1	Estimation of PM 10-hound As	Cd Ni and Ph levels hy m	eans of statistical modelling	• PLSR and ANN
-	Louination of I Mile-bound hos	Cu, 1 li anu 1 b ic veis by in	cans of statistical mouthing	• I LOI and mail

2 approaches

Click here to view linked References

- 3
- 4 Authors: Germán Santos*, Ignacio Fernández-Olmo, Ángel Irabien
- 5
- 6 Universidad de Cantabria, Dep. Ingenierías Química y Biomolecular, Avda. Los Castros s/n, 39005
- 7 Santander (Cantabria), Spain
- 8
- 9 *Corresponding author:
- 10 Tel.:+34942201579; fax: +34942201591
- 11 E-mail address: <u>santosg@unican.es</u>

12 1. Introduction

13

14 Mathematical modelling for air quality assessment purposes has become increasingly important in recent 15 years. These models consist of a set of analytical/numerical algorithms that describe the physical and 16 chemical aspects of a problem and can be divided into two main groups: (i) deterministic models based on 17 fundamental mathematical descriptions of atmospheric processes, where emissions (causes) generate air 18 pollution (effects); (ii) statistical (empirical) models based on semiempirical statistical relations between 19 available data of input variables that are believed to be representative of the process behaviour and 20 measurements of the target parameters/properties of the system output. Moreover, the European Union Air 21 Quality Framework Directive establishes that in all zones and agglomerations where the level of pollutants 22 is below the lower assessment threshold (LAT), which is expressed as a percentage of the corresponding 23 target/limit value, modelling techniques or objective estimation techniques (or both) shall be sufficient for 24 the assessment of the ambient air quality (European Council Directive 2008/50/EC). Both statistical and 25 deterministic methods are currently used in regulatory air pollution forecasting by environmental 26 authorities.

27

28 Although deterministic models have some advantages over statistical models, such as a full-coverage 3D 29 domain, in some particular situations they may have some drawbacks in terms of accuracy and input data 30 uncertainty. According to Hanna (1989), generally, a larger number of input parameters corresponds to a 31 lower model uncertainty and smaller prediction errors, but unfortunately, by extending the number of input 32 parameters, the error and uncertainty attached to the input data also increase. Therefore, complex 33 deterministic models work well when their extensive input data requirements are satisfied, which rarely 34 occurs with some pollutants, such as As, Ni, Cd and Pb. This is due to the fact that the presence of these 35 pollutants in the atmosphere normally originates in a variety of pollution sources, not exclusively bound to 36 specific industrial activities at a certain location. As a consequence, the emission rates of these pollutants 37 from all the point or area sources are difficult to estimate. A solution to address this problem consists in 38 performing a spatial disaggregation of emission inventories (Maes et al. 2009). Notwithstanding, there is 39 an underlying uncertainty associated with the method of disaggregation together with the inherent 40 uncertainty of the emission inventories themselves. For that reason, for pollutants like the ones under study 41 in this work, the performance of complex models is often equal to that of simpler methodologies. This fact 42 highlights the interest of statistical models (e.g., linear regression techniques and non-linear modelling 43 techniques) to estimate the ambient air concentration of atmospheric pollutants even though a wide range 44 of deterministic models, as reviewed by El-Harbawi (2013), have been already developed and studied in 45 the literature. Nevertheless, techniques such as partial least squares regression (PLSR), which presents 46 advantages over other statistical linear regression techniques because it combines features from factor 47 analysis statistical methods, such as principal component analysis (PCA) and linear regression techniques, 48 as multiple linear regression (MLR), may potentially lead to more accurate estimations than those provided 49 by MLR or principal component regression (PCR). Furthermore, according to Wold et al. (2001), although 50 regression techniques such as MLR works reasonably well with problems involving fairly few uncorrelated 51 independent variables, PLSR is preferable when analysing more intricate problems because it is able to 52 manage simultaneously numerous and collinear predictor variables and responses. Despite the fact that it 53 has been widely applied in other disciplines, chemometrics in particular, and used in some works related to 54 atmospheric pollution (Ogulei et al. 2006; Wingfors et al. 2001), there are few studies on the application of 55 PLSR to predict atmospheric pollutant concentrations. Pires et al. (2008) tested the ability of different linear 56 models, including PLSR, to predict daily mean concentrations of particles with an aerodynamic diameter 57 of less than 10 μ m (PM₁₀) in Oporto (Portugal). It was obtained that even though every model fitted the 58 data similarly well, PLSR shows higher generalization ability than other linear techniques. Polat and 59 Durduran (2012) used regression models such as least squares regression (LSR), PLSR and MLR to predict 60 daily particulate matter concentration values in the city of Konya (Turkey). PLSR performance, slightly 61 better than those of the other regression models, was remarkably improved by considering data pre-62 processing methods such as output-dependent data scaling (ODDS). Singh et al. (2012) compared PLSR 63 with non-linear modelling approaches to predict respirable suspended particulate matter (RSPM), SO₂ and 64 NO₂ in Lucknow city (India). Both linear and non-linear approaches provided adequate estimations, 65 especially for the RSPM, with values of correlation coefficient up to 0.9. Nonetheless, non-linear models 66 performed relatively better than the linear PLSR models.

67

With respect to non-linear modelling approaches, artificial neural networks (ANNs) have been suggested as fair alternatives to statistical linear regression methods because they usually provide equal or superior results, especially when there is non-linear behaviour involved in the problem under analysis, i.e., cases in the atmospheric sciences (Gardner and Dorling 1998). For this reason, ANNs are particularly expected to

72 produce good predictive results when modelling PM mass concentrations compared with common gaseous 73 pollutants based on their ability to capture the highly non-linear character of the complex processes that 74 control the formation, transportation and removal of aerosols in the atmosphere (Grivas and Chaloulakou 75 2006). Furthermore, ANNs have been extensively applied in the past in the atmospheric literature with 76 successful results regarding forecasting major gaseous air pollutant concentrations, such as nitrogen oxides 77 (Gardner and Dorling 1999; Kolehmainen et al. 2001; Lu et al. 2003), sulphur dioxide (Chelani et al. 78 2002a), and (commonly) ozone (Abdul-Wahab and Al-Alawi 2002; Chaloulakou et al. 2003; Comrie 1997; 79 Inal 2010; Sousa et al. 2007; Wang et al. 2003; Yi and Prybutok 1996). Moreover, a number of studies have 80 been conducted using ANN approaches to forecast airborne PM mass concentrations (Caselli et al. 2009; 81 Chelani 2005; Grivas and Chaloulakou 2006; Hoi et al. 2009; Kim et al. 2009; Papanastasiou et al. 2007; 82 Paschalidou et al. 2011; Perez and Reyes 2002; Perez and Reyes 2006; Pérez et al. 2000; Voukantsis et al. 83 2011), predict PM mass concentrations, and predict other gaseous pollutant concentrations (Brunelli et al. 84 2007; Cai et al. 2009; Hrust et al. 2009; Jiang et al. 2004; Kukkonen et al. 2003; Kurt et al. 2008; Lu et al. 85 2004; Lu et al. 2003; Niska et al. 2005; Turias et al. 2008). Nevertheless, regarding the PM composition 86 and estimation of PM constituents, few studies have been conducted. In particular, with respect to the metal 87 content in PM, Chelani et al. (2002b) used ANNs to predict ambient PM_{10} and metals, such as Cd, Cr, Fe, 88 Ni, Pb and Zn, in the air of Jaipur, India, in 1999. It was observed that the ANN models were able to predict 89 all the pollutant concentrations with low values of root means square error (RMSE). Nonetheless, more 90 studies related to atmospheric metal concentration estimations by means of ANNs have been conducted, 91 such as the study performed by Li et al. (2009) in which statistical models based on back-propagation ANNs 92 and MLR are applied to reconstruct occupational manganese exposure. Apart from ANNs, some research 93 has been conducted to model metal concentrations in ambient air using other statistical approaches. 94 Hernández et al. (1992) applied state-space modelling, Box-Jenkins modelling and time series 95 autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) models to estimate the daily concentrations of air-96 particulate Fe and Pb in Madrid (Spain). Predictions of daily Fe were better than those of Pb. No difference 97 being found between State-space and Box-Jenkins models, their outcomes were better than those of 98 ARIMA models in terms of root mean squared error (RMSE), correlation coefficient and efficiency. 99 Chelani et al. (2001) used a state-space model coupled with Kalman filter and an autoregressive model with 100 external input (ARX model) to forecast Pb, Fe and Zn along with RSPM in Delhi (India). The state space 101 model performed better than the ARX model. On the other hand, Vicente et al. (2012) developed predictive

102	models based on multiple regression analysis together with time series (ARIMA) models to predict the
103	concentration of total suspended particles (TSP), PM ₁₀ , As, Cd, Ni and Pb in the ambient air of Castellón
104	(Spain). Furthermore, in a previous study conducted by Arruti et al. (2011), estimations of As, Cd, Ni and
105	Pb levels in Cantabria (Spain) by means of statistical MLR and PCR models have been conducted. It is
106	concluded that both represent valid approaches as objective estimation techniques.
107	
108	This paper is focused on the development of PLSR and ANN statistical models to estimate the levels of As,
109	Cd, Ni and Pb in the ambient air of two urban areas: Castro Urdiales and Reinosa in the Cantabria region
110	(northern Spain). These models are evaluated according to the uncertainty requirements established by the
111	EU for objective estimation techniques as well as for their ability to estimate the mean concentration.
112	Additionally, an external validation of the models developed is performed.
113	
114	2. Materials and methods
115	
116	2.1. Statistical model fundamentals
117	
118	2.1.1. Partial least squares regression (PLSR)
119	
120	Partial least squares regression is a multivariate calibration technique whose aim is to investigate the
121	relationship between a set of dependent variables or responses and a set of independent variables known as
122	predictors. Firstly, in a similar manner to PCA, PLSR performs a decomposition of the original predictor
123	variables (X-matrix, which consists of environmental observations in this study) by projecting them to a
124	new space and extracts a set of orthogonal factors, called latent variables, which have the best predictive
125	ability. Simultaneously, a decomposition of the response variables (Y-matrix, composed of metal level
126	observations) is also performed. This decomposition step is made in a manner that the projections (scores)
127	of X have maximum covariance with the projections of Y. This procedure is followed by a regression stage,
128	where PLSR (just as MLR) creates a linear combination of the predictor variables in order to predict Y
129	(Abdi 2010).
130	

In this work, cross-validation techniques were used to select the more suitable number of significant
components. PLS Toolbox (Eigenvector Research, Inc.) for MATLAB was used in the present study to
develop the PLSR models.

134

135 2.1.2. Artificial neural networks (ANNs)

136

Artificial neural networks are computational systems inspired by the biological central nervous system.
They consist of a number of simple process elements, commonly referred to as artificial neurons, which are
logically arranged into layers, highly interconnected, and interact with each other via weighted connections.
Through a supervised training process, in which they are successively presented with a series of input and
associated output data, ANNs are capable to learn to model highly non-linear relationships and, as a result,
to accurately generalise when previously unseen data are presented afterwards. The reader is referred the
handbooks of Bishop (1995) and Hassoun (1995) for a comprehensive description of the ANN technique.

144

Plenty of neural network architectures exist. In this work, based on the different ANN approaches found in
the air quality related literature, a multilayer perceptron (MLP) neural network architecture was selected;
details of the architecture are provided in Gardner and Dorling (1998).

148

Because the ratio of input variables/number of samples is relatively high in this work due to the number of samples that were collected by the Regional Environmental Ministry, applying a dimension reduction technique prior to the ANN models was expected to produce an improvement in the estimations as reported in some studies (Lu et al. 2003; Sousa et al. 2007). Therefore, an alternative approach in which the PCA is performed before the development of the ANN models (hereafter known as PCA-ANNs) is considered.

154

155 The ANN models in this study were developed using the Neural Network Toolbox for MATLAB156 (MathWorks, Inc.).

157

158 *2.2. Study area*

160 Two urban areas in the Cantabria region (northern Spain) whose air quality may be influenced by the 161 presence of metallurgical and other industrial activities in their vicinity were selected: Castro Urdiales and 162 Reinosa (Fig. 1). The former area is a coastal urban site at the NE zone of Cantabria which has 32258 163 (2010) inhabitants and encompasses an area of approximately 97 km². Pollution in this area has a marked 164 anthropogenic origin which is caused by traffic, not in vain Castro Urdiales is surrounded by the main 165 national highway in the northern part of Spain. Pollution also proceeds from industrial activities, such as 166 chemical and metallurgical plants and an oil refinery, located 10-30 km SE (near the city of Bilbao). The 167 monitoring station is located at 43°22'53"N, 3°13'22" W and 20 m above sea level, in the core of the urban area. In contrast, Reinosa, covering nearly 4 km² with approximately 10277 inhabitants (2010), is located 168 169 inland, at about 50 km off the shore, in the southern part of the region. The sampling station is located at 170 43°00'01"N, 4°08'13"W and 850 m above sea level. It is in close proximity to a steel manufacturing plant 171 and also to a national highway, main exit route from Cantabria, which establishes connection with the 172 central Iberian Peninsula.

173

174 2.3. Input dataset

175

176 The dataset used in this study is divided into response variables and predictor variables. The former data consist of As, Cd, Ni and Pb concentrations (ng m⁻³) in airborne PM₁₀ for the period from 2008 to 2010 at 177 178 the two study sites. The PM_{10} sampling was performed by the Cantabrian Regional Environmental Ministry 179 according to the reference method for the determination of the PM_{10} fraction of suspended particulate matter 180 detailed in standard UNE-EN 12341:1999. 48h averaged samples of PM_{10} were taken once every two weeks 181 for the period from 2008 to 2009 and 24h averaged samples of PM_{10} were collected for 2010 with a weekly 182 sampling frequency. The content of a number of metals and metalloids in the PM₁₀ samples was determined 183 by our research group based on the standard method for the measurement of Pb, Cd, As and Ni in the PM_{10} 184 fraction of the suspended particulate matter described in standard UNE-EN 14902:2006. According to this, 185 after gravimetric determination of the particle concentration levels, the PM₁₀ filters were treated with 186 microwave-assisted acid digestion to extract the analytes into an aqueous solution prior to the analytical 187 determination of their concentration by inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy (ICP-MS). Further 188 details of this analytical method can be found in Arruti et al. (2010).

As a consequence of the high cost associated with the analytical determination of the content of this sort of
pollutants in particulate matter, a considerably low number of samples was selected for the analysis.
However, this number was sufficient to guarantee the minimum time coverage (14%) for indicative
measurements as European Council Directive 2004/107/EC requires.

194

195 The predictor variables are qualitative or nominal variables (Table 1) that take into account seasonal effects, 196 Saharan dust intrusion and weekend effects or quantitative or continuous variables, namely, meteorological 197 data and major atmospheric pollutant concentration, which are detailed in Table 2. With respect to the 198 nominal variables, the information regarding the occurrence of Saharan dust intrusion events has been 199 obtained from annual reports on African dust episodes over Spain (MAGRAMA 2015), which are 200 developed by the Spanish National Research Council (CSIC) in collaboration with the Spanish Ministry of 201 Agriculture, Food and Environment. In contrast, the continuous variables are measured automatically in 202 real time (maximum time resolution of fifteen minutes) at the monitoring stations of the Cantabrian 203 Regional Air Quality Monitoring Network located in the study sites and are available at the Regional 204 Environment Ministry website. Average values of continuous variables were calculated according to the 205 corresponding duration of the PM₁₀ sampling periods (48 hours for 2008-2009 samples and 24 hours for 206 2010 samples). Moreover, as regards to PM_{10} concentration, it has been included as input variable in the 207 form of natural logarithm because of this transformation being reported to improve the performance of 208 regression models (Arruti et al. 2011).

209

Prior to model development it is always rather convenient to take account of the application of a data preprocessing method, especially if there is lack of knowledge regarding the relative importance of the variables. In this study, the following data pre-treatment procedure was applied:

213

1. Dependent variable normalisation by the respective LAT in order to minimise scale effects.

215 2. Input variable auto-scaling, subtracting the mean and dividing by the standard deviation, in an attempt216 to make each variable a priori equally important.

3. Multivariate outlier identification and removal method based on Mahalanobis distance. It is a wellknown classical approach that computes the Mahalanobis distance (MD) of each observation as an
indicative measure of the distance of each data point from the centre of the multivariate data cloud. By

convention, this method identifies as outliers those observations with a large MD (exceeding the 99%quantile of a chi-square distribution).

222

223 Apart from the data pre-processing treatment, over-fitting is another decisive matter that must be taken into 224 consideration beforehand so that it could be prevented. This term refers to the circumstance that occurs 225 when a model fit the data in such a manner that not only captures the underlying trend in the data but also 226 the unexplained variation or statistical noise and therefore it is unable to generalize properly - that is, to 227 correctly perform when new observations are presented. In order to overcome this phenomenon it is highly 228 recommended the consideration of an additional verification or cross-validation data subset, besides the 229 training or fitting dataset, to check the models performance during the model development stage (usually 230 known as calibration or fitting for PLSR and training for ANNs). Additionally, if the generalisation ability 231 of a model is to be tested, a subset of samples has to be kept in reserve to perform an external validation 232 with previously unused observations once the models have been developed. For that reason, the complete 233 dataset was divided into three different subsets: 60% for training/fitting, 20% for verification and 20% for 234 external validation. Data partition of the available data, often randomly conducted, was carried out in this 235 work by means of the Kennard-Stone algorithm (Kennard and Stone 1969) with the purpose that the 236 resulting subsets are statistically representative. This data division method, originally developed for design 237 of experiments, has been traditionally applied to select calibration samples extracting subsets, as much 238 diverse as possible, from a large set of candidate samples based on the Euclidean distance, which is 239 employed as a measure of similarity between samples (the lower the Euclidean distance, the higher the 240 similarity). Initially, the pair of samples with the largest Euclidean distance are selected. Subsequently, by 241 means of an iterative process that concludes when the number of required objects is reached, more samples 242 are selected, maximizing the minimal Euclidean distances between those already selected and the remaining 243 samples.

244

245 2.4. Model evaluation

246

247 The main criteria employed in this work to determine whether a model is suitable for air quality assessment 248 purposes is principally based on two aspects: (i) the fulfilment of the European Union uncertainty 249 requirements for objective estimation techniques, which are shown in Table 3 and (ii) the accuracy of

250	estimated mean values. Additionally, a number of statistical parameters has been considered to evaluate the
251	modelling performance and are also shown in Table 3.
252	
253	3. Results and discussion
254	
255	3.1. As, Cd, Ni and Pb levels in Castro Urdiales and Reinosa
256	
257	Fig. 2 summarises the levels of As, Cd, Ni and Pb in PM_{10} at Castro Urdiales and Reinosa for the period
258	from 2008 to 2010. According to the European Council Directive 2008/50/EC, because these levels did not
259	exceed their lower assessment threshold and did not present significant variations throughout the period of
260	study, modelling and objective estimation techniques are permitted as an alternative method to experimental
261	measurements for air quality assessment.
262	
263	3.2. Statistical estimation models for Castro Urdiales
264	
265	Table 4 shows the results relating to the best-developed models at the Castro Urdiales site for the four
266	pollutants under study using the three approaches: PLSR, ANNs and PCA coupled with ANNs. The results
267	obtained for both the training and the external validation subsets are presented.
268	
269	Limit/target values for As, Cd, Ni and Pb in ambient air in the European regulations are given in annual
270	mean concentration values. Therefore, attention should be paid to the estimated mean concentrations in the
271	study period. The normalised mean concentrations are presented in Table 4. The accuracy in the estimation
272	of the mean concentration is evaluated by means of the fractional bias (FB) index. In this respect, the
273	estimations are more accurate for the training step. At this step, PLSR provides a FB index lower than those
274	obtained for ANNs and PCA-ANNs because the mean metal concentration estimated by the PLSR models
275	are equal -up to two significant figures- to the corresponding observed values and that, according to the
276	corresponding equation (Table 3), yields lower FB index values. However, the differences between
277	estimated and observed mean concentrations using the three considered techniques are not remarkably
278	significant. As for external validation, the precision is inferior to that of the training phase.
279	

280 In a more illustrative way, Fig. 3 represents the mean metal concentration estimation expressed as a 281 percentage of the corresponding limit/target value. The vertical axis is presented in logarithmic scale. The 282 green area represents the zone below the LAT, the yellow area represents the zone between the UAT and 283 the LAT, and the red area is the zone between the limit/target value and the UAT. Fig. 3 shows that, even 284 though there are some differences between the estimated and the observed mean levels, they are similar. 285 Moreover, because the observed metal(loid) levels are within the green area, well below the LAT, even 286 higher discrepancy could be allowed. Therefore, the developed models provide satisfactory mean 287 concentration estimations.

288

289 It is necessary to validate objective estimation techniques in the context of the EU Directives in terms of 290 uncertainty. In this sense, according to Arruti et al. (2011), two indices have been considered: on the one 291 hand, the RME, which is defined as the largest concentration difference of all percentile differences 292 normalized by the respective observed value (Fleming and Stern 2007); on the other hand, the RDE, which 293 evaluates the accuracy in the estimation of the observation closest to the limit/target value (Denby 2009). 294 As observed in Table 4, the values of these indices for the four pollutants in question for the training and 295 the external validation are well below 100%, which is the maximum permissible uncertainty limit for using 296 objective estimation techniques as air quality assessment tools according to the European Council Directive 297 2008/50/EC. For As, Ni and Cd, ANNs provide higher RME values than PLSR and PCA-ANN. In the 298 majority of cases, except for the As and Cd ANN models, the RME values are below 50%, which is the 299 uncertainty requirement for modelling techniques. In all cases, the RDE values are below 10%. However, 300 these indices have some limitations: it has been discussed that RME is sensitive to the presence of outliers 301 resulting in an increase of the uncertainty values (Fleming and Stern 2007); RDE only evaluates the 302 uncertainty of just one sample, the closest to the limit/target value.

303

From a scientific point of view, apart from a precise estimation of mean values to comply with the policy framework, a model should be able to correctly describe the temporal variations of dependent variables. For this purpose, a set of statistics has been used in this work. In the first place, the correlation coefficient is employed to measure the goodness of fit between the observed and the estimated values. The results show that PLSR correlation coefficients, which are within the range of 0.6-0.7, are less variable than those of ANNs and PCA-ANNs: whereas the highest correlation coefficient, an r value of 0.82, is found when using ANNs for Pb training, the correlation coefficients for As and Cd ANN models are significantly low and therefore unacceptable. This could be explained because in the area of study As and Cd tend to be in lower concentration than Ni and Pb and consequently in the period of study a number of samples have levels of As and Cd below their detection limits. As a result, models are trained to produce the same output from different inputs, a detrimental contradiction that may negatively affect the estimation of the rest of the samples. Moreover, as expected, the r values for external validation are often lower than those for training. Nonetheless, the PCA-ANN external validation correlation coefficients are systematically below 0.5.

317

In addition to the correlation coefficient, the precision of the individual sample concentration estimation is quantified by the RMSE, the NMSE and the FV, (see equations in Table 3). The RMSE values, which provide information regarding the differences between the observed and estimated concentrations, are shown in Table 4. However, to compare these differences for different approaches and pollutants, a normalised version of this parameter (NMSE) is more preferable because it does not take into account the range of the independent variable. In general, the three considered approaches provide low values of NMSE in the order of 10⁻¹.

325

326 With respect to the FV index, positive values can be observed in Table 4; this indicates that the estimated 327 variance is lower than the observed variance. Therefore, estimated values are less dispersed than observed 328 values, which tend to be more distanced from the mean value. This fact, together with a positive FB 329 corresponding to a slight mean value underestimation, indicates that there are some shortcomings in the 330 model capacity to perfectly describe all the concentration variations, especially regarding peak values. 331 Nevertheless, despite no substantial differences being found when comparing PLSR and ANNs, in general 332 both models are able to capture the underlying trend and provide temporal variations with similar shape to 333 that of the observed values as depicted in Fig. 4 for Pb and Ni in the training stage.

334

Based on the results obtained, there is no improvement associated with considering a dimension reduction technique such as PCA before the development of the ANNs. This could be accounted for the fact that most ANNs suffer less from the curse of dimensionality than some other techniques, as they can concentrate on a lower dimensional section of the high-dimensional space, which may be done, for instance, by disregarding completely an input, setting the corresponding weights to zero. Hence, for this specific 340 application dimensionality reduction has been proven not to be effective because removing input variables

from the analysis entails a loss on the predictive ability of the model.

342

343 Furthermore, because these models are devised to be used when the pollutant levels are sufficiently lower 344 at a certain location, in principle the moderated inaccuracy to estimate peak values should not represent an 345 unacceptable drawback to acknowledge these models as proper approaches complying with regulatory 346 requirements: the uncertainty values obtained with the developed models and the accuracy in the estimation 347 of the mean values would be favourable enough from a regulatory perspective. Nonetheless, some 348 refinement is possible because, as mentioned, there are some difficulties in estimating the highest observed 349 concentrations, which are underestimated. In this regard, further work involving new additional input 350 variables and the enlargement of the database with additional samples from different periods of time would 351 be recommendable.

352

353 3.3. Statistical estimation models for Reinosa

354

Analogously to the results at the Castro Urdiales site, the statistical parameters corresponding to the best-developed models at the Reinosa site are presented in Table 5.

357

Regarding the uncertainty indices, it is observed that, as in Castro Urdiales, the RME and RDE values at the Reinosa site are below 100% for the estimations obtained with the three different models developed for the four pollutants. Hence, the quality objectives for ambient air quality assessment by means of objective estimation techniques are met. However, there is a general increase in the obtained RDE values, especially for As and Ni, which are significantly greater than those obtained at the Castro Urdiales site.

363

In relation to the mean values, again, PLSR provides the lowest FB training values, but the FB external validation values are greater than the training values. Although there are still evident differences between the observed and estimated mean concentrations, 90% of the estimations do not differ by more than 50%.

367 Therefore, as shown in Fig. 5, the three developed models provide satisfactory estimations. Nonetheless, a

368 substantial increase in FB values is found in Reinosa compared with Castro Urdiales.

Results at the Reinosa site present more variability between the training and external validation correlation
coefficient values for each pollutant than the results at the Castro Urdiales site, which may be partially
accounted for the higher inherent variance of metal levels in Reinosa compared to those obtained in Castro
Urdiales. However, the ANN correlation coefficient values are generally equal or superior to those of PLSR
and PCA-ANNs. As for the errors in the individual sample concentration estimations, the NMSE values for
Reinosa and Castro Urdiales are within the same range. Nevertheless, the FV values are slightly greater in
Reinosa than in Castro Urdiales but still lower than 1.0, which represents 50% of the observed variance.

377

378 Results prove that these models provide an acceptable performance in varied areas of a region, even when 379 there is a complex pollution framework with diverse emission sources, as is the case of Castro Urdiales. 380 Nevertheless, because the models were trained on data for particular sites and having been demonstrated 381 that the precision in the estimation is dependent on the specific location, these models can therefore only 382 be used with confidence at those sites. This dependence is especially pronounced in the ANN models, which 383 produced a higher variability in the results than the PLSR or PCA-ANN models. This may be influenced 384 by the fact that a limited number of samples are used for developing the models due to the unavailability 385 of additional observations stemming from their costliness and time consumption. Thus, it could be inferred 386 that for small datasets, linear regression techniques can work as well as non-linear modelling approaches 387 in terms of the estimation of metal(loid) levels in ambient air.

388

389 4. Conclusions

390

Statistical models are developed as objective estimation techniques to estimate the As, Cd, Ni and Pb in ambient air at a local scale in two urban areas in the Cantabria region (northern Spain): Castro Urdiales and Reinosa. These models were built based on linear regression techniques, partial least squares regression (PLSR), and the non-linear modelling technique of artificial neural networks (ANNs). Additionally, an alternative approach is considered that performs principal component analysis (PCA) prior to the ANN analysis (PCA-ANNs). Furthermore, these models were externally validated using previously unseen data.

398 The models are evaluated by means of a number of statistical parameters, including uncertainty indices, to 399 determine if they comply with the EU quality requirements for objective estimation techniques. 400 Additionally, the model performance in estimating the individual sample concentrations is evaluated by

401 means of a number of statistical parameters, including a correlation coefficient, RMSE, NMSE and FV.

402

403 Based on the results obtained, PLSR and ANN techniques are acceptable alternatives to estimate the mean concentration of As, Cd, Ni and Pb for the period of study in the two considered sites while fulfilling the 404 405 uncertainty requirements for objective estimation techniques established in the EU Directives. 406 Consequently, PLSR and ANN-based statistical models represent a proper alternative to experimental 407 measurements for air quality assessment purposes in the area of study. However, ANNs have not 408 demonstrated to offer a clear superior performance over the linear regression technique, what may be 409 attributed to the modest size of the available database. Furthermore, the three considered approaches had 410 some difficulties providing accurate estimations of the levels of individual samples, particularly for the 411 external validation subset. Moreover, the application of PCA before the ANN model development did not 412 yield an improvement of the models. 413 414 Acknowledgements 415 416 The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the Spanish Ministry of Economy and 417 Competitiveness through the Project CMT2010-16068. The authors also thank the Regional Environment 418 Ministry of the Cantabria Government for providing the PM₁₀ samples at the Castro Urdiales and Reinosa 419 sites. 420 421 References 422 423 Abdi, H. (2010). Partial least squares regression and projection on latent structure regression (PLS 424 Regression). Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Statistics, 2(1), 97-106. 425 426 Abdul-Wahab, S. A., & Al-Alawi, S. M. (2002). Assessment and prediction of tropospheric ozone 427 concentration levels using artificial neural networks. Environmental Modelling and Software, 17(3), 219-428 228. 429

430	Arruti, A., Fernández-Olmo, I., & Irabien, A. (2010). Evaluation of the contribution of local sources to
431	trace metals levels in urban $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} in the Cantabria Region (Northern Spain). Journal of
432	Environmental Monitoring, 12, 1451-1458.
433	

- 434 Arruti, A., Fernández-Olmo, I., & Irabien, A. (2011). Assessment of regional metal levels in ambient air
- 435 by statistical regression models. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring*, 13(7), 1991-2000.
- 436
- Bishop, C. M. (1995). *Neural Networks for Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning*. Oxford: Clarendon
 Press.
- 439
- Brunelli, U., Piazza, V., Pignato, L., Sorbello, F., & Vitabile, S. (2007). Two-days ahead prediction of daily
 maximum concentrations of SO₂, O₃, PM₁₀, NO₂, CO in the urban area of Palermo, Italy. *Atmospheric Environment*, 41(14), 2967-2995.
- 443
- Cai, M., Yin, Y., & Xie, M. (2009). Prediction of hourly air pollutant concentrations near urban arterials
 using artificial neural network approach. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*,
 14(1), 32-41.
- 447
- 448 Caselli, M., Trizio, L., De Gennaro, G., & Ielpo, P. (2009). A simple feedforward neural network for the
- 449 PM_{10} forecasting: Comparison with a radial basis function network and a multivariate linear regression 450 model. *Water, air, and soil pollution*, 201(1-4), 365-377.
- 451
- 452 Chaloulakou, A., Saisana, M., & Spyrellis, N. (2003). Comparative assessment of neural networks and
 453 regression models for forecasting summertime ozone in Athens. *Science of the Total Environment*, 313(1454 3), 1-13.
- 455
- 456 Chelani, A. B., Gajghate, D. G., Tamhane, S. M., & Hasan, M. Z. (2001). Statistical modeling of ambient
 457 air pollutants in Delhi. *Water, air, and soil pollution*, 132(3-4), 315-331.
- 458

- 459 Chelani, A. B., Chalapati Rao, C. V., Phadke, K. M., & Hasan, M. Z. (2002a). Prediction of sulphur dioxide
- 460 concentration using artificial neural networks. *Environmental Modelling and Software*, 17(2), 161-168.
- 461
- 462 Chelani, A. B., Gajghate, D. G., & Hasan, M. Z. (2002b). Prediction of ambient PM₁₀ and toxic metals
- using artificial neural networks. *Journal of the Air and Waste Management Association*, 52(7), 805-810.
- 464
- 465 Chelani, A. B. (2005). Predicting chaotic time series of PM₁₀ concentration using artificial neural network.
- 466 International Journal of Environmental Studies, 62(2), 181-191.
- 467
- 468 Comrie, A. C. (1997). Comparing neural networks and regression models for ozone forecasting. *Journal of*

the Air and Waste Management Association, 47(6), 653-663.

- 470
- 471 Denby, B. (2009). Guidance on the use of the models for the European Air Quality Directive. A Working
- 472 document of the Forum for Air Qualtiy Modelling in Europe, FAIRMODE. ETC/ACC Report.
- 473
- 474 El-Harbawi, M. (2013). Air quality modelling, simulation, and computational methods: A review.
 475 *Environmental Reviews*, 21(3), 149-179.
- 476
- 477 Fleming, J., & Stern, R. (2007). Testing model accuracy measures according to the EU directives-examples

478 using the chemical transport model REM-CALGRID. *Atmospheric Environment*, 41, 9206-9216.

- 479
- 480 Gardner, M. W., & Dorling, S. R. (1998). Artificial neural networks (the multilayer perceptron) a review

481 of applications in the atmospheric sciences. *Atmospheric Environment*, 32(14-15), 2627-2636.

- 482
- 483 Gardner, M. W., & Dorling, S. R. (1999). Neural network modelling and prediction of hourly NO_x and NO₂
 484 concentrations in urban air in London. *Atmospheric Environment*, 33(5), 709-719.
- 485
- 486 Grivas, G., & Chaloulakou, A. (2006). Artificial neural network models for prediction of PM₁₀ hourly
- 487 concentrations, in the Greater Area of Athens, Greece. *Atmospheric Environment*, 40(7), 1216-1229.

489	Hanna, S. R. (1989). Plume dispersion and concentration fluctuations in the atmosphere. In P.N.
490	Cheremisinoff (Ed.), Encyclopedia of Environmental Control Technology, Vol. 2, Air Pollution Control.
491	Houston, Texas: Gulf Publishing Co.
492	
493	Hassoun, M. H. (1995). Fundamentals of Artificial Neural Networks. London, England: MIT Press.
494	Hernández, E., Martín, F., & Valero, F. (1992). Statistical forecast models for daily air particulate iron and

- 495 lead concentrations for Madrid, Spain. Atmospheric Environment, 26B, 107-116.
- 496

- 497 Hoi, K. I., Yuen, K. V., & Mok, K. M. (2009). Prediction of daily averaged PM₁₀ concentrations by 498 statistical time-varying model. Atmospheric Environment, 43(16), 2579-2581.
- 499
- 500 Hrust, L., Klaic, Z. B., Križan, J., Antonic, O., & Hercog, P. (2009). Neural network forecasting of air

501 pollutants hourly concentrations using optimised temporal averages of meteorological variables and

502 pollutant concentrations. Atmospheric Environment, 43(35), 5588-5596.

- 503
- 504 Inal, F. (2010). Artificial Neural Network Prediction of Tropospheric Ozone Concentrations in Istanbul, 505 Turkey. Clean - Soil, Air, Water, 38(10), 981.
- 506
- 507 Jiang, D., Zhang, Y., Hu, X., Zeng, Y., Tan, J., & Shao, D. (2004). Progress in developing an ANN model

508 for air pollution index forecast. Atmospheric Environment, 38(40 SPEC.ISS.), 7055-7064.

- 509
- 510 Kennard, R. W., & Stone, L. A. (1969). Computer aided design of experiments. Technometrics, 11(1), 137-511 148.
- 512
- 513 Kim, M., Kim, Y., Sung, S., & Yoo, C. (2009). Data-driven prediction model of indoor air quality by the
- 514 preprocessed recurrent neural networks. ICCAS-SICE 2009 - ICROS-SICE International Joint Conference
- 515 2009, Proceedings, 1688-1692.
- 516
- 517 Kolehmainen, M., Martikainen, H., & Ruuskanen, J. (2001). Neural networks and periodic components
- 518 used in air quality forecasting. Atmospheric Environment, 35(5), 815-825.

0 _ 0	
520	Kukkonen, J., Partanen, L., Karppinen, A., Ruuskanen, J., Junninen, H., Kolehmainen, M., Niska, H.,
521	Dorling, S., Chatterton, T., Foxall, R., & Cawley, G. (2003). Extensive evaluation of neural network models
522	for the prediction of NO_2 and PM_{10} concentrations, compared with a deterministic modelling system and
523	measurements in central Helsinki. Atmospheric Environment, 37(32), 4539-4550.
524	
525	Kurt, A., Gulbagci, B., Karaca, F., & Alagha, O. (2008). An online air pollution forecasting system using
526	neural networks. Environment international, 34(5), 592-598.
527	
528	Li, Y., Luo, F., Jiang, Y., Lu, Y., Huang, J., & Zhang, Z. (2009). A prediction model of occupational
529	manganese exposure based on artificial neural network. Toxicology Mechanisms and Methods, 19(5), 337-
530	345.
531	
532	Lu, W. Z., Wang, W. J., Wang, X. K., Xu, Z. B., & Leung, A. Y. T. (2003). Using improved neural network
533	model to analyze RSP, NO _X and NO ₂ levels in urban air in Mong Kok, Hong Kong. Environmental
534	monitoring and assessment, 87(3), 235-254.
535	
536	Lu, W., Wang, W., Wang, X., Yan, S., & Lam, J. C. (2004). Potential assessment of a neural network model
537	with PCA/RBF approach for forecasting pollutant trends in Mong Kok urban air, Hong Kong.
538	Environmental research, 96(1), 79-87.
539	
540	Maes, J., Vliegen, J., Van de Vel, K., Janssen, S., Deutsch, F., De Ridder, K., Mensink, C. (2009). Spatial
541	surrogates for the disaggregation of CORINAIR emission inventories. Atmospheric Environment, 43, 1246-
542	1254.
543	
544	Ministerio de Agricultura, Alimentación y Medio Ambiente (MAGRAMA), 2015. Histórico de informes
545	de episodios naturales. http://www.magrama.gob.es/es/calidad-y-evaluacion-ambiental/temas/atmosfera-
546	y-calidad-del-aire/calidad-del-aire/gestion/anuales.aspx

549	(2005). Evaluation of an integrated modelling system containing a multi-layer perceptron model and the
550	numerical weather prediction model HIRLAM for the forecasting of urban airborne pollutant
551	concentrations. Atmospheric Environment, 39(35), 6524-6536.
552	
553	Ogulei, D., Hopke, P. K., Zhou, L., Patrick Pancras, J., Nair, N., & Ondov, J. M. (2006). Source
554	apportionment of Baltimore aerosol from combined size distribution and chemical composition data.
555	Atmospheric Environment, 40(SUPPL. 2), 396-410.
556	
557	Papanastasiou, D. K., Melas, D., & Kioutsioukis, I. (2007). Development and assessment of neural network
558	and multiple regression models in order to predict PM_{10} levels in a medium-sized Mediterranean city.
559	Water, air, and soil pollution, 182(1-4), 325-334.
560	
561	Paschalidou, A. K., Karakitsios, S., Kleanthous, S., & Kassomenos, P. A. (2011). Forecasting hourly PM ₁₀
562	concentration in Cyprus through artificial neural networks and multiple regression models: Implications to
563	local environmental management. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 18(2), 316-327.
564	
565	Pérez, P., Trier, A., & Reyes, J. (2000). Prediction of PM _{2.5} concentrations several hours in advance using
566	neural networks in Santiago, Chile. Atmospheric Environment, 34(8), 1189-1196.
567	
568	Perez, P., & Reyes, J. (2002). Prediction of maximum of 24-h average of PM ₁₀ concentrations 30h in
569	advance in Santiago, Chile. Atmospheric Environment, 36(28), 4555-4561.
570	
571	Perez, P., & Reyes, J. (2006). An integrated neural network model for PM ₁₀ forecasting. Atmospheric
572	Environment, 40(16), 2845-2851.
573	
574	Pires, J. C. M., Martins, F. G., Sousa, S. I. V., Alvim-Ferraz, M. C. M., & Pereira, M. C. (2008). Prediction
575	of the daily mean PM ₁₀ concentrations using linear models. American Journal of Environmental Sciences,
576	4(5), 445-453.

Niska, H., Rantamäki, M., Hiltunen, T., Karppinen, A., Kukkonen, J., Ruuskanen, J., & Kolehmainen, M.

577

- 578 Polat, K., & Durduran, S. S. (2012). Usage of output-dependent data scaling in modeling and prediction of
- air pollution daily concentration values (PM₁₀) in the city of Konya. *Neural Computing and Applications*,

580 21(8), 2153-2162.

- Singh, K. P., Gupta, S., Kumar, A., & Shukla, S. P. (2012). Linear and nonlinear modeling approaches for
 urban air quality prediction. *Science of the Total Environment*, 426, 244-255.
- 584
- Sousa, S. I. V., Martins, F. G., Alvim-Ferraz, M. C. M., & Pereira, M. C. (2007). Multiple linear regression
 and artificial neural networks based on principal components to predict ozone concentrations. *Environmental Modelling and Software*, 22(1), 97-103.
- 588
- 589 Turias, I. J., González, F. J., Martin, M. L., & Galindo, P. L. (2008). Prediction models of CO, SPM and
- SO₂ concentrations in the Campo de Gibraltar Region, Spain: A multiple comparison strategy. *Environmental monitoring and assessment*, 143(1-3), 131-146.
- 592
- 593 Vicente, A. B., Jordán, M. M., Sanfeliu, T., Sánchez, A., & Esteban, M. D. (2012). Air pollution prediction
 594 models of particles, As, Cd, Ni and Pb in a highly industrialized area in Castellón (NE, Spain).
 595 *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 66(3), 879-888.
- 596
- Voukantsis, D., Karatzas, K., Kukkonen, J., Räsänen, T., Karppinen, A., & Kolehmainen, M. (2011).
 Intercomparison of air quality data using principal component analysis, and forecasting of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}
 concentrations using artificial neural networks, in Thessaloniki and Helsinki. *Science of the Total Environment*, 409(7), 1266-1276.
- 601
- Wang, W., Lu, W., Wang, X., & Leung, A. Y. T. (2003). Prediction of maximum daily ozone level using
- 603 combined neural network and statistical characteristics. *Environment international*, 29(5), 555-562.
- 604
- 605 Wingfors, H., Sjödin, A., Haglund, P., & Brorström-Lundén, E. (2001). Characterisation and determination
- 606 of profiles of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in a traffic tunnel in Gothenburg, Sweden. Atmospheric
- 607 *Environment*, 35(36), 6361-6369.

608

- 609 Wold, S., Sjöström, M., & Eriksson, L. (2001). PLS-regression: A basic tool of chemometrics.
- 610 *Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems*, 58(2), 109-130.

- 612 Yi, J., & Prybutok, V. R. (1996). A neural network model forecasting for prediction of daily maximum
- 613 ozone concentration in an industrialized urban area. *Environmental Pollution*, 92(3), 349-357.

Figure captions

Fig. 1 Location of the monitoring stations

Fig. 2 As, Cd, Ni and Pb levels in PM_{10} , normalized with respect to their corresponding LAT, for the period of study at (a) the Castro Urdiales site and (b) the Reinosa site. The 2008 mean values are obtained from Arruti et al. (2011). LAT: 250 ng m⁻³ (Pb), 2.4 ng m⁻³ (As), 10 ng m⁻³ (Ni), 2 ng m⁻³ (Cd)

Fig. 3 Comparison between the observed and estimated mean concentrations at the Castro Urdiales site and their respective assessment thresholds and limit/target values. (a) Pb; (b) As; (c) Ni and (d) Cd. TV: 500 ng m⁻³ (Pb), 6 ng m⁻³ (As), 20 ng m⁻³ (Ni), 5 ng m⁻³ (Cd); UAT: 70% (Pb and Ni), 60% (As and Cd); LAT: 50% (Pb and Ni), 40% (As and Cd)

Fig. 4 Fitting of the Pb and Ni models for the training subset at the Castro Urdiales site

Fig. 5 Comparison between the observed and estimated mean concentrations at the Reinosa site and their respective assessment thresholds and limit/target values. (a) Pb; (b) As; (c) Ni and (d) Cd. TV: 500 ng m⁻³ (Pb), 6 ng m⁻³ (As), 20 ng m⁻³ (Ni), 5 ng m⁻³ (Cd); UAT: 70% (Pb and Ni), 60% (As and Cd); LAT: 50% (Pb and Ni), 40% (As and Cd)







LAT UAT TV Mean





Table 1. List of nominal variables used as input for the models

Notation	Description	Codification
SE	Season	1: Winter; 2: Spring; 3: Summer; 4: Fall
SD	Saharan dust intrusion	0: No intrusion; 1: Intrusion
WE	Weekend	0: Working day; 1: Weekend

Notation	Description ^a	Туре	Units
LnPM ₁₀	Average natural logarithm of PM_{10} concentration (µg m ⁻³)	Major air pollutant	-
SO_2	Average concentration of sulphur dioxide	Major air pollutant	μg m ⁻³
O ₃	Average concentration of ozone	Major air pollutant	μg m ⁻³
NO _x	Average concentration of nitrogen oxides	Major air pollutant	μg m ⁻³
Т	Average temperature	Meteorological	°C
RH	Average relative humidity	Meteorological	%
WD	Prevailing wind direction	Meteorological	o
WS	Prevailing wind speed	Meteorological	ms ⁻¹
Р	Average pressure	Meteorological	mbar
PP	Cumulative precipitation	Meteorological	L m ⁻²

Table 2. List of continuous variables used as input for the models.

^a Average values were calculated according to the corresponding duration of the PM₁₀ sampling periods

Evaluation	Statistic	Equation
EU Uncortainty	Relative maximum error without timing	$RME = max(C_{O,p}-C_{E,p})/C_{O,p}$
EU Uncertainty	Relative directive error	$RDE = \left C_{O,LV} - C_{E,LV} \right / LV$
Mean concentration	Fractional bias	$FB = \frac{\overline{C_0} - \overline{C_E}}{0.5 (\overline{C_0} + \overline{C_E})}$
	Correlation coefficient	$r = \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(C_{O,i} - \overline{C_{O}}\right) \left(C_{E,i} - \overline{C_{E}}\right)}{\sqrt{\sigma_{O}\sigma_{E}}}\right]$
Performance	Root mean square error	RMSE = $\sqrt{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} (C_{O,i}-C_{E,i})^2}$
	Normalised mean square error	$NMSE = \frac{\overline{(C_{O} - C_{E})^{2}}}{\overline{C_{O}} \ \overline{C_{E}}}$
	Fractional variance	$FV = 2 \frac{\sigma_O - \sigma_E}{\sigma_O + \sigma_E}$

Table 3. Statistical parameters used for evaluating the model performance

	Model		EU Uncer	rtainty	Mean	Concent	ration ^b	Performance			
Pollutant		Subset ^a	RME (%)	RDE (%)	Co 10 ²	$C_E \; 10^2$	FB 10 ²	r	RMSE 10 ²	NMSE 10	FV 10
	PLSR	T V	26.7 49.6	0.09 0.71	2.79 4.13	2.79 3.01	-9.8 10 ⁻¹⁵ 31.4	0.704 0.620	1.77 2.71	4.04 5.90	3.48 7.44
Pb	ANN	T V	34.5 32.0	0.66 1.76	2.67 4.34	2.70 3.48	-0.9 22.0	0.820 0.676	1.44 2.41	2.88 3.85	1.98 2.47
	PCA- ANN	T V	36.9 30.6	0.41 0.07	3.14 3.08	3.11 3.43	1.0 -10.8	0.681 0.269	2.03 2.60	4.25 6.41	4.41 3.60
	PLSR	T V	42.8 34.8	0.30 1.74	6.66 5.32	6.66 5.50	-4.2 10 ⁻¹² -3.4	0.656 0.629	5.17 4.63	6.02 7.34	4.16 0.88
As	ANN	T V	77.0 66.3	0.17 0.25	6.96 5.32	6.81 6.81	2.1 -24.6	0.130 0.193	6.96 5.70	10.22 8.99	12.74 11.26
	PCA- ANN	T V	54.6 33.5	0.86 0.96	6.96 5.55	6.35 6.33	9.1 -13.3	0.536 0.190	5.87 5.72	7.80 9.30	7.36 2.77
	PLSR	T V	34.7 22.1	10.83 2.64	27.61 18.91	27.61 22.67	3.1 10 ⁻¹³ -18.1	0.642 0.663	21.52 12.27	6.07 3.51	4.36 -0.97
Ni	ANN	T V	45.0 32.6	6.19 1.24	28.27 19.36	23.30 23.71	19.3 -20.0	0.676 0.387	21.59 16.15	7.08 5.67	6.18 -0.58
	PCA- ANN	T V	33.9 25.6	1.77 2.06	24.54 23.64	26.01 23.42	-5.8 0.9	0.643 0.216	17.60 21.19	4.85 8.11	4.92 1.64
	PLSR	T V	40.9 46.2	0.14 0.86	3.75 4.55	3.75 3.52	-1.1 10 ⁻¹² 25.6	0.672 0.628	3.36 3.42	8.03 7.30	3.92 4.69
Cd	ANN	T V	n.c. ^c n.c. ^c								
	PCA- ANN	T V	33.5 41.5	0.47 0.42	3.84 3.56	3.88 3.62	-1.1 -1.7	0.613 0.534	3.11 3.11	6.49 7.48	5.36 5.05

Table 4. Training and external validation performance indices of the various developed models at the Castro Urdiales site

^aT: Training; V: External validation ^b O: Observed; E: Estimated ^c Not calculated (n.c.)

	Model		EU Uncertainty		Mean (Concent	ration ^b	Perfor	mance		
Pollutant		Subset ^a	RME (%)	RDE (%)	C ₀ 10 ²	$C_E \; 10^2$	FB 10 ²	r	RMSE 10 ²	NMSE 10	FV 10
	PLSR	T V	31.1 14.0	0.61 0.21	5.60 5.11	5.60 6.01	-6.2 10 ⁻¹⁴ -16.2	0.723 0.553	3.48 3.76	3.86 4.60	3.21 0.17
Pb	ANN	T V	30.3 35.9	0.83 1.07	6.01 5.11	5.71 5.95	5.2 -15.2	0.829 0.563	2.86 3.58	2.38 4.22	3.38 1.05
	PCA- ANN	T V	42.3 42.2	1.48 1.38	5.50 4.96	6.02 5.68	-8.9 -13.4	0.679 0.374	3.77 3.80	4.29 5.13	7.17 9.70
	PLSR	T V	28.2 31.7	1.25 5.66	13.61 15.12	13.61 12.46	4.1 10 ⁻¹⁴ 19.3	0.446 0.441	8.68 12.66	4.07 8.51	7.67 7.23
As	ANN	T V	23.6 35.4	3.56 6.01	14.47 15.12	13.39 11.82	7.7 24.5	0.765 0.393	6.75 13.17	2.35 9.71	4.89 6.78
	PCA- ANN	T V	25.0 37.4	1.41 8.26	16.03 11.52	15.61 16.96	2.7 -38.3	0.572 0.132	10.03 10.09	4.02 5.21	6.69 2.75
	PLSR	T V	53.4 25.2	5.45 2.51	30.61 21.54	30.61 32.26	-3.9 10 ⁻⁶ -39.9	0.386 0.549	20.49 19.23	4.48 5.32	8.86 6.13
Ni	ANN	T V	38.9 28.2	22.21 2.51	33.60 21.54	34.60 36.09	-2.9 -50.5	0.460 0.455	20.92 22.71	3.78 6.63	8.54 4.10
	PCA- ANN	T V	26.9 42.5	9.01 20.85	30.81 25.95	28.36 20.94	8.3 21.4	0.677 0.304	17.43 22.50	3.48 9.32	3.09 5.53
	PLSR	T V	48.5 46.2	0.46 0.59	3.06 2.09	3.06 3.56	-3.8 10 ⁻¹³ -51.8	0.644 0.338	3.30 2.74	1.16 1.01	4.32 3.26
Cd	ANN	T V	59.7 36.7	0.19 0.26	3.40 2.09	2.47 2.07	31.5 1.2	0.641 0.521	3.74 1.94	1.67 8.70	7.03 4.30
	PCA- ANN	T V	67.5 34.3	7.02 0.40	3.21 1.83	3.56 3.32	-10.3 -57.7	0.518 0.579	4.10 2.48	1.47 1.01	8.42 2.74

Table 5. Training and external validation performance indices of the various developed models at the Reinosa site

^aT: Training; V: External validation ^b O: Observed; E: Estimated