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#### Abstract

By means of the fixed point index theory of strict set contraction operators, we establish new existence theorems on multiple positive solutions to a boundary value problem for second-order impulsive integro-differential equations with integral boundary conditions in a Banach space. Moreover, an application is given to illustrate the main result.
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## 1 Introduction.

Impulsive differential equations can be used to describe a lot of natural phenomena such as the dynamics of populations subject to abrupt changes (harvesting, diseases, etc.), which cannot be described using classical differential equations. That is why in recent years they have attracted much attention of investigators (cf., e.g., $[2,3,7,8,9]$ ). Meanwhile, the boundary value problem

[^0]with integral boundary conditions has been the subject of investigations along the line with impulsive differential equations because of their wide applicability in various fields (cf., e.g., $[1,2,6,10])$.

In [3], D. Guo discussed the following second-order impulsive differential equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-x^{\prime \prime}=f(t, x), \quad t \neq t_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \cdots, m \\
\left.\Delta x\right|_{t=t_{k}}=I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2, \cdots, m \\
a x(0)-b x^{\prime}(0)=\theta, \quad c x(1)+d x^{\prime}(1)=\theta
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f \in C[J \times P, P], J=[0,1], P$ is a cone in real Banach space $E, \theta$ denotes the zero element of $E . I_{k} \in C[P, P], 0<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{k}<\cdots<t_{m}<1 . a \geq 0, b \geq 0, c \geq 0, d \geq 0$ and $a c+a d+b c>0$.

In [1], A. Boucherif investigated the existence of positive solutions to the following boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime \prime}(t)=f(t, y(t)), \quad 0<t<1 \\
y(0)-a y^{\prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} g_{0}(s) y(s) d s \\
y(1)-b y^{\prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} g_{1}(s) y(s) d s
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f:[0,1] \times R \rightarrow R$ is continuous, $g_{0}, g_{1}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ are continuous and positive, $a$ and $b$ are nonnegative real parameters.

In [2], M. Feng, B. Du and W. Ge studied the existence of multiple positive solutions for a class of second-order impulsive differential equations with p-Laplacian and integral boundary conditions

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(\phi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}=f(t, u(t)), \quad t \neq t_{k}, t \in(0,1) \\
-\left.\Delta u\right|_{t=t_{k}}=I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2, \cdots, n
\end{array}\right.
$$

subject to the following boundary condition: $u^{\prime}(0)=0, u(1)=\int_{0}^{1} g(t) u(t) d t$, where $\phi_{p}(s)$ is a p-Laplacian operator, $0<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{k}<\cdots<t_{n}<1, f \in C([0,1] \times[0,+\infty),[0,+\infty)), I_{k} \in$ $C([0,+\infty),[0,+\infty))$.

In this paper, we are concerned with the existence of multiple positive solutions of the following second-order impulsive differential equations with integral boundary conditions in real Banach space $E$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime \prime}=f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}, T x, S x\right), \quad t \in J, \quad t \neq t_{k}  \tag{1.1}\\
\left.\Delta x\right|_{t=t_{k}}=-I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2, \cdots, m \\
\left.\Delta x^{\prime}\right|_{t=t_{k}}=\bar{I}_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2, \cdots, m \\
x(0)-a x^{\prime}(0)=\theta \\
x(1)-b x^{\prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} g(s) x(s) d s
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $a+1>b>1, J=[0,1], J^{\prime}=J \backslash\left\{t_{1}, \cdots t_{m}\right\}, 0<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{k}<\cdots<t_{m}<1, \theta$ denotes the zero element of Banach space $E, T$ and $S$ are the linear operators defined as follows

$$
(T x)(t)=\int_{0}^{t} k(t, s) x(s) \mathrm{d} s, \quad(S x)(t)=\int_{0}^{1} h(t, s) x(s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

in which $k \in C\left[\mathbb{D}, R_{+}\right], h \in C\left[\mathbb{D}_{0}, R_{+}\right], \mathbb{D}=\{(t, s) \in J \times J: t \geq s\}, \mathbb{D}_{0}=\{(t, s) \in J \times J: 0 \leq$ $t, s \leq 1\}, R_{+}=[0,+\infty),\left.\Delta x\right|_{t=t_{k}}$ denotes the jump of $x(t)$ at $t=t_{k}$, i.e., $\left.\Delta x\right|_{t=t_{k}}=x\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)$, where $x\left(t_{k}^{+}\right), x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)$represent the right and left limits of $x(t)$ at $t=t_{k}$, respectively. By means of the fixed point index theory of strict set contraction operators, we establish new existence theorems on multiple positive solutions to (1.1). Moreover, an application is given to illustrate the main result.

Let us first recall some basic information on cone (see more from [4, 5]). Let $E$ be a real Banach space and $P$ be a cone in $E$ which defined a partial ordering in $E$ by $x \leq y$ if and only if $y-x \in P . P$ is said to be normal if there exists a positive constant $N$ such that $\theta \leq x \leq y$ implies $\|x\| \leq N\|y\| . P$ is called solid if its interior $\stackrel{\circ}{P}$ is nonempty. If $x \leq y$ and $x \neq y$, we write $x<y$. If $P$ is solid and $y-x \in \stackrel{\circ}{P}$, we write $x \ll y$.

Let $P C[J, E]=\left\{x: x\right.$ is a map from $J$ into $E$ such that $x(t)$ is continuous at $t \neq t_{k}$, left continuous at $t=t_{k}$ and $x\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)$exists for $\left.k=1,2,3, \cdots, m\right\}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P C^{1}[J, E]:=\left\{x \in P C[J, E]: x^{\prime}(t) \text { is continuous at } t \neq t_{k}\right. \\
&\text { and } \left.x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right) \text {exist for } k=1,2,3, \cdots, m\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $P C[J, E]$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|x\|_{P C}=\sup _{t \in J}\|x(t)\|$ and $P C^{1}[J, E]$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|x\|_{P C^{1}}=\max \left\{\|x\|_{P C},\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{P C}\right\}$.

By a positive solution of BVP (1.1), we mean a map $x \in P C^{1}[J, E] \cap C^{2}\left[J^{\prime}, E\right]$ such that $x(t) \geq \theta, x^{\prime}(t) \geq \theta, x(t) \not \equiv \theta$ for $t \in J$ and $x(t)$ satisfies (1.1).

Let $\alpha, \alpha_{P C^{1}}$ be the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness in $E$ and $P C^{1}[J, E]$, respectively (see $[4,5]$, for further understanding). Moreover, we set $J_{1}=\left[0, t_{1}\right], J_{k}=\left(t_{k-1}, t_{k}\right](k=$ $2,3, \cdots, m)$, and for $u_{i} \in P, i=1,2,3,4$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{\infty}=\limsup _{\sum_{i=1}^{4}\left\|u_{i}\right\| \rightarrow \infty} \max _{t \in J} \frac{\left\|f\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right)\right\|}{\sum_{i=1}^{4}\left\|u_{i}\right\|}, \quad f^{0}=\limsup _{\sum_{i=1}^{4}\left\|u_{i}\right\| \rightarrow 0} \max _{t \in J} \frac{\left\|f\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right)\right\|}{\sum_{i=1}^{4}\left\|u_{i}\right\|}, \\
& I^{\infty}(k)=\limsup _{\left\|u_{1}\right\|+\left\|u_{2}\right\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|I_{k}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right\|}{\left\|u_{1}\right\|+\left\|u_{2}\right\|}, \quad I^{0}(k)=\limsup _{\left\|u_{1}\right\|+\left\|u_{2}\right\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left\|I_{k}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right\|}{\left\|u_{1}\right\|+\left\|u_{2}\right\|} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we denote $\bar{I}^{\infty}(k), \bar{I}^{0}(k)$.

The following lemmas are basic, which can be found in [5].

Lemma 1.1 If $W \subset P C^{1}[J, E]$ is bounded and the elements of $W^{\prime}$ are equicontinuous on each $J_{k}(k=1,2, \cdots, m)$. Then $\alpha_{P C^{1}}(W)=\max \left\{\sup _{t \in J} \alpha(W(t)), \sup _{t \in J} \alpha\left(W^{\prime}(t)\right)\right\}$.

Lemma 1.2 Let $K$ be a cone in real Banach space $E$ and $\Omega$ be a nonempty bounded open convex subset of $K$. Suppose that $A: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow K$ is a strict set contraction and $A(\bar{\Omega}) \subset \Omega$, when $\bar{\Omega}$ denotes the closure of $\Omega$ in $K$. Then the fixed-point index $i(A, \Omega, K)=1$.

## 2 Main results

$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) \quad f \in C[J \times P \times P \times P \times P, P]$, and for any $r>0, f$ is uniformly continuous on $J \times P_{r}^{4}$, $I_{k}, \bar{I}_{k} \in C[P \times P, P](k=1,2, \cdots, m)$ are bounded on $P_{r} \times P_{r}$, where $P_{r}=\{x \in P:\|x\| \leq r\}$.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \quad g \in L^{1}[0,1]$ is nonnegative, and $u \in[0, a+1-b)$, where $u=\int_{0}^{1}(a+s) g(s) d s$.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ There exist nonnegative constants $c_{i}, d_{k}, \bar{d}_{k}, i=1,2,3,4, k=1,2$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha\left(f\left(t, B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}, B_{4}\right)\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{4} c_{i} \alpha\left(B_{i}\right), \forall t \in J, B_{i} \subset P_{r} \quad(i=1,2,3,4)  \tag{2.1}\\
\alpha\left(I_{k}\left(B_{1}, B_{2}\right)\right) \leq d_{1} \alpha\left(B_{1}\right)+d_{2} \alpha\left(B_{2}\right), B_{1}, B_{2} \subset P_{r}  \tag{2.2}\\
\alpha\left(\bar{I}_{k}\left(B_{1}, B_{2}\right)\right) \leq \bar{d}_{1} \alpha\left(B_{1}\right)+\overline{d_{2}} \alpha\left(B_{2}\right), B_{1}, B_{2} \subset P_{r} \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
l=\max \left\{l_{1}, \quad l_{2}\right\}<1
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l_{1}=2 m_{2}\left(c_{1}+c_{2}+k^{*} c_{3}+h^{*} c_{4}\right)+m_{2} m\left(\bar{d}_{1}+\bar{d}_{2}\right)+\bar{m}_{2} m\left(d_{1}+d_{2}\right), \\
& l_{2}=2 m_{4}\left(c_{1}+c_{2}+k^{*} c_{3}+h^{*} c_{4}\right)+m_{4} m\left(\bar{d}_{1}+\bar{d}_{2}\right)+\bar{m}_{4} m\left(d_{1}+d_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

in which

$$
k^{*}=\max \{k(t, s), t, s \in \mathbb{D}\}, h^{*}=\max \left\{h(t, s), t, s \in \mathbb{D}_{0}\right\}
$$

$\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \quad f^{\infty}=f^{0}=0, I^{\infty}(k)=I^{0}(k)=0, \bar{I}^{\infty}(k)=\bar{I}^{0}(k)=0$.

Lemma 2.1 Let $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{2}\right)$ hold. Then $x \in P C^{1}[J, E] \cap C^{2}\left[J^{\prime}, E\right]$ is a solution to (1.1) if and only if $x \in P C^{1}[J, E] \cap C^{2}\left[J^{\prime}, E\right]$ is a solution to the following impulsive integral equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
x(t)= & \int_{0}^{1} H_{1}(t, s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s),(T x)(s),(S x)(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s+\sum_{k=1}^{m} H_{1}\left(t, t_{k}\right) \bar{I}_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{m} H_{2}\left(t, t_{k}\right) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{1}(t, s)=G_{1}(t, s)+\frac{a+t}{a+1-b-u} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau, \\
& H_{2}(t, s)=G_{2}(t, s)+\frac{a+t}{a+1-b-u} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
G_{1}(t, s)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{a+1-b}(a+t)(b+s-1), & t \leq s, \\
\frac{1}{a+1-b}(a+s)(b+t-1), & s \leq t,\end{cases} \\
G_{2}(t, s)= \begin{cases}\frac{a+t}{a+1-b}, & t \leq s, \\
\frac{b+t-1}{a+1-b}, & s \leq t .\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. " $\Longrightarrow$ ".
Suppose that $x \in P C^{1}[J, E] \cap C^{2}\left[J^{\prime}, E\right]$ is a solution to problem (1.1).
From (1.1), we get

$$
x^{\prime}(t)=x^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s),(T x)(s),(S x)(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s+\sum_{0<t_{k}<t} \bar{I}_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right),
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
x(t)= & x(0)+t x^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{t}(t-s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s),(T x)(s),(S x)(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s  \tag{2.5}\\
& +\sum_{0<t_{k}<t}\left(t-t_{k}\right) \bar{I}_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)-\sum_{0<t_{k}<t} I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

In particular,

$$
x^{\prime}(1)=x^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{1} f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s),(T x)(s),(S x)(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s+\sum_{0<t_{k}<1} \bar{I}_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right),
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(1)= & x(0)+x^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{1}(1-s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s),(T x)(s),(S x)(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& +\sum_{0<t_{k}<1}\left(1-t_{k}\right) \bar{I}_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)-\sum_{0<t_{k}<1} I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this and the boundary conditions in (1.1), and by induction, we obtain

$$
x(0)=a x^{\prime}(0),
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime}(0)= & \frac{1}{a+1-b}\left(\int_{0}^{1}(b+s-1) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s),(T x)(s),(S x)(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s\right. \\
& +\sum_{0<t_{k}<1}\left(b+t_{k}-1\right) \bar{I}_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+\sum_{0<t_{k}<1} I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{1} g(s) x(s) d s\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This, together with (2.5), implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(t)= & \frac{a+t}{a+1-b}\left(\int_{0}^{1}(b+s-1) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s),(T x)(s),(S x)(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s\right. \\
& +\sum_{0<t_{k}<1}\left(b+t_{k}-1\right) \bar{I}_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+\sum_{0<t_{k}<1} I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{1} g(s) x(s) d s\right)+\int_{0}^{t}(t-s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s),(T x)(s),(S x)(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& +\sum_{0<t_{k}<t}\left(t-t_{k}\right) \bar{I}_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)-\sum_{0<t_{k}<t} I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{a+1-b} \int_{0}^{t}(a+s)(b+t-1) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s),(T x)(s),(S x)(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& +\frac{1}{a+\frac{1-b}{}} \int_{t}^{1}(a+t)(b+s-1) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s),(T x)(s),(S x)(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& +\frac{1}{a+1-b} \sum_{0<t_{k}<t}\left(a+t_{k}\right)(b+t-1) \bar{I}_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{a+1-b} \sum_{t \leq t_{k}<1}(a+t)\left(b+t_{k}-1\right) \bar{I}_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{a+1-b} \sum_{0<t_{k}<t}(b+t-1) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{a+1-b} \sum_{t \leq t_{k}<1}(a+t) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+\frac{a+t}{a+1-b} \int_{0}^{1} g(s) x(s) d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(t)= & \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(t, s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s),(T x)(s),(S x)(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s+\sum_{k=1}^{m} G_{1}\left(t, t_{k}\right) \bar{I}_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{m} G_{2}\left(t, t_{k}\right) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+\frac{a+t}{a+1-b} \int_{0}^{1} g(s) x(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} g(t) x(t) d t= & \int_{0}^{1} g(t)\left(\int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(t, s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s),(T x)(s),(S x)(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s\right. \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{m} G_{1}\left(t, t_{k}\right) \bar{I}_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
& \left.+\sum_{k=1}^{m} G_{2}\left(t, t_{k}\right) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+\frac{a+t}{a+1-b} \int_{0}^{1} g(s) x(s) d s\right) d t \\
= & \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} g(t) G_{1}(t, s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s),(T x)(s),(S x)(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} g(t)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} G_{1}\left(t, t_{k}\right) \bar{I}_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right) d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} g(t)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} G_{2}\left(t, t_{k}\right) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right) d t+\int_{0}^{1} \frac{a+t}{a+1-b} g(t) d t \int_{0}^{1} g(t) x(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

and also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} g(s) x(s) d s= & \frac{1}{1-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{a+s}{a+1-b} g(s) d s}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s),(T x)(s),(S x)(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s\right. \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} g(\tau)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} G_{1}\left(\tau, t_{k}\right) \bar{I}_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right) d \tau \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{1} g(\tau)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} G_{2}\left(\tau, t_{k}\right) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right) d \tau\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(t)= & \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(t, s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s),(T x)(s),(S x)(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{m} G_{1}\left(t, t_{k}\right) \bar{I}_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{m} G_{2}\left(t, t_{k}\right) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
& +\frac{a+t}{a+1-b-\int_{0}^{1}(a+s) g(s) d s}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s),(T x)(s),(S x)(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{1} g(\tau)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} G_{1}\left(\tau, t_{k}\right) \bar{I}_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right) d \tau+\int_{0}^{1} g(\tau)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} G_{2}\left(\tau, t_{k}\right) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right) d \tau\right) \\
= & \int_{0}^{1} H_{1}(t, s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s),(T x)(s),(S x)(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s+\sum_{k=1}^{m} H_{1}\left(t, t_{k}\right) \bar{I}_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{m} H_{2}\left(t, t_{k}\right) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

" "
If $x \in P C^{1}[J, E] \cap C^{2}\left[J^{\prime}, E\right]$ is a solution of Eq. (2.4), then a direct differentiation of (2.4) yields, for $t \neq t_{k}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime}(t)= & \int_{0}^{t} \frac{a+s}{a+1-b} f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s),(T x)(s),(S x)(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& +\int_{t}^{1} \frac{b+s-1}{a+1-b} f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s),(T x)(s),(S x)(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& +\sum_{0<t_{k}<t} \frac{a+t_{k}}{a+1-b} \bar{I}_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+\sum_{t \leq t_{k}<1} \frac{b+t_{k}-1}{a+1-b} \bar{I}_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{a+1-b} \sum_{k=1}^{m} I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+\frac{1}{a+1-b-\int_{0}^{1}(a+s) g(s) d s} \\
& \left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s),(T x)(s),(S x)(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s\right. \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} g(\tau)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} G_{1}\left(\tau, t_{k}\right) \bar{I}_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right) d \tau \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{1} g(\tau)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} G_{2}\left(\tau, t_{k}\right) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right) d \tau\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\prime}(t)= & \int_{0}^{1} H_{1}^{\prime}(t, s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s),(T x)(s),(S x)(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s+ \\
& \sum_{k=1}^{m} H_{1}^{\prime}\left(t, t_{k}\right) \bar{I}_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{m} H_{2}^{\prime}\left(t, t_{k}\right) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{1}^{\prime}(t, s)=G_{1}^{\prime}(t, s)+\frac{1}{a+1-b-u} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau \\
H_{2}^{\prime}(t, s)=\frac{1}{a+1-b}+\frac{1}{a+1-b-u} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau \\
G_{1}^{\prime}(t, s)= \begin{cases}\frac{b+s-1}{a+1-b}, & t \leq s \\
\frac{a+s}{a+1-b}, & s \leq t\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

Differentiating (2.6), we see

$$
x^{\prime \prime}(t)=f\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t),(T x)(t),(S x)(t)\right) .
$$

Clearly,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\Delta x\right|_{t=t_{k}}=-I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right),\left.\quad \Delta x^{\prime}\right|_{t=t_{k}}=\bar{I}_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \\
x(0)-a x^{\prime}(0)=\theta, \quad x(1)-b x^{\prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} g(s) x(s) d s
\end{gathered}
$$

The proof is then complete.

The following "Facts" are clearly known.
Fact I. For $t, s \in[0,1]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{a(b-1)}{a+1-b} \leq G_{1}(t, s) \leq \frac{(a+1) b}{a+1-b}, \\
& \frac{b-1}{a+1-b} \leq G_{2}(t, s) \leq \frac{a+1}{a+1-b}, \\
& \frac{b-1}{a+1-b} \leq G_{1}^{\prime}(t, s) \leq \frac{a+1}{a+1-b} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Fact II. For $t, s \in[0,1]$, there exist positive constants $m_{i}, \bar{m}_{i}(i=1,2,3,4)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{1}=\frac{a(b-1)}{a+1-b}+\frac{a^{2}(b-1) u_{1}}{u_{2}} \leq H_{1}(t, s) \leq \frac{(a+1) b}{a+1-b}+\frac{(a+1)^{2} b u_{1}}{u_{2}}=m_{2} \\
& \bar{m}_{1}=\frac{b-1}{a+1-b}+\frac{a(b-1) u_{1}}{u_{2}} \leq H_{2}(t, s) \leq \frac{a+1}{a+1-b}+\frac{(a+1)^{2} u_{1}}{u_{2}}=\bar{m}_{2} \\
& m_{3}=\frac{b-1}{a+1-b}+\frac{a(b-1) u_{1}}{u_{2}} \leq H_{1}^{\prime}(t, s) \leq \frac{a+1}{a+1-b}+\frac{(a+1) b u_{1}}{u_{2}}=m_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\bar{m}_{3}=\frac{1}{a+1-b}+\frac{(b-1) u_{1}}{u_{2}} \leq H_{2}^{\prime}(t, s) \leq \frac{1}{a+1-b}+\frac{(a+1) u_{1}}{u_{2}}=\bar{m}_{4},
$$

where

$$
u_{1}=\int_{0}^{1} g(s) d s, \quad u_{2}=(a+1-b-u)(a+1-b) .
$$

We shall reduce BVP (1.1) to an impulsive integral equation in $E$. To this end, we first consider operator $A$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
(A x)(t)= & \int_{0}^{1} H_{1}(t, s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s),(T x)(s),(S x)(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s+ \\
& \sum_{k=1}^{m} H_{1}\left(t, t_{k}\right) \bar{I}_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{m} H_{2}\left(t, t_{k}\right) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

In what follows, we write

$$
Q=\left\{x \in P C^{1}[J, E]: x(t) \geq \theta, x^{\prime}(t) \geq \theta, t \in J\right\}, \quad B_{r}=\left\{x \in P C^{1}[J, E]:\|x\|_{P C^{1}} \leq r\right\} .
$$

Obviously, $Q$ is a cone in space $P C^{1}[J, E]$.

Lemma 2.2 Let $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ hold. Then for any $r>0, A: Q \cap B_{r} \rightarrow Q$ is a strict set contraction.

Proof. By $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and ( $H_{2}$ ), we know that $A: Q \cap B_{r} \rightarrow Q$ is continuous and bounded. Let $C \subset Q \cap B_{r}$. From (2.6) and (2.7), it follows that the elements of $(A C)^{\prime}$ are equicontinuous on each $J_{k}(k=1, \cdots, m)$. Lemma 1.1 shows us that

$$
\alpha_{P C^{1}}(A C)=\max \left\{\sup _{t \in J} \alpha((A C)(t)), \sup _{t \in J} \alpha\left((A C)^{\prime}(t)\right)\right\} .
$$

By (2.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha((A C)(t)) \leq & \alpha\left(\overline{c o}\left\{H_{1}(t, s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s),(T x)(s),(S x)(s)\right): s \in[0, t], t \in J, x \in C\right\}\right) \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{m} \alpha\left(H_{1}\left(t, t_{k}\right) \bar{I}_{k}\left(C\left(t_{k}\right), C^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \alpha\left(H_{2}\left(t, t_{k}\right) I_{k}\left(C\left(t_{k}\right), C^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right) \\
\leq & m_{2} \alpha\left(f\left(s, C(s), C^{\prime}(s),(T C)(s),(S C)(s)\right), s \in J\right) \\
& +m_{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \alpha\left(\bar{I}_{k}\left(C\left(t_{k}\right), C^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right)+\bar{m}_{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \alpha\left(I_{k}\left(C\left(t_{k}\right), C^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right) \\
\leq & m_{2}\left(c_{1} \alpha(C(J))+c_{2} \alpha\left(C^{\prime}(J)\right)+c_{3} \alpha((T C)(J))+c_{4} \alpha((S C)(J))\right) \\
& +m_{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(\bar{d}_{1} \alpha\left(C\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+\bar{d}_{2} \alpha\left(C^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right)+\bar{m}_{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(d_{1} \alpha\left(C\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+d_{2} \alpha\left(C^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(C(J)) \leq 2 \alpha_{P C^{1}}(C), \quad \alpha\left(C^{\prime}(J)\right) \leq 2 \alpha_{P C^{1}}(C), \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(C\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \leq \alpha_{P C^{1}}(C), \quad \alpha\left(C^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \leq \alpha_{P C^{1}}(C) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\alpha((A C)(t)) \leq l_{1} \alpha_{P C^{1}}(C) .
$$

In the same way, by virtue of (2.6)-(2.9) and $\left(H_{3}\right)$, we get

$$
\alpha\left((A C)^{\prime}(t)\right) \leq l_{2} \alpha_{P C^{1}}(C) .
$$

Thus,

$$
\alpha_{P C^{1}}(A C) \leq l \alpha_{P C^{1}}(C)
$$

Since $l<1$, we assert that $A: Q \cap B_{r} \rightarrow Q$ is a strict set contraction.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ hold, $P$ be normal and solid. Let there exist $v \gg \theta$, $0<t_{*}<t^{*}<1$ and $\sigma \in C\left[I, R_{+}\right] \quad\left(I=\left[t_{*}, t^{*}\right]\right)$ such that $I \subset J_{k}$ for some $k$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
f\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right) \geq \sigma(t) v \quad(\forall t \in I), \\
u_{1} \geq v, \quad u_{i} \geq \theta(i=2,3,4), \quad \bar{m} \int_{t_{*}}^{t^{*}} \sigma(s) d s>1,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\bar{m}=\min \left\{m_{1}, m_{3}\right\}$. Then (1.1) has at least two positive solutions $x_{1}, x_{2} \in Q \cap C^{2}\left[J^{\prime}, E\right]$ satisfying $x_{1}(t) \gg v$ and $x_{1}^{\prime}(t) \gg v$ for $t \in I$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, $A: Q \cap B_{r} \rightarrow Q$ is a strict set contraction. Write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon=\frac{1}{6\left(2+k^{*}+h^{*}\right) m^{(1)}}, \epsilon_{1}=\frac{1}{12 m m^{(1)}}, \epsilon_{2}=\frac{1}{12 m m^{(1)}}, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
m^{(1)}=\max \left\{m_{2}, \bar{m}_{2}, m_{4}, \bar{m}_{4}\right\} .
$$

By $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{4}\right)$, we know that there exist $M_{1}>0, M_{2}>0$ and $M_{3}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|f\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right)\right\| \leq \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{4}\left\|u_{i}\right\|+M_{1}, \quad \forall t \in J, u_{i} \in P  \tag{2.11}\\
\left\|I_{k}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right\| \leq \epsilon_{1}\left(\left\|u_{1}\right\|+\left\|u_{2}\right\|\right)+M_{2}, \quad \forall u_{1}, u_{2} \in P  \tag{2.12}\\
\left\|\bar{I}_{k}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right\| \leq \epsilon_{2}\left(\left\|u_{1}\right\|+\left\|u_{2}\right\|\right)+M_{3}, \quad \forall u_{1}, u_{2} \in P . \tag{2.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now, in view of (2.7), (2.10)-(2.13), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\|(A x)(t)\| \leq & m_{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left\|f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s),(T x)(s),(S x)(s)\right)\right\| \mathrm{d} s \\
& +m_{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left\|\bar{I}_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right\|+\bar{m}_{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left\|I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right\| \\
\leq & m_{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\varepsilon\left(\|x(s)\|+\left\|x^{\prime}(s)\right\|+\|(T x)(s)\|+\|(S x)(s)\|\right)+M_{1}\right) d s \\
& +m_{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(\epsilon_{2}\left(\left\|x\left(t_{k}\right)\right\|+\left\|x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right\|\right)+M_{3}\right)+\bar{m}_{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(\epsilon_{1}\left(\left\|x\left(t_{k}\right)\right\|+\left\|x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right\|\right)+M_{2}\right) \\
\leq & m_{2}\left(\varepsilon\left(2+k^{*}+h^{*}\right)\|x\|_{P C^{1}}+M_{1}\right)+m_{2} m\left(2 \epsilon_{2}\|x\|_{P C^{1}}+M_{3}\right) \\
& +\bar{m}_{2} m\left(2 \epsilon_{1}\|x\|_{P C^{1}}+M_{2}\right) \\
= & \left(\left(2+k^{*}+h^{*}\right) m_{2} \varepsilon+2 m_{2} m \varepsilon_{2}+2 \bar{m}_{2} m \varepsilon_{1}\right)\|x\|_{P C^{1}} \\
& +m_{2} M_{1}+m_{2} m_{3}+\bar{m}_{2} m M_{2} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2}\|x\|_{P C^{1}}+\bar{M}_{1} \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\bar{M}_{1}=m_{2} M_{1}+m_{2} m M_{3}+\bar{m}_{2} m M_{2}
$$

Similarly, from (2.6), (2.7), (2.10)-(2.13), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(A x)^{\prime}(t)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\|x\|_{P C^{1}}+\bar{M}_{2} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\bar{M}_{2}=m_{4} M_{1}+m_{4} m M_{3}+\bar{m}_{4} m M_{2}
$$

It follows from (2.14) and (2.15) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A x\|_{P C^{1}} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|x\|_{P C^{1}}+\bar{M} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\bar{M}=\max \left\{\bar{M}_{1}, \bar{M}_{2}\right\}
$$

On the other hand, the condition $\left(H_{4}\right)$ implies that there exist $\bar{l}_{1}>0, \bar{l}_{2}>0$ and $\bar{l}_{3}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|f\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right)\right\| \leq \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{4}\left\|u_{i}\right\|, \quad \forall t \in J, u_{i} \in P, \sum_{i=1}^{4}\left\|u_{i}\right\| \leq \bar{l}_{1}  \tag{2.17}\\
& \left\|I_{k}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right\| \leq \epsilon_{1}\left(\left\|u_{1}\right\|+\left\|u_{2}\right\|\right), \quad \forall u_{1}, u_{2} \in P,\left\|u_{1}\right\|+\left\|u_{2}\right\| \leq \bar{l}_{2}  \tag{2.18}\\
& \left\|\bar{I}_{k}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right\| \leq \epsilon_{2}\left(\left\|u_{1}\right\|+\left\|u_{2}\right\|\right), \quad \forall u_{1}, u_{2} \in P,\left\|u_{1}\right\|+\left\|u_{2}\right\| \leq \bar{l}_{3} \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}$ defined by (2.10).
Let $r_{1}=\min \left\{\bar{\imath}_{1}, \bar{l}_{2}, \bar{l}_{3}\right\}$. Then by (2.6),(2.7),(2.17)-(2.19), we deduce that for $x \in Q,\|x\|_{P C^{1}} \leq$ $\frac{r_{1}}{2+k^{*}+h^{*}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A x\|_{P C^{1}} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|x\|_{P C^{1}} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $R>\max \{2 \bar{M}, 4\|v\|\}$. Let $\cup_{1}=\left\{x \in Q,\|x\|_{P C^{1}}<R\right\}$. By (2.16), we have

$$
\|A x\|_{P C^{1}} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|x\|_{P C^{1}}+\bar{M}<\frac{1}{2}\|x\|_{P C^{1}}+\frac{1}{2} R \leq R, \forall x \in \overline{\mathrm{U}}_{1},
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(\bar{U}_{1}\right) \subset \cup_{1} . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose $0<r<\min \left\{\|v\|, \frac{r_{1}}{2+k^{*}+h^{*}}\right\}$, and let $\cup_{2}=\left\{x \in Q,\|x\|_{P C^{1}}<r\right\}$. Then by (2.20), we get

$$
\|A x\|_{P C^{1}} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|x\|_{P C^{1}}<r
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(\mathrm{U}_{2}\right) \subset \cup_{2} . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\cup_{3}=\left\{x \in Q:\|x\|_{P C^{1}}<R, x(t) \gg v, x^{\prime}(t) \gg v, \forall t \in\left[t_{*}, t^{*}\right]\right\}$. Then it is easy to check that $\cup_{3}$ is open in $Q$. Set $w(t)=2 v+2 t v$. Then $w \in Q$ and $w(t) \gg v, w^{\prime}(t) \gg v$, for $t \in\left[t_{*}, t^{*}\right]$. Hence $w \in \cup_{3}$, and so, $\cup_{3} \neq \emptyset$. By (2.21), we know that $\|A x\|_{P C^{1}}<R, \forall x \in \mathrm{U}_{3}$. On the other hand, for $x \in \bar{U}_{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(A x)(t) & \geq \int_{t_{*}}^{t^{*}} H_{1}(t, s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s),(T x)(s),(S x)(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \geq m_{1} \int_{t_{*}}^{t^{*}} f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s),(T x)(s),(S x)(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s  \tag{2.23}\\
& \geq m_{1} \int_{t_{*}}^{t^{*}} \sigma(s) v \mathrm{~d} s \gg v, \\
(A x)^{\prime}(t) & \geq \int_{t_{*}}^{t^{*}} H_{1}^{\prime}(t, s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s),(T x)(s),(S x)(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \geq m_{3} \int_{t_{*}^{* *}}^{t^{*}} f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s),(T x)(s),(S x)(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s  \tag{2.24}\\
& \geq m_{3} \int_{t_{*}}^{t^{*}} \sigma(s) v \mathrm{~d} s \gg v .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(\cup_{3}\right) \subset \cup_{3} . \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\cup_{1}, \cup_{2}, \cup_{3}$ are nonempty bounded open convex sets of $Q$, by (2.21), (2.22), (2.25) and Lemma 1.2, we see

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left(A, \cup_{i}, Q\right)=1, i=1,2,3 . \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cup_{2} \subset \cup_{1}, \cup_{3} \subset \cup_{1}, \cup_{2} \cap \cup_{3}=\emptyset . \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.26) and (2.27) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left(A, \cup_{1} \backslash\left(\cup_{2} \cup \bar{\cup}_{3}\right), Q\right)=i\left(A, \cup_{1}, Q\right)-i\left(A, \cup_{2}, Q\right)-i\left(A, \cup_{3}, Q\right)=-1 . \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, (2.26) and (2.28) yield that $A$ has two fixed point $x_{1} \in \cup_{3}$ and $x_{2} \in \cup_{1} \backslash\left(\cup_{2} \cup \sigma_{3}\right)$. It is easy to see that

$$
x_{1}(t) \gg v \quad x_{1}^{\prime}(t) \gg v, \quad \text { for every } t \in\left[t_{*}, t^{*}\right],
$$

and $\left\|x_{2}\right\|_{P C^{1}}>r$. Hence $x_{1}(t) \not \equiv \theta$ and $x_{2}(t) \not \equiv \theta$. The proof is then complete.

## 3 An Example

Example 3.1. Consider the following boundary value problem for scalar second-order impulsive integro-differential equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)=32\left(x(t)+2 x^{\prime}(t)+3 \int_{0}^{t} e^{-s} x(s) \mathrm{d} s+4 \int_{0}^{1} e^{-2 s} x(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{2}  \tag{3.1}\\
\left(1+x(t)+x^{\prime}(t)+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-s} x(s) \mathrm{d} s+\int_{0}^{1} e^{-2 s} x(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{-2}, t \in J, t \neq t_{1}, \\
\left.\Delta x\right|_{t_{1}=\frac{1}{2}}=-\frac{1}{100} \frac{\left(x\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^{2}+\left(x^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^{2}}{1+\left(x\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^{2}+\left(x^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^{2}}, \\
\left.\Delta x^{\prime}\right|_{t_{1}=\frac{1}{2}}=\frac{1}{200} \frac{\left(x\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^{2}+\left(x^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^{2}}{1+\left(x\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)+x^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^{2}}, \\
x(0)-3 x^{\prime}(0)=0, \\
x(1)-2 x^{\prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{10} x(s) d s .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Conclusion. Problem (3.1) has at least two positive solutions $x_{1}(t)$ and $x_{2}(t)$ such that $x_{1}(t)>1, x_{1}^{\prime}(t)>1$ for $t \in\left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$.

Proof. Let $E=R^{1}$ and $P=R_{+}$. Then $P$ is a normal and solid cone in $E$ and problem (3.1) can be regarded as a BVP in the form of (1.1) in $E$. In this case,

$$
\begin{gathered}
k(t, s)=e^{-s}, \quad h(t, s)=e^{-2 s}, \quad a=3, \quad b=2, \quad m=1, \\
t_{1}=\frac{1}{2}, \quad g(s)=\frac{1}{10}, \quad t_{*}=\frac{1}{4}, \quad t^{*}=\frac{1}{2}, \quad v=1,
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right)=32\left(\frac{u_{1}+2 u_{2}+3 u_{3}+4 u_{4}}{1+u_{1}+u_{2}+u_{3}+u_{4}}\right)^{2}, \forall t \in J, u_{i} \geq 0, i=1,2,3,4, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) & =\frac{1}{100} \frac{u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}}{1+u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}}  \tag{3.3}\\
\bar{I}_{1}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) & =\frac{1}{200} \frac{u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}}{1+\left(u_{1}+u_{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly,

$$
\begin{gathered}
f \in C[J \times P \times P \times P \times P, P], \\
I_{1} \in C[P \times P, P], \quad \bar{I}_{1} \in C[P \times P, P] ;
\end{gathered}
$$

for any $r>0, f$ is bounded and uniformly continuous on $J \times P_{r} \times P_{r} \times P_{r} \times P_{r}, I_{1}$ and $\bar{I}_{1}$ are bounded on $P_{r} \times P_{r}$. So ( $H_{1}$ ) is satisfied.

$$
u=\int_{0}^{1}(a+s) g(s) d s=\int_{0}^{1}(3+s) \frac{1}{10} d s=\frac{7}{20}, \quad u \in[0, a+1-b)=[0,2) .
$$

This means that $\left(H_{2}\right)$ is satisfied.
As in Example 3.2.1 in [5], we can prove that (2.1) is satisfied for $c_{i}=0(i=1,2,3,4)$. By (3.3) and (3.4), we know that (2.2) and (2.3) are satisfied for

$$
d_{1}=d_{2}=\frac{1}{50}, \quad \bar{d}_{1}=\bar{d}_{2}=\frac{1}{100} .
$$

By "Fact II", we have

$$
m_{1}=\frac{39}{22}, \quad m_{2}=\frac{164}{33}, \quad \bar{m}_{2}=\frac{82}{33}, \quad m_{3}=\frac{13}{22}, m_{4}=\frac{74}{33}, \quad \bar{m}_{4}=\frac{41}{66} .
$$

So

$$
l_{1}<\frac{11}{50}, l_{2}<\frac{1}{10}
$$

and $l<1$. Hence, $\left(H_{3}\right)$ is satisfied.
Moreover, (3.2)-(3.4) implies that ( $H_{4}$ ) holds.
On the other hand,

$$
\begin{gathered}
f\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right) \geq 32\left(\frac{u_{1}+u_{2}+u_{3}+u_{4}}{1+u_{1}+u_{2}+u_{3}+u_{4}}\right)^{2} \geq 32 \times \frac{1}{4}=8=\sigma(t), \\
\bar{m}=\min \left\{m_{1}, m_{3}\right\}=\frac{13}{22}, \quad \bar{m} \int_{t_{*}}^{t^{*}} \sigma(s) d s=\frac{13}{22} \times \frac{1}{4} \times 8>1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, our conclusion follows from Theorem 2.1.
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