

On the generalized absolute summability of double series

Z. NÉMETH

Dedicated to Professor Béla Csákány on his 60th birthday

1. Introduction. As usual we denote by $\sigma_n^{(\alpha)}$ the n -th Cesaro means of order α of a series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ and $\tau_n^{(\alpha)}$ the n -th Cesaro means of the sequence $\{na_n\}$. The following definition is due to FLETT [3]: A series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ is said to be summable $|C, \alpha, u|_{\lambda}$, $\alpha > -1$, $u \geq 0$, $\lambda \geq 1$, if the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\alpha} n^{\lambda u + \lambda - 1} |\sigma_n^{(\alpha)} - \sigma_{n-1}^{(\alpha)}|^{\lambda} \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\alpha} n^{\lambda u - 1} |\tau_n^{(\alpha)}|^{\lambda}$$

converges.

In this note we consider the following definition of the generalized absolute Cesaro summability of double series

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i,k=0}^{\infty} a_{i,k}.$$

Let us denote by $\sigma_{m,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ the (m, n) -th Cesaro mean of order (α, β) of series (1), that is,

$$(2) \quad \sigma_{m,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)} = \frac{1}{A_m^{(\alpha)}} \frac{1}{A_n^{(\beta)}} \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n A_{m-i}^{(\alpha)} A_{n-k}^{(\beta)} a_{i,k}, \quad m, n = -1, 0, 1, \dots,$$

such that in the cases $\min(m, n) = -1$ we define $\sigma_{m,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)} = 0$, where $A_m^{(\alpha)}$ denotes the Cesaro numbers, namely, $A_0^{(\alpha)} \equiv 1$ and $A_m^{(\alpha)} = \frac{(1+\alpha)(2+\alpha)\dots(m+\alpha)}{m!}$, $\alpha \neq -1, -2, \dots$

This research was partially supported by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research under Grant # 234.

Received May 24, 1988 and in revised form October 12, 1990.

Considering the notations

$$z_{m,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)} = m(\sigma_{m,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)} - \sigma_{m-1,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}) = \frac{1}{A_m^{(\alpha)} A_n^{(\beta)}} \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n A_{m-i}^{(\alpha-1)} A_{n-k}^{(\beta)} i a_{i,k},$$

$$t_{m,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)} = n(\sigma_{m,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)} - \sigma_{m,n-1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}) = \frac{1}{A_m^{(\alpha)} A_n^{(\beta)}} \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n A_{m-i}^{(\alpha)} A_{n-k}^{(\beta-1)} k a_{i,k}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{m,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)} &= mn(\sigma_{m,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)} - \sigma_{m-1,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)} - \sigma_{m,n-1}^{(\alpha,\beta)} + \sigma_{m-1,n-1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}) = \\ &= \frac{1}{A_m^{(\alpha)} A_n^{(\beta)}} \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n A_{m-i}^{(\alpha-1)} A_{n-k}^{(\beta-1)} i k a_{i,k}, \quad m, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

series (1) is said to be summable $|C, (\alpha, \beta), (u, v)|_\lambda$, where $\alpha, \beta > -1$, $u, v \geq 0$, $\lambda \geq 1$ if

$$(3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{\lambda u - 1} |z_{i,0}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^\lambda < \infty,$$

$$(4) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{\lambda v - 1} |t_{0,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^\lambda < \infty$$

and

$$(5) \quad \sum_{i,k=1}^{\infty} i^{\lambda u - 1} k^{\lambda v - 1} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^\lambda < \infty.$$

The concept of summability $|C, (\alpha, \beta), (0, 0)|_1$ is well known (see e.g. [1], pp. 209—214). The generalized absolute Cesaro summability of double series was investigated by MÓRICZ [7] and SZALAY [8]. The fundamental theorems of summability $|C, \alpha, u|_\lambda$ were proved by FLETT (see [3], Theorems 1, 3; 4 and 7).

2. Main results. The aim of this paper is to extend the fundamental theorems for the double series (1). The author would like to thank I. Szalay for pointing out this generalization and his valuable hints.

Theorem 1.* *Let $\lambda \geq 1$, $u, v \geq 0$, $\alpha > \lambda u - 1$ and $\beta > \lambda v - 1$. If $\gamma, \delta \geq 0$ then the summability $|C, (\alpha, \beta), (u, v)|_\lambda$ of series (1) implies the summability $|C, (\alpha + \gamma, \beta + \delta), (u, v)|_\lambda$, moreover the inequalities*

$$(6) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{\lambda u - 1} |z_{m,0}^{(\alpha+\gamma, \beta+\delta)}|^\lambda \leq K \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{\lambda u - 1} |z_{m,0}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^\lambda,$$

$$(7) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\lambda v - 1} |t_{0,n}^{(\alpha+\gamma, \beta+\delta)}|^\lambda \leq K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\lambda v - 1} |t_{0,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^\lambda$$

*) Throughout this article K denotes a positive constant, not necessarily the same at each occurrence which does not depend on addition indices and the formal sum $\sum_{i=0}^{-1}$ means 0.

and

$$(8) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^{\lambda u-1} n^{\lambda v-1} |\tau_{m,n}^{(\alpha+\gamma, \beta+\delta)}|^{\lambda} \leq K \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^{\lambda u-1} n^{\lambda v-1} |\tau_{m,n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}|^{\lambda}$$

hold.

Theorem 2. Let $u, v \geq 0, \alpha > \lambda u - 1$ and $\beta > \lambda v - 1$. If

i) $\mu > \lambda > 1$ and $\delta = 1/\lambda - 1/\mu$

or

ii) $\mu > \lambda = 1$ and $\delta > 1/\lambda - 1/\mu$

then the summability $|C, (\alpha, \beta), (u, v)|_{\lambda}$ of series (1) implies the summability $|C, (\alpha + \gamma, \beta + \delta), (u, v)|_{\mu}$, moreover the inequalities

$$(9) \quad \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{\mu u-1} |z_{m,0}^{(\alpha+\delta, \beta+\delta)}|^{\mu} \right\}^{1/\mu} \leq K \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{\lambda u-1} |z_{m,0}^{(\alpha, \beta)}|^{\lambda} \right\}^{1/\lambda},$$

$$(10) \quad \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\mu v-1} |t_{0,n}^{(\alpha+\delta, \beta+\delta)}|^{\mu} \right\}^{1/\mu} \leq K \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\lambda v-1} |t_{0,n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}|^{\lambda} \right\}^{1/\lambda}$$

and

$$(11) \quad \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^{\mu u-1} n^{\mu v-1} |\tau_{m,n}^{(\alpha+\delta, \beta+\delta)}|^{\mu} \right\}^{1/\mu} \leq K \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^{\lambda u-1} n^{\lambda v-1} |\tau_{m,n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}|^{\lambda} \right\}^{1/\lambda}$$

hold.

We remark that part i) of Theorem 2, together with Theorem 1 is sharper than a former result of SZALAY ([8], Theorem 1).

Theorem 3. If $\lambda \geq 1, u, v \geq 0, \alpha > \lambda u - 1, \beta > \lambda v - 1, \xi \leq u, \eta \leq v, \gamma \geq \xi - u, \delta \geq \eta - v, \alpha + \gamma, \beta + \delta > -1$, and series (1) is $|C, (\alpha, \beta), (u, v)|_{\lambda}$ summable, then the inequalities

$$(12) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{\lambda \xi-1} |z_{m,0}^{(\alpha+\gamma, \beta+\delta)}|^{\lambda} \leq K \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{\lambda u-1} |z_{m,0}^{(\alpha, \beta)}|^{\lambda},$$

$$(13) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\lambda \eta-1} |t_{0,n}^{(\alpha+\gamma, \beta+\delta)}|^{\lambda} \leq K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\lambda v-1} |t_{0,n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}|^{\lambda}$$

and

$$(14) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^{\lambda \xi-1} n^{\lambda \eta-1} |\tau_{m,n}^{(\alpha+\gamma, \beta+\delta)}|^{\lambda} \leq K \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^{\lambda u-1} n^{\lambda v-1} |\tau_{m,n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}|^{\lambda}$$

are valid.

Using Theorem 3, in the case of parameters $u=v=0, -\alpha \leq \xi \leq 0, -\beta \leq \eta \leq 0, \gamma = \xi$ and $\delta = \eta$ and writing $\xi' = -\xi, \eta' = -\eta$ we have the following

Corollary 1. If $\lambda \geq 1$, $0 \leq \xi' \leq \alpha$, $0 \leq \eta' \leq \beta$ and series (1) is $|C, (\alpha, \beta), (0, 0)|_\lambda$ summable, then the following inequalities

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-1-\lambda\xi'} |z_{m,0}^{(\alpha-\xi', \beta-\eta')}|^\lambda \leq K \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-1} |z_{m,0}^{(\alpha, \beta)}|^\lambda,$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1-\lambda\eta'} |t_{0,n}^{(\alpha-\xi', \beta-\eta')}|^\lambda \leq K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} |t_{0,n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}|^\lambda$$

and

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^{-1-\lambda\xi'} n^{-1-\lambda\eta'} |\tau_{m,n}^{(\alpha-\xi', \beta-\eta')}|^\lambda \leq K \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^{-1} n^{-1} |\tau_{m,n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}|^\lambda$$

hold.

Considering the case $\alpha = \xi'$, $\beta = \eta'$ a further specialization is the

Corollary 2. If $\lambda \geq 1$, $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and series (1) is $|C, (\alpha, \beta), (0, 0)|_\lambda$ summable, then

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{\lambda-1-\lambda\alpha} |a_{m,0}|^\lambda \leq K \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-1} |z_{m,0}^{(\alpha, \beta)}|^\lambda,$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\lambda-1-\lambda\beta} |a_{0,n}|^\lambda \leq K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} |t_{0,n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}|^\lambda$$

and

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^{\lambda-1-\lambda\alpha} n^{\lambda-1-\lambda\beta} |a_{m,n}|^\lambda \leq K \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^{-1} n^{-1} |\tau_{m,n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}|^\lambda$$

are valid.

The Corollary 2 is a useful necessary condition of the generalized absolute Cesaro summability of double series and it is an extension of results of KOGBETLIANZ ([5], Théorème VI), FLETT ([2], Theorem 3) and ZAK and TIMAN ([11], § 3, Theorem 3).

Theorem 4. If $\lambda > \mu \geq 1$, $u, v \geq 0$, $\alpha > \mu u - 1$, $\beta > \mu v - 1$, $\xi \leq u$, $\eta \leq v$, $\gamma > \xi - u$, $\delta > \eta - v$ and series (1) is $|C, (\alpha, \beta), (u, v)|_\lambda$ summable, then the inequalities

$$(15) \quad \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{\mu\xi-1} |z_{m,0}^{(\alpha+\gamma, \beta+\delta)}|^\mu \right\}^{1/\mu} \leq K \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{\lambda u-1} |z_{m,0}^{(\alpha, \beta)}|^\lambda \right\}^{1/\lambda},$$

$$(16) \quad \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\mu\eta-1} |t_{0,n}^{(\alpha+\gamma, \beta+\delta)}|^\mu \right\}^{1/\mu} \leq K \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\lambda v-1} |t_{0,n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}|^\lambda \right\}^{1/\lambda}$$

and

$$(17) \quad \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^{\mu\xi-1} n^{\mu\eta-1} |\tau_{m,n}^{(\alpha+\gamma, \beta+\delta)}|^\mu \right\}^{1/\mu} \leq K \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^{\lambda u-1} n^{\lambda v-1} |\tau_{m,n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}|^\lambda \right\}^{1/\lambda}$$

hold.

We remark that in cases $\xi, \eta \geq 0$ Theorems 3 and 4 mean, in other words, that if the suitable conditions are satisfied, the summability $|C, (\alpha, \beta), (u, v)|_\lambda$ of series (1) implies the summability $|C, (\alpha + \gamma, \beta + \delta), (\xi, \eta)|_\lambda$ and $|C, (\alpha + \gamma, \beta + \delta), (\xi, \eta)|_\mu$, respectively.

Series (1) is said to be summable $(C, (\alpha, \beta))$, $\alpha, \beta > -1$ to S , if the double sequence (2) is bounded and converges to S in Pringsheim's sense. Finally we have

Theorem 5. *If $\lambda > 1, u, v > 0, \alpha > u - 1, \beta > v - 1, \gamma > \alpha - u - 1/\lambda > 0$ and $\delta > \beta - v - 1/\lambda > 0$ then the summability $|C, (\alpha, \beta), (u, v)|_\lambda$ of series (1) implies the summability $(C, (\gamma, \delta))$.*

Part 4 of this note contains some negative results. We show that Theorem 2 is the best possible. In relation to Theorems 3 and 4 we show that the parameter u (or v) of summability cannot be increased by no means and parameter λ cannot be decreased if parameters u, v are fixed.

3. Proof of Theorems. If $\tau_n^{(\alpha)}$ denotes the n -th (C, α) mean of the sequence $\{na_n\}$ then it is well known that if $\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \gamma, \beta + \delta \neq -1, -2, \dots$, then

$$(18) \quad \tau_n^{(\alpha+\delta)} = \frac{1}{A_n^{(\alpha+\delta)}} \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k}^{(\delta-1)} A_k^{(\alpha)} \tau_k^{(\alpha)},$$

$$(19) \quad \tau_{m,n}^{(\alpha+\gamma, \beta+\delta)} = \frac{1}{A_m^{(\alpha+\gamma)} A_n^{(\beta+\delta)}} \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n A_{m-i}^{(\gamma-1)} A_{n-k}^{(\delta-1)} A_i^{(\alpha)} A_k^{(\beta)} \tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha, \beta)},$$

$$A_n^{(\beta+\delta)} = \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k}^{(\delta-1)} A_k^{(\beta)} \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

moreover

$$(20) \quad A_n^{(\alpha)}/n^\alpha \rightarrow 1/\Gamma(\alpha + 1) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

In order to prove Theorems we require the following lemmas.

Lemma 1 (SZALAY [9]). *If $\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \gamma, \beta + \delta \neq -1, -2, \dots$, then for any $m, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$*

$$z_{m,n}^{(\alpha+\gamma, \beta+\delta)} = \frac{1}{A_m^{(\alpha+\gamma)} A_n^{(\beta+\delta)}} \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n A_{m-i}^{(\gamma-1)} A_{n-k}^{(\delta-1)} A_i^{(\alpha)} A_k^{(\beta)} z_{i,k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$$

and

$$t_{m,n}^{(\alpha+\gamma, \beta+\delta)} = \frac{1}{A_m^{(\alpha+\gamma)} A_n^{(\beta+\delta)}} \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n A_{m-i}^{(\gamma-1)} A_{n-k}^{(\delta-1)} A_i^{(\alpha)} A_k^{(\beta)} t_{i,k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}.$$

Lemma 2 (HARDY—LITTLEWOOD—PÓLYA [6]). *Let $\{d_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ be a non-negative se-*

quence. If $\mu > \lambda > 1$ and $\delta = 1/\lambda - 1/\mu$, then exists a $K = K(\lambda, \mu)$ constant, such that

$$\left\{ \sum_{m=0}^M \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (m-i)^{\delta-1} d_i \right)^\mu \right\}^{1/\mu} \leq K \left(\sum_{i=0}^M d_i^\lambda \right)^{1/\lambda}$$

is valid for any $M = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Lemma 3 (SZALAY [10]). Let $\{d_{i,k}\}_{i,k=0}^\infty$ be a non-negative double sequence. If $\mu > \lambda > 1$ and $\delta = 1/\lambda - 1/\mu$, then exists a $K = K(\lambda, \mu)$ constant, such that

$$\left\{ \sum_{m=0}^M \sum_{n=0}^N \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (m-i)^{\delta-1} (n-k)^{\delta-1} d_{i,k} \right)^\mu \right\}^{1/\mu} \leq K \left(\sum_{i=0}^M \sum_{k=0}^N d_{i,k}^\lambda \right)^{1/\lambda}$$

is valid for any $M, N = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Lemma 4 (ZAK—TIMAN [11]). If series (1) is $|C, (\gamma, \delta), (0, 0)|_1$ summable, then it is $(C, (\gamma, \delta))$ summable, too.

We remark that if $\lambda > 1$ then the summability $|C, (\gamma, \delta), (0, 0)|_\lambda$ does not imply the ordinary summability $(C, (\gamma, \delta))$.

Lemma 5. If $a_{i,k} = c_i$ for $k=0$ and $a_{i,k} = 0$ otherwise, then the $|C, (\alpha, \beta), (u, v)|_\lambda$ summability of series (1) and $|C, \alpha, u|_\lambda$ summability of the series $\sum_{i=0}^\infty c_i$ are equivalent. Similarly, if $a_{i,k} = c_k$ for $i=0$ and $a_{i,k} = 0$ otherwise, then the $|C, (\alpha, \beta), (u, v)|_\lambda$ summability of series (1) and $|C, \beta, v|_\lambda$ summability of the series $\sum_{k=0}^\infty c_k$ are equivalent.

Proof. A fairly trivial calculation gives that for any n, β

$$\sigma_{m,n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(a_{i,k}) = \frac{1}{A_m^{(\alpha)} A_n^{(\beta)}} \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n A_{m-i}^{(\alpha)} A_{n-k}^{(\beta)} a_{i,k} = \frac{1}{A_m^{(\alpha)}} \sum_{i=0}^m A_{m-i}^{(\alpha)} a_{i,0} = \sigma_m^{(\alpha)}(c_i)$$

and

$$z_{m,n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(a_{i,k}) = \frac{1}{A_m^{(\alpha)}} \sum_{i=0}^m A_{m-i}^{(\alpha-1)} i c_i \equiv \tau_m^{(\alpha)}(c_i), \quad t_{m,n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(a_{i,k}) = \tau_{m,n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(a_{i,k}) = 0,$$

so by (3)—(5) the statement is obvious.

Proof of Theorem 1. Considering (18) and Lemma 1, it is clear, that $z_{m,0}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$, the m -th τ -mean of order α of the single series $\sum_{i=0}^\infty a_{i,0}$, does not depend on β , hence the inequality (6) follows directly from FLETT's result ([3], Theorem 1). The proof of (7) is carried out analogously. In the case $\lambda > 1$, to verify (8) we use Hölder's inequality with indices λ and $\lambda/(\lambda-1)$. By (19) and (20) we obtain that for any

$M, N=1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^N m^{\lambda u-1} n^{\lambda v-1} |\tau_{m,n}^{(\lambda+\gamma,\beta)}|^\lambda \cong \\
 & \cong \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^N m^{\lambda u-1} n^{\lambda v-1} \left(\frac{1}{A_m^{(\alpha+\gamma)}} \sum_{i=0}^m A_{m-i}^{(\gamma-1)} A_i^{(\alpha)} |\tau_{i,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}| \right)^\lambda \cong \\
 (21) \quad & \cong \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^N m^{\lambda u-1} n^{\lambda v-1} \left(\frac{1}{A_m^{(\alpha+\gamma)}} \right)^\lambda \left(\sum_{i=0}^m A_{m-i}^{(\gamma-1)} A_i^{(\alpha)} |\tau_{i,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^\lambda \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^m A_{m-i}^{(\gamma-1)} A_i^{(\alpha)} \right)^{\lambda/\lambda'} \cong \\
 & \cong K \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^N m^{\lambda u-1} n^{\lambda v-1} m^{-\alpha-\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^m (m-i+1)^{\gamma-1} i^\alpha |\tau_{i,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^\lambda = \\
 & = K \sum_{n=1}^N n^{\lambda v-1} \sum_{i=1}^M i^\alpha |\tau_{i,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^\lambda \sum_{m=i}^M m^{\lambda u-\alpha-\gamma-1} (m-i+1)^{\gamma-1} \cong \\
 & \cong K \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^M i^{\lambda u-1} n^{\lambda v-1} |\tau_{i,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^\lambda,
 \end{aligned}$$

because a routine calculation gives that if $\gamma > 0$, then for any $M=1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{m=i}^M m^{\lambda u-\alpha-\gamma-1} (m-i+1)^{\gamma-1} \cong \\
 & \cong \sum_{m=i}^{2i} m^{\lambda u-\alpha-\gamma-1} (m-i+1)^{\gamma-1} + \sum_{m=2i}^{\infty} m^{\lambda u-\alpha-\gamma-1} (m-i+1)^{\gamma-1} \cong K i^{\lambda u-1-x}.
 \end{aligned}$$

A similar method can be used if $\delta > 0$. In the case $\lambda=1$, we prove (8) in the same way, omitting the last factor in (21).

Proof of Theorem 2. Inequalities (9) and (10) follow directly from FLETT's result ([3], Theorem 1) by similar arguments to the proof of (6) and (7). Turning to the proof of (11), we denote by S the sum of the series on the right side of (11).

In the case i), $\delta=1/\lambda-1/\mu$, by (19) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\tau_{m,n}^{(\alpha+\delta,\beta+\delta)}| & \cong \frac{1}{A_m^{(\alpha+\delta)} A_n^{(\beta+\delta)}} \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n A_{m-i}^{(\delta-1)} A_{n-k}^{(\delta-1)} A_i^{(\alpha)} A_k^{(\beta)} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}| \cong \\
 & \cong \frac{1}{A_m^{(\alpha+\delta)}} \frac{1}{A_n^{(\beta+\delta)}} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m/2} \sum_{k=0}^{n/2} + \sum_{i=0}^{m/2} \sum_{k=n/2}^n + \sum_{i=m/2}^m \sum_{k=0}^{n/2} + \sum_{i=m/2}^m \sum_{k=n/2}^n \right) \cong \\
 & \cong T_{m,n}^{(1)} + T_{m,n}^{(2)} + T_{m,n}^{(3)} + T_{m,n}^{(4)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (20) we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{m,n}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{A_m^{(\alpha+\delta)}} \frac{1}{A_n^{(\beta+\delta)}} \sum_{i=0}^{m/2} \sum_{k=0}^{n/2} A_{m-i}^{(\delta-1)} A_{n-k}^{(\delta-1)} A_i^{(\alpha)} A_k^{(\beta)} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}| \cong \\ &\cong K \frac{1}{A_m^{(\alpha+1)}} \frac{1}{A_n^{(\beta+1)}} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n A_i^{(\alpha)} A_k^{(\beta)} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|. \end{aligned}$$

Let ω be a number such that

$$\max(-\alpha - 1/\lambda + u, -\beta - 1/\lambda + v) < \omega < (\lambda - 1)/\lambda.$$

A routine calculation gives that

$$(22) \quad \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n i^{-\omega\lambda/(\lambda-1)} k^{-\omega\lambda/(\lambda-1)} \right\}^{(\lambda-1)/\lambda} \cong Km^{-\omega+(\lambda-1)/\lambda} n^{-\omega+(\lambda-1)/\lambda}.$$

Applying the Hölder inequality with indices μ , $\lambda/(\lambda-1)$, $\mu\lambda/(\mu-\lambda)$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{m,n}^{(1)} &\cong Km^{-\alpha-1} n^{-\beta-1} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n \{i^{\alpha+\omega-(\lambda u-1)(\mu-\lambda)/\lambda\mu} k^{\beta+\omega-(\lambda v-1)(\mu-\lambda)/\lambda\mu} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^{\lambda/\mu}\} \times \\ &\quad \times \{i^{-\omega} k^{-\omega}\} \{i^{(\lambda u-1)(\mu-\lambda)/\lambda\mu} k^{(\lambda v-1)(\mu-\lambda)/\lambda\mu} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^{(\mu-\lambda)/\mu}\} \cong \\ &\cong Km^{-\alpha-1} n^{-\beta-1} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n i^{\alpha+\omega\mu-(\lambda u-1)(\mu-\lambda)/\lambda} k^{\beta+\omega\mu-(\lambda v-1)(\mu-\lambda)/\lambda} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^{\lambda} \right\}^{1/\lambda} \times \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n i^{-\omega\lambda/(\lambda-1)} k^{-\omega\lambda/(\lambda-1)} \right\}^{(\lambda-1)/\lambda} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n i^{\lambda u-1} k^{\lambda v-1} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^{\lambda} \right\}^{(\mu-\lambda)/\lambda\mu} \cong \\ &\cong KS^{(\mu-\lambda)/\lambda\mu} m^{-\alpha-\omega-1/\lambda} n^{-\beta-\omega-1/\lambda} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n i^{\alpha+\omega\mu-(\lambda u-1)(\mu-\lambda)/\lambda} \times \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times k^{\beta+\omega\mu-(\lambda v-1)(\mu-\lambda)/\lambda} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^{\lambda} \right\}^{1/\mu}, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} (T_{m,n}^{(1)})^\mu &\cong KS^{(\mu-\lambda)/\lambda} m^{-\alpha\mu-\omega\mu-\mu/\lambda} n^{-\beta\mu-\omega\mu-\mu/\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n i^{\lambda u-1} k^{\lambda v-1} \times \\ &\quad \times |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^{\lambda} i^{(\alpha\lambda\mu+\omega\lambda\mu-u\lambda\mu+\mu)/\lambda} k^{(\beta\lambda\mu+\omega\lambda\mu-v\lambda\mu+\mu)/\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

and for any $M, N=1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^N m^{\mu u-1} n^{\mu v-1} (T_{m,n}^{(1)})^\mu &\cong KS^{(\mu-\lambda)/\mu} \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{k=1}^N i^{\lambda u-1} k^{\lambda v-1} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^{\lambda} \times \\ &\quad \times i^{(\alpha\lambda\mu+\omega\lambda\mu-u\lambda\mu+\mu)/\lambda} k^{(\beta\lambda\mu+\omega\lambda\mu-v\lambda\mu+\mu)/\lambda} \times \\ &\quad \times \sum_{m=i}^M \sum_{n=k}^N m^{-\alpha\mu-\omega\mu-\mu/\lambda+\mu u-1} n^{-\beta\mu-\omega\mu-\mu/\lambda+\mu v-1} \cong KS^{\mu/\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

because, with standard computation,

$$(23) \quad i^{(\alpha\lambda\mu + \omega\lambda\mu - u\lambda\mu + \mu)/\lambda} \sum_{m=i}^M m^{-\alpha\mu - \omega\mu - \mu/\lambda + \mu u - 1} \leq K.$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{m,n}^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{A_m^{(\alpha+\delta)}} \frac{1}{A_n^{(\beta+\delta)}} \sum_{i=0}^{m/2} \sum_{k=n/2}^n A_{m-i}^{(\delta-1)} A_{n-k}^{(\delta-1)} A_i^{(\alpha)} A_k^{(\beta)} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}| \leq \\ &\leq K \frac{1}{A_m^{(\alpha+1)}} \sum_{i=0}^{m/2} \sum_{k=n/2}^n A_{n-k}^{(\delta-1)} A_i^{(\alpha)} k^{-\delta} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} n^{\nu-1/\mu} T_{m,n}^{(2)} &\leq K \frac{1}{A_m^{(\alpha+1)}} \sum_{i=0}^{m/2} \sum_{k=n/2}^n (n-k+1)^{\delta-1} A_i^{(\alpha)} k^{\nu-1/\lambda} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}| \leq \\ &\leq K \frac{1}{A_m^{(\alpha+1)}} \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (n-k)^{\delta-1} A_i^{(\alpha)} (k+1)^{\nu-1/\lambda} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}| + \\ &+ K \frac{1}{A_m^{(\alpha+1)}} \sum_{i=0}^m A_i^{(\alpha)} n^{\nu-1/\lambda} |\tau_{i,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}| \equiv T_{m,n}^{(2,1)} + T_{m,n}^{(2,2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2, with the single sequence

$$d_k^{(m)} = (k+1)^{\nu-1/\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^{(\alpha)} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|,$$

we obtain that for any $N=1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^N (T_{m,n}^{(2,1)})^\mu &\leq Km^{-\mu\alpha-\mu} \sum_{n=0}^N \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (n-k)^{\delta-1} d_k^{(m)} \right)^\mu \leq \\ &\leq Km^{-\mu\alpha-\mu} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^N (k+1)^{\lambda\nu-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m A_i^{(\alpha)} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}| \right)^\lambda \right\}^{\mu/\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Hölder inequality with indices λ and $\lambda/(\lambda-1)$, by (22) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^{(\alpha)} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}| &\leq K \sum_{i=0}^m \{i^{\alpha+\omega} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|\} \{i^{-\omega}\} \leq \\ (24) \quad &\leq K \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m i^{\lambda\alpha+\lambda\omega} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^\lambda \right\}^{1/\lambda} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m i^{-\omega\lambda/(\lambda-1)} \right\}^{(\lambda-1)/\lambda} \leq \\ &\leq Km^{-\omega+(\lambda-1)/\lambda} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m i^{\lambda\alpha+\lambda\omega} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^\lambda \right\}^{1/\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

and, by Hölder's inequality with indices μ/λ and $\mu/(\mu-\lambda)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=1}^N (T_{m,n}^{(2,1)})^\mu &\leq Km^{-\mu x - \mu - \omega\mu + (\lambda-1)\mu/\lambda} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^N \sum_{i=1}^m (k+1)^{\lambda v-1} i^{\lambda x + \lambda\omega} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^\lambda \right\}^{\mu/\lambda} = \\
 (25) \quad &= Km^{-\mu x - \mu - \omega\mu + (\lambda-1)\mu/\lambda} \left[\sum_{k=0}^N \sum_{i=1}^m \{(k+1)^{(\lambda v-1)\lambda/\mu} i^{\lambda x + \lambda\omega - (\lambda v-1)(\mu-\lambda)/\mu} \times \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^{\lambda^2/\mu} \} \{(k+1)^{(\lambda v-1)(\mu-\lambda)/\mu} i^{(\lambda v-1)(\mu-\lambda)/\mu} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^{(\mu-\lambda)\lambda/\mu} \} \right]^{\mu/\lambda} \leq \\
 &\leq Km^{-\mu x - \mu - \omega\mu + (\lambda-1)\mu/\lambda} S^{(\mu-\lambda)/\mu} \sum_{k=0}^N \sum_{i=1}^m (k+1)^{\lambda v-1} i^{\lambda x + \omega\mu - (\lambda v-1)(\mu-\lambda)/\lambda} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^\lambda.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by using (23), for any $M=1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^N m^{\mu\mu-1} (T_{m,n}^{(2,1)})^\mu &\leq KS^{(\mu-\lambda)/\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^N \sum_{i=1}^M i^{\lambda u-1} (k+1)^{\lambda v-1} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^\lambda \times \\
 &\quad \times i^{\lambda\mu + \omega\mu - \mu + \mu/\lambda} \sum_{m=i}^M m^{-\lambda\mu - \omega\mu - \mu/\lambda + \mu\mu-1} \leq KS^{\mu/\lambda}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (24) we obtain that for any $N=1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=1}^N (T_{m,n}^{(2,2)})^\mu &= Km^{-\mu x - \mu} \sum_{n=1}^N n^{(v-1/\lambda)\mu} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m A_i^{(\alpha)} |\tau_{i,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}| \right)^\mu \leq \\
 &\leq Km^{-\mu x - \mu - \omega\mu + (\lambda-1)\mu/\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^N n^{(v-1/\lambda)\mu} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m i^{\lambda x + \lambda\omega} |\tau_{i,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^\lambda \right)^{\mu/\lambda}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is known that if $a_i \geq 0$ and $0 < p \leq 1$ then

$$(26) \quad (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k)^p \leq a_1^p + a_2^p + \dots + a_k^p,$$

whence

$$\sum_{n=1}^N (T_{m,n}^{(2,2)})^\mu \leq Km^{-\mu x - \mu - \omega\mu + (\lambda-1)\mu/\lambda} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^m n^{\lambda v-1} i^{\lambda x + \lambda\omega} |\tau_{i,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^\lambda \right\}^{\mu/\lambda},$$

and we may finish the estimate as in (25). This completes the proof of

$$\sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^N m^{\mu\mu-1} n^{\mu v-1} (T_{m,n}^{(2)})^\mu \leq KS^{\mu/\lambda},$$

and, by similar arguments, we have that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{M!} \sum_{n=1}^N m^{\mu\mu-1} n^{\mu v-1} (T_{m,n}^{(3)})^\mu \leq KS^{\mu/\lambda}.$$

Now let us consider $T_{m,n}^{(4)}$.

$$T_{m,n}^{(4)} = \frac{1}{A_m^{(\alpha+\delta)} A_n^{(\beta+\delta)}} \sum_{i=m/2}^m \sum_{k=n/2}^n A_{m-i}^{(\delta-1)} A_{n-k}^{(\delta-1)} A_i^{(\alpha)} A_k^{(\beta)} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}| \leq$$

$$\leq K \sum_{i=m/2}^m \sum_{k=n/2}^n i^{-\delta} k^{-\delta} A_{m-i}^{(\delta-1)} A_{n-k}^{(\delta-1)} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|,$$

and

$$m^{\mu-1/\lambda} n^{\nu-1/\lambda} T_{m,n}^{(4)} \leq K \sum_{i=m/2}^{m-1} \sum_{k=n/2}^{n-1} (m-i)^{\delta-1} (n-k)^{\delta-1} i^{\mu-1/\lambda} k^{\nu-1/\lambda} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}| +$$

$$+ K n^{\nu-1/\lambda} \sum_{i=m/2}^{m-1} (m-i)^{\delta-1} i^{\mu-1/\lambda} |\tau_{i,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}| + K m^{\mu-1/\lambda} \sum_{k=n/2}^{n-1} (n-k)^{\delta-1} k^{\nu-1/\lambda} |\tau_{m,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}| +$$

$$+ K m^{\mu-1/\lambda} n^{\nu-1/\lambda} |\tau_{m,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}| \equiv T_{m,n}^{(4,1)} + T_{m,n}^{(4,2)} + T_{m,n}^{(4,3)} + T_{m,n}^{(4,4)}.$$

Applying Lemma 3, with the double sequence

$$d_{i,k} = (i+1)^{\mu-1/\lambda} (k+1)^{\nu-1/\lambda} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|$$

we obtain that for any $M, N=1, 2, \dots$

$$\sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^N (T_{m,n}^{(4,1)})^\mu \leq K \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (m-i)^{\delta-1} (n-k)^{\delta-1} d_{i,k} \right)^\mu \leq$$

$$\leq K \left(\sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{k=1}^N (i+1)^{\lambda\mu-1} (k+1)^{\lambda\nu-1} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^\lambda \right)^{\mu/\lambda} \leq KS^{\mu/\lambda}.$$

Applying Lemma 2, with the single sequence

$$d_i^{(n)} = (i+1)^{\mu-1/\lambda} |\tau_{i,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|$$

we obtain that for any $M=1, 2, \dots$

$$\sum_{m=1}^M (T_{m,n}^{(4,2)})^\mu \leq K n^{\nu\mu-\mu/\lambda} \sum_{m=1}^M \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (m-i)^{\delta-1} d_i^{(n)} \right)^\mu \leq$$

$$\leq K n^{\nu\mu-\mu/\lambda} \left(\sum_{i=1}^M (i+1)^{\lambda\mu-1} |\tau_{i,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^\lambda \right)^{\mu/\lambda},$$

and, by (26), for any $N=1, 2, \dots$

$$\sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^N (T_{m,n}^{(4,3)})^\mu \leq K \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^N (i+1)^{\lambda\mu-1} n^{\lambda\nu-1} |\tau_{i,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^\lambda \right\}^{\mu/\lambda} \leq KS^{\mu/\lambda}.$$

By similar arguments we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^N (T_{m,n}^{(4,4)})^\mu \leq KS^{\mu/\lambda}$$

and, finally, using (26) again

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^N (T_{m,n}^{(4,4)})^\mu &\leq K \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^N m^{\mu\mu-\mu/\lambda} n^{\nu\mu-\mu/\lambda} |\tau_{m,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^\mu \leq \\ &\leq K \left(\sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^N m^{\lambda\mu-1} n^{\lambda\nu-1} |\tau_{m,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^{\lambda\mu/\lambda} \right) \leq KS^{\mu/\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Estimates for $i=1, 2, 3, 4$

$$\sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^N m^{\mu u-1} n^{\mu v-1} (T_{m,n}^{(i)})^\mu \leq KS^{\mu/\lambda}$$

complete the proof of (11) in the case i), for $\delta=1/\lambda-1/\mu$.

In the case ii) for $\delta>1/\lambda-1/\mu=1-1/\mu$, by (19), we have that for any $M, N=1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^N m^{\mu u-1} n^{\mu v-1} |\tau_{m,n}^{(\alpha+\delta,\beta+\delta)}|^\mu &\leq K \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^N m^{\mu u-1-\mu\alpha-\mu\delta} n^{\mu v-1-\mu\beta-\mu\delta} \times \\ &\times \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n (m-i+1)^{\delta-1} (n-k+1)^{\delta-1} i^\alpha k^\beta |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}| \right\}^\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Hölder's inequality with indices μ and $\mu/(\mu-1)$ we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n (m-i+1)^{\delta-1} (n-k+1)^{\delta-1} i^\alpha k^\beta |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}| = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n \left\{ (m-i+1)^{\delta-1} (n-k+1)^{\delta-1} i^{\alpha-(u-1)(\mu-1)/\mu} k^{\beta-(v-1)(\mu-1)/\mu} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^{1/\mu} \right\} \times \\ &\quad \times \left\{ i^{(u-1)(\mu-1)/\mu} k^{(v-1)(\mu-1)/\mu} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|^{(\mu-1)/\mu} \right\} \leq \\ &\leq \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n (m-i+1)^{(\delta-1)\mu} (n-k+1)^{(\delta-1)\mu} i^{2\mu-(u-1)(\mu-1)} k^{\beta\mu-(v-1)(\mu-1)} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}| \right\}^{1/\mu} \times \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n i^{u-1} k^{v-1} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}| \right\}^{(\mu-1)/\mu}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^N m^{\mu u-1} n^{\mu v-1} |\tau_{m,n}^{(\alpha+\delta,\beta+\delta)}|^\mu \leq \\ &KS^{\mu-1} \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{k=1}^N i^{u-1} k^{v-1} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}| i^{2\mu-u\mu+\mu} k^{\beta\mu-v\mu+\mu} \times \\ &\times \sum_{m=i}^M \sum_{n=k}^N (m-i+1)^{\delta\mu-\mu} (n-k+1)^{\delta\mu-\mu} m^{\mu u-1-\mu\alpha-\mu\delta} n^{\mu v-1-\mu\beta-\mu\delta} \leq KS^\mu, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$(27) \quad i^{2\mu-u\mu+\mu} \left(\sum_{m=i}^{2i} + \sum_{m=2i}^{\infty} \right) (m-i+1)^{\delta\mu-\mu} m^{\mu u-1-\mu\alpha-\mu\delta} \leq \\ \leq Ki^{\mu-\delta\mu-1} \sum_{m=1}^i m^{\delta\mu-\mu} + Ki^{2\mu-u\mu+\mu} \sum_{m=i}^{\infty} m^{-\mu+\mu u-1-\mu\alpha} \leq K.$$

Proof of Theorem 3. Inequalities (12) and (13) follow directly from Flett's result ([3], Theorem 3), by similar arguments to the proof of (6) and (7). In the proof of (14), considering Theorem 1, we may assume that $\gamma = \xi - u < 0$ and $\delta = \eta - v < 0$.

Let $\lambda > 1$. Using (19), we have that

$$m^\lambda n^\delta |\tau_{m,n}^{(\alpha+\gamma, \beta+\delta)}| \leq Km^{-\alpha} n^{-\beta} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n |A_{m-i}^{(\gamma-1)}| |A_{n-k}^{(\delta-1)}| i^\alpha k^\beta |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}|.$$

Let ω be a number such that

$$\max(-\alpha - 1/\lambda + u, -\beta - 1/\lambda + v) < \omega < (\lambda - 1)/\lambda.$$

Applying Hölder's inequality with indices $\lambda, \lambda/(\lambda - 1)$ we obtain that

$$m^{\lambda\gamma} n^{\lambda\delta} |\tau_{m,n}^{(\alpha+\gamma, \beta+\delta)}|^\lambda \leq Km^{-\alpha\lambda} n^{-\beta\lambda} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n |A_{m-i}^{(\gamma-1)}| |A_{n-k}^{(\delta-1)}| i^{\lambda\alpha+\lambda\omega} k^{\lambda\beta+\lambda\omega} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}|^\lambda \right\} \times \\ \times \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n |A_{m-i}^{(\gamma-1)}| |A_{n-k}^{(\delta-1)}| i^{-\omega\lambda/(\lambda-1)} k^{-\omega\lambda/(\lambda-1)} \right\}^{(\lambda-1)}.$$

A routine calculation gives that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |A_{m-i}^{(\gamma-1)}| i^{-\omega\lambda/(\lambda-1)} \leq K \sum_{i=1}^m (m-i+1)^{\gamma-1} i^{-\omega\lambda/(\lambda-1)} \leq K \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m/2} + \sum_{i=m/2}^m \right) \leq \\ \leq Km^{\gamma-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m/2} i^{-\omega\lambda/(\lambda-1)} + Km^{-\omega\lambda/(\lambda-1)} \sum_{i=m/2}^{\infty} (m-i+1)^{\gamma-1} \leq Km^{\gamma-\omega\lambda/(\lambda-1)},$$

whence

$$(28) \quad m^{\lambda\gamma} n^{\lambda\delta} |\tau_{m,n}^{(\alpha+\gamma, \beta+\delta)}|^\lambda \leq Km^{-\alpha\lambda-\omega\lambda} n^{-\beta\lambda-\omega\lambda} \times \\ \times \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n (m-i+1)^{\gamma-1} (n-k+1)^{\delta-1} i^{\lambda\alpha+\lambda\omega} k^{\lambda\beta+\lambda\omega} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}|^\lambda,$$

and for any $M, N=1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^N m^{\lambda(u+\gamma)-1} n^{\lambda(v+\delta)-1} |\tau_{m,n}^{(\alpha+\gamma, \beta+\delta)}|^\lambda \leq \\
 & \leq K \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^N m^{\lambda u-1-\alpha\lambda-\omega\lambda} n^{\lambda v-1-\beta\lambda-\omega\lambda} \times \\
 & \times \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n (m-i+1)^{\gamma-1} (n-k+1)^{\delta-1} i^{\lambda\alpha+\lambda\omega} k^{\lambda\beta+\lambda\omega} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}|^\lambda = \\
 & = K \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{k=1}^N i^{\lambda u-1} k^{\lambda v-1} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}|^\lambda i^{\lambda\alpha+\lambda\omega-\lambda u+1} k^{\lambda\beta+\lambda\omega-\lambda v+1} \times \\
 & \times \sum_{m=i}^M \sum_{n=k}^N (m-i+1)^{\gamma-1} (n-k+1)^{\delta-1} n^{\lambda u-1-\alpha\lambda-\omega\lambda} n^{\lambda v-1-\beta\lambda-\omega\lambda} \leq \\
 & \leq K \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{k=1}^N i^{\lambda u-1} k^{\lambda v-1} |\tau_{i,k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}|^\lambda,
 \end{aligned}$$

because

$$\begin{aligned}
 & i^{\lambda\alpha+\lambda\omega-\lambda u+1} \left(\sum_{m=i}^{2i-1} + \sum_{m=2i}^M \right) ((m-i+1)^{\gamma-1} m^{\lambda u-1-\alpha\lambda-\omega\lambda}) \leq \\
 (29) \quad & \leq K \sum_{m=i}^{2i-1} (m-i+1)^{\gamma-1} + K i^{\lambda\alpha+\lambda\omega-\lambda u+1} \sum_{m=2i}^{\infty} (m-i+1)^{\lambda u-2-\alpha\lambda-\omega\lambda+\gamma} \leq \\
 & \leq K \sum_{m=1}^i m^{\gamma-1} + K i^{\lambda\alpha+\lambda\omega-\lambda u+1} \sum_{m=i+1}^{\infty} m^{\lambda u-2-\alpha\lambda-\omega\lambda+\gamma} \leq K.
 \end{aligned}$$

In the case of $\lambda=1$ we set $\omega=0$ and the inequality (28) remains valid and we obtain (29) in this case, too, so our proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 4. Inequalities (15) and (16) follow directly from Flett's result ([3], Theorem 4), by similar arguments to the proof of (6) and (7). In the proof of (17), thinking about Theorem 1, we may assume that $\gamma, \delta < 0$. Using (14) we have that

$$(30) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^{\lambda(\gamma+u)-1} n^{\lambda(\delta+v)-1} |\tau_{m,n}^{(\alpha+\gamma, \beta+\delta)}|^\lambda \leq K \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^{\lambda u-1} n^{\lambda v-1} |\tau_{m,n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}|^\lambda.$$

Applying Hölder's inequality with indices λ/μ and $\lambda/(\lambda-\mu)$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^{\mu\xi-1} n^{\mu\eta-1} |\tau_{m,n}^{(\alpha+\gamma, \beta+\delta)}|^{\mu} = \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{m^{\mu(\gamma+u-1/\lambda)} n^{\mu(\delta+v-1/\lambda)} |\tau_{m,n}^{(\alpha+\gamma, \beta+\delta)}|^{\mu}\} \times \\ & \quad \times \{m^{-1-\mu(\gamma+u-\xi-1/\lambda)} n^{-1-\mu(\delta+v-\eta-1/\lambda)}\} \cong \\ & \cong \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^{\lambda(\gamma+u)-1} n^{\lambda(\delta+v)-1} |\tau_{m,n}^{(\alpha+\gamma, \beta+\delta)}|^{\lambda} \right\}^{\mu/\lambda} \times \\ & \times \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^{-1-\lambda\mu(\gamma+u-\xi)/(\lambda-\mu)} n^{-1-\lambda\mu(\delta+v-\eta)/(\lambda-\mu)} \right\}^{(\lambda-\mu)/\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

The last factor is bounded, because $\gamma+u-\xi, \delta+v-\eta$ are positive, and inequality (17) follows from this and (30).

Proof of Theorem 5. We can observe that if the conditions are satisfied, applying Theorem 4, we obtain that the summability $|C, (\alpha, \beta), (u, v)|_{\lambda}$ of series (1) implies the summability $|C, (\gamma, \delta), (0, 0)|_1$. Now Theorem 5 follows from Lemma 4.

4. Negative results. First we show that Theorem 2 is the best possible in the following sense:

a) If $\mu > \lambda > 1$ and $\min(\gamma, \delta) < 1/\lambda - 1/\mu$, then for any $\xi, \eta \geq 0$ the summability $|C, (\alpha, \beta), (u, v)|_{\lambda}$ does not imply the summability $|C, (\alpha+\gamma, \beta+\delta), (\xi, \eta)|_{\mu}$.

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\gamma < 1/\lambda - 1/\mu$ and $0 \leq u, v \leq 1/\lambda$.

Applying Lemma 5, let $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i$ be a single series, such that $\tau_m^{(\alpha)} = m^{1/p}$ if $m = 2^v$ and $\tau_m^{(\alpha)} = 0$ otherwise, where $\lambda/(1-u\lambda) < p < \lambda$ and $u > 0$. The series $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i$ is summable $|C, \alpha, u|_{\lambda}$, since

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{\lambda u-1} |\tau_m^{(\alpha)}|^{\lambda} = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{v(u\lambda-1-\lambda/p)} < \infty,$$

but not summable $|C, \alpha+\gamma, 0|_{\mu}$, since

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-1-\mu\gamma} |\tau_m^{(\alpha)}|^{\mu} = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{v(-1-\mu\gamma+\mu/p)} = \infty,$$

and we may use Corollary 1. Thus the assertion is proved, because it is clear that the summability $|C, (\alpha+\gamma, \beta+\delta), (\xi, \eta)|_{\mu}$ implies the summability $|C, (\alpha+\gamma, \beta+\delta), (0, 0)|_{\mu}$. In the case $u=0$, the assertion was proved by Flett ([2], part 2.7).

b) If $\mu > \lambda = 1$ and $\min(\gamma, \delta) \leq 1 - 1/\mu$ then for any $\xi, \eta \geq 0$ the summability $|C, (\alpha, \beta), (u, v)|_1$ does not imply the summability $|C, (\alpha, \beta), (\xi, \eta)|_\mu$.

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\gamma = 1 - 1/\mu$ and $\xi = 0$. If $u > 0$, the proof is carried out analogously to the proof of preceding assertion. In the case $u = 0$, by using Lemma 5, let $\sum_{i=0}^\infty c_i$ be a single series such that $\tau_m^{(\alpha)} = p^{-2} l_p$ if $m = l_p = 2^{2^p}$ and $\tau_m^{(\alpha)} = 0$ otherwise. It is clear, that

$$\sum_{m=1}^\infty m^{-1} |\tau_m^{(\alpha)}| = \sum_{p=1}^\infty p^{-2} < \infty,$$

so the series $\sum_{i=0}^\infty c_i$ is summable $|C, \alpha, 0|_1$. On the other hand, from (18), with the notation $n = l_p + t, 0 \leq t \leq l_p$

$$\tau_n^{(\alpha+\gamma)} \cong \frac{1}{A_n^{(\alpha+\gamma)}} A_{n-l_p}^{(-1/\mu)} A_{l_p}^{(\alpha)} \tau_{l_p}^{(\alpha)} \cong K(t+1)^{-1/\mu} l_p^{1/\mu} p^{-2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{-1} |\tau_n^{(\alpha+\gamma)}|^\mu &\cong \sum_{p=1}^\infty \sum_{n=l_p}^{2l_p} n^{-1} \tau_n^{(\alpha+\gamma)} \cong K \sum_{p=1}^\infty \sum_{t=0}^{l_p} (l_p+t)^{-1} (t+1)^{-1} l_p p^{-2\mu} \cong \\ &\cong K \sum_{p=1}^\infty p^{-2\mu} \sum_{t=0}^{l_p} (t+1)^{-1} \cong K \sum_{p=1}^\infty 2^p p^{-2\mu} = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore this series is not summable $|C, \alpha + \gamma, 0|_\mu$. We remark that this example is due to Flett [4], in connection with strong summability $|C, \alpha|_\lambda$.

Now we investigate the parameters u, v . The following result shows that the parameters u, v cannot be increased. (It is clear that ones can be decreased.)

c) If $\lambda, \mu \geq 1, u, v \geq 0, \alpha > \mu - 1, \beta > v - 1$ and $\xi > u$ or $\eta > v$ then for any $\alpha_1, \beta_1 (\alpha_1 > \xi - 1, \beta_1 > \eta - 1)$ the summability $|C, (\alpha, \beta), (u, v)|_\lambda$ does not imply the summability $|C, (\alpha_1, \beta_1), (\xi, \eta)|_\mu$.

We can assume that $\xi > u$. Applying Lemma 5, with $c_i = i^{-p}$, where $u + 1 < p < \xi + 1$, we obtain that the series $\sum_{i=0}^\infty c_i$ is summable $|C, \alpha, u|_\lambda$, since

$$\sum_{m=1}^\infty m^{\lambda u - 1} |\tau_m^{(\alpha)}|^\lambda \cong K \sum_{m=1}^\infty m^{\lambda(u+1-p)-1} < \infty,$$

but is not summable $|C, \alpha_1, \xi|_\mu$, since

$$\sum_{m=1}^\infty m^{\lambda \xi - 1} |\tau_m^{(\alpha_1)}|^\mu \cong K \sum_{m=1}^\infty m^{\mu(\xi+1-p)-1} = \infty.$$

Finally we prove that the parameter λ cannot be decreased if parameters u, v are fixed.

d) If $\lambda > \mu \geq 1$ and $u, v \geq 0$, then for any $\alpha, \alpha_1, \beta, \beta_1 (\alpha, \alpha_1 > u - 1, \beta, \beta_1 > v - 1)$ the summability $|C, (\alpha, \beta), (u, v)|_\lambda$ does not imply the summability $|C, (\alpha_1, \beta_1), (u, v)|_\mu$.

We apply Lemma 5, with a single series $\sum_{i=0}^\infty c_i$ such that $\tau_m^{(\alpha)} = (\log m)^{-1/p} m^{-u}$, $\tau_0^{(\alpha)} = 0$, where $\mu < p < \lambda$. Since

$$\sum_{m=1}^\infty m^{\lambda u - 1} |\tau_m^{(\alpha)}|^\lambda = \sum_{m=1}^\infty m^{-1} (\log m)^{-\lambda/p} < \infty,$$

the series $\sum_{i=0}^\infty c_i$ is summable $|C, \alpha, u|_\lambda$. On the other hand, using (18), a routine calculation gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_m^{(\alpha_1)} &= \frac{1}{A_m^{(\alpha_1)}} A_{m-i}^{(\alpha_1 - \alpha - 1)} A_i^{(\alpha)} \tau_i^{(\alpha)} \cong Km^{-\alpha_1} \sum_{i=0}^m (m-i+1)^{\alpha_1 - \alpha - 1} \times \\ &\times i^\alpha (\log i)^{-1/p} i^{-u} = \sum_{i=1}^{m/2} + \sum_{i=m/2}^m \cong T_m^{(1)} + T_m^{(2)}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$T_m^{(1)} \cong Km^{-\alpha - 1} (\log m)^{-1/p} \sum_{i=1}^{m/2} i^{\alpha - u} \cong Km^{-u} (\log m)^{-1/p}$$

and

$$T_m^{(2)} \cong Km^{-\alpha_1 + \alpha - u} (\log m)^{-1/p} \sum_{i=1}^{m/2} i^{\alpha_1 - \alpha - 1} \cong Km^{-u} (\log m)^{-1/p},$$

furthermore

$$\sum_{m=1}^\infty m^{\mu u - 1} |\tau_m^{(\alpha_1)}|^\mu \cong K \sum_{m=1}^\infty m^{-1} (\log m)^{-\mu/p} = \infty,$$

so the series $\sum_{i=0}^\infty c_i$ is not summable $|C, \alpha_1, u|_\mu$.

References

- [1] V. G. CHELIDZE, *Some methods of summability of double series and integrals*, Tbilisi, 1977 (in Russian).
- [2] T. M. FLETT, On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **7** (1957), 113—141.
- [3] T. M. FLETT, Some more theorems concerning the absolute summability of Fourier series and power series, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **8** (1958), 357—387.
- [4] T. M. FLETT, Some remarks on strong summability, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford*, **10** (1959), 115—139.
- [5] E. KOGBELIANTZ, Sur les series absolument sommables par la methode des moyennes arithmetiques, *Bull. des Sci. Math.*, (2) **49** (1925), 234—256.
- [6] G. H. HARDY—J. E. LITTLEWOOD—G. PÓLYA, The maximum of certain bilinear form, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **25** (1926), 265—282.

- [7] F. MÁRIZ, On the $[C, (\alpha, \beta)]$ -summability of double orthogonal series, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **48** (1985), 315—328.
- [8] I. SZALAY, On the generalized absolute summability of double orthogonal series, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **48** (1985), 451—458.
- [9] I. SZALAY, On the strong Cesaro summability of double series, *Anal. Math.*, **15** (1989), 321—341.
- [10] I. SZALAY, A high-indices theorem for strong Cesaro summability of double series, *Anal. Math.*, **16** (1990), 65—80.
- [11] I. E. ZAK—M. F. TIMAN, On the summability of double series, *Math. Sb.*, **30** (77) (1954), 21—56 (in Russian).

UNIVERSITY OF SZEGED
BOLYAI INSTITUTE
ARADI VÉRTANÚK TERE 1
6720 SZEGED, HUNGARY