



## Existence results for a two point boundary value problem involving a fourth-order equation

Gabriele Bonanno <sup>1</sup>, Antonia Chinni<sup>\*1</sup> and Stepan A. Tersian<sup>\*\*2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Civil, Computer, Construction, Environmental Engineering and Applied Mathematics, University of Messina, 98166 - Messina, Italy

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Ruse, 7017 Ruse, Bulgaria

Received 3 March 2015, appeared 29 May 2015

Communicated by Petru Jebelean

**Abstract.** We study the existence of non-zero solutions for a fourth-order differential equation with nonlinear boundary conditions which models beams on elastic foundations. The approach is based on variational methods. Some applications are illustrated.

**Keywords:** fourth-order equations, critical points, variational methods

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 34B15.


### 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following fourth-order problem

$$\begin{cases} u^{(iv)}(x) = \lambda f(x, u(x)) & \text{in } [0, 1], \\ u(0) = u'(0) = 0, \\ u''(1) = 0, \quad u'''(1) = \mu g(u(1)), \end{cases} \quad (P_{\lambda, \mu})$$

where  $f: [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an  $L^1$ -Carathéodory function,  $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function and  $\lambda, \mu$  are positive parameters. The problem  $(P_{\lambda, \mu})$  describes the static equilibrium of a flexible elastic beam of length 1 when, along its length, a load  $f$  is added to cause deformation. Precisely, conditions  $u(0) = u'(0) = 0$  mean that the left end of the beam is fixed and conditions  $u''(1) = 0, u'''(1) = \mu g(u(1))$  mean that the right end of the beam is attached to a bearing device, given by the function  $g$ .

Existence and multiplicity results for this kinds of problems has been extensively studied. In particular, by using a variational approach, the existence of three solutions for the problems  $(P_{\lambda, 1})$  and  $(P_{\lambda, \lambda})$  has been established respectively in [6] and in [4]. Moreover, in [8] the author obtained the existence of at least two positive solutions for the problem  $(P_{1, 1})$ . Finally, we point out that the problem  $(P_{\lambda, \mu})$  can be also studied by iterative methods (see for instance [7])

 Corresponding author. Email: bonanno@unime.it

\*Email: achinni@unime.it

\*\*Email: sterzian@uni-ruse.bg

and, for fourth order equations subject to conditions of different type, we refer, for instance, to [3, 5] and references therein.

In this paper we will deal with the existence of one non-zero solution for the problem  $(P_{\lambda,\mu})$ . Precisely, using a variational approach, under conditions involving the antiderivatives of  $f$  and  $g$ , we will obtain two precise intervals of the parameters  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  for which the problem  $(P_{\lambda,\mu})$  admits at least one non-zero classical solution (see Theorem 3.1). As a way of example, we present here a special case of our results.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a nonnegative continuous function.*

*Then, for each  $\lambda \in \left]0, \frac{1}{10 \int_0^2 f(t) dt}\right[$  the problem*

$$\begin{cases} u^{(iv)}(x) = \lambda f(u(x)) & \text{in } [0, 1], \\ u(0) = u'(0) = 0, \\ u''(1) = 0, \quad u'''(1) = \sqrt{|u(1)|} \end{cases}$$

*admits at least one non-zero classical solution.*

We explicitly observe that in Theorem 1.1, assumptions on the behavior of  $f$ , as for instance asymptotic conditions at zero or at infinity, are not requested, whereby  $f$  is a totally arbitrary function.

The paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic definitions and our main tool (Theorem 2.2), which is a local minimum theorem established in [1]. Finally, Section 3 is devoted to our main results. Precisely, under a suitable behaviour of  $f$  and for parameters  $\mu$  small enough, the existence of a non-zero solution for  $(P_{\lambda,\mu})$  is obtained (Theorem 3.1) and a variant is highlighted (Theorem 3.3). Moreover, some consequences are pointed out (Corollaries 3.4 and 3.5) and a concrete example of application is given (Example 3.7).

## 2 Basic definitions and preliminary results

We consider the space

$$X := \{u \in H^2([0, 1]) : u(0) = u'(0) = 0\}$$

where  $H^2([0, 1])$  is the Sobolev space of all functions  $u: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $u$  and its distributional derivative  $u'$  are absolutely continuous and  $u''$  belongs to  $L^2([0, 1])$ .  $X$  is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle := \int_0^1 u''(t)v''(t) dt$$

and norm

$$\|u\| := \left( \int_0^1 (u''(t))^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which is equivalent to the usual norm  $\int_0^1 (|u(t)|^2 + |u'(t)|^2 + |u''(t)|^2) dt$ . Moreover, the inclusion  $X \hookrightarrow C^1([0, 1])$  is compact (see [6]) and it results

$$\|u\|_{C^1([0,1])} := \max \{ \|u\|_\infty, \|u'\|_\infty \} \leq \|u\| \quad (2.1)$$

for each  $u \in X$ . We consider the functionals  $\Phi, \Psi_{\lambda,\mu}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\Phi(u) := \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2$$

and

$$\Psi_{\lambda,\mu}(u) := \int_0^1 F(x, u(x)) dx + \frac{\mu}{\lambda} G(u(1))$$

for each  $u \in X$  and for each  $\lambda, \mu > 0$  where  $F(x, \xi) := \int_0^\xi f(x, t) dt$  and  $G(\xi) := \int_0^\xi g(t) dt$  for each  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ . By standard arguments,  $\Phi$  is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and coercive. Moreover,  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi_{\lambda,\mu}$  are in  $C^1(X)$  and their Fréchet derivatives are respectively

$$\langle \Phi'(u), v \rangle = \int_0^1 u''(x)v''(x) dx$$

and

$$\langle \Psi'_{\lambda,\mu}(u), v \rangle = \int_0^1 f(x, u(x))v(x) dx + \frac{\mu}{\lambda} g(u(1))v(1)$$

for each  $u, v \in X$ . In [6] the authors proved that  $\Phi'$  admits a continuous inverse on  $X^*$  and  $\Psi'$  is compact. In particular, in Lemma 2.1 of [6] it has been shown that, for each  $\lambda, \mu > 0$ , the critical points of the functional

$$I_{\lambda,\mu} := \Phi - \lambda\Psi_{\lambda,\mu}$$

are solutions for problem  $(P_{\lambda,\mu})$ .

In order to obtain solutions for the problem  $(P_{\lambda,\mu})$ , we make use of a recent critical point result, where a novel type of Palais–Smale condition is applied (see Theorem 3.1 of [1]). We recall it.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  two continuously Gâteaux differentiable functionals defined on a real Banach space  $X$  and fix  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . The functional  $I = \Phi - \Psi$  is said to verify the Palais–Smale condition cut off upper at  $r$  (in short  $(P.S.)^{[r]}$ ) if any sequence  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X$  such that

- ( $\alpha$ )  $\{I(u_n)\}$  is bounded;
- ( $\beta$ )  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|I'(u_n)\|_{X^*} = 0$ ;
- ( $\gamma$ )  $\Phi(u_n) < r$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;

has a convergent subsequence.

The following theorem is a particular case of Theorem 5.1 of [1] and it is the main tool of the next section.

**Theorem 2.2** (Theorem 2.3 of [2]). *Let  $X$  be a real Banach space,  $\Phi, \Psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be two continuously Gâteaux differentiable functionals such that  $\inf_{x \in X} \Phi(x) = \Phi(0) = \Psi(0) = 0$ . Assume that there exist  $r > 0$  and  $\bar{x} \in X$ , with  $0 < \Phi(\bar{x}) < r$ , such that:*

$$(a_1) \quad \frac{\sup_{\Phi(x) \leq r} \Psi(x)}{r} < \frac{\Psi(\bar{x})}{\Phi(\bar{x})},$$

( $a_2$ ) for each

$$\lambda \in \left] \frac{\Phi(\bar{x})}{\Psi(\bar{x})}, \frac{r}{\sup_{\Phi(x) \leq r} \Psi(x)} \right[$$

the functional  $I_\lambda := \Phi - \lambda\Psi$  satisfies  $(P.S.)^{[r]}$  condition.

Then, for each

$$\lambda \in \Lambda_r := \left] \frac{\Phi(\bar{x})}{\Psi(\bar{x})}, \frac{r}{\sup_{\Phi(x) \leq r} \Psi(x)} \right[,$$

there is  $x_{0,\lambda} \in \Phi^{-1}(]0, r[)$  such that  $I'_\lambda(x_{0,\lambda}) \equiv \vartheta_{X^*}$  and  $I_\lambda(x_{0,\lambda}) \leq I_\lambda(x)$  for all  $x \in \Phi^{-1}(]0, r[)$ .

### 3 Existence of one solution

Before introducing the main result, we define some notation. With  $\alpha \geq 0$ , we put

$$F^\alpha := \int_0^1 \max_{|\xi| \leq \alpha} F(x, \xi) dx$$

and

$$G^\alpha := \max_{|\xi| \leq \alpha} G(\xi).$$

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume that*

(f<sub>1</sub>) *there exist  $\delta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ , with  $0 < \delta < \gamma$ , such that*

$$\frac{F^\gamma}{\gamma^2} < \frac{1}{8\pi^4} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^3 \frac{\int_{\frac{3}{4}}^1 F(x, \delta) dx}{\delta^2}$$

(f<sub>2</sub>)  *$F(x, t) \geq 0$  for almost every  $x \in [0, 1]$  and for all  $t \in [0, \delta]$ .*

Then, for each

$$\lambda \in \Lambda_{\delta, \gamma} := \left[ 4\pi^4 \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^3 \frac{\delta^2}{\int_{\frac{3}{4}}^1 F(x, \delta) dx}, \frac{\gamma^2}{2F^\gamma} \right],$$

and for each  $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous, there exists  $\eta_{\lambda, g} > 0$ , where

$$\eta_{\lambda, g} = \begin{cases} \frac{\gamma^2 - 2\lambda F^\gamma}{2G^\gamma} & \text{if } G(\delta) \geq 0 \\ \min \left\{ \frac{\gamma^2 - 2\lambda F^\gamma}{2G^\gamma}, \frac{4\pi^4 \delta^2 - \lambda \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^3 \int_{\frac{3}{4}}^1 F(x, \delta) dx}{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^3 G(\delta)} \right\} & \text{if } G(\delta) < 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

such that for each  $\mu \in ]0, \eta_{\lambda, g}[$  the problem  $(P_{\lambda, \mu})$  admits at least one non-zero solution  $u_\lambda$  such that  $\|u_\lambda\|_\infty, \|u'_\lambda\|_\infty < \gamma$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\delta, \gamma}$ . We observe that  $\eta_{\lambda, g} > 0$ . Indeed, if  $G(\delta) \geq 0$ , then  $G^\gamma \geq 0$  and by  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\delta, \gamma}$  it follows that  $\gamma^2 - 2\lambda F^\gamma > 0$ . Hence  $\eta_{\lambda, g} > 0$ . Let  $G(\delta) < 0$ . We have by  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\delta, \gamma}$  that  $4\pi^4 \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^3 \frac{\delta^2}{\int_{\frac{3}{4}}^1 F(x, \delta) dx} < \lambda$ , which implies  $4\pi^4 \delta^2 - \lambda \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^3 \int_{\frac{3}{4}}^1 F(x, \delta) dx < 0$ . Hence  $\eta_{\lambda, g} > 0$ , in this case as well.

Now, fix  $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous,  $\mu \in ]0, \eta_{\lambda, g}[$  and consider the space  $X$ . Our aim is to apply Theorem 2.2 to the functionals  $\Phi, \Psi_{\lambda, \mu}$  defined above. To this end, we fix  $r = \frac{\gamma^2}{2}$ .

The properties of the functionals  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi_{\lambda, \mu}$  ensure that the functional  $I_{\lambda, \mu} = \Phi - \lambda \Psi_{\lambda, \mu}$  verifies  $(P.S.)^{[r]}$  condition for each  $r, \lambda, \mu > 0$  (see Proposition 2.1 of [1]) and so condition (a<sub>2</sub>) of Theorem 2.2 is verified.

Denote by  $\bar{v}$  the function of  $X$  defined by

$$\bar{v}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in [0, \frac{3}{8}], \\ \delta \cos^2\left(\frac{4\pi x}{3}\right) & x \in ]\frac{3}{8}, \frac{3}{4}[, \\ \delta & x \in [\frac{3}{4}, 1], \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

for which it results

$$\Phi(\bar{v}) = 4\pi^4\delta^2 \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^3. \quad (3.3)$$

Taking into account that  $\bar{v}(x) \in [0, \delta]$  for each  $x \in [\frac{3}{8}, \frac{3}{4}]$ , condition  $(f_2)$  ensures that

$$\int_0^{\frac{3}{4}} F(x, \bar{v}(x)) dx \geq 0$$

and

$$\int_{\frac{3}{4}}^1 F(x, \delta) dx \geq 0,$$

which implies

$$\Psi_{\lambda, \mu}(\bar{v}) = \int_0^1 F(x, \bar{v}(x)) dx + \frac{\mu}{\lambda} G(\delta) \geq \int_{\frac{3}{4}}^1 F(x, \delta) dx + \frac{\mu}{\lambda} G(\delta).$$

This ensures that

$$\frac{\Psi_{\lambda, \mu}(\bar{v})}{\Phi(\bar{v})} \geq \frac{\int_{\frac{3}{4}}^1 F(x, \delta) dx + \frac{\mu}{\lambda} G(\delta)}{4\pi^4\delta^2 \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^3}. \quad (3.4)$$

For each  $u: \Phi(u) = \frac{\|u\|^2}{2} \leq r$ , by (2.1) one has

$$\|u\| \leq \gamma = \sqrt{2r}$$

and

$$\|u\|_{\infty} \leq \gamma.$$

It results

$$\Psi_{\lambda, \mu}(u) = \int_0^1 F(x, u(x)) dx + \frac{\mu}{\lambda} G(u(1)) \leq F\gamma + \frac{\mu}{\lambda} G\gamma$$

for each  $u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])$ . This leads to

$$\frac{1}{r} \sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])} \Psi_{\lambda, \mu}(u) \leq \frac{2}{\gamma^2} F\gamma + \frac{2}{\gamma^2} \frac{\mu}{\lambda} G\gamma. \quad (3.5)$$

Now, taking into account  $(f_1)$ , if  $G(\delta) \geq 0$ , then, it results

$$\frac{2}{\gamma^2} F\gamma + \frac{2}{\gamma^2} \frac{\mu}{\lambda} G\gamma < \frac{2}{\gamma^2} F\gamma + \frac{2}{\gamma^2} \frac{\eta_{\lambda, g}}{\lambda} G\gamma = \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} < \frac{1}{4\pi^4\delta^2} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^3 \int_{\frac{3}{4}}^1 F(x, \delta) dx \leq \frac{1}{4\pi^4\delta^2} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^3 \left( \int_{\frac{3}{4}}^1 F(x, \delta) dx + \frac{\mu}{\lambda} G(\delta) \right).$$

If  $G(\delta) < 0$ , taking into account that

$$\mu < \eta_{\lambda, g} = \min \left\{ \frac{\gamma^2 - 2\lambda F\gamma}{2G\gamma}, \frac{4\pi^4\delta^2 - \lambda \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^3 \int_{\frac{3}{4}}^1 F(x, \delta) dx}{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^3 G(\delta)} \right\}, \quad (3.6)$$

it results

$$\frac{2}{\gamma^2} F\gamma + \frac{2}{\gamma^2} \frac{\mu}{\lambda} G\gamma < \frac{2}{\gamma^2} F\gamma + \frac{2}{\gamma^2} \frac{\eta_{\lambda, g}}{\lambda} G\gamma \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

if  $G^\gamma > 0$ , and  $\frac{2}{\gamma^2}F^\gamma + \frac{2}{\gamma^2}\frac{\mu}{\lambda}G^\gamma < \frac{1}{\lambda}$  if  $G^\gamma = 0$ .

Moreover, again from (3.6),

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} < \frac{1}{4\pi^4\delta^2} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^3 \int_{\frac{3}{4}}^1 F(x, \delta) dx + \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \frac{1}{4\pi^4\delta^2} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^3 G(\delta).$$

In all cases, taking into account (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$\frac{1}{r} \sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}([-\infty, r])} \Psi_{\lambda, \mu}(u) < \frac{1}{\lambda} < \frac{\Psi_{\lambda, \mu}(\bar{v})}{\Phi(\bar{v})}.$$

Moreover, we observe that from  $\delta < \gamma$ , taking  $(f_1)$  into account, we obtain  $\sqrt{8\pi^4} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^3 \delta < \gamma$ .

In fact, arguing by a contradiction, if we assume  $\delta < \gamma \leq \sqrt{8\pi^4} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^3 \delta$ , we obtain

$$\frac{F^\gamma}{\gamma^2} \geq \frac{1}{\pi^4} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^3 \frac{\int_{\frac{3}{4}}^1 F(x, \delta) dx}{\delta^2}$$

and this is an absurd by  $(f_1)$ . Therefore, we have  $\Phi(\bar{v}) = 4\pi^4\delta^2 \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^3 < \frac{\gamma^2}{2} = r$  and the condition  $(a_1)$  of Theorem 2.2 is verified.

Moreover, since

$$\lambda \in \Lambda_{\delta, \gamma} \subseteq \left] \frac{\Phi(\bar{v})}{\Psi_{\lambda, \mu}(\bar{v})}, \frac{r}{\sup_{\Phi(u) \leq r} \Psi_{\lambda, \mu}(u)} \right[ ,$$

Theorem 2.2 guarantees the existence of a local minimum point  $u_\lambda$  for the functional  $I_\lambda$  such that

$$0 < \Phi(u_\lambda) < r$$

and so  $u_\lambda$  is a nontrivial classical solution of problem  $(P_{\lambda, \mu})$  such that  $\|u_\lambda\|_\infty, \|u'_\lambda\|_\infty < \gamma$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** We observe that in Theorem 3.1 we read  $\frac{\gamma^2 - 2\lambda F^\gamma}{2G^\gamma} = +\infty$  when  $G^\gamma = 0$ .

By reversing the roles of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Assume that*

$(g_1)$  *there exist  $\delta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $0 < \delta < \gamma$ :*

$$\frac{G^\gamma}{\gamma^2} < \frac{1}{8\pi^4} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^3 \frac{G(\delta)}{\delta^2}.$$

*Then for each  $\mu \in \Gamma_{\delta, \gamma} := \left] 4\pi^4 \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^3 \frac{\delta^2}{G(\delta)}, \frac{\gamma^2}{2G^\gamma} \right[$ , and for each  $f: [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$   $L^1$ -Carathéodory function verifying condition  $(f_2)$  of Theorem 3.1, there exists  $\theta_{\mu, f} > 0$ , where*

$$\theta_{\mu, f} := \frac{\gamma^2 - 2\mu G^\gamma}{2F^\gamma},$$

*such that for each  $\lambda \in ]0, \theta_{\mu, f}[$  the problem  $(P_{\lambda, \mu})$  admits at least one non-zero solution  $u$  such that  $\|u\|_\infty, \|u'\|_\infty < \gamma$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $\mu \in \Gamma_{\delta,\gamma}$  and  $\lambda \in ]0, \theta_{\mu,f}[$ . Put

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{\lambda,\mu}(u) := \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \int_0^1 F(x, u(x)) dx + G(u(1)), \quad \tilde{I}_{\lambda,\mu}(u) := \Phi(u) - \mu \tilde{\Psi}_{\lambda,\mu}(u),$$

for all  $u \in X$ . Clearly, one has  $\tilde{I}_{\lambda,\mu} = I_{\lambda,\mu}$ .

Now, let  $\bar{v}$  the function as given in (3.2) and  $r = \frac{\gamma^2}{2}$ . Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 (see (3.4) and (3.5)) we obtain

$$\frac{\tilde{\Psi}_{\lambda,\mu}(\bar{v})}{\Phi(\bar{v})} \geq \frac{\frac{\lambda}{\mu} \int_{\frac{3}{4}}^1 F(x, \delta) dx + G(\delta)}{4\pi^4 \delta^2 \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^3} \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{r} \sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])} \tilde{\Psi}_{\lambda,\mu}(u) \leq \frac{2}{\gamma^2} \frac{\lambda}{\mu} F^\gamma + \frac{2}{\gamma^2} G^\gamma. \quad (3.8)$$

Therefore, from (3.7) we obtain

$$\frac{\tilde{\Psi}_{\lambda,\mu}(\bar{v})}{\Phi(\bar{v})} \geq \frac{G(\delta)}{4\pi^4 \delta^2 \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^3} > \frac{1}{\mu}$$

and from (3.8) it follows that

$$\frac{1}{r} \sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])} \tilde{\Psi}_{\lambda,\mu}(u) < \frac{2}{\gamma^2} \frac{\theta_{\mu,f}}{\mu} F^\gamma + \frac{2}{\gamma^2} G^\gamma = \frac{1}{\mu}.$$

Moreover, from  $(g_1)$ , arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, one has  $\Phi(\bar{v}) < r$ . So, assumption  $(a_1)$  of Theorem 2.2 is verified and

$$\mu \in \left] \frac{\Phi(\bar{v})}{\tilde{\Psi}_{\lambda,\mu}(\bar{v})}, \frac{r}{\sup_{\Phi(u) \leq r} \tilde{\Psi}_{\lambda,\mu}(u)} \right],$$

for which  $\Phi - \mu \tilde{\Psi}_{\lambda,\mu}$  admits a non-zero critical point and the conclusion is obtained.  $\square$

Now, we present some consequences of previous results.

**Corollary 3.4.** Assume that  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous and non negative function such that

$$(f_1'') \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{F(t)}{t^2} = +\infty.$$

Then, for each  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $\lambda \in ]0, \frac{\gamma^2}{2F(\gamma)}[$ , for each  $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous and nonnegative and for each  $\mu \in ]0, \frac{\gamma^2 - 2F(\gamma)\lambda}{2G(\gamma)}[$ , the problem

$$\begin{cases} u^{(iv)}(x) = \lambda f(u(x)) & \text{in } [0, 1], \\ u(0) = u'(0) = 0, \\ u''(1) = 0, \quad u'''(1) = \mu g(u(1)) \end{cases} \quad (\tilde{P}_{\lambda,\mu})$$

admits at least one non-zero classical solution  $u$  such that  $\|u\|_\infty, \|u'\|_\infty < \gamma$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $\lambda \in ]0, \frac{\gamma^2}{2F(\gamma)}[$ ,  $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous and nonnegative and  $\mu \in ]0, \frac{\gamma^2 - 2F(\gamma)\lambda}{2G(\gamma)}[$ .

Condition  $(f_2)$  of Theorem 3.1 is verified. Moreover, by  $(f_1'')$ , there exists  $0 < \bar{\delta} < \gamma$  such that

$$\frac{F(\bar{\delta})}{\bar{\delta}^2} > \frac{16\pi^4(\frac{2}{3})^3}{\lambda}.$$

Taking into account that  $\lambda \in ]0, \frac{\gamma^2}{2F(\gamma)}[$ , it results

$$\frac{F(\gamma)}{\gamma^2} < \frac{1}{2\lambda} < \frac{F(\bar{\delta})}{\bar{\delta}^2} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^3 \frac{1}{16\pi^4}$$

and so condition  $(f_1)$  of Theorem 3.1 is verified. Since  $g$  is nonnegative,  $\eta_{\lambda,g} = \frac{\gamma^2 - 2F(\gamma)\lambda}{2G(\gamma)}$  and the conclusion follows easily.  $\square$

Clearly, arguing as in the proof of Corollary 3.4, from Theorem 3.3 we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a nonnegative continuous function such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(t)}{t} = +\infty$ . Then, for each  $\gamma > 0$ , for each  $\mu \in ]0, \frac{\gamma^2}{2G(\gamma)}[$ , for each nonnegative continuous function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and for each  $\lambda \in ]0, \frac{\gamma^2 - 2\mu G(\gamma)}{2F(\gamma)}[$ , the problem  $(\tilde{P}_{\lambda,\mu})$  admits at least one non-zero classical solution  $u$  such that  $\|u\|_\infty, \|u'\|_\infty < \gamma$ .*

**Remark 3.6.** Theorem 1.1 in the Introduction is an immediate consequence of Corollary 3.5. Indeed, it is enough to pick  $g(t) = \sqrt{|t|}$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\gamma = 2$ , so that one has  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(t)}{t} = +\infty$ ,  $\mu = 1 < \frac{2^2}{G(2)}$  and  $\lambda < \frac{1}{10F(2)} < \frac{12 - 8\sqrt{2}}{6F(2)} = \frac{\gamma^2 - 2\mu G(\gamma)}{2F(\gamma)}$ .

**Example 3.7.** Let us take  $\delta = 1/2$ ,  $\gamma = 22$  and  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$f(u) := \begin{cases} 0, & u < 0, \\ u - u^2, & 0 \leq u \leq 1, \\ 0, & u > 1. \end{cases}$$

Then, by Theorem 3.1, for each  $\lambda \in ]1385.4, 1452[$  and each  $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous there exists  $\eta_{\lambda,g} > 0$  such that for each  $\mu \in ]0, \eta_{\lambda,g}[$ , the problem  $(P_{\lambda,\mu})$  admits at least one non-zero solution  $u_\lambda$  with  $\|u\|_\infty, \|u'\|_\infty < 22$ .

## Acknowledgements

The authors have been partially supported by the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM) – project “Problemi differenziali non lineari con crescita non standard”.

## References

- [1] G. BONANNO, A critical point theorem via the Ekeland variational principle, *Nonlinear Anal.* 75(2012), 2992–3007. [MR2878492](#); [url](#)



- [2] G. BONANNO, Relations between the mountain pass theorem and local minima, *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.* **1**(2012), 205–220. [MR3034869](#); [url](#)
- [3] G. BONANNO, B. DI BELLA, A boundary value problem for fourth-order elastic beam equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **343**(2008), 1166–1176. [MR2417133](#); [url](#)
- [4] A. CABADA, S. TERSIAN, Multiplicity of solutions of a two point boundary value problem for a fourth-order equation, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **24**(2011), 1599–1603. [MR3009485](#); [url](#)
- [5] M. R. GROSSINHO, ST. A. TERSIAN, The dual variational principle and equilibria for a beam resting on a discontinuous nonlinear elastic foundation, *Nonlinear Anal.* **41**(2000), 417–431. [MR1762153](#); [url](#)
- [6] L. YANG, H. CHEN, X. YANG, The multiplicity of solutions for fourth-order equations generated from a boundary condition, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **24**(2011), 1599–1603. [MR2803717](#); [url](#)
- [7] T. F. MA, J. DA SILVA, Iterative solutions for a beam equation with nonlinear boundary conditions of third order, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **159**(2004), 11–18. [MR2094952](#); [url](#)
- [8] T. F. MA, Positive solutions for a beam equation on a nonlinear elastic foundation, *Math. Comput. Modelling* **39**(2004), 1195–1201. [MR2078420](#); [url](#)