

## On the joint Weyl spectrum. II

MUNEO CHŌ

*Dedicated to Professor Satoshi Kotō in token of gratitude*

### 1. Introduction

In [3], we studied the joint Weyl spectrum for a commuting pair. In this paper we shall show that the Weyl theorem holds for a commuting pair of normal operators.

Let  $H$  be a complex Hilbert space with the scalar product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  and the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Let  $B(H)$  be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $H$  and  $C(H)$  the algebra of all compact operators in  $B(H)$ .

**Definition 1.** Let  $T=(T_1, T_2) \in B(H)$  be a commuting pair. Taylor joint spectrum  $\sigma(T)$  of  $T$  is defined by  $\sigma(T) = \{z=(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2: \alpha(T-z) \text{ is not invertible on } H \oplus H\}$ , where

$$\alpha(T-z) = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 - z_1 & T_2 - z_2 \\ -(T_2 - z_2)^* & (T_1 - z_1)^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Definition 2.** Let  $T=(T_1, T_2) \in B(H)$  be a commuting pair. The joint Weyl spectrum  $\omega(T)$  of  $T$  is defined by

$\omega(T) = \cap \{\sigma(T+C): C = (C_1, C_2) \in C(H) \text{ and } T+C = (T_1+C_1, T_2+C_2) \text{ is a commuting pair}\}.$

$z=(z_1, z_2)$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  is said to be joint eigenvalue of  $T=(T_1, T_2)$  if there exists a non-zero vector  $x$  such that

$$T_i x = z_i x \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

$\sigma_p(T)$  is the set of joint eigenvalues of  $T$ .

$z=(z_1, z_2)$  in  $\mathbf{C}^2$  is said to be joint residual eigenvalue of  $T=(T_1, T_2)$  if there exists a non-zero vector  $x$  such that

$$T_i^* x = \bar{z}_i x \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

$\sigma_r(T)$  is the set of joint residual eigenvalues of  $T$ .

For a commuting pair  $T=(T_1, T_2)$ ,  $\sigma_{pf}(T)$  is the set of joint eigenvalues of finite multiplicity,  $\sigma_{rf}(T)$  is the set of joint residual eigenvalues of finite multiplicity,  $\sigma_{pfi}(T)$  is the set of isolated points in  $\sigma(T)$  which are joint eigenvalues of finite multiplicity and  $\sigma_{rfi}(T)$  is the set of isolated points in  $\sigma(T)$  which are joint residual eigenvalues of finite multiplicity.

For any operator  $S$  on  $H$ , we denote by  $N(S)$  the null space of  $S$ .

### 2. Theorem

Theorem A (VASILESCU [6]). For a commuting pair  $T=(T_1, T_2)$ ,  $\alpha(T)$  is invertible if and only if

$$\beta(T) = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & -T_2^* \\ T_2 & T_1^* \end{pmatrix}$$

is invertible on  $H \oplus H$ .

Theorem B (CHŌ and TAKAGUCHI [3]). For a commuting pair  $T=(T_1, T_2)$ ,

$$\sigma(T) - \omega(T) \subset \sigma_p(T) \cup \sigma_r(T).$$

Lemma 1. Let  $T=(T_1, T_2)$  be a commuting pair. Then

$$\sigma(T) - \sigma_{pf}(T) \cup \sigma_{rf}(T) \subset \omega(T).$$

Proof. Let  $z=(z_1, z_2)$  be a joint eigenvalue of infinite multiplicity. Let  $C = (C_1, C_2)$  be in  $C(H)$  such that  $T+C=(T_1+C_1, T_2+C_2)$  is a commuting pair. For a infinite orthonormal sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\{x: T_i x = z_i x \ (i=1, 2)\}$ , we may assume that there exist vectors  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  such that  $\lim C_i x_n = y_i \ (i=1, 2)$ . If

$$\beta(T+C-z) = \begin{pmatrix} T_1+C_1-z_1 & -(T_2+C_2-z_2)^* \\ T_2+C_2-z_2 & (T_1+C_1-z_1)^* \end{pmatrix}$$

is invertible, then

$$\lim (x_n \oplus 0) = \beta(T+C-z)^{-1}(y_1 \oplus y_2).$$

It is a contradiction to the choice of  $\{x_n\}$ . So it follows, by Theorem A, that  $z \in \omega(T)$ .

Let  $z=(z_1, z_2)$  be a joint residual eigenvalue of infinite multiplicity. Then for an infinite orthonormal sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in

$$\{x: T_i^* x = \bar{z}_i x \ (i = 1, 2)\},$$

we may assume that there exist vectors  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  such that

$$\lim C_i^* x_n = y_i \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

If  $\beta(T+C-z)$  is invertible, then

$$\lim (0 \oplus x_n) = \beta(T+C-z)^{-1}(-y_2 \oplus y_1).$$

It is a contradiction. So it follows that  $z \in \omega(T)$ .

So the proof is complete by Theorem B.

Next following Baxley we consider the following condition  $\mathcal{C}_1$ : If  $\{z_n\}$  is an infinite sequence of distinct points in  $\sigma_{pf}(T) \cup \sigma_{rf}(T)$  and  $\{x_n\}$  is any sequence of corresponding normalized joint eigenvectors, then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  does not converge.

**Lemma 2.** *If a commuting pair  $T=(T_1, T_2)$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}_1$ , then*

$$\sigma(T) - (\sigma_{pfi}(T) \cup \sigma_{rfi}(T)) \subset \omega(T).$$

**Proof.** We have the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma(T) - (\sigma_{pfi}(T) \cup \sigma_{rfi}(T)) = \\ & (\sigma(T) - (\sigma_{pf}(T) \cup \sigma_{rf}(T))) \cup ((\sigma_{pf}(T) \cup \sigma_{rf}(T)) - (\sigma_{pfi}(T) \cup \sigma_{rfi}(T))). \end{aligned}$$

So, by the above lemma, it will be sufficient to prove that  $z=(z_1, z_2)$  is in  $(\sigma_{pf}(T) \cup \sigma_{rf}(T)) - (\sigma_{pfi}(T) \cup \sigma_{rfi}(T))$  and not in the closure of  $(\sigma(T) - (\sigma_{pf}(T) \cup \sigma_{rf}(T)))$ , then  $z$  is in  $\sigma(T+C)$  for every  $C=(C_1, C_2)$  such that  $T+C=(T_1+C_1, T_2+C_2)$  is a commuting pair.

Assume that  $z$  is in  $(\sigma_{pf}(T) \cup \sigma_{rf}(T)) - (\sigma_{pfi}(T) \cup \sigma_{rfi}(T))$ . Then there exist  $z_n=(z_1^n, z_2^n)$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) in  $\sigma_{pf}(T)$  or in  $\sigma_{rf}(T)$  such that  $z_n \neq z_m$  ( $n \neq m$ ) and  $\lim z_n = z$ . Suppose that the  $z_n$ 's are in  $\sigma_{pf}(T)$ , then we can consider a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of unit vectors such that  $T_i x_n = z_i^n x_n$  ( $i=1, 2$ ) for every  $n$ . Of course, we can suppose, without loss of generality, that there exist vectors  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  such that  $\lim C_i x_n = y_i$  ( $i=1, 2$ ). If, for  $T+C-z=(T_1+C_1-z_1, T_2+C_2-z_2)$ ,  $\beta(T+C-z)$  is invertible, then

$$\lim (x_n \oplus 0) = \beta(T+C-z)^{-1}(y_1 \oplus y_2).$$

It is a contradiction to the condition  $\mathcal{C}_1$ . So it follows that  $z \in \omega(T)$ .

When  $\{z_n\}$  is in  $\sigma_{rf}(T)$ , then we can prove that  $z$  belongs to  $\omega(T)$  in a similar way (see the proof of the lemma above).

So the proof is complete.

Next we shall show that the Weyl theorem holds for a commuting pair of normal operators. We need the following theorem. An easy computation shows that the theorem holds:

**Theorem C.** *Let  $T=(T_1, T_2)$  be a commuting pair of normal operators. Then  $\alpha(T)$  is invertible if and only if  $T_1^* T_1 + T_2^* T_2$  is invertible.*

**Theorem.** Let  $T=(T_1, T_2)$  be a commuting pair of normal operators. Then the Weyl theorem holds, that is,

$$\sigma(T) - \sigma_{pfi}(T) = \omega(T).$$

**Proof.** Since  $T=(T_1, T_2)$  is a commuting pair of normal operators,  $T$  satisfies the condition  $\mathcal{C}_1$ . So, by Lemma 2, it suffices to prove that

$$\sigma(T) - \sigma_{pfi}(T) \supset \omega(T).$$

Let us consider a point in  $\sigma_{pfi}(T)$ . We may assume without loss of generality that this is  $(0, 0)$ . We define  $N=N(T_1^*T_1+T_2^*T_2)$ , then  $\dim(N)<\infty$ . Let  $P$  denote the orthogonal projection of  $H$  onto  $N$ . Then  $P$  is a compact operator and  $T_iP=PT_i=0$  ( $i=1, 2$ ). Hence

$$T+Q = (T_1+(1/\sqrt{2})P, T_2+(1/\sqrt{2})P)$$

is a commuting pair of normal operators. Since  $(0, 0)$  is an isolated point of  $\sigma(T)$ , so using Theorem C for  $T_1-z_1$  and  $T_2-z_2$  in place of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ , respectively, by continuity arguments we obtain that  $0$  is an isolated point in the spectrum of  $T_1^*T_1+T_2^*T_2$ . It follows that

$$(T_1+(1/\sqrt{2})P)^*(T_1+(1/\sqrt{2})P)+(T_2+(1/\sqrt{2})P)^*(T_2+(1/\sqrt{2})P) = T_1^*T_1+T_2^*T_2+P$$

is invertible. So, by Theorem C,  $(0, 0) \notin \sigma(T+Q)$  and thus  $(0, 0) \notin \omega(T)$ .

So the proof is complete.

*Acknowledgment.* We would like to express our cordial thanks to the referee and Professor T. HURUYA for their kind advice.

### References

- [1] J. BAXLEY, Some general conditions implying Weyl's theorem, *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl.*, **16** (1971), 1163—1166.
- [2] J. BAXLEY, On the Weyl spectrum of a Hilbert space operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **34** (1972), 447—452.
- [3] M. CHŌ and M. TAKAGUCHI, On the joint Weyl spectrum, *Sci. Rep. Hirosaki Univ.*, **27** (1980), 47—49.
- [4] M. CHŌ and M. TAKAGUCHI, Boundary of Taylor's joint spectrum for two commuting operators, *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl.*, **27** (1982), 863—866.
- [5] V. ISTRATESCU, *Introduction to linear operator theory*, Dekker (New York, 1981).
- [6] F.-H. VASILESCU, On pairs of commuting operators, *Studia Math.* **62** (1978), 203—207.