

Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations 2014, No. **19**, 1–7; http://www.math.u-szeged.hu/ejqtde/

# Oscillation results of higher order nonlinear neutral delay differential equations

## Ruba Al-Hamouri and Ali Zein<sup>⊠</sup>

Department of Applied Mathematics, Palestine Polytechnic University, Hebron, Palestine

Received 10 December 2012, appeared 16 May 2014 Communicated by Hans-Otto Walther

**Abstract.** In this paper we investigate the oscillation and asymptotic behavior of solutions to the *n*-th order neutral nonlinear differential equations of the form

$$[x(t) + g(t, x(\tau(t)))]^{(n)} + f(t, x(\sigma(t))) = 0.$$

**Keywords:** oscillation, asymptotic behavior, neutral differential equations, nonlinear, higher order, eventually positive solution.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34K11, 34K40, 34K25.

## 1 Introduction

In the last two decades, there has been a growing interest in the study of oscillation properties of solutions of higher order neutral differential equations, see [1–8] and the references cited therein. This interest is due to the appearance of these equations in many applications in natural science and technology. In particular, such equations appear in networks containing lossless transmission lines and in problems dealing with vibrating masses attached to an elastic bar, see Hale [3].

This paper is concerned with the oscillation of *n*-th order neutral type nonlinear differential equations of the form

$$[x(t) + g(t, x(\tau(t)))]^{(n)} + f(t, x(\sigma(t))) = 0,$$
(1.1)

where  $n \ge 2$ , and the following conditions are always assumed to hold:

(H1)  $\tau(t), \sigma(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}), \tau(t) < t, \sigma(t) \leq t$ , and  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \tau(t) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \sigma(t) = \infty$ , where  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$ ;

(H2)  $g: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, and xg(t, x) > 0;

(H3)  $|g(t, x)| \le M|x|$  for some M > 0;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>™</sup>Corresponding author. Email: alizein@ppu.edu

- (H4)  $f: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, and xf(t, x) > 0;
- (H5) The function f(t, x) can be written as f(t, x) = h(t, x)k(x), where  $h: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and xh(t, x) > 0,  $k: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, positive for  $x \neq 0$ , nondecreasing if x > 0, and nonincreasing if x < 0.

In this paper we establish new oscillation criteria for equation (1.1). This equation involves one delay in the differential part and one delay in the non-differential part. Many authors have used a frequent assumption for the differential part that is  $g(t, x(\tau(t))) = p(t)x(\tau(t))$ , where p is a continuous function, see [2, 5–8]. Here the function g(t, x) can be a nonlinear function of x. As well as the function f(t, x) can be nonlinear function. Moreover, both bounded and unbounded solutions are considered.

We extend the arguments developed in Zafer [6], Dahiya and Zafer [1] and employ these ideas to establish sufficient conditions for the oscillation of (1.1). One can see that the results in [6] are included in our results.

As is customary, solution of (1.1) is said to be oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros and non-oscillatory otherwise.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 states some useful lemmas that are used in the proof of the results. Section 3 is devoted to our main results. In Section 4 some examples are given to illustrate the applicability of the new theorems.

#### 2 Auxiliary lemmas

**Lemma 2.1** ([4, p. 193]). Let y(t) be an *n* times differentiable function on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  of constant sign,  $y^{(n)}(t)$  be of constant sign and not identically equal to zero in any interval  $[t_0, \infty)$ ,  $t_0 \ge 0$ , and  $y(t)y^{(n)}(t) \le 0$ . Then:

- *i.* There exists a  $t_1 \ge t_0$  such that  $y^{(k)}(t)$ , k = 1, ..., n-1, is of constant sign on  $[t_1, \infty)$ ,
- *ii.* There exists an integer  $l, 0 \le l \le n 1$ , with n l odd, such that

$$y(t)y^{(k)}(t) > 0, k = 0, 1, \dots, l, t \ge t_1,$$
(2.1)

$$(-1)^{n+k-1}y(t)y^{(k)}(t) > 0, k = l+1, \dots, n-1, \ t \ge t_1,$$
(2.2)

and

iii.

$$|y(t)| \ge \frac{(t-t_1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)\dots(n-l)} \left| y^{(n-1)} \left( 2^{n-l-1} t \right) \right|, \ t \ge t_1.$$
(2.3)

**Lemma 2.2** ([5]). Let  $n \ge 3$  be an odd integer,  $\beta(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $0 < \beta(t) \le \beta_0$ , and  $y \in C^n$   $(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $(-1)^i y^{(i)}(t) > 0$ ,  $0 \le i \le n-1$ , and  $y^{(n)}(t) \le 0$ . Then

$$y(t - \beta(t)) \ge \frac{(\beta(t))^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} y^{(n-1)}(t), \text{ for } t \ge \beta_0.$$
(2.4)

#### 3 Main results

**Theorem 3.1.** Assume that  $\phi(t)$  is a nonnegative continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , and that w(t) > 0 for t > 0 is continuous and nondecreasing on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  with:

$$|h(t,x)| \ge \phi(t)w\left(\frac{|x|}{\left[\sigma\left(t\right)\right]^{n-1}}\right),\tag{3.1}$$

$$\int_{0}^{\pm \alpha} \frac{dx}{w(x)} < \infty \text{ , for every } \alpha > 0, \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\int^{\infty} \phi(t) \, dt = \infty. \tag{3.3}$$

Then:

*i.* If n is even, every solution x(t) of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

#### *ii.* If *n* is odd, every unbounded solution x(t) of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

*Proof.* Assume that equation (1.1) has a non-oscillatory solution x(t). Without loss of generality, we may assume that x(t) is eventually positive (the proof is similar when x(t) is eventually negative). That is, let x(t) > 0,  $x(\tau(t)) > 0$ , and  $x(\sigma(t)) > 0$  for  $t \ge t_0 \ge 0$ .

Set

$$z(t) = x(t) + g(t, x(\tau(t))).$$
(3.4)

By (H2), z(t) > x(t) > 0 for  $t \ge t_0 \ge 0$ . From (1.1) and (3.4) we have

$$z^{(n)}(t) = -f(t, x(\sigma(t))) < 0.$$
(3.5)

Thus  $z^{(n)}(t) < 0$ . It follows that  $z^{(i)}(t)$  (i = 0, 1, ..., n - 1) is strictly monotonic and of constant sign eventually.

By applying Lemma 2.1, z(t) satisfies (2.1) and (2.2). If n is even, the integer l associated with z(t) is odd, i.e.  $l \ge 1$ . But if n is odd then  $l \in \{0, 2, ..., n-1\}$ , and since the solution x(t) is unbounded for odd orders, then z(t) is unbounded, and hence  $l \ge 2$ . Therefore, either n is odd or even, then  $l \ge 1$ . Hence z(t) is increasing for  $t \ge t_1 \ge t_0$ .

From (H3) and (3.4) we have

$$z(t) \le x(t) + Mx(\tau(t)). \tag{3.6}$$

Let  $x(s) = \max\{x(t), x(\tau(t))\}$ , where  $\tau(t) \le s \le t$  and assume that  $\tau(t) \ge t_1$  for  $t \ge t_2 \ge t_1$ . Using (3.6) with the fact that z(t) is increasing we obtain

$$z(s) \le z(t) \le (1+M)x(s).$$

Hence

$$x(s) \ge \frac{z(s)}{1+M'},$$

or

$$x(t) \ge \frac{z(t)}{1+M}, \ t \ge t_2.$$
 (3.7)

From (2.3), and the fact that z(t) is increasing, we have

$$z(t) \ge z(2^{l-n+1}t) \ge \frac{2^{(l-n+1)(n-1)}}{(n-1)\dots(n-l)} (t-t_3)^{n-1} z^{(n-1)}(t), \quad t \ge t_3 = 2^{n-l-1}t_1$$

Therefore, by choosing  $t_4 > t_3$ , sufficiently large, we have

$$z(t) \ge ct^{n-1}z^{(n-1)}(t), \quad t \ge t_4,$$
(3.8)

where c > 0 is an appropriate constant dependent upon *n* and *l*.

Let  $t_5 \ge \max\{t_2, t_4\}$  be such that  $\sigma(t) \ge t_5$  for all  $t \ge t_6$ . From (3.7), (3.8), and the decreasing character of  $z^{(n-1)}(t)$  we then have

$$\frac{x(\sigma(t))}{\left[\sigma(t)\right]^{n-1}} \ge \frac{c}{1+M} z^{(n-1)}(t), \ t \ge t_6.$$
(3.9)

Using (H5), (3.1) and (3.9), it follows from (3.5) that

$$z^{(n)}(t) + \phi(t) w\left(\frac{c}{1+M} z^{(n-1)}(t)\right) k(x(\sigma(t))) \le 0.$$
(3.10)

Since z(t) > 0 and  $z'(t) > 0 \lim_{t\to\infty} z(t) > 0$ , this implies that  $\liminf_{t\to\infty} x(t) \neq 0$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $x(\sigma(t)) > \epsilon$  for  $t \ge t_7 \ge t_6$ .

Using the fact that k(x) is nondecreasing it follows from (3.10) that for  $t \ge t_7$ 

$$z^{(n)}(t) + \phi(t) w\left(\frac{c}{1+M} z^{(n-1)}(t)\right) k(\epsilon) \le 0.$$
(3.11)

Setting  $u(t) = \frac{c}{1+M} z^{(n-1)}(t)$ , and integrating (3.11) divided by w(u(t)) from  $t_7$  to t, we obtain

$$\frac{c}{1+M}k(\epsilon)\int_{t_7}^t\phi(v)\ dv\ \leq \int_{u(t)}^{u(t_7)}\frac{ds}{w(s)}.$$
(3.12)

Since u'(t) < 0, u(t) is decreasing. And since u(t) > 0, it follows that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} u(t) = L \ge 0$ . If  $L \ne 0$ , then by (3.10) we must have

$$\int_{t_8}^{\infty} \phi(t) dt < \infty, \tag{3.13}$$

which contradicts (3.3). In the case when L = 0, letting  $t \to \infty$  in (3.12) and using (3.2), we again obtain (3.13). Thus the proof is complete.

In the next theorem besides conditions (H1)–(H5) we further assume that:

- (H6)  $0 < t \sigma(t) \le \sigma_0$ , where  $\sigma_0$  is positive constant;
- (H7) The constant *M* in (H3) is assumed to be 0 < M < 1.

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume that  $\phi(t)$  is a nonnegative continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , and that w(t) > 0 for t > 0 is continuous and nondecreasing on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  with:

$$|h(t,x)| \ge \phi(t)w\left(\frac{|x|}{[t-\sigma(t)]^{n-1}}\right),\tag{3.14}$$

and

$$\int_{0}^{\pm \alpha} \frac{dx}{w(x)} < \infty \text{ , for every } \alpha > 0.$$
(3.15)

If n is odd and

$$\int^{\infty} \phi(t) dt = \infty, \tag{3.16}$$

then every bounded solution x(t) of equation (1.1) is either oscillatory or tends to zero as  $t \longrightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* Assume that equation (1.1) has a bounded non-oscillatory solution x(t). Without loss of generality, we may assume that x(t) is eventually positive (the proof is similar when x(t) is eventually negative). That is, let x(t) > 0,  $x(\tau(t)) > 0$ , and  $x(\sigma(t)) > 0$  for  $t \ge t_0 \ge 0$ .

Set z(t) as in (3.4). By (H2), z(t) > x(t) > 0 for  $t \ge t_0 \ge 0$ . Then from (1.1) and (3.4) we have (3.5). Thus  $z^{(n)}(t) < 0$ . It follows that  $z^{(i)}(t)$  (i = 0, 1, ..., n - 1) is strictly monotonic and of constant sign eventually.

From (H3) and (3.4) we have (3.6), which implies that z(t) is bounded for  $t \ge t_1 \ge t_0$ .

By applying Lemma 2.1, there exists a  $t_2 \ge t_1$  and an integer l with n - l odd such that (2.1) and (2.2) are satisfied by z(t) for  $t \ge t_2$ . Since n is odd and z(t) is bounded then l = 0 (otherwise z(t) is not bounded). Hence from relations (2.1) and (2.2) we have

$$(-1)^{i} z^{(i)}(t) > 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$
 (3.17)

Thus z(t) is decreasing for  $t \ge t_2$ .

From (3.6) and the fact that z(t) > x(t), we obtain

$$x(t) \ge z(t) - Mx(\tau(t)) \ge z(t) - Mz(\tau(t)),$$

or

$$x(t) \ge z(\tau(t)) \left[ \frac{z(t)}{z(\tau(t))} - M \right].$$
(3.18)

From (3.17) and from z(t) > 0, z'(t) < 0 and z''(t) > 0, we have  $\lim_{t\to\infty} z(t) = \lambda \ge 0$ . Now, we consider two cases:

<u>Case I</u>:  $\lambda > 0$ . Since z(t) is decreasing, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $t_3 \ge t_2$  such that

$$\lambda \leq z(t) \leq z(\tau(t)) \leq \lambda + \epsilon$$

for all  $t \ge t_3$ . From this we can conclude that

$$\frac{z(t)}{z(\tau(t))} \ge \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \varepsilon}, \text{ for } t \ge t_3.$$

Let us choose an  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  such that  $M + \varepsilon_1 \le \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \varepsilon}$ . Thus

$$\frac{z(t)}{z(\tau(t))} \ge M + \varepsilon_1, \ t \ge t_3.$$

Using this inequality in (3.18), and the fact that z(t) is decreasing, we obtain

$$x(t) \ge \varepsilon_1 z(t), \quad t \ge t_3. \tag{3.19}$$

Since *n* is odd, from (H6) and (3.17) we can apply Lemma 2.2. Then we have

$$z(\sigma(t)) = z(t - (t - \sigma(t))) \ge \frac{[t - \sigma(t)]^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} z^{(n-1)}(t), \quad t \ge t_3 + \sigma_0.$$

Hence,

$$z(\sigma(t)) \ge \frac{[t-\sigma(t)]^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} z^{(n-1)}(t), \quad t \ge t_3 + \sigma_0.$$
(3.20)

Let  $t_4 \ge t_3 + \sigma_0$  be such that  $\sigma(t) \ge t_4$  for all  $t \ge t_5$ . From (3.19), and (3.20) we have

$$\frac{x(\sigma(t))}{\left[t - \sigma(t)\right]^{n-1}} \ge \frac{\varepsilon_1 z^{(n-1)}(t)}{(n-1)!}, \quad t \ge t_5.$$
(3.21)

Using (3.14), and (3.21), then it follows from (3.5) that

$$z^{(n)}(t) + \phi(t) w\left(c z^{(n-1)}(t)\right) k(x(\sigma(t))) \le 0,$$

where  $c = \frac{\varepsilon_1}{(n-1)!}$ . Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we obtain a contradiction.

<u>Case II</u>:  $\lambda = 0$ , since  $x(t) \leq z(t)$ , x(t) tends to zero as  $t \longrightarrow \infty$ , and this completes the proof.

#### 4 Examples

**Example 4.1.** Consider the equation

$$\left[x(t) + (5 + \sin^2 t)^2 \frac{x(t - 2\pi)}{5 + x^2(t - 2\pi)}\right]'' + 9x^3(t - 4\pi) = 0.$$
(4.1)

Here  $|g(t,x)| = (5 + \sin^2 t)^2 \left| \frac{x}{5+x^2} \right| < 7.2|x|$ . By setting  $h(t,x) = 9x^{\frac{1}{3}}$ ,  $k(x) = x^{\frac{8}{3}}$ ,  $w(x) = x^{\frac{1}{3}}$ ,  $\phi(t) = 9(t - 4\pi)^{\frac{1}{3}}$ , we can see that all conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Thus every solution of equation (4.1) is oscillatory. It is easy to check that  $x(t) = \sin t$  is such a solution.

Example 4.2. Consider the equation

$$\left[x(t) + e^{2\pi}(4 + e^{-t})x(t - 2\pi)\right]^{(4)} + e^{2\pi}(20 - e^{-t})x(t - 2\pi) = 0.$$
(4.2)

Here  $|g(t,x)| = e^{2\pi}(4+e^{-t})|x| \le 5e^{2\pi}|x|$ . If we take  $h(t,x) = e^{2\pi}(20-e^{-t})x^{\frac{1}{3}}$ ,  $k(x) = x^{\frac{2}{3}}$ ,  $w(x) = x^{\frac{1}{3}}$ ,  $\phi(t) = e^{2\pi}(20-e^{-t})(t-2\pi)$ , we conclude from Theorem 3.1 that every solution of equation (4.2) is oscillatory. In fact,  $x(t) = e^t \sin t$  is such an unbounded solution.

Example 4.3. Consider the equation

$$\left[x(t) + e^{\frac{3\pi}{2}}(1 + e^{-t})x\left(t - \frac{3\pi}{2}\right)\right]^{\prime\prime\prime} + e^{2\pi}(4 - e^{-t})x(t - 2\pi) = 0.$$
(4.3)

Here  $|g(t,x)| = e^{\frac{3\pi}{2}}(1+e^{-t})|x| \le 2e^{\frac{3\pi}{2}}|x|$ . By setting  $h(t,x) = e^{2\pi}(4-e^{-t})x^{\frac{1}{3}}$ ,  $k(x) = x^{\frac{2}{3}}$ ,  $w(x) = x^{\frac{1}{3}}$ ,  $\phi(t) = e^{2\pi}(4-e^{-t})(t-2\pi)^{\frac{2}{3}}$ , we see that all conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Hence every unbounded solution of equation (4.3) is oscillatory. Indeed,  $x(t) = e^t \sin t$  is such an unbounded solution of the equation.

Example 4.4. Consider the equation

$$\left[x(t) + \frac{1 + \sin^2 t}{4} \frac{x(t - 2\pi)}{1 + x^2(t - 2\pi)}\right]''' + \frac{5}{4}x\left(t - \frac{3\pi}{2}\right) = 0.$$
(4.4)

Here  $|g(t,x)| = \frac{1+\sin^2 t}{4} |\frac{x}{1+x^2}| < \frac{1}{2}|x|$ . By taking  $h(t,x) = \frac{5}{4}x^{\frac{1}{3}}$ ,  $k(x) = x^{\frac{2}{3}}$ ,  $w(x) = x^{\frac{1}{3}}$ ,  $\phi(t) = \frac{5}{4}(\frac{3\pi}{2})^{\frac{2}{3}}$ , all conditions of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied. Thus every bounded solution of equation (4.4) is either oscillatory or tends to zero as  $t \to \infty$ . In fact,  $x(t) = \sin t$  is an oscillatory solution of the equation.

**Example 4.5.** Consider the equation

$$\left[x(t) + \frac{e^{-t}}{2}x\left(\frac{t}{2} - \ln 2\right)\right]^{\prime\prime\prime} + 24e^{4t-6}x^3(t-1) = 0.$$
(4.5)

Here  $|g(t,x)| = \frac{e^{-t}}{2}|x| \le \frac{1}{2}|x|$ . All conditions of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied with  $h(t,x) = 24e^{4t-6}x^{\frac{1}{3}}$ ,  $k(x) = x^{\frac{8}{3}}$ ,  $w(x) = x^{\frac{1}{3}}$ ,  $\phi(t) = 24e^{4t-3}$ . So every bounded solution of equation (4.5) is either oscillatory or tends to zero as  $t \longrightarrow \infty$ . Indeed,  $x(t) = e^{-2t}$  is a solution that tends to zero as  $t \longrightarrow \infty$ .

### References

- R. S. DAHIYA, A. ZAFER, Asymptotic behavior and oscillation in higher order nonlinear differential equations with retarded arguments, *Acta. Math. Hungar.* 76(1997), No. 3, 257– 266. MR1459234
- P. Das, Oscillation criteria for odd-order neutral equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 188(1994), No. 1, 245–257. MR1301730
- [3] J. K. HALE, *Theory of functional differential equations*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 3, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. MR0508721
- [4] G. S. LADDE, V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM, B. G. ZHANG, Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Deviating Arguments, Marcel Dekker Inc, New York, 1987. MR1017244
- [5] N. PARHI, Oscillations of higher order differential equations of neutral type, *Czech. Math. J.* 50(125)(2000), No. 1, 155–173. MR1745469
- [6] A. ZAFER, Oscillation criteria for even order neutral differential equations, Appl. Math. Lett. 11(1998), No. 3, 21–25. MR1628987
- [7] A. ZAFER, R. S. DAHIYA, Oscillation of bounded solutions of neutral differential equations, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 6(1993), No. 2, 43–46. MR1347773
- [8] A. ZEIN, T. ABU-KAFF, Bounded oscillation of higher order neutral differential equations with oscillating coefficients, *Appl. Math. E-Notes* 6(2006), 126–131. MR2219160