

## Approximation theorems for modified Szász operators\*

ZHU-RUI GUO and DING-XUAN ZHOU

### 1. Introduction

The Bernstein operators on  $C[0, 1]$  are given by

$$(1.1) \quad B_n(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f(k/n) \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}.$$

In 1972, H. BERENS and G. G. LORENTZ [3] gave the pioneering theorem on Bernstein operators in the form

$$(1.2) \quad |B_n(f, x) - f(x)| \leq M(x(1-x)/n)^{\alpha/2} \Leftrightarrow \omega_2(f, t) = O(t^\alpha),$$

where  $0 < \alpha < 2$ , and

$$(1.3) \quad \omega_2(f, t) = \sup_{0 \leq h \leq t} \sup_{h \leq x \leq 1-h} |f(x-h) - 2f(x) + f(x+h)|.$$

In 1978, M. BECKER [1], R. J. NESSEL [2] gave similar results for Szász and Baskakov operators, Meyer—König and Zeller operators.

Berens—Lorentz type theorems for all exponential-type operators were given by K. SATO [9] in 1982. All these exponential-type operators reproduce linear functions, however if this is not the case, then similar Berens—Lorentz type results for non-Feller modified exponential-type operators have not been obtained till now.

In this paper we shall give such a result for modified Szász operators defined in 1985 by S. M. MAZHAR and V. TOTIK [8]:

$$(1.4) \quad L_n(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( n \int_0^{\infty} f(t) p_{n,k}(t) dt \right) p_{n,k}(x),$$

where

$$(1.5) \quad p_{n,k}(x) = e^{-nx} (nx)^k / k!.$$

---

\* ) Supported by ZPSF and NSF of China.

Received November 19, 1990 and in revised form January 29, 1991.

Concerning these operators, Mazhar and Totik stated: "However it is far less obvious how the analogues of Theorem 2—3 look like in the case of  $L_n$ ." Our interest stems from this problem. In fact, we will show a result, which, together with some known theorems, yields for  $0 < \alpha < 1$

$$|L_n(f, x) - f(x)| \leq M(1/n + (x/n)^{1/2})^\alpha \Leftrightarrow |S_n(f, x) - f(x)| \leq M_1(1/n + (x/n)^{1/2})^\alpha$$

$$|S_n(f, x) - f(x)| \leq M_2(x/n)^{\alpha/2} \Leftrightarrow \omega_1(f, t) = O(t^\alpha),$$

where  $S_n(f, x)$  are the Szász operators given by

$$(1.6) \quad S_n(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(k/n) p_{n,k}(x).$$

We shall also give an equivalence theorem involving the smoothness of functions and the derivatives of the modified Szász operators.

## 2. A Berens—Lorentz type theorem

First let us give some identities.

Lemma 1 [8]. For  $L_n(f(t), x)$  given by (1.4), we have

$$L_n(t, x) = x + 1/n;$$

$$(2.1) \quad L_n((t-x)^2, x) = 2x/n + 2n^{-2}.$$

MAZHAR and TOTIK [8] gave the following direct theorem for modified Szász operators:

$$(2.2) \quad |L_n(f, x) - f(x)| \leq K\omega_1(((x+1/n)/n)^{1/2}),$$

here

$$\omega(f, t) = \sup_{0 \leq h \leq t} \sup_{x \geq h/2} |f(x+h/2) - f(x-h/2)|$$

is the usual modulus of smoothness of  $f$ .

We have the Berens—Lorentz type inverse result as follows:

Theorem 1. Let  $f \in C[0, \infty)$  be bounded. Then with  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad |L_n(f, x) - f(x)| \leq M(x/n + n^{-2})^{\alpha/2} \quad (x \geq 0, n \in \mathbf{N})$$

holds if and only if

$$(2.4) \quad \omega_1(f, t) = O(t^\alpha) \quad (t > 0).$$

Remark 1. The assumption that  $f$  is bounded is necessary, which can be seen from the following example: Let  $f(x) = (x+1) \ln(x+1) - (x+1)$ . Then  $\omega_1(f, t) \neq$

$\neq O(t^\alpha)$  for  $\alpha=1/2$ . However (2.3) is satisfied: For  $x \geq 1/n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |L_n(f, x) - f(x)| &= \left| f'(x) L_n(t-x, x) + L_n \left( \int_x^t (t-u) f''(u) du, x \right) \right| \leq \\ &\leq \ln(x+1)/n + \|uf''(u)\|_\infty L_n((t-x)^2/x, x) \leq \\ &\leq Mx^{1/4}/n + 2/n + 2/(n^2x) \leq (4+M)(x/n + n^{-2})^{1/4}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $x < 1/n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |L_n(f, x) - f(x)| &= \left| L_n \left( \int_x^t \ln(u+1) du, x \right) \right| \leq \\ &\leq L_n \left( \int_x^t u du, x \right) \leq L_n(t^2 + x^2, x) = \\ &= 2x^2 + 4x/n + 2n^{-2} \leq 8(x/n + n^{-2})^{1/4}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved that the boundedness cannot be dropped.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** By (2.2) we shall only prove the necessity. For  $d > 0$ , let

$$(2.5) \quad f_d(x) = d^{-1} \int_0^d f(x+s) ds.$$

Then we have for  $f \in C[0, \infty) \cap L_\infty[0, \infty)$

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \|f_d - f\|_\infty &\leq \omega_1(f, d); \\ \|f'_d\|_\infty &\leq d^{-1} \omega_1(f, d). \end{aligned}$$

Note that since

$$(2.7) \quad L'_n(f, x) = nx^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^\infty n \int_0^\infty f(t) p_{n,k}(t) dt (k/n - x) p_{n,k}(x),$$

$$(2.8) \quad = n \sum_{k=0}^\infty n \int_0^\infty f(t) (p_{n,k+1}(t) - p_{n,k}(t)) dt p_{n,k}(x),$$

we have

$$|L'_n(f_d - f, x)| \leq nx^{-1} \|f - f_d\|_\infty S_n(|t-x|, x) \leq (n/x)^{1/3} \omega_1(f, d);$$

$$|L'_n(f_d - f, x)| \leq 2n \|f - f_d\|_\infty,$$

where we used that

$$S_n(|t-x|, x) \leq (S_n((t-x)^2, x))^{1/2} = (x/n)^{1/2} \text{ (see, e.g. [1, 12]).}$$

Hence

$$(2.9) \quad |L'_n(f_d - f, x)| \leq 2\omega_1(f, d) \min \{n/x\}^{1/2}, n\}.$$

From (2.8) and [7] we can also derive

$$|L'_n(f_d, x)| = \left| n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} p_{n,k+1}(t) f'_d(t) dt p_{n,k}(x) \right| \cong d^{-1} \omega_1(f, d).$$

Now for any  $t > 0$  and  $0 < h \leq t$ ,  $x \in (0, \infty)$ , we get from (2.3) for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} (2.10) \quad |f(x+h) - f(x)| &\cong |f(x+h) - L_n(f, x+h)| + |f(x) - L_n(f, x)| + \\ &+ \left| \int_0^h L'_n(f_d, x+u) du \right| + \left| \int_0^h L'_n(f-f_d, x+u) du \right| \cong \\ &\cong 2M((x+h)/n + n^{-2})^{\alpha/2} + d^{-1} \omega_1(f, d)h + 2\omega_1(f, d) \int_0^h \min \{ (n/(x+u))^{1/2}, n \} du \cong \\ &\cong 2M(d(n, x, h))^{\alpha} + 8h\omega_1(f, d)(d^{-1} + 1/d(n, x, h)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $d(n, x, h) = ((x+h)/n + n^{-2})^{1/2}$ . Note that  $d(n, x, h) \cong d(n+1, x, h) \cong d(n, x, h)/2$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , hence for any  $1/2 > \delta > 0$  we can choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$2d(n, x, h) \cong \delta > d(n, x, h).$$

With this choice we get from (2.10) the estimate

$$|f(x+h) - f(x)| \cong 2M\delta^{\alpha} + 36h\omega_1(f, \delta)/\delta,$$

hence

$$\omega_1(f, t) \cong 2M\delta^{\alpha} + 36t\omega_1(f, \delta)/\delta \cong (2M + 36)(\delta^{\alpha} + t\omega_1(f, \delta)/\delta), \quad (t, \delta > 0)$$

which implies  $\omega_1(f, t) = O(t^{\alpha})$  (see [3, 6]). Our proof is complete.

**Remark 2.** The same statement is true for Szász operators, however we shall omit the proof since it is just the same.

**Remark 3.** In [14], we have proved for Bernstein—Durrmeyer operators

$$(2.11) \quad D_n(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \left( (n+1) \int_0^1 f(t) \binom{n}{k} t^k (1-t)^{n-k} dt \right) \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k},$$

that for  $1 < \alpha < 2$  there exist no functions  $\{\psi_{n,\alpha}(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that the following equivalence holds for  $f \in C[0, 1]$

$$(2.12) \quad \omega_2(f, t) = O(t^{\alpha}) \Leftrightarrow |D_n(f, x) - f(x)| \cong M\psi_{n,\alpha}(x).$$

In view of this result we cannot expect a similar characterization theorem by the modified Szász operators for functions satisfying

$$\omega_2(f, t) = O(t^{\alpha}) \quad \text{with} \quad 1 < \alpha < 2.$$

### 3. Derivatives and smoothness

Some results on the relation between the order of derivatives and smoothness have been obtained in [5, 7], most of which characterize the Ditzian—Totik modulus of smoothness. Z. DITZIAN gave a result on the characterization of the usual modulus of smoothness by the derivatives of Bernstein polynomials [4]. Recently one of the authors gave similar results for higher order of smoothness [14].

Let

$$\omega_2(f, t) = \sup_{0 < h \leq t} \sup_{x \geq 0} |f(x) - 2f(x+h) + f(x+2h)|.$$

For the modified Szász operators, we can prove

Theorem 2. For  $f \in C[0, \infty) \cap L_\infty[0, \infty)$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 2$ , we have

$$(3.1) \quad \omega_2(f, t) = O(t^\alpha) \Leftrightarrow |L_n''(f, x)| \leq M(\min \{n^2, n/x\})^{(2-\alpha)/2}.$$

Theorem 3. For  $f \in C[0, \infty) \cap L_\infty$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , we have

$$(3.2) \quad \omega_1(f, t) = O(t^\alpha) \Leftrightarrow |L_n'(f, x)| \leq M(\min \{n^2, n/x\})^{(1-\alpha)/2}.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Proof of the direction “ $\Rightarrow$ ”: Suppose  $\omega_2(f, t) \leq Mt^\alpha$ . By simple calculation one can get

$$(3.3) \quad L_n''(g, x) = n^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( n \int_0^{\infty} g(t) (p_{n,k}(t) - 2p_{n,k+1}(t) + p_{n,k+2}(t)) dt \right) p_{n,k}(x)$$

$$(3.4) \quad = n^2 x^{-2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( n \int_0^{\infty} g(t) p_{n,k}(t) dt \right) ((k/n - x)^2 - kn^{-2}) p_{n,k}(x),$$

hence

$$(3.5) \quad |L_n''(g, x)| \leq 4n^2 \|g\|_\infty,$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad |L_n''(g, x)| \leq 2n/x \|g\|_\infty.$$

Now for  $f \in C[0, \infty) \cap L_\infty(0, \infty)$ , let us define the Steklov function as

$$(3.7) \quad f_d(x) = 4d^{-2} \int_0^{d/2} \int_0^{d/2} (2f(x+u+v) - f(x+2u+2v)) du dv.$$

Then

$$(3.8) \quad \|f - f_d\| \leq \omega_2(f, d),$$

and

$$(3.9) \quad \|f_d''\| \leq 9d^{-2} \omega_2(f, d).$$

For  $f_d$  one can verify (see [7])

$$(3.10) \quad |L_n''(f_d, x)| \leq \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n \int_0^{\infty} p_{n,k+2}(t) f_d''(t) dt p_{n,k}(x) \right| \leq 9d^{-2} \omega_2(f, d).$$

Thus, we have for  $d=(\min \{n^2, n/x\})^{-1/2}$

$$\begin{aligned} |L_n''(f, x) &\leq |L_n''(f_d, x)| + |L_n''(f-f_d, x)| \leq \\ &\leq 9d^{-2}\omega_2(f, d) + 4 \min \{n^2, n/x\} \omega_2(f, d) \leq \\ &\leq 13M(\min \{n^2, n/x\})^{1-\alpha/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of the direction “ $\Leftarrow$ ”: To prove this part, we need the combination of  $\{L_n\}$  defined as

$$(3.11) \quad L_{n,1}(f, x) = a_0(n)L_{n_0}(f, x) + a_1(n)L_{n_1}(f, x),$$

where  $|a_0(n)| + |a_1(n)| \leq B$ ,  $n=n_0 < n_1 \leq An$ , with  $A, B$  absolute constants, having the property

$$(3.12) \quad L_{n,1}(t^i, x) = x^i, \quad i = 0, 1 \text{ (see e.g. [7])}.$$

Then we have for  $f \in C[0, \infty) \cap L_\infty[0, \infty)$  by the method from [1, 3]

$$(3.13) \quad |L_{n,1}(f, x) - f(x)| \leq M\omega_2(f, 1/n + (x/n)^{1/2}).$$

Now we can give our proof, where the commutativity of  $\{L_n\}$  is crucial (see [7]). For  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $0 < h \leq t$ , we have

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} |L_m(f, x) - 2L_m(f, x+h) + L_m(f, x+2h)| &\leq 4M\omega_2(L_m f, 1/n + ((x+2h)/n)^{1/2}) + \\ &+ \int_0^h \int_0^h |L_n''(L_{n,1}(f), x+u+v)| du dv \end{aligned}$$

Now we shall estimate the second term. First we have

$$|L_m''(L_{n,1}(f), x+u+v)| \leq \|L_{n,1}''(f)\|_\infty \leq 2B(An)^{2-\alpha}.$$

On the other hand, note that by

$$|x^{1-\alpha/2} L_{n,1}''(f, x)| \leq MABn^{1-\alpha/2}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} |x^{1-\alpha/2} L_m''(L_{n,1}(f), x)| &= |x^{1-\alpha/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m \int_0^{\infty} p_{m,k+2}(t) L_{n,1}''(f, t) dt p_{m,k}(x)| \leq \\ &\leq MABn^{1-\alpha/2} x^{1-\alpha/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m \left( \int_0^{\infty} p_{m,k+2}(t) t^{-1} dt \right)^{1-\alpha/2} \left( \int_0^{\infty} p_{m,k+2}(t) dt \right)^{\alpha/2} p_{m,k}(x) \leq \\ &\leq MABn^{1-\alpha/2} x^{1-\alpha/2} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m p_{m,k}(x) / (k+2) \right)^{1-\alpha/2} \leq MABn^{1-\alpha/2}, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^h \int_0^h |L_m''(L_{n,1}(f), x+u+v)| du dv &\leq MABn^{1-\alpha/2} \int_0^h \int_0^h (x+u+v)^{\alpha/2-1} du dv \leq \\ &\leq MABn^{1-\alpha/2} h^\alpha (M_1 h^2 / (x+2h))^{1-\alpha/2} \leq M_2 h^2 (n/(x+2h))^{1-\alpha/2}, \end{aligned}$$

here we have used the fact that

$$\iint_0^h 1/(x+u+v) du dv \cong M_1 h^2/(x+2h), \quad 0 < h \leq 1, \quad x \cong 0$$

(see [1]). Thus, combining the above estimates with (3.14), we have

$$(3.15) \quad |L_m(f, x) - 2L_m(f, x+h) + L_m(f, x+2h)| \cong \\ \cong 4M\omega_2(L_m f, 1/n + ((x+2h)/n)^{1/2}) + M_3 h^2 (1/n + ((x+2h)/n)^{1/2})^{\alpha-2},$$

where  $M_3$  is a constant independent of  $n, x, h$  and  $m$ .

Let  $C$  be a constant which will be determined later. Since

$$1/n + ((x+2h)/n)^{1/2} < 1/(n-1) + ((x+2h)/(n-1))^{1/2} \cong 2(1/n + ((x+2h)/n)^{1/2}),$$

we can choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that

$$t/(2C) \cong 1/n + ((x+2h)/n)^{1/2} \cong t/C.$$

Then we get from (3.15) by induction

$$\omega_2(L_m f, t) \cong 4M\omega_2(L_m f, t/C) + (2C)^{(2-\alpha)} M_3 t^\alpha \cong \\ \cong \dots \\ \cong (4M)^k \omega_2(L_m f, tC^{-k}) + (2C)^{2-\alpha} M_3 t^\alpha \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (4MC^{-\alpha})^i \cong \\ \cong t^2 (4M)^k C^{-2k} \|(L_m f)''\|_\infty + (2C)^{2-\alpha} M_3 t^\alpha C^\alpha / (C^\alpha - 4M).$$

Now if we take here  $C = (1+4M)^{1/\alpha}$ , and let  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$\omega_2(L_m f, t) \cong 4C^2 M_3 t^\alpha / (C^\alpha - 4M),$$

which implies  $\omega_2(f, t) = O(t^\alpha)$ , since the constant  $4C^2 M_3 / (C^\alpha - 4M)$  is independent of  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Our proof is complete. We shall omit the proof of Theorem 3, since it is almost the same as the proof of Theorem 2.

#### 4. A direct theorem for uniform approximation

When treating uniform approximation we shall always assume the boundedness of the functions. Let  $C_B$  be the set of bounded and continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$ .

Theorem 4. For  $f \in C_B$ ,  $L_n(f, x)$  given by (1.4), we have

$$(4.1) \quad \|L_n f - f\|_\infty \cong C(\omega_\phi^2(f, n^{-1/2})_\infty + \omega_1(f, n^{-1}) + n^{-1} \|f\|_\infty),$$

where  $C$  is a constant independent of  $n$ , and  $\omega_\phi^2(f, n^{-1/2})_\infty$  is the so-called Ditzian—

*Totik modulus of smoothness defined as*

$$(4.2) \quad \omega_{\varphi}^2(f, t)_{\infty} = \sup_{0 < h \leq t} \|\Delta_{h\varphi}^2 f\|_{\infty},$$

$$\varphi(x) = x^{1/2},$$

$$\Delta_h^2 f(x) = f(x-h) - 2f(x) + f(x+h), \quad x \geq h;$$

$$\Delta_h^2 f(x) = 0, \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

**Remark 4.** In view of the characterization theorems of MAZHAR and TOTIK [8] on the saturation and non-optimal approximation, we can see that our result is of some value.

**Proof of Theorem 4.** For Szász operators given by (1.6), we have for  $f \in C_B$  (see [6])

$$(4.3) \quad \|S_n(f) - f\|_{\infty} \leq M(\omega_{\varphi}^2(f, n^{-1/2})_{\infty} + n^{-1} \|f\|_{\infty}).$$

We now need the Szász—Kantorovich operators given by

$$(4.4) \quad S_n^*(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n \int_{k/n}^{(k+1)/n} f(t) dt p_{n,k}(x).$$

For these operators, we can easily deduce from (4.3) the direct result as

$$(4.5) \quad \|S_n^*(f) - f\|_{\infty} \leq M(\omega_{\varphi}^2(f, n^{-1/2})_{\infty} + \omega_1(f, 1/n) + n^{-1} \|f\|_{\infty}).$$

We shall use the identities

$$(4.6) \quad S_n^*(t, x) = x + 1/(2n), \quad S_n^*((t-x)^2, x) = x/n + 3^{-1}n^{-2}.$$

By (4.5), we only need to prove

$$\|2(f - S_n^*(f)) - (f - L_n f)\|_{\infty} \leq C(\omega_{\varphi}^2(f, n^{-1/2})_{\infty} + n^{-1} \|f\|_{\infty}).$$

Using the Ditzian—Totik  $K$ -functional

$$K_{\varphi, 2}(f, t)_{\infty} = \inf_{g \in D} \{\|f - g\|_{\infty} + t(\|g\|_{\infty} + \|\varphi^2 g''\|_{\infty}) + t^2 \|g''\|_{\infty}\},$$

and its equivalence to  $\omega_{\varphi}^2$ , it is sufficient for us to prove for  $g \in D = \{g \in C_B: g' \in A.C., g'' \in L_{\infty}[0, \infty)\}$  the estimate

$$(4.7) \quad \|2(g - S_n^*(g)) - (g - L_n(g))\|_{\infty} \leq C((\|g\|_{\infty} + \|\varphi^2 g''\|_{\infty})/n + n^{-2} \|g''\|_{\infty}).$$



From the above identities, we have for  $g \in D, x \cong n^{-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} & |2(g(x) - S_n^*(g, x)) - (g(x) - L_n(g, x))| = \\ & = \left| L_n \left( \int_x^1 (t-u) g''(u) du, x \right) - 2S_n^* \left( \int_x^1 (t-u) g''(u) du, x \right) \right| \cong \\ & \cong \|\varphi^2 g''\|_\infty (L_n((t-x)^2/x, x) + 2S_n^*((t-x)^2/x, x)) \cong 12 \|\varphi^2 g''\|_\infty/n. \end{aligned}$$

For  $0 < x < 1/n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |2(g(x) - S_n^*(g, x)) - (g(x) - L_n(g, x))| \cong \\ & \cong \|g''\|_\infty (L_n((t-x)^2, x) + 2S_n^*((t-x)^2, x)) \cong 12n^{-2} \|g''\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have obtained (4.7) and our proof of Theorem 4 is complete.

Remark 5. The second term on the right of (4.1) is necessary, which can be seen from the following example: Let

$$(4.8) \quad f(x) = \begin{cases} x \ln x - x^2/2 + 1/2, & \text{for } x \in [0, 1); \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$(4.9) \quad f'(x) = \begin{cases} \ln x - x + 1, & \text{for } x \in (0, 1); \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$(4.10) \quad f''(x) = \begin{cases} 1/x - 1, & \text{for } x \in (0, 1); \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore we obtain a function  $f \in C_B$  which satisfies

$$\omega_\varphi^2(f, n^{-1/2})_\infty = O(1/n) \quad n^{-1} \|f\|_\infty = O(1/n),$$

and

$$f' \notin L_\infty.$$

On the other hand, from the saturation class of the modified Szász operators  $\{L_n\}$  [8] we have

$$\|L_n f - f\|_\infty \neq O(1/n).$$

Thus we have proved that the second term  $\omega_1(f, 1/n)$  is necessary.

We can also give the weak-type inverse estimates for the moduli.

Lemma 2. For  $L_n(f, x)$  given by (1.4),  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$(4.11) \quad \|(L_n f)''\|_\infty + \|L_n f\|_\infty \leq M n^2 \|f\|_\infty, \quad f \in C_B;$$

$$(4.12) \quad \|\varphi^2(L_n f)''\|_\infty + \|L_n f\|_\infty \leq M n \|f\|_\infty, \quad f \in C_B;$$

$$(4.13) \quad \|(L_n f)''\|_\infty + \|L_n f\|_\infty \leq M (\|f''\|_\infty + \|f\|_\infty), \quad f'' \in L_\infty;$$

$$(4.14) \quad \|\varphi^2(L_n f)''\|_\infty + \|L_n f\|_\infty \leq M (\|\varphi^2 f''\|_\infty + \|f\|_\infty), \quad \varphi^2 f'' \in L_\infty,$$

where  $M$  is a constant independent of  $n$  and  $f$ .

The proof can be easily obtained from the representations of the derivatives of  $L_n f$  in [7].

Theorem 5. For  $f \in C_B$ ,  $L_n(f, x)$  given by (1.4), we have

$$(4.15) \quad \omega_\varphi^3(f, n^{-1/2})_\infty \leq M_1 n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|L_k f - f\|_\infty + n^{-1} \|f\|_\infty;$$

$$(4.16) \quad \omega_1(f, n^{-1}) \leq M_1 n^{-1} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \|L_k f - f\|_\infty + \|f\|_\infty \right).$$

where  $M_1$  is independent of  $f$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The proof is the same as given by DITZIAN and TOTIK [6], (see also [10]), so we shall omit it.

## References

- [1] M. BECKER, Global approximation theorems for Szász—Mirakjan and Baskakov operators in polynomial weight space, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **27** (1978), 127—141.
- [2] M. BECKER and R. J. NESSEL, A global approximation theorem for Meyer—König and Zeller operators, *Math. Z.*, **160** (1978), 195—206.
- [3] H. BERENS and G. G. LORENTZ, Inverse theorems for Bernstein polynomials, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **21** (1972), 693—708.
- [4] Z. DITZIAN, Derivatives of Bernstein polynomials and smoothness, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **93** (1985), 25—31.
- [5] Z. DITZIAN and K. G. IVANOV, On Bernstein-type operators and their derivatives, *J. Approx. Theory*, **56** (1989), 72—90.
- [6] Z. DITZIAN and V. TOTIK, *Moduli of Smoothness*, Springer-Verlag (Berlin/New York, 1987).
- [7] M. HEILMANN, Direct and converse results for operators of Baskakov—Durrmeyer type, *Approx. Theory Appl.*, **5** (1989), 105—127.
- [8] S. M. MAZHAR and V. TOTIK, Approximation by modified Szász operators, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **49** (1985), 257—269.
- [9] K. SATO, Global approximation theorems for some exponential-type operators, *J. Approx. Theory*, **32** (1982), 22—36.
- [10] V. TOTIK, An interpolation theorem and its applications to positive operators, *Pacific J. Math.*, **111** (1984), 447—481.

- [11] V. TOTIK, Uniform approximation by exponential-type operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **132** (1988), 238—246.
- [12] V. TOTIK, Uniform approximation by positive operators on infinite intervals, *Anal. Math.*, **10** (1984), 163—182.
- [13] DING-XUAN ZHOU, Uniform approximation by some Durrmeyer operators, *Approx. Theory Appl.*, **6** (1990), 87—100.
- [14] DING-XUAN ZHOU, On smoothness characterized by Berntein-type operators, *Math. Z.*, submitted.
- [15] DING-XUAN ZHOU, On a conjecture of Z. Ditzian, *J. Approx. Theory*, **69** (1992), 167—172.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY;  
ADVANCED INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS,  
HANGZHOU  
ZHEJIANG  
310 027  
PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA