

On the additive groups of m -rings

SHALOM FEIGELSTOCK and JOSEPH B. MUSKAT

Notation.

$Z(n)$ a cyclic group of order n .

R a ring.

R^+ the additive group of R .

R_p the p -primary component of R^+ , p a prime.

P_m $\{p \text{ a prime} \mid m \equiv 1 \pmod{p-1}, m > 1\}$, m a positive integer.

Definition. Let $m > 1$ be a positive integer. A ring R is said to be an m -ring if $a^m = a$ for all $a \in R$.

PIERCE [2, Corollary 12.5, and following comments] showed that an m -ring R with unity satisfies $R = \bigoplus_{p \in P_m} R_p$, with R_p of characteristic p for each $p \in P_m$. The existence of a unity in R is essential to Pierce's proof, as is the sheaf representation theory for commutative regular rings. In this note m -rings are not assumed to possess a unity. A complete description of the additive groups of m -rings will be obtained by elementary means. This classification contains the Pierce result.

Using Fermat's little theorem, and the existence of a primitive root of unity modulo p for every prime p , (see [1]), one can prove:

Lemma 1. *Let $m > 1$ be a positive integer. A prime p satisfies $p \mid q^m - q$ for all positive integers q and m if and only if $p \in P_m$.*

Lemma 2. *Let R be a ring which does not possess non-zero nilpotent elements. Then $R_p = \bigoplus_{\alpha_p} Z(p)$ with α_p a cardinal, for every prime p .*

Proof. Let $a \in R_p$ with $|a| = p^k$. Then $(pa)^k = (p^k a) a^{k-1} = 0$, and so $k = 1$.

Theorem 3. *Let $m > 1$ be a positive integer, and let G be an additive abelian group. There exists an m -ring R with $R^+ = G$ if and only if*

$$G = \bigoplus_{p \in P_m} \bigoplus_{\alpha_p} Z(p)$$

with α_p an arbitrary cardinal for each $p \in P_m$.

Proof. Let R be an m -ring, $a \in R$, and $q > 1$ be an arbitrary integer. Then $q^m a = q^m a^m = (qa)^m = qa$, i.e., $(q^m - q)a = 0$. Therefore R^+ is a torsion group, and by Lemma 1 it follows that $R = \bigoplus_{p \in P_m} R_p$. Clearly R does not possess non-zero nilpotent elements, and so Lemma 2 yields the result.

Conversely, let $G = \bigoplus_{p \in P_m} \bigoplus_{\alpha_p} Z(p)$ with α_p an arbitrary cardinal for each $p \in P_m$. Let F_p be a field of order p . Every non-zero element $a \in F_p$ satisfies $a^{p-1} = 1$. If $p \in P_m$, then $a^{m-1} = 1$, and so $a^m = a$. Clearly $R = \bigoplus_{p \in P_m} \bigoplus_{\alpha_p} F_p$ is an m -ring with $R^+ \cong G$.

The m -ring R with additive group $G = \bigoplus_{p \in P_m} \bigoplus_{\alpha_p} Z(p)$ is not unital if α_p is an infinite cardinal for some prime p . To construct a unital m -ring with additive group G , it clearly suffices to consider $G = \bigoplus_{\alpha} Z(p)$, with p a prime.

R. S. Pierce communicated to us the following example:

View F_p as a topological space with the discrete topology, and let X_p be the one point compactification of a discretely topologized set of cardinality α . Then $C(X_p, F_p)$, the ring of F_p -valued continuous functions, is a unital m -ring with additive group isomorphic to G .

Another example of a unital m -ring with additive group $\bigoplus_{\alpha} Z(p)$ is the following:

Let I be an index set, $|I| = \alpha$, and let $S = \prod_{|I|} F_p$, with elements of S regarded as generalized sequences $(a_i)_{i \in I}$. Let R be the subring of S consisting of $a \in S$ for which there exists a finite subset $J \subseteq I$ such that $a_i = a_j$ for all $i, j \in I \setminus J$. Clearly R is a unital m -ring, with $R^+ = \bigoplus_{\alpha} Z(p)$.

An argument similar to that used in proving Theorem 3 yields:

Theorem 4. *Let R be a ring such that for every $a \in R$ there exists a positive integer $m(a) > 1$, depending on a , with $a^{m(a)} = a$. Then $R^+ = \bigoplus_{p \in P} \bigoplus_{\alpha_p} Z(p)$ with P a set of primes. Conversely, every such group is the additive group of a ring with the above property.*

For a different elementary approach to m -rings see [3].

References

- [1] W. J. LEVEQUE, *Topics in Number Theory, vol. I*, Addison-Wesley (Reading, Mass., 1956).
- [2] R. S. PIERCE, *Modules over commutative regular rings*, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc., no. 70, A.M.S. (Providence, R.I., 1967).
- [3] T. CHINBURG and M. HENRIKSEN, Multiplicatively periodic rings, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **83** (1976), 547—549.

BAR-ILAN UNIVERSITY
RAMAT-GAN, ISRAEL