

## On additive functions with values in a compact Abelian group

BUI MINH PHONG

### 1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be an additively written, metrically compact Abelian topological group,  $\mathbf{N}$  be the set of all positive integers. A function  $f: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow G$  is called a completely additive, if

$$f(nm) = f(n) + f(m)$$

holds for all  $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_G^*$  denote the class of all completely additive functions  $f: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow G$ .

Let  $A > 0$  and  $B \neq 0$  be fixed integers. We shall say that an infinite sequence  $\{x_v\}_{v=1}^\infty$  in  $G$  is of property  $D[A, B]$  if for any convergent subsequence  $\{x_{v_n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  the sequence  $\{x_{Av_n+B}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  has a limit, too. We say that it is of property  $E[A, B]$  if for any convergent subsequence  $\{x_{v_n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  the sequence  $\{x_{v_n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is convergent. We shall say that an infinite sequence  $\{x_v\}_{v=1}^\infty$  in  $G$  is of property  $\Delta[A, B]$  if the sequence  $\{x_{Av+B} - x_v\}_{v=1}^\infty$  has a limit.

Let  $\mathcal{A}_G^*(D[A, B])$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_G^*(E[A, B])$  and  $\mathcal{A}_G^*(\Delta[A, B])$  be the classes of those  $f \in \mathcal{A}_G^*$  for which  $\{x_v = f(v)\}_{v=1}^\infty$  is of property  $D[A, B]$ ,  $E[A, B]$  and  $\Delta[A, B]$ , respectively.

It is obvious that

$$\mathcal{A}_G^*(\Delta[A, B]) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_G^*(D[A, B]) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_G^*(\Delta[A, B]) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_G^*(E[A, B]).$$

Z. DARÓCZY and I. KÁTAI proved in [1] that

$$\mathcal{A}_G^*(\Delta[1, 1]) = \mathcal{A}_G^*(D[1, 1]),$$

and in [2] they deduced the following assertion: If  $f \in \mathcal{A}_G^*(\Delta[1, 1])$ , then there exists a continuous homomorphism  $\Psi: \mathbf{R}_x \rightarrow G$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_x$  denotes the multiplicative group of the positive reals, such that  $f(n) = \Psi(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

For the case  $A=2$  and  $B=-1$  the complete characterization of  $\mathcal{A}_G^*(D[2, -1])$  and  $\mathcal{A}_G^*(\Delta[2, -1])$  has been given by Z. DARÓCZY and I. KÁTAI [3], [4].

In a recent paper [5] we gave a complete characterization of  $\mathcal{A}_G^*(E[A, B])$  and  $\mathcal{A}_G^*(\Delta[A, B])$ . Namely we showed that

$$\mathcal{A}_G^*(E[A, B]) = \mathcal{A}_G^*(\Delta[A, B])$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_G^*(\Delta[A, B]) = \mathcal{A}_G^*(\Delta[1, 1]).$$

In the other words, if  $f \in \mathcal{A}_G^*(E[A, B]) = \mathcal{A}_G^*(\Delta[A, B])$ , then there is a continuous homomorphism  $\Psi: \mathbf{R}_x \rightarrow G$  such that  $f(n) = \Psi(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

Our main purpose in this paper is to give a complete determination of  $\mathcal{A}_G^*(D[A, B])$ . We note that it is enough to characterize those classes  $\mathcal{A}_G^*(D[A, B])$  for which  $(A, B) = 1$ , since

$$\mathcal{A}_G^*(D[Ad, Bd]) = \mathcal{A}_G^*(D[A, B])$$

holds for each  $d \in \mathbf{N}$ .

We shall prove the following

*Theorem. Let  $A > 0$  and  $B \neq 0$  be fixed integers for which  $(A, B) = 1$  and let  $G$  be a metricaly compact Abelian topological group. If  $f \in \mathcal{A}_G^*(D[A, B])$ , then there are  $U \in \mathcal{A}_G^*$  and a continuous homomorphims  $\Phi: \mathbf{R}_x \rightarrow G$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_x$  denotes the multiplicative group of positive reals, such that*

(I) 
$$f(n) = \Phi(n) + U(n) \quad \forall n \in \mathbf{N},$$

(II) 
$$U(n + A) = U(n) \quad \forall n \in \mathbf{N}, (n, A) = 1,$$

(III) *If  $X_1, \Gamma$  denote the set of all limit points of  $\{\Phi(n) | n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  and  $\{U(n) | n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ , respectively, then*

$$X_1 \cap \Gamma = \{0\}$$

and  $\Gamma$  is the smallest closed group generated by

$$\{U(m) | 1 \leq m < A, (m, A) = 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{U(p) | p \text{ is prime, } p | A\}.$$

*Conversely, let  $\Phi: \mathbf{R}_x \rightarrow G$  be an arbitrary continuous homomorphism,  $X_1$  be the smallest compact supgroup generated by  $\{\Phi(n) | n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ . Let  $U \in \mathcal{A}_G^*$  be so chosen that  $U(n + A) = U(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $(n, A) = 1$  and the smallest closed group  $\Gamma$  generated by  $U(\mathbf{N})$  has the property  $X_1 \cap \Gamma = \{0\}$ . Then the function*

$$f(n) := \Phi(n) + U(n)$$

*belongs to  $\mathcal{A}_G^*(D[A, B])$ .*

### 2. Preliminary lemmas

In this section we shall prove some results which will be used in the proof of our theorem.

Lemma 1. *We have*

$$\mathcal{A}_G^*(D[A, B]) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_G^*(D[A, 1])$$

for all fixed integers  $A > 0$  and  $B \neq 0$ .

Proof. Let  $A > 0, B \neq 0$  be fixed integers. Assume that

$$f \in \mathcal{A}_G^*(D[A, B]).$$

Let

$$n_1 < \dots < n_v < \dots \quad (n_v \in \mathbb{N})$$

be an infinite sequence for which the sequence  $\{f(n_v)\}_{v=1}^\infty$  is convergent. Then, it is obvious that the sequence  $\{f(|B|n_v)\}_{v=1}^\infty$  has also a limit, consequently we get from the definition of  $\mathcal{A}_G^*(D[A, B])$  that

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} f \left[ An_v + \frac{B}{|B|} \right] = \lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} f[A|B|n_v + B] - f(|B|)$$

exists as well. This implies in the case  $B > 0$  that  $f \in \mathcal{A}_G^*(D[A, 1])$ .

We now assume that  $B < 0$ . In this case we have  $f \in \mathcal{A}_G^*(D[A, -1])$ . Since  $\{f(n_v)\}_{v=1}^\infty$  is convergent, therefore the sequence  $\{f(An_v^2)\}_{v=1}^\infty$  is convergent, too. Thus, by using the fact  $f \in \mathcal{A}_G^*(D[A, -1])$ , it follows that the following limit exists:

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} f(An_v + 1) = \lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} f[(An_v)^2 - 1] - \lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} f[An_v - 1].$$

This shows that  $f \in \mathcal{A}_G^*(D[A, 1])$ .

So we have proved Lemma 1.

In the following we assume that  $A > 0, B \neq 0$  are fixed integers and  $G$  is a metrically compact Abelian topological group. Let

$$f \in \mathcal{A}_G^*(D[A, B]).$$

We shall denote by  $X$  the set of limit points of  $\{f(n)|n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , i.e.  $g \in X$  if there exists a sequence

$$n_1 < \dots < n_v < \dots \quad (n_v \in \mathbb{N})$$

for which  $f(n_v) \rightarrow g$ . Let  $X_1 (\subseteq X)$  be the set of limit points of  $\{f(An+1)|n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Since  $\mathbb{N}$  and the positive integers  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{A}$  form semigroups, therefore  $\{f(n)|n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $\{f(An+1)|n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  are semigroups as well. Thus,  $X$  and  $X_1$  are closed semigroups in the compact group  $G$ , so by a known theorem (see [6], Theorem

(9.16) they are compact subgroups in  $G$ . Since  $0 \in X_1 \subseteq X$  we have  $f(n) \in X$  and  $f(An+1) \in X_1$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $g \in X$  and  $f(n_\nu) \rightarrow g$  as  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ . Then, by using Lemma 1, it follows that the sequence  $\{f(An_\nu+1)\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$  is convergent. Let  $f(An_\nu+1) \rightarrow g' (\in X_1)$ . It is easily seen that  $g'$  is determined by  $g$ , and so the correspondence

$$H: g \rightarrow g' \quad (g \in X, g' \in X_1)$$

is a function.

**Lemma 2.** *The function  $H: X \rightarrow X_1$  is continuous and*

$$H(X) = X_1.$$

**Proof.** We can prove Lemma 2 by the same method as was used in [1] (see Lemma 4 and Lemma 5), so we omit the proof.

**Lemma 3.** *We have*

$$(2.1) \quad H(g+h+f(A)) + H(g) = H(g + H(h + H(g)))$$

for all  $g \in X$  and  $h \in X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $g \in X$  and  $h \in X$  be arbitrary elements. Let

$$n_1 < \dots < n_\nu < \dots \quad \text{and} \quad m_1 < \dots < m_\nu < \dots \quad (n_\nu, m_\nu \in \mathbb{N})$$

be such sequences for which  $f(n_\nu) \rightarrow g$  and  $f(m_\nu) \rightarrow h$ . By using the following relation

$$(A^2 n_\nu m_\nu + 1)(An_\nu + 1) = An_\nu [Am_\nu (An_\nu + 1) + 1] + 1$$

and using the definition of  $H$ , we get immediately that (2.1) holds. So, we have proved Lemma 3.

**Lemma 4.** *Let*

$$E(f) := \{\varrho \in X \mid H(\varrho) = 0\}.$$

Then  $E(f) \neq \emptyset$ . Furthermore, if  $\varrho \in E(f)$ , then

$$(2.2) \quad H(k\varrho + (k-1)f(A)) = 0$$

for every integer  $k$ . In particular, we have

$$(2.3) \quad H(-f(A)) = 0.$$

**Proof.** Since  $X_1$  is a group, therefore  $0 \in X_1$ . Thus, it follows from  $H(X) = X_1$  that there is at least one  $\varrho \in X$  for which  $H(\varrho) = 0$ . Then  $E(f) \neq \emptyset$ . Furthermore, it is easily seen from (2.1) that

$$(2.4) \quad H(\varrho_1 + \varrho_2 + f(A)) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad H(\varrho_1) = H(\varrho_2) = 0.$$

Assume that  $\varrho \in E(f)$ , i.e.  $H(\varrho)=0$ . By using (2.4) and induction on  $k$  we get immediately that (2.2) holds for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let

$$V_\varrho = \{k(\varrho + f(A)) \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Since (2.2) holds for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , therefore we have

$$(2.5) \quad H(\delta - f(A)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \delta \in V_\varrho.$$

Let  $\bar{V}_\varrho$  be the smallest closed set containing  $V_\varrho$ . It is clear that  $V_\varrho$  is a semigroup, therefore  $\bar{V}_\varrho$  is a closed semigroup in  $G$ . Thus, by using a known theorem of [6], we get that  $\bar{V}_\varrho$  is a compact group. Since  $H$  is continuous function and  $\bar{V}_\varrho$  is the smallest closed set containing  $V_\varrho$ , it follows that (2.5) holds for all  $\delta \in \bar{V}_\varrho$ , consequently (2.2) holds for every integer  $k$ . So (2.2) is proved.

Finally, by applying (2.2) with  $k=0$ , we obtain (2.3).

The proof of Lemma 4 is finished.

Lemma 5. *We have*

$$(2.6) \quad H(g + \tau) = H(g) + \tau$$

for all  $g \in X$  and  $\tau \in X_1$ .

Proof. We first prove that

$$(2.7) \quad H(\tau - f(A)) = \tau \quad \text{for all } \tau \in X_1$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad H(g - H(g)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } g \in X.$$

Let  $\tau \in X_1$ . Then, it follows from  $H(X) = X_1$  that there is one  $h \in X$  such that  $H(h) = \tau$ . We apply (2.1) with  $g = -f(A)$  and using (2.3), we have

$$H(H(h) - f(A)) = H(h),$$

which with  $H(h) = \tau$  proves (2.7). It is clear that (2.8) is a consequence of (2.1) and (2.3) in the case  $h + H(g) = -f(A)$ .

We now prove Lemma 5.

Let  $g \in X$  and  $\tau \in X_1$  be arbitrary elements. By using (2.8), we have

$$H[(g + \tau) - H(g + \tau)] = 0$$

and

$$H[g - H(g)] = 0.$$

Applying Lemma 4 with  $\varrho = g - H(g)$  and  $k = -1$ , we get that

$$H[-g + H(g) - 2f(A)] = 0.$$

Let

$$\varrho_1 := g + \tau - H(g + \tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \varrho_2 := -g + H(g) - 2f(A).$$

Then  $H(\varrho_1) = H(\varrho_2) = 0$ , and so by (2.4) we have

$$H[(g + \tau - H(g + \tau)) + (-g + H(g) - 2f(A)) + f(A)] = 0,$$

i.e.

$$(2.9) \quad H[(\tau - H(g + \tau) + H(g)) - f(A)] = 0.$$

Since  $\tau \in X_1$ ,  $H(g + \tau) \in X_1$ ,  $H(g) \in X_1$  and  $X_1$  is a group, therefore

$$(2.10) \quad \tau - H(g + \tau) + H(g) \in X_1.$$

Finally, from (2.7), (2.9) and (2.10) we get that

$$\tau - H(g + \tau) + H(g) = 0,$$

which proves (2.6).

So we have proved Lemma 5.

**Lemma 6.** *We have*

$$(2.11) \quad H(g + h + f(A)) = H(g + h) + H(0) = H(g) + H(h)$$

for all  $g \in X$  and  $h \in X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $g \in X$  and  $h \in X$ . Since  $H(h + H(g)) \in X_1$  and  $H(g) \in X_1$ , by using Lemma 5, we have

$$H(g + H(h + H(g))) = H(g) + H(h + H(g)) = H(g) + H(h) + H(g).$$

This with (2.1) implies that

$$(2.12) \quad H(g + h + f(A)) = H(g) + H(h).$$

Thus, (2.12) holds for all  $g \in X$  and  $h \in X$ .

On the other hand, we get from (2.12) that

$$H(g + h + f(A)) = H(g + h) + H(0).$$

This with (2.12) shows that (2.11) holds for all  $g \in X$  and  $h \in X$ . The proof of Lemma 6 is finished.

### 3. Proof of the theorem

Assume that  $A > 0$  and  $B \neq 0$  are fixed integers for which  $(A, B) = 1$  and  $G$  is a metrically compact Abelian topological group. Let

$$f \in \mathcal{A}_G^*(D[A, B]).$$

As in the Section 2, we denote by  $X$  and  $X_1$  the set of limit points of  $\{f(n) | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $\{f(An + 1) | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , respectively. Let  $H: X \rightarrow X_1$  be a continuous function which is defined in Section 2, i.e., if  $f(n_\nu) \rightarrow g$ , then  $f(An_\nu + 1) \rightarrow H(g)$ .

For an arbitrary  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $S(n)$  be the product of all prime factors of  $n$  composed from the prime divisors of  $A$ ,  $R(n)$  be defined by  $n = S(n) \cdot R(n)$ , i.e.  $(A, R(n)) = 1$  and every prime divisor of  $S(n)$  is a divisor of  $A$ . Let  $\bar{R}(n)$  be the smallest positive integer for which

$$\bar{R}(n) \equiv R(n) \pmod{A}.$$

It is obvious that  $(\bar{R}(n), A) = 1$  and  $1 \leq \bar{R}(n) < A$ .

Lemma 7. *Let*

$$(3.1) \quad U(n) := f[S(n) \cdot \bar{R}(n)] + H(0) - H[f[S(n) \cdot \bar{R}(n)]].$$

Then, we have

$$(3.2) \quad H(f(n)) - f(n) - H(0) + U(n) = 0$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Proof. Let  $\bar{H}: X \rightarrow X_1$  be the function which is defined by the relation  $\bar{H}(g) = H(g) - H(0)$ . Then, it is easily seen from Lemma 5 and Lemma 6 that

$$(3.3) \quad \bar{H}(g+h) = \bar{H}(g) + \bar{H}(h) \quad \forall g, h \in X,$$

$$(3.4) \quad \bar{H}(\tau) = \tau \quad \forall \tau \in X_1$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \bar{H}(X) = X_1.$$

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $c(n)$  be the smallest positive integer for which  $R(n) \cdot c(n) \equiv 1 \pmod{A}$ . Then, it is obvious that

$$f[R(n) \cdot c(n)] \in X_1 \quad \text{and} \quad f[\bar{R}(n) \cdot c(n)] \in X_1$$

hold for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By using (3.3) and (3.4), we deduce that

$$\bar{H}[f(n)] + \bar{H}[f(c(n))] = \bar{H}[f(n \cdot c(n))] = f[R(n) \cdot c(n)] + \bar{H}[f(S(n))]$$

and

$$\bar{H}[f(\bar{R}(n))] + \bar{H}[f(c(n))] = \bar{H}[f(\bar{R}(n) \cdot c(n))] = f(\bar{R}(n) \cdot c(n)).$$

These imply that

$$\bar{H}[f(n)] - \bar{H}[f(\bar{R}(n))] = f(R(n)) - f(\bar{R}(n)) + \bar{H}[f(S(n))],$$

consequently

$$\bar{H}[f(n)] - f(n) + \{f(S(n) \cdot \bar{R}(n)) - \bar{H}[f(S(n) \cdot \bar{R}(n))]\} = 0.$$

This with (3.1) proves (3.2)

Lemma 8. *We have*

(i)  $U \in \mathcal{A}_G^*$ ,

(ii)  $U(n+A) = U(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(n, A) = 1$ ,

(iii) If  $\{a_1, \dots, a_{\varphi(A)}\}$  is a reduced residue system moduls  $A$ , then  $U(a_1), \dots, U(a_{\varphi(A)})$  form a group in  $G$ .

(iv) Let  $\Gamma$  denote the set of all limit points of  $\{U(n)|n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is the smallest closed group generated by  $U(a_1), \dots, U(a_{\varphi(A)}), U(p_1), \dots, U(p_{\omega(A)})$ , where  $\{a_1, \dots, a_{\varphi(A)}\}$  is a reduced residue system moduls  $A$  and  $p_1, \dots, p_{\omega(A)}$  are all distinct prime factors of  $A$ . Furthermore, we have

$$X_1 \cap \Gamma = \{0\}.$$

Proof. Parts (i) and (ii) follow at once from the definition of  $U$  and Lemma 7. The part (iii) is a consequence of (i) and (ii). To prove (iv) we first note that  $\Gamma$  is a closed semigroup in  $G$ , and so  $\Gamma$  is a group by Theorem (9.16) of [6]. Hence by (ii) it follows that  $\Gamma$  is the smallest closed group generated by  $U(a_1), \dots, U(a_{\varphi(A)}), U(p_1), \dots, U(p_{\omega(A)})$ .

Since  $X_1, \Gamma$  are subgroups in  $G$ , therefore  $0 \in X_1 \cap \Gamma$ . Let us assume that  $\delta \in X_1 \cap \Gamma$ . Then there is a sequence  $\{n_v\}_{v=1}^\infty$  for which  $U(n_v) \rightarrow \delta$ . Applying (3.2) with  $n = n_v$ , we have

$$(3.6) \quad H[f(n_v)] - f(n_v) - H(0) + U(n_v) = 0.$$

Since  $G$  is sequentially compact, therefore the sequence  $\{f(n_v)\}_{v=1}^\infty$  contains at least one limit point. Let

$$f(n_{v_j}) \rightarrow g \quad ( \in X).$$

Then, by (3.6) and using the fact  $H$  is continuous, we get

$$H(g) - g - H(0) + \delta = 0,$$

which with  $H(g) - H(0) + \delta \in X_1$  implies that  $g \in X_1$ . So, by Lemma 5

$$\delta = g + H(0) - H(g) = 0.$$

Thus, we have proved that  $X_1 \cap \Gamma = \{0\}$ . This completes the proof of (iv).

The proof of Lemma 8 is finished.

We now prove the theorem. We first show that

$$(3.7) \quad f(An + 1) - H(f(n)) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Assume the contrary. Let

$$(3.8) \quad f(An_v + 1) - H(f(n_v)) \rightarrow \lambda \neq 0 \quad \text{as } v \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since the sequence  $\{f(n_v)\}_{v=1}^\infty$  contains at least one limit point, we can find a sub-sequence  $\{n_{v_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of the sequence  $\{n_v\}_{v=1}^\infty$  such that  $f(n_{v_j}) \rightarrow g \quad ( \in X)$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Using the continuity of  $H$ , by (3.8) we have

$$H(g) - H(g) = \lambda,$$

which is contradiction. Thus, we have proved (3.7). From (3.2) and (3.7) we get



immediately

$$(3.9) \quad f(An+1) - f(n) - H(0) + U(n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let

$$F(n) := f(n) - U(n) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

It is obvious by Lemma 8 that  $F \in \mathcal{A}_G^*$  and

$$F(An+1) = f(An+1) - U(An+1) = f(An+1)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This with (3.9) implies

$$F(An+1) - F(n) - H(0) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

consequently  $F \in \mathcal{A}_G^*(A[A, 1])$ . It was proved in [5] that if  $F \in \mathcal{A}_G^*(A[A, 1])$ , then there is a continuous homomorphism  $\Phi: \mathbb{R}_x \rightarrow G$  such that  $F(n) = \Phi(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\mathbb{R}_x$  denotes the multiplicative group of positive reals. Thus, we have proved that

$$(3.10) \quad f(n) = \Phi(n) + U(n),$$

where  $U$  satisfies the conditions (i)–(iv) of Lemma 8. By (3.2) and (3.10) we also have

$$\Phi(n) = H(f(n)) - H(0) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

therefore it follows from (3.5) that the set of all limit points of  $\{\Phi(n) | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is  $X_1$ .

So we have proved the first part of our theorem.

Finally, let  $\Phi: \mathbb{R}_x \rightarrow G$  be a continuous homomorphism and let  $U \in \mathcal{A}_G^*$  be so chosen that

$$(3.11) \quad U(n+A) = U(n) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (n, A) = 1$$

and

$$X_1 \cap \Gamma = \{0\}$$

where  $X_1, \Gamma$  denote the smallest closed groups in  $G$  which are generated by  $\Phi(\mathbb{N})$  and  $U(\mathbb{N})$ , respectively.

Let

$$f(n) := \Phi(n) + U(n) \in \mathcal{A}_G^*.$$

Assume that for some subsequence  $\{n_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$  of positive integers the sequence  $\{f(n_\nu)\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$  converges. Then, by using  $\Phi(n_\nu) \in X_1$ ,  $U(n_\nu) \in \Gamma$  and  $X_1 \cap \Gamma = \{0\}$ , we deduce that the sequences  $\{\Phi(n_\nu)\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$  and  $\{U(n_\nu)\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$  are convergent, therefore by (3.11) and  $(A, B) = 1$  we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} f(An_\nu + B) &= \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \{\Phi(An_\nu + B) + U(An_\nu + B)\} = \\ &= \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(An_\nu + B) + U(B) = \Phi(A) + U(B) + \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(n_\nu) \end{aligned}$$

exists as well. So we have proved that  $f \in \mathcal{A}_G^*(D[A, B])$ .

The proof of our theorem is finished.

*Acknowledgements.* I am thankful to Professor I. Kátai for the indication of the problem and his help in the preparation of this paper.

### References

- [1] Z. DARÓCZY and I. KÁTAI, On additive number-theoretical functions with values in a compact Abelian group, *Aequationes Math.*, **28** (1985), 288—292.
- [2] Z. DARÓCZY and I. KÁTAI, On additive arithmetical functions with values in topological groups. I, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **33** (1986), 287—291.
- [3] Z. DARÓCZY and I. KÁTAI, Characterization of additive functions with values in the circle group, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **36** (1989), 1—7.
- [4] Z. DARÓCZY and I. KÁTAI, A supplement to our paper “Characterization of additive functions with values in the circle group”, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, in print.
- [5] B. M. PHONG, Characterization of additive functions with values in a compact Abelian group, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **40** (1992), 273—278.
- [6] E. HEWITT and K. A. ROSS, *Abstract harmonic analysis*, Springer (Berlin, 1963).

EÖTVÖS LORÁND UNIVERSITY  
COMPUTER CENTER  
BUDAPEST, H-1117  
BOGDÁNFY ÚT 10/B.