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## SYNOPSIS

This paper deals with the self-synchronization of mechanical vibrators in the multidegree-of-freedom system, when the frequencies of the unbalanced rotors are near a natural frequency $\omega_{r}$ of the system.

By means of a transformation to the principal coordinate, the equation of motion of the masses of the vibrating system can be represented as a series of elementary oscillators. And to study single frequency resonance conditions, we can, to a first approximation consider only one of the $n$ equations of the vibrating system.

The generating phase angle of the unbalanced rotors and the steady state vibration of the system are derived by the method of averaging. The stability of the steady state solution are analyzed by use of Routh-Hurwitz criterion.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The phenomenon of synchronization occurs in dynamical system as well as in electric ciruits and automatic control systems.

In the previous papers $[1,2,3]$, the authors have discussed some problems, i. e. the rotation of an unbalanced rotor dependent on oscillation of its axis, the rolling mechanism due to small oscillation, the gearless low head screen or the vibro-motor which has, on a rigid body two unbalanced rotors without the coupling to each, and the automatic balancer.
I.I. Blekhman [4] has studied the synchronization of mechanical vibrators on a rigid body which can accomplish a translational oscillations with one degree-offreedom, by method of Poincare-Liapnov.

In this paper, we investigate the synchronization of unbalanced rotors on some rigid bodies of a $n$ degree-of-freedom vibrating system by the method of averaging and derive the periodic mode of the resonant vibrating conveyer with multi-vibrators.
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## NOMENCLATURE

$\mathrm{O}_{0}$ : center of mass of the system at rest
O: center of mass of the system in operation
$\mathrm{O}_{i}$ : center of revolution of the i-th unbalanced rotor
$\mathrm{O}_{0}-x y$ : fixed rectangular coordinate, axis $\mathrm{O}_{0}-y$ is parallel to the direction of motion of vibrator body
O-uv: moving coordinate fixed to conveyer trough
$\varphi$ : angle of rotation of conveyer
M: total mass of the system
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}}$ : mass of conveyer trough
$I_{c}$ : moment of inertia of conveyer trough about center of mass
$\mathrm{R}_{c}$ : distance between mass center of conveyer and that of system
$\mathrm{M}_{i o}$ : mass of i-th vibrator body
$\mathrm{I}_{i o}$ : moment of inertia of vibrator body about $\mathrm{O}_{i}$
$\mathrm{R}_{i}, \delta_{i}$ : position of shaft of the i-th rotor, distance and angle from mass center, at rest
$\delta_{i}$ : angle which makes $\mathrm{O}_{0} \mathrm{u}$ makes with $\mathrm{O}_{0} \mathrm{O}_{i}$
$v_{i}$ : relative displacement of i-th vibrator body with respect to conveyer trough
$k_{i}, c_{i}$ : spring constant and damping coefficient of the spring which connects i-th vibrator body to conveyer trough
$m_{i}$ : unbalanced mass of the i -th rotor
$m_{i} r_{i}$ : unbalanced mass moment of the i-th rotor
$\mathrm{J}_{i}$ : moment of inertia of the i-th unbalanced rotor about center of shaft
$\varphi_{i}$ : angle of rotation of the i-th unbalanced rotor
$\lambda_{i}$ : viscous damping of the $i$-th unbalanced rotor
$\mathrm{L}_{i}$ : torque of motor driving the i -th rotor
s : number of vibrators
$\omega_{r}$ : one of natural frequencies of the system
$z_{r}$ : principal coordinate
$\Omega$ : frequency of stationary rotation of vibrator

## 2. EQUATION OF MOTION

Fig. 1 shows the model of the system and coordinates.
Vibrator body $\mathrm{M}_{i}$ is constrained to move on a line $v_{i}$ which is parallel to the axis $v$.

The kinetic energy, the potential energy and Rayleigh's dissipation function, neglecting the energies of the force of gravity and the damping force of the support, can be written:


Fig. 1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{M}\left(\dot{x}^{2}+\dot{y}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{I} \dot{\varphi}^{2}+\mathrm{M}_{0} \mathrm{R}_{0} \dot{x} \dot{\varphi}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{M}_{i}\left(\dot{v}_{i}^{2}+2 \dot{v}_{i} \dot{y}\right) \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{M}_{i} \mathrm{R}_{i} \dot{\varphi}\left(\dot{x} \sin \delta_{i}+\dot{y} \cos \delta_{i}+\dot{v}_{i} \cos \delta_{i}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(\mathrm{~J}_{i} \dot{\varphi}_{i}^{2}+2 m_{i} \gamma_{i} \dot{\varphi}_{i}\left\{\dot{x} \cos \varphi_{i}-\dot{y} \sin \varphi_{i}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-R_{i} \dot{\varphi} \sin \left(\delta_{i}-\varphi_{i}\right)-\dot{v}_{i} \sin \varphi_{i}\right\}\right] \\
& V=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{s} k_{i} v_{i}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(k_{x} x^{2}+k_{y} y^{2}+k_{\varphi} \varphi^{2}\right) \\
& F=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{s} c_{i} \dot{v}_{i}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{s} \lambda_{i}\left(\dot{\varphi}_{i}-\dot{\varphi}\right)^{2} \\
& Q_{\varphi_{i}}=L_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & =M_{c}+\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(M_{i 0}+m_{i}\right), \quad M_{i}=M_{i 0}+m_{i} \\
I & =I_{c}+M_{c} R_{c}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(I_{i 0}+M_{i} R_{i}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the directions of rotations of all unbalanced rotors are assumed clockwise.
Applying Lagrange's equation, we obtain the differential equations of motion:

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{i} \ddot{\varphi}_{i}+\lambda_{i} \dot{\varphi}_{i}= m_{i} r_{i}\left\{\ddot{y} \sin \varphi_{i}-\ddot{x} \cos \varphi_{i}+R_{i} \ddot{\varphi} \sin \left(\delta_{i}-\varphi_{i}\right)\right. \\
&\left.+\ddot{v}_{i} \sin \varphi_{i}\right\}+L_{i} \quad(i=1,2, \cdots, s) \\
& M_{i} \ddot{v}_{i}+c_{i} \dot{v}_{i}+k_{i} v_{i}=M_{i}\left(R_{i} \ddot{\varphi} \cos \delta_{i}-\ddot{y}\right) \\
& \quad+m_{i} r_{i}\left(\dot{\varphi}_{i}^{2} \cos \varphi_{i}+\ddot{\varphi}_{i} \sin \varphi_{i}\right) \quad(i=1,2, \cdots, s) \\
& M \ddot{x}+k_{x} x= \sum_{j=1}^{s} m_{j} r_{j}\left(\dot{\varphi}_{j}^{2} \sin \varphi_{j}-\ddot{\varphi}_{j} \cos \varphi_{j}\right) \\
& M \ddot{y}+k_{y} y= \sum_{j=1}^{s} m_{j} r_{j}\left(\dot{\varphi}_{j}^{2} \cos \varphi_{j}+\ddot{\varphi}_{j} \sin \varphi_{j}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{s} M_{j} \ddot{v}_{j} \\
& I \ddot{\varphi}+k_{\varphi} \varphi= \sum_{j=1}^{s} m_{j} r_{j} R_{j}\left\{\ddot{\varphi}_{j} \sin \left(\delta_{j}-\varphi_{j}\right)-\dot{\varphi}_{j}^{2} \cos \left(\delta_{j}-\varphi_{j}\right)\right. \\
&+\sum_{j=1}^{s} M_{j} R_{j} \cos \delta_{j} \cdot \ddot{v}_{j} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we shall assume that each unbalanced rotor runs at high speed in comparison with the frequencies $\omega_{x}, \omega_{y}$ and $\omega_{\varphi}$, then the second terms on the left hand side in last three equations of Eq.(2) may be neglected.

The vibrators are considered alike, i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}=M_{2}=\cdots, \quad k_{1}=k_{2}=\cdots=k_{s} \\
& m_{1}=m_{2}=\cdots=m_{s}=m, \quad r_{1}=r_{2}=\cdots r_{s}=r \\
& J_{1}=J_{2}=\cdots=J_{s}=J, \quad \lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\cdots=\lambda_{s}=\lambda, L_{1}=\cdots L_{s}=L
\end{aligned}
$$

Eliminating $x, y$ and $\varphi$ from Eq.(2) and neglecting smaller terms, we have the following equations.

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{M} \ddot{\boldsymbol{v}}+\boldsymbol{K} \boldsymbol{v}= & \varepsilon \boldsymbol{F} \\
\ddot{\varphi}_{i}+\varepsilon \rho \dot{\varphi}_{i}= & \varepsilon q_{2}\left[\ddot{v}_{i} \sin \varphi_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{s}\left\{-b \sin \varphi_{i}\right.\right.  \tag{3}\\
& \left.\left.+h_{j}^{\prime} \sin \left(\delta_{i}-\varphi_{i}\right) \cdot R_{i}\right\} \ddot{v}_{j}\right]+\varepsilon N \\
& \quad(i=1,2, \cdots, s)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\boldsymbol{v}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{v}_{1} \\
\boldsymbol{v}_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\boldsymbol{v}_{3}
\end{array}\right], \quad \boldsymbol{M}=\left[m_{i j}\right], \quad m_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1-\left(b+h_{i j}\right) & (i=j) \\
-\left(b+h_{i j}\right) & (i \neq j)
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{K}=\omega_{n}^{2}\left[\delta_{i j}\right], \\
\varepsilon \boldsymbol{F}=\varepsilon\left[\begin{array}{c}
\delta_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1(i=j) \\
0(i \neq j)
\end{array}\right. \\
F_{1} \\
\vdots \\
F_{s}
\end{array}\right], \\
\varepsilon F_{i}=\varepsilon q_{1}\left(\dot{\varphi}_{i}^{2} \cos \varphi_{i}+\ddot{\varphi}_{i} \sin \varphi_{i}\right)-\varepsilon \zeta_{\dot{v}_{i}} \\
(i=1,2, \cdots, s)
\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{ll}
b=\frac{M_{1}}{M}, & \varepsilon q_{1}=\frac{m r}{M_{1}} \\
h_{i j}^{2}=\frac{k_{1}}{M_{1}}, & \varepsilon q_{2}=\frac{m r}{J} \\
h_{j}^{\prime}=\frac{M_{1} R_{i} R_{j}}{I} \cos \delta_{i} \cos \delta_{j}, & \varepsilon \zeta=\frac{R_{1}}{I} \cos \delta_{i} \cos \delta_{j}, \\
\varepsilon \rho=\frac{\lambda}{J}, & \varepsilon N=\frac{L}{J}
\end{array}
$$

Putting $\varepsilon \mathbf{F}=\{0\}$ in the fist equation of Eq.(3), we can get the natural frequencie $\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{s}$ and modal column matrix $\left[\mu_{i j}\right]$ of the system.

The coordinates $\boldsymbol{v}$ can be related to the principal coordinates $\mathbf{Z}$ by the relatio:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{v}=\left[\mu_{i j}\right] \mathbf{Z} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By means of transformation to the principal coordinates of the undamped system, the initial system (3) can be represented as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{Z}+\Lambda \boldsymbol{Z}=\varepsilon \Phi \\
& \ddot{\varphi}_{i}=\varepsilon q_{2}\left[\left[\mu_{i}\right] \ddot{Z} \sin \varphi_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{s}\left\{-b \sin \varphi_{i}\right.\right.  \tag{5}\\
& \\
& \left.\left.\quad+h_{j}^{\prime} R_{i} \sin \left(\delta_{i}-\varphi_{i}\right)\right\}\left[\mu_{i}\right] \ddot{\boldsymbol{Z}}\right]+\varepsilon\left(N-\varsigma \dot{\varphi}_{i}\right) \\
& \quad \quad(i=1,2, \cdots, s)
\end{align*}
$$

where
$\left[\mu_{i}\right]$ and $\left[\mu_{j}\right]$ are $i$ th and $j$ th row vectors of the modal column matrix $\left[\mu_{i j}\right.$. respectively.

## 3. ANALYSIS

To study single frequency resonance conditions of forced oscillation in the systen we can, to a first approximation consider the equations describing the rotation motions of unbalanced vibrators and one of $n$ equations of the oscillating syster

If the forced oscillations near the frequency $\omega_{r}$ are to be determined then th equation in (5) referring to $\omega_{r}$ is chosen. Thus we consider the equations.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{Z}_{r}+\omega_{r}^{2} Z_{r}=\varepsilon \Phi_{r}  \tag{6}\\
& \ddot{\varphi}_{i}=\varepsilon f\left(\varphi_{i}, \dot{\varphi}_{i}, \ddot{Z}_{r}\right) \quad(i=1,2, \cdots, s)
\end{align*}
$$

The remaining ( $n-1$ ) coordinates of the system are assumed to be far from resonance; their oscillations will then be small in comparison with the resonance oscillations of the coordinate $Z \mathrm{r}$, and they will be neglected in the first approximation.

By use of the method of averaging, we can get the conditions of stationary synchronous rotations of unbalanced vibrators as following sections.

### 3.1 Conveyer with 2 Resonant Vibrators.

For the system with two resonant vibrators, we obtain the natural frequencies and the modal column matrix in the form

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\omega_{1}^{2}=\frac{\omega_{n}^{2}}{1-2 h}, & \omega_{2}^{2}=\frac{\omega_{n}^{2}}{1-2 b} \\
{\left[\mu_{i j}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 1 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right]} \tag{7}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h=h_{12}=h_{21}=M_{1} R_{10}^{2} / I \\
& R_{10}=R_{1} \cos \delta_{1}=-R_{2} \cos \delta_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.1.1 In The Case of $\Omega=\omega_{2}$

Let us consider the problem in the useful case that the angular frequencies of two unbalanced rotors are near the natural frequency $\omega_{2}$. Neglecting the coordinate $Z_{1}$, Eq. (6) can be written in the form.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\ddot{Z}_{2}+\omega_{2}^{2} Z_{2}=\frac{\varepsilon q_{1}}{2(1-2 b)}\left(\dot{\varphi}_{1}^{2} \cos \varphi_{1}+\ddot{\varphi}_{1} \sin \varphi_{1}+\dot{\varphi}_{2}^{2} \cos \varphi_{2}\right. \\
\left.\quad+\ddot{\varphi}_{2} \sin \varphi_{2}\right)-\frac{\varepsilon \zeta}{1-2 b} \dot{Z}_{1}  \tag{8}\\
\ddot{\varphi}_{1}=\varepsilon q_{2}(1-2 b) \ddot{Z}_{2} \sin \varphi_{1}+\epsilon N-\varepsilon \rho \dot{\varphi}_{1} \\
\ddot{\varphi}_{2}=\varepsilon q_{2}^{2}(1-2 b) \ddot{Z}_{2} \sin \varphi_{2}+\varepsilon N-\varepsilon \rho \dot{\varphi}_{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

For $\varepsilon=0$ Eq.(8) describes a harmonic oscillation and rotations with constant frequencies. Therefore for $\varepsilon \neq 0$ it is natural to expect that. the oscillations are approximately harmonic and frequencies $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}$ are approximately constant, i.e. they vary slowly.

It is convenient to introduce the substitutions

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{1} & =\Omega t+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{1} \\
\varphi_{2} & =\Omega t+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{2} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{2}=A_{2} \cos \left(\Omega t+\Xi_{2}\right) \\
& Z_{2}=-A_{2} \omega_{2} \sin \left(\Omega t+\Xi_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The new variables $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{2}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{2}$ will be slowly varying functions of time. They represent the essential parameters of motion, i.e. $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{2}$ are the generating phase angle of unbalanced rotors, $A_{2}$ is the amplitude and $\Xi_{2}$ is the angular phase of oscillations. We may transform Eq. (8) to the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{A}_{2}= & -\frac{\varepsilon q_{1} \Omega^{2}}{2(1-2 b) \omega_{2}}\left\{\left(1+2 \frac{\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{1}}{\Omega}\right) \cos \left(\Omega t+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{2}\right)\right\} \sin \left(\Omega t+\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{2}\right) \\
& \left.+\left(1+2 \frac{\dot{\theta}^{2}}{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}\right) \cos \left(\Omega t+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{2}\right)\right\} \sin \left(\Omega t+\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{2}\right) \\
& -\frac{\varepsilon \zeta A_{2}}{1-2 b} \sin ^{2}\left(\Omega t+\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{2}\right) \\
\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{2}= & -\frac{\varepsilon q_{1} \Omega^{2}}{2(1-2 b) \omega_{2} A_{2}}\left\{\left(1+2 \frac{\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}{\Omega}\right) \cos \left(\Omega t+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(1+2 \frac{\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{2}}{\Omega}\right) \cos \left(\Omega t+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{2}\right)\right\} \cos \left(\Omega t+\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{2}\right) \\
& -\frac{\varepsilon \zeta}{2(1-2 b)} \sin 2\left(\Omega t+\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{2}\right)+\left(\omega_{2}-\Omega\right)  \tag{10}\\
\ddot{\theta}_{1}= & -\varepsilon q_{2}(1-2 b) A_{2} \omega_{2} \Omega \sin \left(\Omega t+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}\right) \cos \left(\Omega t+\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{2}\right) \\
& +\varepsilon\left\{N-\rho\left(\Omega+\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{1}\right)\right\} \\
\ddot{\theta}_{2}= & -\varepsilon q_{2}(1-2 b) A_{2} \omega_{2} \Omega \sin \left(\Omega t+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{2}\right) \cos \left(\Omega t+\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{2}\right) \\
& +\varepsilon\left\{N-\rho\left(\Omega+\dot{\theta}_{2}\right)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Since it is assumed that the variables are slowly varying function, Eq.(10) may be averaged over one cycle as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{A_{2}}=\frac{\varepsilon q_{1} \Omega^{2}}{4(1-2 b) \omega_{2}}\left\{\left(1+2 \frac{\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}}}{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}\right) \sin \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}-\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(1+2 \frac{\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{2}}{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}\right) \sin \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{2}-\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{2}\right)\right\}-\frac{\varepsilon \zeta A_{2}}{2(1-2 b)} \\
& \dot{\boldsymbol{\Xi}}_{2}=-\frac{\varepsilon q_{1} \Omega^{2}}{4(1-2 b) \omega_{2} A_{2}}\left\{\left(1+2 \frac{\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{1}}{\Omega}\right) \cos \left(\theta_{1}-\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{2}\right)\right.  \tag{11}\\
& \left.+\left(1+2 \frac{\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}\right) \cos \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{2}-\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{2}\right)\right\}+\left(\omega_{2}-\boldsymbol{\Omega}\right) \\
& \ddot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{1}=-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon q_{2}(1-2 b) A_{2} \omega_{2} \Omega \sin \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}-\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{2}\right)+\varepsilon\left\{N-\rho\left(\Omega+\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{1}\right)\right\} \\
& \ddot{\theta}_{2}=-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon q_{2}(1-2 b) A_{2} \omega_{2} \Omega \sin \left(\theta_{2}-\Xi_{2}\right)+\varepsilon\left\{N-\rho\left(\Omega+\dot{\theta}_{2}\right)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

The conditions for existence of a stationary motion are

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
A_{2}=a_{2}, & \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{2}=\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}, \quad \boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}=\boldsymbol{\theta}_{10}, \quad \boldsymbol{\theta}_{2}=\boldsymbol{\theta}_{20} \\
\dot{a}_{2}=\dot{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}}=\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}} 10=\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{20}=0 & \tag{12}
\end{array}
$$

From Eq. (11) and (12) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon q_{1} \Omega^{2}\left\{\sin \left(\theta_{10}-\xi_{2}\right)+\sin \left(\theta_{20}-\xi_{2}\right)\right\}-2 \varepsilon \zeta \omega_{2} a_{2}=0 \\
& \varepsilon q_{1} \Omega^{2}\left\{\cos \left(\theta_{10}-\xi_{2}\right)+\cos \left(\theta_{20}-\xi_{2}\right)\right\}-4(1-2 b) \omega_{2} a_{2}\left(\omega_{2}-\Omega\right)=0 \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon q_{2}(1-2 b) a_{2} \omega_{2} \Omega \sin \left(\theta_{10}-\xi_{2}\right)+\varepsilon(N-\rho \Omega)=0  \tag{13}\\
& -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon q_{2}(1-2 b) a_{2} \omega_{2} \Omega \sin \left(\theta_{20}-\xi_{2}\right)+\varepsilon(N-\rho \Omega)=0
\end{align*}
$$

For stationary conditions of motion Eq. (13) gives the following expression for the generating phase relation between the rotations of two rotors and the amplitude of vibration

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta_{20}-\theta_{10}=0 \\
& a_{2}=\frac{m r \Omega^{2}}{M_{1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \omega_{2}^{2}(1-2 b)^{2}\left(\omega_{2}-\Omega\right)^{2}+\lambda^{2} \Omega^{2} / M_{1}^{2}}} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

To study the stability of the stationary motions, let $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{3}$ be small perturbations and put

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A_{2}=a_{2}+\alpha_{2}, & \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{2}=\xi_{2}+\alpha_{3} \\
\boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}=\boldsymbol{\theta}_{10}+\eta_{1}, & \boldsymbol{\theta}_{2}=\boldsymbol{\theta}_{20}+\eta_{2} \tag{15}
\end{array}
$$

If we substitute Eq. (15) into (11) and use the Eq. (13), (14), the corresponding characteristic equation becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& p\left\{p^{2}+\varepsilon \rho p+\frac{2 q_{2} \omega_{2}^{2}}{q_{1}}(1-2 b)^{2} a_{2}^{2}\left(\omega_{2}-\Omega\right)\right\} \times \\
& \times\left[p^{3}+\left(\frac{\varepsilon \zeta}{1-2 b}+\varepsilon \rho\right) p^{2}+\left(\omega_{2}-\Omega\right)^{2}\left\{2+\frac{8 q_{2} \omega_{2}^{2} a_{2}^{2}}{q_{1} \Omega^{2}}(1-2 b)^{2}\right\} p\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(\omega_{2}-\Omega\right)^{2}\left\{2 \varepsilon \rho+\frac{8 q_{2} \omega_{2}^{2} a_{2}^{2}}{q_{1} \omega^{2}} \varepsilon \zeta(1-2 b)\right\}\right]=0 \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying the Routh-Hurwitz criterion to Eq. (16), the condition for stability is finally obtained as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{2}-\Omega>0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the angular frequencies of the vibrators are just under the natural frequency $\omega_{2}$, the motions of the conveyer trough are represented in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& y=-\frac{a_{2}}{1-2 b} \cos \left(\Omega t+\xi_{2}\right)  \tag{18}\\
& x=\varphi=0
\end{align*}
$$

A strong linear vibration is produced in the direction of axis y .

### 3.1.2 In the Case of $\Omega=\omega_{1}$

Here let us consider the problem of synchronization in the case that the frequencies of two unbalanced rotors are near the natural frequency $\omega_{1}$. For this case, the coordinate $Z_{2}$ in Eq. (6) will be neglected. Following the same method which was applied in the previous section, we obtain the following equations for stationary conditions of motion

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon q_{1} \Omega^{2}\left\{\sin \left(\theta_{10}-\xi_{1}\right)-\sin \left(\theta_{20}-\xi_{1}\right)\right\}-2 \varepsilon \zeta \omega_{1} a_{1}=0 \\
& \varepsilon q_{1} \Omega^{2}\left\{\cos \left(\theta_{10}-\xi_{1}\right)-\cos \left(\theta_{20}-\xi_{1}\right)\right\}-4(1-2 h) \omega_{1} a_{1}\left(\omega_{1}-\Omega\right)=0 \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon q_{2} \omega_{1} \Omega a_{1}(1-2 h) \sin \left(\theta_{10}-\xi_{1}\right)+\varepsilon(N-\rho \Omega)=0 \\
& \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon q_{2} \omega_{1} \Omega a_{1}(1-2 h) \sin \left(\theta_{20}-\xi_{1}\right)+\varepsilon(N-\rho \Omega)=0 \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. (19) gives the following connection between the generating phases of two rotors.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\theta}_{20}-\boldsymbol{\theta}_{10}=\boldsymbol{\pi} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the same method of stability analysis as in the previous section, we obtain the condition for the stability of the solution (20) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{1}-\Omega>0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the above results we conclude that the motion of the conveyer trough becomes the rotational motion when the frequencies of the vibrators are just under the frequency $\omega_{1}$.
3.2 Conveyer with 3 Resonant Vibrators. $\left(\Omega=\omega_{3}\right)$

For the system with three resonant vibrators, letting

$$
\begin{gathered}
s=3, \quad R_{1} \cos \delta_{1}=-R_{3} \cos \delta_{3}=R_{10} \\
\cos \delta_{2}=0, \quad R_{2} \sin \delta_{2}=R_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

in Eq. (5), we obtain the natural frequencies and the modal column matrix of the vibrating system in the form

$$
\omega_{1}^{2}=\omega_{n}^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{2}^{2}=\frac{\omega_{n}^{2}}{1-2 h}  \tag{22}\\
& \omega_{3}^{2}=\frac{\omega_{n}^{2}}{1-3 b} \\
& {\left[\mu_{i j}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
-2 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right]}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we consider the problem of synchronization, when the frequencies of unbalanced rotors are near the natural frequency $\omega_{3}$ which corresponds to the most useful one of the normal modes of vibrations for the conveyer.

Put $Z_{1}=Z_{2}=0 \quad$ in Eq. (5).
Then Eq. (6) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{Z}_{3}+\omega_{3} Z_{3}=\frac{\varepsilon q_{1}}{3(1-3 b)} \sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(\dot{\varphi}_{j}^{2} \cos \varphi_{j}+\ddot{\varphi}_{j} \sin \varphi_{j}\right)-\frac{\varepsilon \zeta}{1-3 b} \dot{Z}_{3} \\
& \ddot{\varphi}_{1}=\varepsilon q_{2}(1-3 b) \ddot{Z}_{3} \sin \varphi_{1}+\varepsilon N-\varepsilon \rho \dot{\varphi}_{1}  \tag{23}\\
& \ddot{\varphi}_{2}=\varepsilon q_{2}(1-3 b) \ddot{Z}_{3} \sin \varphi_{2}+\varepsilon N-\varepsilon \rho \dot{\varphi}_{2} \\
& \ddot{\varphi}_{3}=\varepsilon q_{2}(1-3 b) \ddot{Z}_{3} \sin \varphi_{3}+\varepsilon N-\varepsilon \rho \dot{\varphi}_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Introduce the substitutions of the new variables $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{2}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{3}, \mathrm{~A}_{3}, \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{3}$ for the variables $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \varphi_{3}, Z_{3}, \dot{Z}_{3}$, according to the expressions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{i}=\Omega t+\theta_{i} \quad(i=1,2,3) \\
& Z_{3}=A_{3} \cos \left(\Omega t+\Xi_{3}\right)  \tag{24}\\
& \dot{Z}_{3}=-A_{3} \omega_{3} \sin \left(\Omega t+\Xi_{3}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Following the same method which was applied in the previous sections, we obtain the following equations for stationary conditions of motion

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varepsilon q_{1} \Omega^{2}\left\{\sin \left(\theta_{10}-\xi_{3}\right)+\sin \left(\theta_{20}-\xi_{3}\right)+\sin \left(\theta_{30}-\xi_{3}\right)\right\}-3 \dot{\varepsilon} \zeta \omega_{3} a_{3}=0 \\
\varepsilon q_{1} \Omega^{2}\left\{\cos \left(\theta_{10}-\xi_{3}\right)+\cos \left(\theta_{20}-\xi_{3}\right)+\cos \left(\theta_{30}-\xi_{3}\right)\right\} \\
-6(1-3 b) \omega_{3} a_{3}\left(\omega_{3}-\Omega\right)=0 \\
-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon q_{2}(1-3 b) a_{3} \omega_{3} \Omega \sin \left(\theta_{10}-\xi_{3}\right)+\varepsilon N-\varepsilon \rho \Omega=0  \tag{25}\\
-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon q_{2}(1-3 b) a_{3} \omega_{3} \Omega \sin \left(\theta_{20}-\xi_{3}\right)+\varepsilon N-\varepsilon \rho \Omega=0
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon q_{2}(1-3 b) a_{3} \omega_{3} \Omega \sin \left(\theta_{30}-\xi_{3}\right)+\varepsilon N-\varepsilon \rho \Omega=0
$$

From Eq. (25) we obtain the solutions for stationary motion, i.e. phase relations and amplitude of vibration as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{\theta}_{20}-\boldsymbol{\theta}_{10}=0 \\
& \boldsymbol{\theta}_{30}-\boldsymbol{\theta}_{10}=0  \tag{26}\\
& \quad a_{3}=\frac{\varepsilon q_{1} \Omega^{2}}{\sqrt{4(1-3 b)^{2} \omega_{3}^{2}\left(\omega_{3}-\Omega\right)^{2}+\left(\varepsilon \zeta \omega_{3}\right)^{2}}}
\end{align*}
$$

The characteristic equation of the system corresponding to the above solutions becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& p\left\{p^{2}+\varepsilon \rho p+\frac{(1-3 b)^{2} \omega_{3}^{2} a_{3}^{2}}{\varepsilon q_{1} \Omega}\left(\omega_{3}-\Omega\right)\right\}\left[p^{3}+\left(\varepsilon \rho+\frac{\varepsilon \zeta}{1-3 b}\right) p^{2}\right. \\
& +\left\{\frac{(\varepsilon \zeta)^{2}}{4(1-3 b)^{2}}+\left(\omega_{3}-\Omega\right)^{2}+\frac{\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \zeta}{1-3 b}+\frac{(1-3 b)^{2} \omega_{3}^{2} a_{3}^{2}}{\varepsilon q_{1} \Omega}+\frac{\varepsilon q_{1} \varepsilon q_{2} \Omega^{2}}{2}\right\} p  \tag{27}\\
& \left.+\frac{\varepsilon \zeta(1-3 b) \omega_{3}^{2} a_{3}^{2}}{\varepsilon q_{1} \Omega}\left(\omega_{3}-\Omega\right)-\varepsilon \rho\left\{\frac{(\varepsilon \zeta)^{2}}{4(1-3 b)^{2}}+\left(\omega_{3}-\Omega\right)^{2}\right\}+\frac{\varepsilon \zeta \varepsilon q_{1} \varepsilon q_{2} \Omega^{2}}{4(1-3 b)}\right]=0
\end{align*}
$$

Applying Routh-Hurwitz criterion to Eq.(27) the condition for stability is obtained, as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{3}-\Omega>0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

To verify the results of the above theory, the test apparatuses were set up as shown in photo. 1 and 3.

Steel pipes of diameter 90 mm were used as conveyer troughs. 75 W variable speed electric motors with unbalance weights were employed as the vibrators and connected to the conveyer trough by the rubber springs.

The main dimensions of apparatuses are shown in Table 1 .
Photo. 1 shows the conveyer with two vibrators in operation at the frequency $\Omega=$ $50 \mathrm{~Hz} \leqq \omega_{2}$. The phase relation of revolution of the unbalalanced rotors can be observed $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{20}-\boldsymbol{\theta}_{10} \approx 0^{\circ}$.

Photo. 2 shows 2 vibrators at the frequency $\Omega=40.7 \mathrm{~Hz} \leqq \omega_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{20}-\boldsymbol{\theta}_{10} \approx \pi$.
Photo. 3 shows the conveyer with three vibrators in operation at the frequency $\Omega=49.5 \mathrm{~Hz} \leqq \omega_{3}$ and the phase relations $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{30}-\boldsymbol{\theta}_{10} \approx 0^{\circ}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{20}-\boldsymbol{\theta}_{10} \approx 0^{\circ}$

These experimental results are in good agreement with the theoretical results.

Table 1

| Items | 2 vibrators | 3 vibrators |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Weight of conveyer trough $\mathrm{M}_{c}$ | 83 kg | 123 kg |
| Length of conveyer trough | 2000 mm | 3000 mm |
| Weight of vibrator body $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $23 \mathrm{~kg}(\times 2)$ | $23 \mathrm{~kg}(\times 3)$ |
| Unbalanced mass moment mr | $4.83 \mathrm{~kg}-\mathrm{mm}$ | $4.83 \mathrm{~kg}-\mathrm{mm}$ |
| Spring constant of the spring which <br> connects the Vibrator body to <br> conveyer $k_{1}$ | $148 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{mm}$ | $148 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{mm}$ |
| Natural frequencies $\omega_{r}$ | $\omega_{1}=46 \mathrm{~Hz}$ <br> Spring constant of the spring <br> which supports the system | $\omega_{3}=52 \mathrm{~Hz}$ |



Photo. 1


Photo. 2


Photo. 3
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