Ist Department of Internal Medicine of Medical Academy in L6dz, Computation Centre of the Polish Academy of Sciences in Warsaw

Application of computer techniques to the optimalization of cardiac insufficiency therapy^x

Tadeusz Bogdanik, Mieczyslaw Warmus, Wlodzimierz Geraga, Stanislaw Ciechowicz, Jan Zambrzycki

Our paper presents a practical application of the mathematical model of digitalis pharmacokinetics as the first step towards the algorithmization of digitalis derivatives therapy. The paper presents the results of a computer program written for the optimalization of five digitalis derivatives (oral and intravenous therapy), based on the mathematical description of digitalis-glycoside kinetics in patients with normal and reduced renal function. We applied five derivatives, characterized by different dynamics of their resorption and excretion after oral dosage and by different metabolic, especially intrahepatic, inactivation patterns. One of the basic difficulties in therapy is connected with changing the dosage when a particular cardiac glycoside is replaced by an other one of different pharmacokinetic: characteristics. This may lead to toxic reactions because the total glycoside concentration is unknown. Mathematical description of glycoside kinetics is very helpful in the management of various kinds of cardiac insufficiency .

The paper presents the time-shared computer program to formulate dosage regimens of five digitalis derivatives. The computer program takes into account five derivatives: 1. Digoxin, 2. Lanatosid C, 3. Strophantin, 4. Proscillaridin A, 5. Acetylodigitoxin. Our detailed computer program for digitalis dosage was elaborated by Krystyna Domzal and Jan Domzal in the Cybernetics and Informatics Department of the University of L6dz (Poland), based on the method described by Jelliffe $(5,6)$ in California. Jeliffe's $(5,6)$ computer program was based on numerous experiments and clinical observations which showed that the

This report is supported by Computation Centre of the Polish Academy of Sciences.

serum concentration of tritiated digoxin or digitoxin and the glycoside loss from the body were prportional to the oral dose. The half-life of tritiated glycosides is similar in liver, kidneys and myocardium after the cessation of the drug. The glycosides are then eliminated from the body in a logarithmic manner. Jeliffe (3) described that the average rate–constant for all body losses for digitoxin is 0.1155 day[—]' and for digoxin 0.4332 day `. The value of half–time of digoxin in patients with normal renal function is 1.6 day and the total body losses rate - -constant is 0.4332 day" $\,$ and this is the sum of faecal losses rate– -constant k_r = 0.1690 day"⁺ plus the urine losses rate-constant k $=$ $\overline{}$. Disable and legated C bineties are neglected . $= 0.2642$ day $= 0.2642$ digoxing and Language C kinetics are profoundly at $= 0.1642$ fected by alterations in the patient' s renal function,and the average half-time of digoxin found 1.6 day by normal renal function increases to 4.1 days in anuric patients. The alteration of digitoxin urinary losses in anuric patients increases the half-time from 6. 0 days in normal patients to 8.65 days in anuric patients. The value of total body losses rate-constant for digitoxin is 0.1155 and this is the sum of faecal losses rate constant k_r = 0.0801 and the urine losses rate–constant k_.= \mathbf{u} under the set of the set $= 0.0354$. The urine loss rate-constant of digoxin and digitoxin are proportional to the serum and urine concentrations and this enables to compute the half-time for digoxin and digitoxin , based on actual serum and urine concentrations. After digitoxin loading the average urine loss is 30.6 % of the daily loss, 61.6 % is the daily nonurinary loss, and 7,7 % of daily loss is dependent on the metabolic conversion of digitoxin to digoxin. On the contrary, the urine loss of digoxin is 59 %, and nonurinary loss, dependent on the enzymatic intrahepatic inactivation, is 41 % (4).

In our computer program for digitalis dosage we have incorporated the average values of digoxin resorption given by many authors (8) as 81 %, in contrast to Jelliffe's $(5,6)$ program $(85,8)$, and we included the average Lanatosid C resorption 42 $\%$ /omitted in the program described by Jelliffe $(5,6)/$. The next modification of the program was the inclusion of the values of the Acetylodigitoxin 80% and of Proscillaridin 25 % after an oral dose. The inclusion of new drugs led to the next modification $\frac{1}{s}$ / using the values described by Krautwald (7)/, namely we have incorporated the following values of daily losses:

- 289 -

Acetylodigitoxin – 10 % daily losses trom the total body concentration Proscillaridin A - 50 % - " - **Strophantin** Lanatosid C -40% - " - " - " -20 %

In our program we included the influence of altered renal function based on blood urea concentration and we computed total constant rate of daily digoxin losses (K) using the method of least squares:

$$
K = 0.1155 - \ln 0.699 + 0.205 \frac{\ln \frac{n}{40}}{\ln 3}, \sqrt{1/}
$$

 $n =$ blood urea concentration.

The computer program for digitalis dosage is appropriate only for patients with normal thyroid and hepatic function and normal electrolyte balance, (especially kalium) and for patients without clinical evidence of gastrointestinal malabsorption. The patients must not be treated with drugs altering digitalis absorption or metabolism.

Enclosed we present the abbreviated printout from the Polish computer "Odra-1304". Our detailed program was written in FORT-RAN. Its various applications, including the possibilities of replacing one cardiac glycoside by another, are illustrated here on a single example. A patient was given Lanatosid C parenterally followed by oral administration of digoxin in changing doses and at different intervals. The total body glycoside concentration in micrograms of glycoside per kilogramme of body weight is computed as the first part of the computer program. After the total body glycoside concentration is computed, the physician selects its optimal level comparing the former and actual state of the patient. The computer prints out statistical data about digitalis toxicity frequency, according to various levels of total body glycoside concentration. Still the next change in our program comparing to Jelliffe's $(5,6)$ one is the lower toxicity warning threshold. Our selection of glycoside level over 13.0 mcg/kg, reminds that the toxicity risk exceeds 21 %. The computer operator must then enter the selected inputs three times, before the computer accepts them.

The last modification in our program enables us the achievement of the desired total dose in four versions: in one, two, three or four

days. Owing to this, the selection of the optimal method of the necessary body glycosides concentration can be done.

The application of computer program in digitalis therapy based on average values of five derivatives' kinetics .makes the treatment more precise. Its practical value is most prominent in the reduction of digitalis toxicity frequency. In our Internal Medicine Department 29 % toxic reactions were observed before employing the computer, the number of which was then significantly reduced to 14% (1,2). The main adventage of our program is the possibility of everyday control of total serum glycoside concentration without drawing blood after each digitalis dose. The program has also didactic values in teaching controlled digitalis therapy.

We have studied the accuracy of our program in 72 inpatients and we stated that the program enables us to have more precise digitalis therapy and reduces the frequency of toxic reactions.

We have compared in 32 patients the computed digoxin concentration to serum digoxin level determined by radioimmunoassay according to Sheiner and Rosenberg (9). The abundant literature concerning the metodologies involved with the radioimmunoassay of serum digoxin concentrations indicates that there are many problems associated with accuracy, reproducibility and comparability of results. The numerous procedural modifications alone indicate dissatisfaction among the proponents of the various methods. The various methods which have been proposed, and the conflicting data obtained in many laboratories lead to the conclusion, that when comparing the computed data to evaluated data, the data received by computer program, based on many pharmacokinetic findings are more accurate for con stant control of digitalis therapy. The computed values are in close connection with patients' findings, such as heart rate, electrocardiography, blood urea concentration and magnesium and kalium plasma concentrations. The control of serum digoxin concentration could not be performed every day and the computed values can be obtained after each dose and therefore are much simpler for permenent control of digitalis therapy, taking into account five derivatives, while the radioimmunoassay method enables us to determine only digitoxin and digoxin. The isotopic methods using strontium J^{131} show ed less accuracy than the radioimmunoassay method, however they might be used to determine all cardiac glycosides. In our actual experience mathematical model of pharmacokinetics of digitalis glycoside is much more exact in everyday physician practice than the laboratory findings regarded to serum digitalis concentration in consideration of great laboratory error.

AN EXAMPLE OF PRINTOUT OF THE DIGITALIS THERAPY PROG-RAM COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR DIGITALIS THERAPY

Name Number

Previous digitalis therapy? Yes.

Fixed dose? No

Body weight: 52.00

Enter number of changed doses: 22

Enter for every changed dose: dose, drug (Acetdigit, Dox, Lanat,

Stroph, Proscill)

Route (oral = 0 , parenteral = p),

Blood ures, hours between doses

 $\ddot{}$

CALC. BODY GLYCOSIDE CONCENTR.AT BEGINNING AND END OF EACH DOSE

Total glycoside concentr. at your next dose should be : 0.48 mg 9.260 mcg/kg

Note the following data: Total body concentr. (mcg/kg) Incidence of digit arrythmias 8.5 13 % o / /O 13.0 21 % 17.0 27 *~/o* % 25.0 42 % $\overline{}$

Concentr.over 35.0 mcg/kg may be lethal!

Enter desired concentr. (mcg/kg), Blood ures and body weight (kg)

25.0 68.00 50.00

Risk of toxicity is 42.0 %

Enter drug (Acetdigit, Dox, Lanat, Stroph, Proscill), Route (0,P), Doses day Lanat

 \bar{z}

 $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$

 \bar{z}

 \sim \sim

- 294 -

Version 1

Desired concentration will be achieved in one day. To achieve and maintain your selected concentration with your chosen glycoside and route, the following dose program is suggested, if renal function and electrolyte balance do not change.

Version 2 in drops

16.5, 25.4, 26.6, 27.9, 12.9, 12.6, 12.4, 12.2, 12.0, 11.9, 11.8, 11.7, 11.6, 11.6.

Version 3 in drops

11.0, 19.3, 20.0, 20.7, 21.5, 22.4, 12.4, 12.2, 12.0, 11.9, 11.8,

Version 4 in drops Version 4 in drops

8.2, 16.3, 16.7, 17.1, 17.6, 18.2, 18.9, 19.5, 12.0, 11.5, 11.8, 11.7, 11.6, 11.6. 11.7, 11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11
11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11.6, 11 52 sec.

Reference s

- (1) Bogdanik T.: The application of computer program to the digitalis therapy. Paper of the First World Conference on Medical Informatics, Medinfo 74, 1974, p. 909.
- (2) Bogdanik T., Geraga W. , Ciechowicz S. , Tydelska E. , Zambrzycki J., Sosin A., Czechowicz Z.: Computer controlled digitalis therapy. Builetin De la Societe des Sciences et des Lettres de Lödz, 1975, XXV, 1.
- (3) Jelliffe R.V. : A mathematical analysis of digitalis kinetics in patients with normal and reduced renal function. Math. Biosci, 1967, 1, 305.
- (4) Jelliffe R.W. , Buell J. , Kalaba R.: A mathematical study of the metabolic conversion of digoxin in man. Math. Biosci. 1970, 6, 387.
- (5) Jelliffe R.W. , Buell J. , Kalaba R.: A computer program for digitalis dosage regimens. Math.Biosci. 1970,9,179.
- (6) Jelliffe R.W. , Buell J. , Kalaba R.: Reduction of digitalis toxicity by computer-assisted glycoside dosage regimens. Ann. Int. Med. 1972, 77, 891.
- (7) Krautwald A.: Digitalis-Therapie mit Hilfe von Dosierungs-Tabellen. Schattauer F.K., Stuttgart, New York, 1969.
- (8) Peck C. C. , Sheiner L. B. , Martin C.M. , Combs D. T., Melmon K. E.: Computer-assisted digoxin therapy. New England J. Med. 1973, 289, 441.
- (9) Sheiner L.B. , Rosenberg B.: Individualizing drug therapy with a feedback - responsive computer system. Paper of the First World Conference on Medical Informatics, Medinfo 74, 1974, 901.

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$