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#### Abstract

We show that some counterexamples in the theory of tauberian operators can be realized as operators acting on $C[0,1]$. Precisely, we show that the set $\tau(C[0,1])$ of tauberian operators acting on $C[0,1]$ is not open, and that $T \in \tau(C[0,1])$ does not imply $T^{* *}$ tauberian.


## 1. Introduction

Tauberian operators arose almost simultaneously in summability theory [6], factorization of operators [4], and certain generalizations of Fredholm theory [17], but were formally introduced by Kalton and Wilansky in [12]. Besides the factorization of weakly compact operators throughout reflexive Banach spaces discovered by Davis et al. [4], the tauberian operators have been successfully applied in other branches of Banach space theory like preservation of isomorphic properties [14], equivalence between the Radon-Nikodym property and the Krein-Milman property [16], and refinements of James' characterization of reflexive spaces [15]. The class of tauberian operators is a semigroup in the sense of [1] associated to the operator ideal of the weakly compact operators [9, Theorem 2], and contains all isomorphic embeddings. We refer to [8] for additional information on the subject.

Let $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ denote the set of all bounded operators acting between the Banach spaces $X$ and $Y$. Given a class $\mathcal{A}$ of operators, let $\mathcal{A}(X, Y):=\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ denote the component of all bounded operators of $\mathcal{A}$ acting between $X$ and $Y$, and consider the two following statements:
(a) the component $\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ is open for all $X$ and all $Y$;
(b) if $T \in \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ then $T^{* *} \in \mathcal{A}\left(X^{* *}, Y^{* *}\right)$.

The fact that the class of isomorphic embeddings satisfies (a) and (b) is well-known. Nevertheless, it was proved in [2] that neither (a) nor (b) holds for the class of tauberian operators (see Sections 2.1 and 3.1 in [8]). The corresponding counterexamples were obtained by finding some tauberian operators $T: X \longrightarrow X$ acting on certain Banach spaces $X$ constructed ad hoc. Thus, there is still some interest in knowing whether (a) and (b) are satisfied by the tauberian operators $T: X \longrightarrow Y$ acting between classical Banach spaces. For instance, it is known that both (a) and (b) hold when $X$ is $L_{1}$ (see Proposition 6.2.7 and Theorem 6.2.18 in [8] for (a), and Theorem 4.4.2 in [8] for (b)). In this paper we prove that the class of tauberian operators satisfies neither (a) nor (b) when $X=Y=C[0,1]$. Our tools will be the push-out construction of Kisliakov (see [3, Section 1.3] or [5, Lemma 15.14]), and a technical result on embeddings of quotients of separable spaces into $\ell_{\infty}$.

We use standard notation in Banach space theory. Capital letters $X, Y$ denote Banach spaces, and the action of an element of the dual space $x^{*} \in X^{*}$ on $x \in X$ is denoted by

[^0]$\left\langle x^{*}, x\right\rangle$. The subset of all norm one elements of $X$ is denoted by $S_{X}$, and $B_{X}$ denotes the subset of all elements $x \in X$ such that $\|x\| \leq 1$. Given a subspace $Y$ of $X$, the annihilator of $Y$ in $X^{*}$ is $Y^{\perp}$. The second dual (or bidual) of $X$ is denoted $X^{* *}$. We identify $X$ with a subspace of $X^{* *}$, and denote by $X^{\text {co }}$ the quotient $X^{* *} / X$. Operators are continuous linear maps. The range and the kernel of an operator $T: X \longrightarrow Y$ are respectively denoted by $R(T)$ and $N(T)$. Moreover, $T^{*}: Y^{*} \longrightarrow X^{*}$ is the conjugate of $T$, and $T^{* *}: X^{* *} \longrightarrow Y^{* *}$ is the second conjugate (or biconjugate) of T . The operator $T^{c o}: X^{c o} \longrightarrow Y^{c o}$ that maps $x^{* *}+X$ to $T^{* *} x^{* *}+Y$ is called the residuum operator of $T$ [10]. Given a subspace $E$ of $X$, the quotient operator from $X$ onto $X / E$ is denoted by $Q_{E}$. As usual, an operator $T: X \longrightarrow Y$ is said to be an isomorphic embedding if there is a constant $C>0$ such that $\|T x\| \geq C\|x\|$ for all $x \in X$; if $\|T x\|=\|x\|$ for all $x \in X$ then $T$ is said to be an isometric embedding.

An operator $T: X \longrightarrow Y$ is said to be tauberian if $T^{* *}\left(X^{* *} \backslash X\right) \subset Y^{* *} \backslash Y$; equivalently, if $T^{c o}$ is injective [8, Proposition 3.1.8]. The class of all tauberian operators will be denoted by $\mathcal{T}$. Therefore, given Banach spaces $X$ and $Y$, the component $\mathcal{T}(X, Y)$ consists of all tauberian operators in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. In the case $X=Y$ we write $\mathcal{T}(X)$ instead of $\mathcal{T}(X, X)$.

Isomorphic embeddings belong to $\mathcal{T}$, and given operators $S: X \longrightarrow Y$ and $T: Y \longrightarrow Z$, the following assertions are satisfied (see [8, Section 2.1]):
(i) if $T \in \mathcal{T}$ and $S \in \mathcal{T}$ then $T S \in \mathcal{T}$;
(ii) if $T S \in \mathcal{T}$ then $S \in \mathcal{T}$.

## 2. The push-out of a pair of operators

Given a pair of operators $A: X \longrightarrow Y$ and $B: X \longrightarrow Z$, let $\Delta$ be the closure of the subspace $D:=\{(B x,-A x): x \in X\}$. The push-out space $\Sigma$ of $(B, A)$ is the range of the quotient operator

$$
Q: Z \oplus_{1} Y \longrightarrow \Sigma:=\frac{Z \oplus_{1} Y}{\Delta}
$$

and the operators $j_{A}: Z \longrightarrow \Sigma$ and $j_{B}: Y \longrightarrow \Sigma$, defined by $j_{A}(z):=Q(z, 0)$ and $j_{B}(y):=$ $Q(0, y)$, produce the push-out diagram of $(B, A)$ :


It is immediate that $j_{A} \circ B=j_{B} \circ A,\left\|j_{B}\right\| \leq 1$ and $\left\|j_{A}\right\| \leq 1$. Moreover, if $A($ or $B)$ is an isomorphic embedding then the subspace $D$ is closed.

The push-out diagram is characterized up to isomorphisms by the following result.
Proposition 2.1. (Universal property) For any space $U$ and any pair of operators $u: Y \longrightarrow U$ and $v: Z \longrightarrow U$ such that $u \circ A=v \circ B$ there exists a unique operator $j: \Sigma \longrightarrow U$ such that $u=j \circ j_{B}$ and $v=j \circ j_{A}$.

The operator $j$ is given by $j((z, y)+\Delta)=v(z)+u(y)$.
Remark 2.2. The quotient map $Q: Z \oplus_{1} Y \longrightarrow \Sigma$ satisfies $Q(z, y):=j_{A}(z)+j_{B}(y)$.
Additional properties of the operators $A$ or $B$ produce additional properties of their push-out. Note that the role played by $A$ is symmetric with respect to that one played by $B$ in their push-out diagram of $(A, B)$.

Proposition 2.3. Let $A: X \longrightarrow Y$ and $B: X \longrightarrow Z$ be a pair of operators and suppose that $B$ is an isomorphic embedding. Then the following assertions are satisfied:
(i) $j_{B}$ is an isomorphic embedding.
(ii) $A$ is injective if and only if $j_{A}$ is injective.

Proof. Since $B$ is an isomorphic embedding, $\Delta$ equals $\{(B x,-A x): x \in X\}$.
(i) Suppose $\|B x\| \geq C\|x\|$ for each $x \in X$. Given $y \in Y$ with $\|y\|=1$,

$$
\left\|j_{B}(y)\right\|=\inf _{x \in X}\|(0, y)+(B x,-A x)\|=\inf _{x \in X}\|B x\|+\|y-A x\|
$$

In the case $2\|x\|\|A\| \leq 1$, we get $\|y-A x\| \geq 1 / 2$. Otherwise $\|B x\| \geq C(2\|A\|)^{-1}$. Thus $\left\|j_{B}(y)\right\| \geq \min \left\{1 / 2, C(2\|A\|)^{-1}\right\}\|y\|$ for each $y \in Y$.
(ii) Clearly $j_{A}$ injective $\Rightarrow j_{A} B=j_{B} A$ injective $\Rightarrow A$ injective. Conversely, suppose that $A$ is injective. Then $j_{A}(z)=0$ implies $(z, 0) \in \Delta$. Thus $z=B x$ for some $x \in X$ such that $A x=0$; hence $z=0$.

Remark 2.4. When $B$ is an isomorphic embedding, Proposition 2.3 tells us that $j_{B}$ is an isomorphic embedding of $Y$ into $\Sigma$. Thus the operator $j_{A}$ can be seen as an extension of $A$. To emphasize this fact, sometimes we will write $\widehat{A}$ instead of $j_{A}$ in that case.

From now on, when we say that we can identify operators or diagrams, we mean that we can identify them up to bijective isomorphisms.

The following result shows that the action of taking biconjugates and that of forming push-outs commute in some cases.

Proposition 2.5. Let $A: X \longrightarrow Y$ be an operator and let $B: X \longrightarrow Z$ be an isomorphic embedding. Then the second conjugate of the push-out diagram of $(B, A)$ can be identified with the push-out diagram of $\left(B^{* *}, A^{* *}\right)$.

Proof. The diagrams to be identified are

where $\Delta=\{(B x,-A x): x \in X\}$ and $\Gamma=\left\{\left(B^{* *} x^{* *},-A^{* *} x^{* *}\right): x^{* *} \in X^{* *}\right\}$.
The universal property of the push-out (Proposition 2.1) provides an operator

$$
U: \frac{Z^{* *} \oplus_{1} Y^{* *}}{\Gamma} \longrightarrow\left(\frac{Z \oplus_{1} Y}{\Delta}\right)^{* *}
$$

given by $U\left(\left(z^{* *}, y^{* *}\right)+\Gamma\right)=j_{A}^{* *}\left(z^{* *}\right)+j_{B}^{* *}\left(y^{* *}\right)$, so that $j_{B}^{* *}=U j_{B^{* *}}$ and $j_{A}^{* *}=U j_{A^{* *}}$.
By Remark 2.2, $R(U)=R\left(Q^{* *}\right)$, where $Q: Z \oplus_{1} Y \longrightarrow \Sigma$ is the quotient. Hence $U$ is surjective. Moreover, $N\left(Q^{* *}\right)=\Gamma$; hence $U$ is injective. Thus $U$ is a bijective isomorphism, so the result is proved.

The following result is known. We give a proof for completeness.
Proposition 2.6. Given a subspace $Y$ of $X$, the following statements hold:
(i) the quotient $X / Y$ embeds isometrically in $X^{* *} / Y^{\perp \perp}$ via $\varphi(x+Y):=x+Y^{\perp \perp}$ and $X+Y^{\perp \perp}$ is a closed subspace of $X^{* *}$;
(ii) the operator

$$
\frac{X^{* *} / Y^{\perp \perp}}{\varphi(X / Y)} \longrightarrow \frac{X^{* *}}{X+Y^{\perp \perp}}
$$

that maps $\left(x^{* *}+Y^{\perp \perp}\right)+\varphi(X / Y)$ to $x^{* *}+\left(X+Y^{\perp \perp}\right)$ is a surjective isometry.
Proof. (i) Take $x \in X$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(x, Y)=1$. Since there exists $x^{*} \in Y^{\perp}$ with $\left\|x^{*}\right\|=1$ so that $\left\langle x^{*}, x\right\rangle=1$, we obtain $\operatorname{dist}\left(x, Y^{\perp \perp}\right)=1$, which proves that $\varphi$ is an isometry. In particular, $R(\varphi)=\left(X+Y^{\perp \perp}\right) / Y^{\perp \perp}$ is closed. Moreover, the quotient map $q: X^{* *} \longrightarrow X^{* *} / Y^{\perp \perp}$ satisfies $X+Y^{\perp \perp}=q^{-1}(R(\varphi))$, hence $X+Y^{\perp \perp}$ is closed.
(ii) Given $x^{* *} \in X^{* *}$, part (i) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(x^{* *}+Y^{\perp \perp}\right)+\varphi(X / Y)\right\|=\inf _{x \in X}\left\|\left(x^{* *}+Y^{\perp \perp}\right)-\varphi(x+Y)\right\|= \\
& =\inf _{x \in X}\left\|x^{* *}-x+Y^{\perp \perp}\right\|=\inf _{x \in X, y^{* *} \in Y^{\perp \perp}}\left\|x^{* *}-\left(x+y^{* *}\right)\right\|=\left\|x^{* *}+\left(X+Y^{\perp \perp}\right)\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

which clearly shows the result.
Corollary 2.7. Given a quotient map $Q_{M}: X \longrightarrow X / M$, the operator $Q_{M}^{\text {co }}$ is surjective.
The following result shows that the action of passing to residuum operators and that of forming push-outs commute in some cases.

Proposition 2.8. Let $A: X \longrightarrow Y$ be an operator and let $B: X \longrightarrow Z$ be an isomorphic embedding. Then the residuum of the push-out diagram of $(B, A)$ can be identified with the push-out diagram of $\left(B^{c o}, A^{c o}\right)$.

Proof. The proof is formally similar to that of Proposition 2.5. We have to show that we can identify the following diagrams

where $\Delta:=\{(B x,-A x): x \in X\}$ and $\Upsilon:=\left\{\left(B^{c o} x^{c o},-A^{c o} x^{c o}\right): x^{c o} \in X^{c o}\right\}$.
The universal property of the push-out (Proposition 2.1) provides an operator

$$
V: \frac{Z^{c o} \oplus_{1} Y^{c o}}{\Upsilon} \longrightarrow\left(\frac{Z \oplus_{1} Y}{\Delta}\right)^{c o}
$$

given by $V\left(\left(z^{c o}, y^{c o}\right)+\Upsilon\right)=j_{A}^{c o}\left(z^{c o}\right)+j_{B}^{c o}\left(y^{c o}\right)$, so that $j_{B}^{c o}=V j_{B^{c o}}$ and $j_{A}^{c o}=V j_{A^{c o}}$.
By Remark 2.2, $R(V)=R\left(Q^{c o}\right)$, where $Q: Z \oplus_{1} Y \longrightarrow \Sigma$ is the quotient map. By Corollary $2.7 Q^{c o}$ is surjective; hence so is $V$. Moreover $N\left(Q^{* *}\right)=\Upsilon$ implies $V$ injective. Thus $V$ is a bijective isomorphism, and the result is proved.

Proposition 2.9. Consider the push-out diagram of $(B, A)$ given in (1) and assume $B$ is an isomorphic embedding. Then the following assertions are satisfied:
(i) $A$ is tauberian if and only if so is $j_{A}$.
(ii) $A^{* *}$ is tauberian if and only if so is $j_{A}^{* *}$.

Proof. (i) Since $B$ is an isomorphism, $B^{c o}$ is injective with closed range [8, Proposition 3.1.15]; hence it is an isomorphic embedding.

Assume $A$ is tauberian; equivalently, assume $A^{c o}$ is injective. Let $\Sigma$ be the push-out of $(B, A)$. Following Proposition 2.8, $\Sigma^{c o}$ is the push-out of $\left(B^{c o}, A^{c o}\right)$, and as $B^{c o}$ is an isomorphism and $A^{c o}$ is injective, part (ii) in Proposition 2.3 yields that $j_{A}^{c o}$ is also injective, hence $j_{A}$ is tauberian.

For the reverse, assume that $j_{A}$ is tauberian. As $B$ is an isomorphism, then $j_{A} B=j_{B} A$ is tauberian, hence $A$ is tauberian too.
(ii) Since Proposition 2.5 identifies $j_{A}^{* *}$ with $j_{A^{* *}}$, the result follows from (i).

## 3. Embedding separable quotients into $\ell_{\infty}$

The gap between two subspaces $E$ and $F$ of a given Banach space $X$ measures the closeness of the positions of $E$ and $F$ inside $X$.

Definition 3.1. Let $E$ and $F$ be subspaces of a Banach space $X$. The gap between $E$ and $F$ is defined as the real number

$$
\delta(E, F):=\max \left\{\sup _{x \in S_{E}} \operatorname{dist}(x, F), \sup _{y \in S_{F}} \operatorname{dist}(y, E)\right\} \geq 0
$$

Observe that $\delta(E, F)=0$ if and only if $E=F$. We refer to [13, Section IV.2] for an account of the properties of the gap between subspaces.

If $X$ is separable and $\delta(E, F)$ is small then $E$ and $F$ can be isometrically embedded in $C[0,1]$ in such a way that their gap as subspaces of $C[0,1]$ is also small. Of course, this is a straightforward consequence of the fact that any separable Banach space can be isometrically embedded into $C[0,1]$. Less evident is the fact that the quotients $X / E$ and $X / F$ can be isometrically identified with a pair of subspaces of $C[0,1]$ whose gap is also small. In order to show that result, we give a proof of the following technical lemma for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 3.2. Let $E$ and $F$ be subspaces of a Banach space $X$ and let $x \in S_{E}$. Then $\operatorname{dist}\left(x, S_{F}\right) \leq 2 \operatorname{dist}(x, F)$.

Proof. Given $\varepsilon>0$ we can find $y \in F, y \neq 0$, such that $\|x-y\|<\operatorname{dist}(x, F)+\varepsilon$. Then $y_{0}=y /\|y\| \in S_{F}$ satisfies

$$
\left\|y-y_{0}\right\|=|\|y\|-1|=|\|y\|-\|x\|| \leq\|y-x\| .
$$

Hence $\operatorname{dist}\left(x, S_{F}\right) \leq\|x-y\|+\left\|y-y_{0}\right\|<2 \operatorname{dist}(x, F)+2 \varepsilon$.
Theorem 3.3. Let $E$ be a subspace of a separable Banach space $X$. Then there exists a canonical isometric embedding $G: X / E \longrightarrow \ell_{\infty}$ such that for every subspace $F$ of $X$ with $\delta(E, F)<1 / 8$ we can find an isomorphic embedding $G_{F}: X / F \longrightarrow \ell_{\infty}$ satisfying $\left\|G Q_{E}-G_{F} Q_{F}\right\| \leq 2 \delta(E, F)$.

Proof. We denote $\delta:=\delta(E, F)$. Since $\delta=0$ implies $E=F$, we can assume $0<\delta<1 / 8$. Let $\left\{u_{i}+E\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a countable dense subset of $S_{X / E}$. For each $i$, we choose $x_{i}^{*} \in S_{E^{\perp}}$ such that $\left\langle x_{i}^{*}, u_{i}\right\rangle=1$. It is easy to check that the operator $G: X / E \longrightarrow \ell_{\infty}$ defined by $G(x+E):=\left(\left\langle x_{i}^{*}, x\right\rangle\right)$ is an isometric embedding.

Now, fix a subspace $F$ of $X$ such that $\delta:=\delta(E, F)<1 / 8$. Since $\delta\left(E^{\perp}, F^{\perp}\right)=\delta(E, F)$ [13, Theorem IV.2.9], for each $i$ we can find $y_{i}^{*} \in F^{\perp}$ such that $\left\|x_{i}^{*}-y_{i}^{*}\right\|<2 \delta$. We define
$G_{F}: X / F \longrightarrow \ell_{\infty}$ by $G_{F}(x+F):=\left(\left\langle y_{i}^{*}, x\right\rangle\right)$. Note that

$$
\left\|G Q_{E} x-G_{F} Q_{F} x\right\|=\sup _{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left|\left\langle x_{i}^{*}-y_{i}^{*}, x\right\rangle\right| \leq 2 \delta\|x\|
$$

In order to prove that $G_{F}$ is an isomorphic embedding, we claim that for each $x \in X$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Q_{F} x\right\| \leq(1+\delta)\left\|Q_{E} x\right\|+\delta\|x\| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, given $\varepsilon>0$ we can find $u \in E$ such that $\|x-u\| \leq \operatorname{dist}(x, E)+\varepsilon$. We choose $v \in F$ such that $\|u-v\| \leq \operatorname{dist}(u, F)+\varepsilon$. Then

$$
\operatorname{dist}(x, F) \leq\|x-v\| \leq \operatorname{dist}(x, E)+\operatorname{dist}(u, F)+2 \varepsilon \leq \operatorname{dist}(x, E)+\|u\| \delta(E, F)+2 \varepsilon
$$

Since $\|u\| \leq\|x\|+\|x-u\| \leq\|x\|+\operatorname{dist}(x, E)+\varepsilon$, we get

$$
\operatorname{dist}(x, F) \leq \operatorname{dist}(x, E)+\|x\| \delta+\operatorname{dist}(x, E) \delta+(2+\delta) \varepsilon
$$

and Formula (2) is proved.
Now, given $x+F=Q_{F} x \in S_{X / F}$, we can assume $\|x\|<4 / 3$, and Formula (2) gives $1 \leq(9 / 8)\left\|Q_{E} x\right\|+1 / 6$; hence $\left\|Q_{E} x\right\| \geq 2 / 3$. Thus

$$
\left\|G_{F}(x+F)\right\|=\left\|G_{F} Q_{F} x\right\| \geq\left\|G Q_{E} x\right\|-\left\|G Q_{E}-G_{F} Q_{F}\right\|\|x\| \geq 2 / 3-1 / 3=1 / 3
$$

and the proof is finished.

## 4. Applications

Here we show that some counterexamples in the theory of tauberian operators obtained in [2] can be realized as operators in $\mathcal{L}(C[0,1])$.

Theorem 4.1. There exists a tauberian operator $S: C[0,1] \longrightarrow C[0,1]$ such that $S^{* *}$ is not tauberian.

Proof. By Theorem 3.1.18 in [8], there exists a separable Banach space $Y$ and an operator $T: Y \longrightarrow Y$ which is tauberian but $T^{* *}$ is not.

Since $Y$ is separable, there is an isometric embedding $i: Y \longrightarrow C[0,1]$, and as the pushout $\Sigma$ of $(i, T)$ is also separable, there is another isometric embedding $J: \Sigma \longrightarrow C[0,1]$.


Moreover, by Proposition 2.3, $j_{i}$ is an isomorphic embedding.
What follows is a repeated application of the properties of the class $\mathcal{T}$ of tauberian operators mentioned at the end of the introduction. By Proposition $2.9, T \in \mathcal{T}$ implies $\widehat{T} \in \mathcal{T}$. Therefore $S:=J \widehat{T}$ is tauberian.

Since $T^{* *}$ is not tauberian, $j_{i}^{* *} T^{* *}=\widehat{T}^{* *} i^{* *} \notin \mathcal{T}$. But $i^{* *}$ and $J^{* *}$ are isomorphic embeddings, so they belong to $\mathcal{T}$. Therefore $\widehat{T}^{* *}$ and $S^{* *}:=J^{* *} \widehat{T}^{* *}$ are not tauberian.

Theorem 4.2. There exists a tauberian operator in the boundary of $\mathcal{T}(C[0,1])$.

Proof. Following Example 2.1.7 in [8], given a non-reflexive separable space $X$, the operator $T: \ell_{2}(X) \longrightarrow \ell_{2}(X)$ that maps $\left(x_{n}\right)$ to $\left(x_{n} / n\right)$ is tauberian, and for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the operator $T_{k}: \ell_{2}(X) \longrightarrow \ell_{2}(X)$ that maps each $\left(x_{n}\right)$ to

$$
\left(x_{1}, \frac{x_{2}}{2}, \ldots, \frac{x_{k}}{k}, 0,0,0, \ldots \ldots\right)
$$

is non-tauberian and $\left\|T_{k}-T\right\|=1 /(k+1)$. So $T$ is in the boundary of $\mathcal{T}\left(\ell_{2}(X)\right)$.
Let us denote $Y:=\ell_{2}(X)$, let $i: Y \longrightarrow C[0,1]$ be an isometric embedding, and consider the subspaces of $C[0,1] \oplus_{1} Y$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta & :=\{(i(x),-T x): x \in Y\} \\
\Delta_{n} & :=\left\{\left(i(x),-T_{n} x\right): x \in Y\right\}, n \in \mathbb{N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By construction, the push-out of $(i, T)$ is $\Sigma:=\left(C[0,1] \oplus_{1} Y\right) / \Delta$, and for every $n$, the push-out of $\left(i, T_{n}\right)$ is $\Sigma_{n}:=\left(C[0,1] \oplus_{1} Y\right) / \Delta_{n}$, producing the following push-out diagrams:


Since $i$ is an isometric embedding and $\left\|T-T_{n}\right\| \xrightarrow[n]{\longrightarrow} 0$, it is not difficult to check that $\delta\left(\Delta_{n}, \Delta\right) \underset{n}{ } 0$. Thus, Theorem 3.3 provides us with an isometric embedding $G: \Sigma \longrightarrow \ell_{\infty}$ and a sequence of isomorphic embeddings $G_{n}: \Sigma_{n} \longrightarrow \ell_{\infty}$ such that $\left\|G Q-G_{n} Q_{n}\right\| \xrightarrow[n]{\longrightarrow}$ 0 , where $Q$ and $Q_{n}$ are the quotient operators from $C[0,1] \oplus_{1} Y$ onto $\Sigma$ and onto $\Sigma_{n}$ respectively. Since the ranges $R(G)$ and $R\left(G_{n}\right)$ are separable for all $n$, the space $Z:=$ $\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} R\left(G_{n}\right) \cup R(G)\right\}$ is separable too. Thus there is an isometric embedding $H$ from $Z$ into $C[0,1]$, and the compositions $J:=H \circ G$ and $J_{n}:=H \circ G_{n}$ are isomorphic embeddings.

On the one hand, as $T$ is tauberian, with the same argument of Theorem 4.1 we can prove that $S:=J \widehat{T}$ is tauberian too.

On the other hand, let $\alpha: C[0,1] \longrightarrow C[0,1] \oplus_{1} Y$ denote the operator that maps each $f$ to $(f, 0)$. As the push-out operator extensions of $T$ and $T_{n}$ are $\widehat{T}=Q \circ \alpha$ and $\widehat{T}_{n}=Q_{n} \circ \alpha$, denoting $S_{n}:=J_{n} \widehat{T}_{n}$, it follows that $\left\|S_{n}-S\right\| \leq\left\|J_{n} Q_{n}-J Q\right\| \xrightarrow[n]{\longrightarrow} 0$. And as $i, j_{n}$ and $J_{n}$ are all tauberian and $T_{n}$ is not, then $\widehat{T}_{n} \notin \mathcal{T}$, hence $S_{n} \notin \mathcal{T}$.


That proves that $S$ is a tauberian operator belonging to the topological boundary of $\mathcal{T}(C[0,1])$.

An operator $T$ is said to be cotauberian if $T^{*}$ is tauberian. The class of all cotauberian operators is denoted by $\mathcal{T}^{d}$. We refer to [8, Section 3.1] for information about this class of operators.

Remark 4.3. The existence of a space $X$ and an operator $T \in \mathcal{T}^{d}(X)$ such that $T^{* *}$ is not cotauberian is proved in [8, Theorem 3.1.18]. Besides, it is not difficult to adapt the construction of [8, Example 2.1.17] to obtain a space $Y$ such that $\mathcal{T}^{d}(Y)$ is not open in $\mathcal{L}(Y)$. However, the negative role of $X$ and $Y$ cannot be played by $C[0,1]$ or more generally by a $C^{*}$-algebra $Z$.

Indeed the reflexive quotients of a $C^{*}$-algebra are superreflexive [11, Corollary 2]. Therefore $\mathcal{T}^{d}(Z)$ is open in $\mathcal{L}(Z)$ [7, Proposition 20 and Theorem 22], and the biconjugate of each operator in $\mathcal{T}^{d}(Z)$ is cotauberian [8, Proposition 6.6.5].
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