks," by William C. Dunkelberg and Jonathan A. Scott
- 83-502 "Small Business and the Value of Bank-Customer Relationships," by William C. Dunkelberg and Jonathan A. Scott
- 83-503 "Differential Information and the Small Firm Effect," by ChristopherB. Barry and Stephen J. Brown
- 83-504 "Accounting Paradigms and Short-Term Decisions: A Preliminary Study," by Michael van Breda
- 83-505 "Introduction Strategy for New Products with Positive and Negative Word-Of-Mouth," by Vijay Mahajan, Eitan Muller and Roger A. Kerin
- 83-506 "Initial Observations from the Decision Room Project," by Paul Gray
- 83-600 "A Goal Focusing Approach to Analysis of Integenerational Transfers of Income: Theoretical Development and Preliminary Results," by A. Charnes, W. W. Cooper, J. J. Rousseau, A. Schinnar, and N. E. Terleckyj
- 83-601 "Reoptimization Procedures for Bounded Variable Primal Simplex Network Algorithms," by A. Iqbal Ali, Ellen P. Allen, Richard S. Barr, and Jeff L. Kennington
- 83-602 "The Effect of the Bankruptcy Reform Act of 1978 on Small Business Loan Pricing," by Jonathan A. Scott

- 83-800 "Multiple Key Informants' Perceptions of Business Environments," by William L. Cron and John W. Slocum, Jr.
- 83-801 "Predicting Salesforce Reactions to New Territory Design According to Equity Theory Propositions," by William L. Cron
- 83-802 "Bank Performance in the Emerging Recovery: A Changing Risk-Return Environment," by Jonathan A. Scott and George H. Hempel
- 83-803 "Business Synergy and Profitability," by Vijay Mahajan and Yoram Wind
- 83-804 "Advertising, Pricing and Stability in Oligopolistic Markets for New Products," by Chaim Fershtman, Vijay Mahajan, and Eitan Muller
- 83-805 "How Have The Professional <u>Standards</u> Influenced Practice?," by Wanda A. Wallace
- 83-806 "What Attributes of an Internal Auditing Department Significantly Increase the Probability of External Auditors Relying on the Internal Audit Department?," by Wanda A. Wallace
- 83-807 "Building Bridges in Rotary," by Michael F. van Breda
- 83-808 "A New Approach to Variance Analysis," by Michael F. van Breda
- 83-809 "Residual Income Analysis: A Method of Inventory Investment Allocation and Evaluation," by Michael Levy and Charles A. Ingene
- 83-810 "Taxes, Insurance, and Corporate Pension Policy," by Andrew H. Chen
- 83-811 "An Analysis of the Impact of Regulatory Change: The Case of Natural Gas Deregulation," by Andrew H. Chen and Gary C. Sanger
- 83-900 "Networks with Side Constraints: An LU Factorization Update," by Richard S. Barr, Keyvan Farhangian, and Jeff L. Kennington
- 83-901 "Diversification Strategies and Managerial Rewards: An Empirical Study," by Jeffrey L. Kerr
- 83-902 "A Decision Support System for Developing Retail Promotional Strategy," by Paul E. Green, Vijay Mahajan, Stephen M. Goldberg, and Pradeep K. Kedia
- 83-903 "Network Generating Models for Equipment Replacement," by Jay E. Aronson and Julius S. Aronofsky
- 83-904 "Differential Information and Security Market Equilibrium," by Christopher B. Barry and Stephen J. Brown
- 83-905 "Optimization Methods in Oil and Gas Development," by Julius S. Aronofsky
- 83-906 "Benefits and Costs of Disclosing Capital Investment Plans in Corporate Annual Reports," by Gail E. Farrelly and Marion G. Sobol.

- 83-907 "Security Price Reactions Around Corporate Spin-Off Announcements," by Gailen L. Hite and James E. Owers
- 83-908 "Costs and their Assessment to Users of a Medical Library: Recovering Costs from Service Usage," by E. Bres, A. Charnes, D. Cole Eckels, S. Hitt, R. Lyders, J. Rousseau, K. Russell and M. Schoeman
- 83-110 "Microcomputers in the Banking Industry," by Chun H. Lam
- 83-111 "Current and Potential Application of Microcomputers in Banking --Survey Results," by Chun H. Lam and George H. Hempel
- 83-112 "Rural Versus Urban Bank Performance: An Analysis of Market Competition for Small Business Loans," by Jonathan A. Scott and William C. Dunkelberg