

Genetic variation in the tau kinases pathway may contribute to the risk of Alzheimer's disease

José Luis Vázquez-Higuera^a, Ignacio Mateo^a, Pascual Sánchez-Juan^a, Eloy Rodríguez-Rodríguez^a, Ana Pozueta^a, Miguel Calero^b, José Luis Dobato^c, Ana Frank-García^d, Fernando Valdivieso^c, José Berciano^a, Maria J. Bullido^c, Onofre Combarros^{a,*}

^aNeurology Service and CIBERNED, “Marqués de Valdecilla” University Hospital (University of Cantabria and IFIMAV), Santander, Spain

^bSpongiform Encephalopathies Unit, National Microbiology Centre and CIBERNED, Carlos III Health Institute, Madrid, Spain.

^cAlzheimer Disease Research Unit, CIEN Foundation, Carlos III Health Institute, Alzheimer Center Reina Sofia Foundation, Madrid, Spain.

^dNeurology Service and CIBERNED, Hospital Universitario La Paz (U.A.M.), Madrid, Spain

^eMolecular Biology Department and CIBERNED, Centro de Biología Molecular Severo Ochoa (C.S.I.C.-U.A.M.), Madrid, Spain

*Corresponding author: Onofre Combarros, Neurology Service, Marqués de Valdecilla University Hospital, Avda. Valdecilla s/n, 39008 Santander, Spain. Tel.: +34 942 202507; fax: +34 942 202655; E-mail: combarro@unican.es

Abstract

Tau abnormal hyperphosphorylation and the formation of neurofibrillary tangles in Alzheimer's disease (AD) brain is the result of upregulation of tau kinases. In a group of 729 Spanish late-onset AD patients and 670 healthy controls, we examined variations into a set of 20 candidate genes of kinases involved in tau phosphorylation at AD-related sites (PRKACB; CAMK2A; MARK1, 2, 3 and 4; CSNK1D; CDC2; RPS6KB1 and 2; p38 α and β ; IB1; JNK1, 2 and 3; MEK1 and 2; ERK1 and 2), to address hypotheses of genetic variation that might influence AD risk. There was an increased frequency of RPS6KB2 (intron 2, rs917570) minor allele in patients (50%) versus controls (39%) (OR = 1.52; 95% CI 1.30-1.77; $p = 1.24 \times 10^{-5}$ Bonferroni corrected), and the CDC2 AGC haplotype derived from SNPs in introns 3 (rs2448347), 5 (rs2456772), and 7 (rs1871447) showed a protective effect against AD in APOE ϵ 4 allele noncarriers (permutation $p = 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$) with a frequency of 9% in cases and 15% in controls. Common genetic variation in the tau kinases pathway does underlie individual differences in susceptibility to AD.

Keywords: Alzheimer's disease; Kinases; Tau; Phosphorylation; Polymorphism

Introduction

One of the neuropathological hallmarks in Alzheimer's disease (AD) is neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs), which are composed of the microtubule-binding protein tau that is hyperphosphorylated [1-3]. Tau abnormal hyperphosphorylation is the result of upregulation of tau kinases, and GSK3- β and CDK5 are among the kinases most implicated in the abnormal hyperphosphorylation of tau in AD brains [3]. The inhibition of abnormal hyperphosphorylation of tau is one of the most promising therapeutic targets for the development of disease modifying drugs, and it has been suggested that inhibition of either both GSK3- β and CDK5 or one of these two kinases plus PRKA or CAMK2 might be required to inhibit AD neurofibrillary degeneration [4]. All this data postulates a role for tau kinases as interesting genetic targets for association analysis of AD. We have previously examined the contribution of some tau kinases genes such as GSK3- β [5], CDK5 [6], CDK5R1 [7], DYRK1A [8] and TTBK1 [9] to the susceptibility to AD. In the present study, we extended previous investigations by evaluating variations into a set of 20 genes of kinases involved in tau phosphorylation at AD-related sites in relation to AD risk, in a Spanish cohort.

Methods

Subjects. The study included 729 AD patients (67% women; mean age at study 77.2 years; SD 8.0; range 61-103 years; mean age at onset 73.3 years; SD 7.8; range 60-100 years) who met NINCDS/ADRDA criteria for probable AD [10]. All AD cases were defined as sporadic because their family history did not mention any first-degree relative with dementia. AD patients were recruited from the Departments of Neurology of University Hospital "Marqués de Valdecilla" (Santander, Spain) and Hospital "La Paz" (Madrid, Spain), and from Alzheimer Center Reina Sofia Foundation (Madrid, Spain). The large majority of patients were living in the community and had been referred by their general practitioner; few had been admitted from hospital wards or nursing home facilities. Control subjects were 670

unrelated individuals (64% women; mean age 78.3 years; SD 9.4; range 60-104 years) randomly selected from nursing homes. These subjects had complete neurologic and medical examinations that showed that they were free of significant illness and had Mini Mental State Examination scores of 28 or more, which were verified by at least one subsequent annual following-up assessment. The controls arose from the same base population as the cases. The AD and control samples were Caucasians originating from a limited geographical area in northern Spain (Santander) and from the central area of Spain (Madrid).

Genotyping. Blood samples were taken after written informed consent had been obtained from the subjects or their representatives. The study was approved by the ethical committees of the University Hospital “Marqués de Valdecilla”, Alzheimer Center Reina Sofia Foundation, and the Hospital “La Paz”. Genotyping of cAMP-dependent kinase A catalytic subunit β (PRKACB), calmodulin-dependent protein kinase-II α (CAMK2A), microtubule-affinity regulating kinases (MARK1, 2, 3 and 4), casein kinase-1 δ (CSNK1D), cell division cycle 2 kinase (CDC2), ribosomal S6 protein kinases (RPS6KB1 and 2), p38 α and β , islet-brain 1/C-Jun N-terminal kinase interacting protein 1 (IB1), c-Jun N-terminal kinases (JNK1, 2 and 3), MEK1 and 2, and extracellular signal-regulated kinases (ERK1 and 2) was performed using the iPLEX Gold assay on the MassArray system (Sequenom Inc., San Diego, USA). We used data from the HapMap project (<http://www.hapmap.org>) to select htSNPs capturing 92% of PRKACB genetic variability, 91% of CAMK2A, 91% of MARK1, 90% of MARK2, 89% of MARK3, 91% of MARK4, 100% of CSNK1D, 90% of CDC2, 93% of RPS6KB1, 100% of RPS6KB2, 91% of p38 α , 100% of p38 β , 100% of IB1, 92% of JNK1, 88% of JNK2, 87% of JNK3, 80% of MEK1, 90% of MEK2, 100% of ERK1, and 93% of ERK2 genetic variability in Caucasians. SNPs were chosen among those with minor allele frequencies $\geq 5\%$ using Haploview v3.2 software (<http://www.broad.mit.edu/mpg/haploview>) with an r^2 threshold of 0.8.

Statistical analysis. Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was calculated for the htSNPs in the control population using Pearson's χ^2 statistics. We assessed pairwise linkage disequilibrium (LD) between the htSNPs by D' and r^2 statistics. Haplotype reconstruction and their frequencies in cases and controls were estimated by an expectation-maximization algorithm, method implemented in Haploview 3.32. Pearson's χ^2 statistics were performed to compare allele and haplotype distribution of the patients and control for each htSNP. Allelic distributions were assessed by logistic regression using the package SPSS 13.0 for Windows (SPSS, Inc, Chicago, Illinois). In order to obtain a measure of significance corrected for multiple testing, we used Bonferroni's method to correct our nominal p-values for all 85 tests performed, corresponding to the 85 SNPs analyzed. Permutation test was employed to adjust for multiple testing in our haplotype analysis.

Results

PRKACB rs7515976 and rs11163911, CAMK2A rs6881743, MARK2 rs11231637, MARK4 rs344807, CSNK1D rs12601586, CDC2 rs3213058, RPS6KB1 rs180523, p38 β rs742186, JNK2 rs6868333, MEK2 rs350896 and ERK1 rs11865086 SNPs were significantly deviated from HWE, and therefore, they were excluded from the analysis. As shown in Table 1, the distribution of the minor allele frequencies of the tau kinases genes did not differ significantly between AD and control groups, except for RPS6KB2 (intron 2, rs917570) minor allele that was increased in patients (50%) versus controls (39%) (OR = 1.52; 95% CI 1.30-1.77; $p = 1.24 \times 10^{-5}$ Bonferroni corrected). Haplotype distributions were not significantly different between cases and controls in the overall analysis or after stratification by APOE $\epsilon 4$ allele, except for CDC2 gene (Table 2): the AGC haplotype derived from SNPs in introns 3 (rs2448347), 5 (rs2456772), and 7 (rs1871447) showed a protective effect against AD only in APOE $\epsilon 4$ allele noncarriers (permutation $p = 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$), with a frequency of 9% in cases and 15% in controls.

Discussion

The largest GWA in AD [11] did not find significant results for genes directly related to tau phosphorylation. However, it cannot be discarded that these genes in the tau kinases pathway are among the genes with significant nominal association but without reaching significance ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) after adjustment for multiple testing in GWAs; in addition, it is also possible that some of the SNPs analyzed in our study were not present in the arrays used in GWAs or were lost during the strict quality control checks. Moreover, genes harbouring markers with only modest evidence of association can be identified if they belong to the same biological pathway or mechanism; therefore, pathway-based approaches, which jointly consider multiple variants in interacting or related genes, might complement the most-significant SNPs/genes approach for interpreting genome-wide association (GWA) data on complex diseases [12, 13]. In fact, genetic variation in the immune system and in lipid metabolism pathways is a cause of AD susceptibility [14, 15]. Tau kinases genes can be divided into two major groups, i.e., the proline-directed tau kinases and non-proline-directed tau kinases (Figure 1). The non-proline-directed tau kinases genes CAMK2A, MARKs (1, 2, 3 and 4), and PRKACB, were not associated with the AD risk in our study. Tau-tubuline kinase 1 (TTBK1) is another non-proline-directed kinase and we recently [9] found that subjects carrying two copies of the minor allele of markers in introns 1, 5 and 9 had a reduced risk of AD; these findings have been replicated in Han Chinese [16]. The proline-directed tau kinases genes CSNK1D and the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) family comprising p38s (α and β), JNKs (1, 2 and 3) and its activator IB1, and ERKs (1 and 2) and their activators MEKs (1 and 2), were not associated with AD risk in the present study. However, the interaction between the minor allele of a polymorphism in the 5' regulatory region (-499, rs1554338) of IB1 and either the major allele of LRP1 (exon 3, rs1799986) [17] or the minor allele of LRP8 (exon 19, rs5174) [18] has been associated with AD risk.

In the present study, the proline-directed tau kinases CDC2 and RPS6KB2 genes were significantly associated with AD. A polymorphism in the exon 6 (rs321239) of CDC2 was associated with AD risk in the Swedish population [19], and a haplotype derived from SNPs in exon 6 (rs321239) and exon 7 (rs2456777 and rs2456778) increased the risk of AD in APOE ϵ 4 carriers from Sicily [20], but a large study in Caucasian Americans failed to demonstrate this association [21]; conversely, a haplotype derived from SNPs in introns 3 (rs2448347), 5 (rs2456772), and 7 (rs1871447) of CDC2 showed a protective effect against AD in APOE ϵ 4 allele noncarriers in our population. We have shown in this study, for the first time, that the RPS6KB2 (intron 2, rs917570) minor allele was associated with increased AD risk. The concentration of both activated CDC2 [22] and RPS6KBs [23] increases in AD brain and their distribution coincide with the progression of neurofibrillary degeneration. The genes of the two major proline-directed kinases genes involved in the abnormal hyperphosphorylation of tau in AD, GSK3- β and CDK5, have been associated with AD risk: subjects carrying the functional haplotype conformed by the minor allele of GSK3- β (-50, rs344558) and the major allele of GSK3- β (-157, rs6438552), independently [24] or in combination with at least one copy of the microtubule-associated protein tau H2 haplotype [5, 25], had an increase risk for AD. In a Dutch case-control series [26], the CDK5 haplotype composed of 5'UTR (rs2069442), intron 5 (rs2069454), intron 9 (rs891507 and rs2069459) and 3'UTR (rs9278) was significantly associated with AD in non-carriers of the APOE ϵ 4 allele, but these findings were not confirmed in our Spanish population [6]; however, we observed that a polymorphism in the 3'UTR region (rs735555) of the gene activator of CDK5 (CDK5R1) interacted with the major allele of GSK3- β (-50, rs344558) to decrease AD risk [7]. Finally, a haplotype with markers located from 30 kb upstream of exon 1 to exon 13 in the proline-directed tau kinase gene DYRK1A showed association with AD risk in the Japanese population [27], but we did not replicate this genetic association [8].

In the tau kinases pathway, our present negative results with the genes of PRKACB, CAMK2A, MARK (1, 2, 3 and 4), CSNK1D, RPS6KB1, p38 α and β , IB1, JNK (1, 2 and 3), MEK1 and 2, and ERK1 and 2, are probably not due to insufficient statistical power, because our sample size had enough power (>82%) to detect an odds ratio of 1.3 at disease allele frequencies of 0.20. Conversely, our present positive findings suggest that CDC2 and RPS6KB2 are promising candidates tau phosphorylation-related genes for AD risk.

Conflicts of interest: none

Acknowledgements: C. Sánchez-Quintana was involved in the DNA sample collections from Santander. We also thank Drs. P. Gil and P. Coria for their cooperation in the generation of the case-control samples from Madrid. This work was made possible by the generous participation of the patients, the control subjects, and their families. This study was supported by grants from CIEN Foundation (PI019/09) and CIBERNED (CB06/07/0037).

References

- [1] Ballatore C, Lee VMY, Trojanowski JQ. (2007) Tau-mediated neurodegeneration in Alzheimer's disease and related disorders. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* **8**, 663-672.
- [2] Wang JZ, Liu F. (2008) Microtubule-associated protein tau in development, degeneration and protection of neurons. *Prog Neurobiol.* **85**, 148-175.
- [3] Iqbal K, Grundke-Iqbal I. (2008) Alzheimer neurofibrillary degeneration: significance, etiopathogenesis, therapeutics and prevention. *J Cell Mol Med.* **12**, 38-55.
- [4] Wang JZ, Grundke-Iqbal I, Iqbal K. (2007) Kinases and phosphatases and tau sites involved in Alzheimer neurofibrillary degeneration. *Eur J Neurosci.* **25**, 59-68.

- [5] García-Gorostiaga I, Sánchez-Juan P, Mateo I, Rodríguez-Rodríguez E, Sánchez-Quintana C, Curiel del Olmo S, Vázquez-Higuera JL, Berciano J, Combarros O, Infante J. (2009) Glycogen synthase kinase-3 β and tau genes interact in Parkinson's and Alzheimer's diseases. *Ann Neurol.* **65**, 759-761.
- [6] Vázquez-Higuera JL, Mateo I, Sánchez-Juan P, Rodríguez-Rodríguez E, Infante J, Berciano J, Combarros O. (2009) No association of CDK5 genetic variants with Alzheimer's disease risk. *BMC Med Genet.* **10**, 68.
- [7] Mateo I, Vázquez-Higuera JL, Sánchez-Juan P, Rodríguez-Rodríguez E, Infante J, García-Gorostiaga I, Berciano J, Combarros O. (2009) Epistasis between tau phosphorylation regulating genes (CDK5R1 and GSK-3 β) and Alzheimer's disease risk. *Acta Neurol Scand.* **120**, 130-133.
- [8] Vázquez-Higuera JL, Sánchez-Juan P, Rodríguez-Rodríguez E, Mateo I, Pozueta A, Frank A, Sastre I, Valdivieso F, Berciano J, Bullido MJ, Combarros O. (2009) DYRK1A genetic variants are not linked to Alzheimer's disease in a Spanish case-control cohort. *BMC Med Genet.* **10**, 129.
- [9] Vázquez-Higuera JL, Martínez-García A, Sánchez-Juan P, Rodríguez-Rodríguez E, Mateo I, Pozueta A, Frank A, Valdivieso F, Berciano J, Bullido MJ, Combarros O. (2011) Genetic variations in tau-tubulin kinase-1 are linked to Alzheimer's disease in a Spanish case-control cohort. *Neurobiol Aging.* **32**, 550.e5-550.e9.
- [10] McKhann G, Drachman D, Folstein M, Katzman R, Price D, Stadlan EM. (1984) Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer disease: Report of the NINCDS-ADRDA Work Group under the auspices of the Department of Health and Human Services Task Force on Alzheimer Disease. *Neurology* **24**, 939-944.
- [11] Hollingworth P, Harold D, Sims R, Gerrish A, Lambert JC, Carrasquillo MM, Abraham R, Hamshere ML, Pahwa JS, Moskvina V, Dowzell K, Jones N, Stretton A, Thomas C, Richards A, Ivanov D, Widdowson C, Chapman J, Lovestone S, Powell J, Proitsi P,

Lupton MK, Brayne C, Rubinsztein DC, Gill M, Lawlor B, Lynch A, Brown KS, Passmore PA, Craig D, McGuinness B, Todd S, Holmes C, Mann D, Smith AD, Beaumont H, Warden D, Wilcock G, Love S, Kehoe PG, Hooper NM, Vardy ER, Hardy J, Mead S, Fox NC, Rossor M, Collinge J, Maier W, Jessen F, R  ther E, Sch  rmann B, Heun R, K  lsch H, van den Bussche H, Heuser I, Kornhuber J, Wiltfang J, Dichgans M, Fr  lich L, Hampel H, Gallacher J, H  ll M, Rujescu D, Giegling I, Goate AM, Kauwe JS, Cruchaga C, Nowotny P, Morris JC, Mayo K, Sleegers K, Bettens K, Engelborghs S, De Deyn PP, Van Broeckhoven C, Livingston G, Bass NJ, Gurling H, McQuillin A, Gwilliam R, Deloukas P, Al-Chalabi A, Shaw CE, Tsolaki M, Singleton AB, Guerreiro R, M  hleisen TW, N  then MM, Moebus S, J  ckel KH, Klopp N, Wichmann HE, Pankratz VS, Sando SB, Aasly JO, Barcikowska M, Wszolek ZK, Dickson DW, Graff-Radford NR, Petersen RC; the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative, van Duijn CM, Breteler MM, Ikram MA, Destefano AL, Fitzpatrick AL, Lopez O, Launer LJ, Seshadri S; CHARGE consortium, Berr C, Campion D, Epelbaum J, Dartigues JF, Tzourio C, Alperovitch A, Lathrop M; EADI1 consortium, Feulner TM, Friedrich P, Riehle C, Krawczak M, Schreiber S, Mayhaus M, Nicolhaus S, Wagenpfeil S, Steinberg S, Stefansson H, Stefansson K, Sn  dal J, Bj  rnsson S, Jonsson PV, Chouraki V, Genier-Boley B, Hiltunen M, Soininen H, Combarros O, Zelenika D, Delepine M, Bullido MJ, Pasquier F, Mateo I, Frank-Garcia A, Porcellini E, Hanon O, Coto E, Alvarez V, Bosco P, Siciliano G, Mancuso M, Panza F, Solfrizzi V, Nacmias B, Sorbi S, Boss   P, Piccardi P, Arosio B, Annoni G, Seripa D, Pilotto A, Scarpini E, Galimberti D, Brice A, Hannequin D, Licastro F, Jones L, Holmans PA, Jonsson T, Riemenschneider M, Morgan K, Younkin SG, Owen MJ, O'Donovan M, Amouyel P, Williams J. (2011) Common variants at ABCA7, MS4A6A/MS4A4E, EPHA1, CD33 and CD2AP are associated with Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Genet.* **43**, 429-435.

[12] Wang K, Li M, Bucan M. (2007) Pathway-based approaches for analysis of genomewide association studies. *Am J Hum Genet.* **81**, 1278-1283.

- [13] Zhong H, Yang X, Kaplan LM, Molony C, Schadt EE. (2010) Integrating pathway analysis and genetics of gene expression for genome-wide association studies. *Am J Hum Genet.* **86**, 581-591.
- [14] Jones L, Holmans PA, Hamshere ML, Harold D, Moskvina V, Ivanov D, Pocklington A, Abraham R, Hollingworth P, Sims R, Gerrish A, Pahwa JS, Jones N, Stretton A, Morgan AR, Lovestone S, Powell J, Proitsi P, Lupton MK, Brayne C, Rubinsztein DC, Gill M, Lawlor B, Lynch A, Morgan K, Brown KS, Passmore PA, Craig D, McGuinness B, Todd S, Holmes C, Mann D, Smith AD, Love S, Kehoe PG, Mead S, Fox N, Rossor M, Collinge J, Maier W, Jessen F, Schürmann B, van den Bussche H, Heuser I, Peters O, Kornhuber J, Wiltfang J, Dichgans M, Frölich L, Hampel H, Hüll M, Rujescu D, Goate AM, Kauwe JS, Cruchaga C, Nowotny P, Morris JC, Mayo K, Livingston G, Bass NJ, Gurling H, McQuillin A, Gwilliam R, Deloukas P, Al-Chalabi A, Shaw CE, Singleton AB, Guerreiro R, Mühleisen TW, Nöthen MM, Moebus S, Jöckel KH, Klopp N, Wichmann HE, Rütther E, Carrasquillo MM, Pankratz VS, Younkin SG, Hardy J, O'Donovan MC, Owen MJ, Williams J. (2010) Genetic evidence implicates the immune system and cholesterol metabolism in the aetiology of Alzheimer's disease. *PLoS ONE* **5**, e13950.
- [15] Lambert JC, Grenier-Boley B, Chouraki V, Heath S, Zelenika D, Fievet N, Hannequin D, Pasquier F, Hanon O, Brice A, Epelbaum J, Berr C, Dartigues JF, Tzourio C, Campion D, Lathrop M, Amouyel P. (2010) Implication of the immune system in Alzheimer's disease: evidence from genome-wide pathway analysis. *J Alzheimers Dis.* **20**,1107-1118.
- [16] Yu NN, Yu JT, Xiao JT, Zhang HW, Lu RC, Jiang H, Xing ZH, Tan L. (2011) Tau-tubulin kinase-1 gene variants are associated with Alzheimer's disease in Han Chinese. *Neurosci Lett.* **491**, 83-86.
- [17] Helbecque N, Abderrhamani A, Meylan L, Riederer B, Mooser V, Miklossy J, Delplanque J, Boutin P, Nicod P, Haefliger JA, Cotel D, Amouyel P, Froguel P, Waeber G.

- (2003) Islet-brain 1/C-Jun N-terminal kinase interacting protein-1 (IB1/JIP-1) promoter variant is associated with Alzheimer's disease. *Mol Psychiatry* **8**, 413-422.
- [18] Helbecque N, Cottel D, Amouyel P. (2009) Low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 8 gene polymorphisms and dementia. *Neurobiol Aging* **30**, 266-271.
- [19] Johansson A, Hampel H, Faltraco F, Buerger K, Minthon L, Bogdanovic N, Sjögren M, Zetterberg H, Forsell L, Lilius L, Wahlund LO, Rymo L, Prince JA, Blennow K. (2003) Increased frequency of a new polymorphism in the cell division cycle 2 (cdc2) gene in patients with Alzheimer's disease and frontotemporal dementia. *Neurosci Lett.* **340**, 69-73.
- [20] Bosco P, Caraci F, Copani A, Spada RS, Sortino MA, Salluzzo R, Salemi M, Nicoletti F, Ferri R. (2007) The CDC2 I-G-T haplotype associated with the APOE ε4 allele increases the risk of sporadic Alzheimer's disease in Sicily. *Neurosci Lett.* **419**, 195-198.
- [21] Liang X, Schnetz-Boutaud N, Bartlett J, Anderson BM, Gwirtsman H, Schmechel D, Carney R, Gilbert JR, Pericak-Vance MA, Haines JL. (2007) Association analysis of genetic polymorphisms in the CDC2 gene with late-onset Alzheimer disease. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord.* **23**, 126-132.
- [22] Pei JJ, Braak H, Gong CX, Grundke-Iqbal I, Iqbal K, Winblad B, Cowburn RF. (2002) Up-regulation of cell division cycle (cdc) 2 kinase in neurons with early stage Alzheimer's disease neurofibrillary degeneration. *Acta Neuropathol.* **104**, 369-376.
- [23] An WL, Cowburn RF, Li L, Braak H, Alafuzoff I, Iqbal K, Grundke-Iqbal I, Winblad B, Pei JJ. (2003) Up-regulation of phosphorylated/activated p70 S6 kinase and its relationship to neurofibrillary pathology in Alzheimer's disease. *Am J Pathol.* **163**, 591-607.
- [24] Zhang N, Yu JT, Yang Y, Yang J, Zhang W, Tan L. (2011) Association analysis of GSK3B and MAPT polymorphisms with Alzheimer's disease in Han Chinese. *Brain Res.* **1391**, 147-153.

- [25] Kwok JBJ, Loy CT, Hamilton G, Lau E, Hallupp M, Williams J, Owen MJ, Broe A, Tang N, Lam L, Powell JF, Lovestone S, Schofield PR. (2008) Glycogen synthase kinase-3 β and tau genes interact in Alzheimer's disease. *Ann Neurol.* **64**, 446-454.
- [26] Arias-Vásquez A, Aulchenko YS, Isaacs A, van Oosterhout A, Sleegers K, Hofman A, van Broeckhoven C, Oostra BA, Breteler M, van Duijn CM. (2008) Cyclin-dependent kinase 5 is associated with risk for Alzheimer's disease in a Dutch population-based study. *J Neurol.* **255**, 655-662.
- [27] Kimura R, Kamino K, Yamamoto M, Nuripa A, Kida T, Kazui H, Hashimoto R, Tanaka T, Kudo T, Yamagata H, Tabara Y, Miki T, Akatsu H, Kosaka K, Funakoshi E, Nishitomi K, Sakaguchi G, Kato A, Hattori H, Uema T, Takeda M. (2007) The DYRK1A gene, encoded in chromosome 21 Down syndrome critical region, bridges between β -amyloid production and tau phosphorylation in Alzheimer disease. *Hum Mol Genet.* **16**, 15-23.

Figure 1. Tau kinases genes analyzed in relation to Alzheimer's disease (AD) risk in both this study and the literature. In grey boxes, tau kinases genes associated to AD susceptibility.

Table 1. Minor allele frequencies distribution of tau kinases genes in AD patients and controls

Gene	SNP	MAF, AD/C	p-value	Gene	SNP	MAF, AD/C	p-value
PRKACB	rs6695305	0.45/0.46	0.494	p38 β	rs2076139	0.18/0.19	0.825
	rs6576960	0.45/0.46	0.443		IB1	rs1554338	0.06/0.06
	rs2250806	0.23/0.23	0.773		rs7114162	0.30/0.30	0.838
	rs12118723	0.44/0.45	0.641	JNK1	rs10857561	0.32/0.33	0.312
	rs6695851	0.44/0.44	0.917		rs10857565	0.21/0.24	0.033
CAMK2A	rs10515639	0.24/0.25	0.434		rs7086275	0.44/0.45	0.677
	rs13354653	0.20/0.21	0.616	JNK2	rs12519649	0.12/0.14	0.202
	rs13357922	0.36/0.37	0.529		rs17629029	0.25/0.24	0.506
	rs4958445	0.27/0.28	0.496		rs6601105	0.37/0.41	0.009
	rs4958452	0.46/0.46	0.900		rs3111515	0.40/0.41	0.787
	rs3756577	0.14/0.14	0.992		rs13185784	0.28/0.28	0.743
	rs930212	0.40/0.40	0.911		rs4147385	0.26/0.25	0.439
	rs3776825	0.31/0.31	0.833		rs4639174	0.18/0.16	0.271
	rs3797617	0.18/0.17	0.756		rs11955223	0.46/0.48	0.432
	rs6869634	0.18/0.18	0.616		rs6895740	0.35/0.35	0.992
	rs10051644	0.26/0.27	0.421	JNK3	rs4488910	0.14/0.15	0.221
	rs17656349	0.40/0.42	0.418		rs12508801	0.16/0.17	0.612
	MARK1	rs1933002	0.23/0.23	0.916		rs7688651	0.38/0.38
rs2378400		0.31/0.30	0.455		rs7677400	0.16/0.16	0.843
rs12123306		0.45/0.43	0.363		rs6826702	0.22/0.23	0.314
MARK2	rs4980530	0.35/0.37	0.328		rs9307016	0.18/0.20	0.316
MARK3	rs1989565	0.35/0.34	0.514		rs1460757	0.37/0.38	0.348
	rs12896612	0.36/0.35	0.615		rs6531905	0.25/0.25	0.783
	rs9671414	0.30/0.32	0.239		rs4403040	0.37/0.38	0.555
MARK4	rs12981145	0.51/0.49	0.238		rs6821745	0.17/0.20	0.058
	rs12984234	0.26/0.24	0.235		rs4693136	0.16/0.12	0.003
	rs11667235	0.31/0.28	0.118		rs12505566	0.18/0.20	0.052
CSNK1D	rs7209167	0.42/0.43	0.750		rs3775170	0.30/0.29	0.570
	rs4789846	0.12/0.12	0.935		rs2589518	0.16/0.13	0.075
	rs11653735	0.18/0.20	0.375	MEK1	rs8042644	0.11/0.09	0.243
CDC2*	rs2448347	0.41/0.44	0.078		rs7181936	0.31/0.32	0.938
	rs2456772	0.26/0.25	0.775		rs8039880	0.20/0.19	0.609
	rs1871447	0.27/0.24	0.033	MEK2	rs350887	0.25/0.23	0.423
RPS6KB1	rs8071475	0.31/0.28	0.023		rs350895	0.31/0.29	0.415
	rs1292034	0.47/0.44	0.173		rs350903	0.44/0.47	0.108
	rs180531	0.24/0.24	0.668		rs10250	0.45/0.49	0.033
	rs180515	0.32/0.33	0.926		rs350911	0.32/0.33	0.670
	rs1051424	0.14/0.15	0.221		rs350916	0.45/0.47	0.415
	RPS6KB2	rs917570	0.50/0.39	1.24$\times 10^{-7}$	ERK1	rs7698	0.13/0.12
p38 α	rs851019	0.42/0.45	0.041	ERK2	rs9610470	0.29/0.27	0.377
	rs1100857	0.10/0.10	0.477		rs1063311	0.51/0.47	0.023
	rs16884919	0.09/0.09	0.989		rs13515	0.22/0.20	0.289
	rs3804452	0.14/0.16	0.102				

p-values not corrected for multiple comparisons; in bold, significant p-values after multiple testing correction; *CDC2 AGC haplotype (rs2448347 G/A, rs2456772 G/C and rs1871447 C/T) was protective against AD

Table 2. Haplotype association analysis between CDC2 gene and AD stratified by APOE ε4 allele

Haplotype	APOE ε4 allele noncarriers			APOE ε4 allele carriers			Total sample		
	AD, control frequency	p-value	Permutation p-value*	AD, control frequency	p-value	Permutation p-value*	AD, control frequency	p-value	Permutation p-value*
GGC	0.34, 0.31	0.15	0.57	0.34, 0.33	0.75	1.00	0.36, 0.33	0.16	0.53
AGT	0.27, 0.22	0.02	0.08	0.26, 0.23	0.42	0.97	0.26, 0.23	0.02	0.08
GCC	0.23, 0.20	0.26	0.81	0.20, 0.18	0.49	0.99	0.22, 0.21	0.49	0.93
AGC	0.09, 0.15	1.0×10^{-4}	1.0×10^{-4}	0.11, 0.16	0.06	0.27	0.11, 0.18	3.3×10^{-7}	3.0×10^{-5}

Haplotype block consists of SNPs rs2448347 (intron 3), rs2456772 (intron 5), and rs1871447 (intron 7). Rare haplotypes (total frequency < 0.05) were excluded from the analysis. *Multiple testing correction with 10,000 permutations.




Figure 1