

## On $\alpha_1^\lambda$ -products of automata

Z. ÉSIK

### 1. Introduction

In [3] we introduced  $\alpha_1^\lambda$ -products and gave an algebraic characterization of (homomorphically) complete classes of automata for the  $\alpha_1^\lambda$ -product:

**Theorem 1.1.** *A class  $\mathcal{K}$  of automata is complete for the  $\alpha_1^\lambda$ -product if and only if for every simple group  $G$  there exists an  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{P}_{1\alpha_1}^\lambda(\mathcal{K})$  such that  $G$  is a divisor of the characteristic semigroup of  $\mathbf{A}$ , written  $G|S(\mathbf{A})$ .*

Further, we proved the following result.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a class of automata.*

(i) *If  $\mathcal{K}$  contains a nonmonotone automaton, i.e. an automaton in  $\mathcal{K}$  has a non-trivial cycle, then  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{HSP}_{\alpha_1}^\lambda(\mathcal{K})$  if and only if for every simple group  $G$  with  $G|S(\mathbf{A})$  there exists an automaton  $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbf{P}_{1\alpha_1}^\lambda(\mathcal{K})$  with  $G|S(\mathbf{B})$ .*

(ii) *If  $\mathcal{K}$  consists of monotone automata one of which is not discrete, then  $\mathbf{HSP}_{\alpha_1}^\lambda(\mathcal{K})$  is the class of all monotone automata.*

(iii) *If  $\mathcal{K}$  consists of discrete automata one of which is not trivial then  $\mathbf{HSP}_{\alpha_1}^\lambda(\mathcal{K})$  is the class of all discrete automata.*

(iv) *Otherwise, i.e. if  $\mathcal{K}$  consists of trivial automata, then  $\mathbf{HSP}_{\alpha_1}^\lambda(\mathcal{K})$  is the class of all trivial automata.*

The aim of this paper is to give a graph theoretic characterization of complete classes for the  $\alpha_1^\lambda$ -product and to give a description of the classes of the form  $\mathbf{HSP}_{\alpha_1}^\lambda(\mathcal{K})$  on the basis of graph theoretic terms. We believe this solution to be the final one as regards  $\alpha_1^\lambda$ -products. The proofs are based on the fact that the symmetric group of degree  $n-1$  ( $n > 1$ ) can be “realized” in a biconnected graph on  $n$  vertices. For recent results on  $\alpha_0$ -products and  $\alpha_1$ -products see [2] and [1].

## 2. Notions and notations

An automaton is a system  $\mathbf{A}=(A, X, \delta)$  with finite nonvoid sets  $A$  and  $X$ , the state set and input set, respectively, and transition  $\delta: A \times X \rightarrow A$ . The transition extends to a mapping  $\delta: A \times X^* \rightarrow A$  in the usual way, where  $X^*$  is the free semigroup with unit element  $\lambda$  generated by  $X$ . The characteristic semigroup of  $\mathbf{A}$ , denoted  $S(\mathbf{A})$ , is the transformation semigroup on  $A$  consisting of all the mappings  $\delta_u: A \rightarrow A$ ,  $\delta_u(a) = \delta(a, u)$  ( $a \in A, u \in X^*$ ).

Given a system of automata  $\mathbf{A}_t=(A_t, X_t, \delta_t)$  and a family of feedback functions

$$\varphi_t: A_1 \times \dots \times A_n \times X \rightarrow X_t \cup \{\lambda\},$$

$t=1, \dots, n$ , the  $g^\lambda$ -product of the  $\mathbf{A}_t$ 's with respect to  $X$  and  $\varphi$  is defined to be the automaton  $\mathbf{A}$  with state set  $A_1 \times \dots \times A_n$ , input set  $X$ , and transition

$$\delta((a_1, \dots, a_n), x) = (\delta_1(a_1, u_1), \dots, \delta_n(a_n, u_n))$$

where  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A_1 \times \dots \times A_n$ ,  $x \in X$  and

$$u_t = \varphi_t(a_1, \dots, a_n, x),$$

$t=1, \dots, n$ . If none of the feedback functions  $\varphi(a_1, \dots, a_n, x)$  depends on the state variables  $a_s$  with  $s > t$ , we have an  $\alpha_1^\lambda$ -product.

Given a (nonvoid) class  $\mathcal{K}$  of automata, we set:

$\mathbf{P}_{\alpha_1^\lambda}^\lambda(\mathcal{K})$ : all  $\alpha_1^\lambda$ -products of automata from  $\mathcal{K}$ ,

$\mathbf{P}_{1\alpha_1^\lambda}^\lambda(\mathcal{K})$ : all  $\alpha_1^\lambda$ -products with a single factor of automata from  $\mathcal{K}$  (i.e.  $n=1$  above),

$\mathbf{S}(\mathcal{K})$ : all subautomata of automata from  $\mathcal{K}$ ,

$\mathbf{H}(\mathcal{K})$ : all homomorphic images of automata from  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Recall that a class  $\mathcal{K}$  is called (homomorphically) complete for the  $\alpha_1^\lambda$ -product if and only if  $\mathbf{HSP}_{\alpha_1^\lambda}^\lambda(\mathcal{K})$  is the class of all automata.

By a semigroup (group) we shall mean a finite semigroup (group). We write  $S_1|S_2$  for two semigroups  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  if  $S_1$  is a homomorphic image of a subsemigroup of  $S_2$ . If  $S_1$  is a group, this just means that  $S_1$  is a homomorphic image of a subgroup of  $S_2$ . The following statement is known e.g. from [4]:

**Proposition 2.1.** *If  $G|G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$  for a simple group  $G$  and a direct product of groups  $G_1, \dots, G_n$  ( $n > 0$ ), then  $G|G_i$  for some  $i$ .*

### 3. Some useful facts

To investigate  $\alpha_1^A$ -products of automata we introduce the (directed) graph  $D(\mathbf{A})$  of an automaton  $\mathbf{A}=(A, X, \delta)$  as follows. We put  $D(\mathbf{A})=(V, E)$  where the vertex set  $V$  is just the state set  $A$  and

$$E = \{(a, b) \in A \times A \mid a \neq b, \exists x \in X \delta(a, x) = b\}.$$

We see that  $E$  does not contain loop edges, henceforth, by a (directed) graph we shall always mean a graph without loop edges.

Take a graph  $D=(V, E)$ . We say that  $D$  is connected if for every pair  $a, b$  of different vertices there is a (directed) path from  $a$  to  $b$ . A maximal connected subgraph of  $D$  is a connected graph  $D'=(V', E')$  with  $V' \subseteq V$ ,  $E' \subseteq E$  and such that whenever  $D''=(V'', E'')$  is a connected graph satisfying  $V' \subseteq V'' \subseteq V$  and  $E' \subseteq E'' \subseteq E$ , we have  $V'=V''$ ,  $E'=E''$ .

A cycle is a graph  $D=(V, E)$  with  $V=\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ ,  $n>1$ , and  $E=\{(a_1, a_2), \dots, (a_{n-1}, a_n), (a_n, a_1)\}$ . Thus, cycles are connected graphs. Connected graphs other than cycles and having at least two vertices will be referred to biconnected graphs.

Take a graph  $D$  with vertex set  $V=\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  and place a pebble  $p_i$  onto  $a_i$  for every  $i=1, \dots, n$ . Suppose we are allowed to move the pebbles according to the following three rules:

R1: Each step, an arbitrary number of pebbles can be moved. (Thus, some pebbles may stay where they are.)

R2: Each step, a pebble on a vertex  $a$  can be moved to a vertex  $b$  only if  $(a, b)$  is an edge.

R3: Once two or more pebbles hit the same vertex, they cannot be separated, i.e. have to be moved jointly.

Suppose that after a (possibly zero) number of steps  $p_i$  is on vertex  $a_{j_i}$ ,  $i=1, \dots, n$ . To this sequence of transformations we assign the mapping  $V \rightarrow V$  given by  $a_i \rightarrow a_{j_i}$ ,  $i=1, \dots, n$ . Denote by  $S(D)$  the set of all mappings obtained in this way. Clearly,  $S(D)$  is a transformation semigroup on  $V$ . We let  $G(D)$  denote the group of all permutations in  $S(D)$ . The following observation easily comes from the definitions:

**Fact 3.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be an automaton and  $D=D(\mathbf{A})$ . Then, for every  $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbf{P}_{\alpha_1}^A(\{\mathbf{A}\})$ ,  $S(\mathbf{B})$  is a subsemigroup of  $S(D)$ . Further, there exists an automaton  $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbf{P}_{\alpha_1}^A(\{\mathbf{A}\})$  with  $S(\mathbf{C})=S(D)$ .*

Our game can be further generalized. Take a graph  $D=(V, E)$  and fix a non-void subset  $V'$  of  $V$ , say  $V'=\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ . Put pebble  $p_i$  onto  $a_i$ ,  $i=1, \dots, n$ , and move the pebbles in the graph according to R1, R2 and R3. Suppose that after a (possibly zero) number of steps the pebbles get back to the vertices in  $V'$ , i.e. for

every  $i$ ,  $p_i$  is located on a vertex  $a_{j_i}$  in  $V'$ . We obtain a mapping  $V' \rightarrow V'$  that assigns  $a_{j_i}$  to  $a_i$ . The collection of all these mappings is a transformation semigroup on  $V'$ , denoted  $S(D, V')$ . Put  $G(D, V')$  for the group of all permutations in  $S(D, V')$ . The following statement is obvious.

Fact 3.2.  $S(D, V')|S(D)$  and  $G(D, V')|S(D)$ .

The next assertion is a reformulation of a well-known fact.

Fact 3.3. *If  $G$  is a subgroup of  $S(D)$  then there is a nonvoid subset  $V'$  of the vertex set of  $D$  such that  $G$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $G(D, V')$ .*

Directly from Fact 3.3 and the observation that it is impossible to move a pebble back in a maximal connected subgraph if it has been moved out, we obtain:

Fact 3.4. *If  $G$  is a subgroup of  $S(D)$  then  $G$  has maximal connected subgraphs  $D_1, \dots, D_n$  ( $n > 0$ ) such that for some nonvoid subsets  $V_i$  of the vertex sets of the graphs  $D_i$  it holds that  $G$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of the direct product  $G(D_1, V_1) \times \dots \times G(D_n, V_n)$ .*

Fact 3.5. *Let  $G$  be a simple group. Then  $G|S(D)$  if and only if  $G|G(D', V')$  for a maximal connected subgraph  $D'$  of  $D$  and a nonvoid subset  $V'$  of the vertex set of  $D'$ .*

Proof. Suppose that  $G|S(D)$ . There is a subgroup  $H$  of  $S(D)$  which can be mapped homomorphically onto  $G$ . By Fact 3.4,  $H$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of a direct product  $G(D_1, V_1) \times \dots \times G(D_n, V_n)$  where the graphs  $D_i$  are maximal connected subgraphs of  $D$  and for every  $i$ ,  $V_i$  is a nonvoid subset of the vertex set of  $D_i$ . Thus,  $G|G(D_1, V_1) \times \dots \times G(D_n, V_n)$ . From Proposition 2.1,  $G|G(D_i, V_i)$  for some  $i$ .

Conversely,  $G|G(D', V')$  and  $G(D', V')|S(D)$  yield  $G|S(D)$ .

Suppose we are given a graph  $D = (V, E)$  with  $V = \{a_0, \dots, a_n\}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , i.e.  $D$  has at least two vertices. Set  $V_i = V - \{a_i\}$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n$ . Fix a pair of different integers  $i, j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$  and define the mapping  $\psi_{i,j}: V_j \rightarrow V_i$  by

$$\psi_{i,j}(a_k) = \begin{cases} a_j & \text{if } i = k, \\ a_k & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let us say that  $\psi_{i,j}$  has a realization in  $D$  if starting with pebble  $p_k$  located on  $a_k$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, n$ ,  $k \neq j$ , the placement that  $p_k$  is located on  $\psi_{i,j}(a_k)$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, n$ ,  $k \neq j$ , can be achieved by a sequence of moves according to R1, R2, R3. Obviously, if  $\psi_{i,j}$  can be realized for every pair of different integers  $i, j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ , then for every  $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ ,  $G(D, V_i)$  is the group of all permutations on  $V_i$ : to interchange two

pebbles on  $a_{i_1}$  and  $a_{i_2}$  ( $a_{i_1}, a_{i_2} \in V_i, a_{i_1} \neq a_{i_2}$ ), take a realization of  $\psi_{i_1, i}$  followed by a realization of  $\psi_{i_2, i_1}$  and a realization of  $\psi_{i, i_2}$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $D$  is connected and for every  $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ ,  $G(D, V_i)$  is the group of all permutations on  $V_i$ . It then follows that  $\psi_{i, j}$  can be realized for every choice of  $i$  and  $j$  ( $i, j \in \{0, \dots, n\}, i \neq j$ ). Take a path  $a_i = b_0, b_1, \dots, b_t = a_j$  from  $a_i$  to  $a_j$ . If the length of this path is 1, i.e.  $t=1$ , just move the pebble on  $a_i$  to  $a_j$ , the others stand still. If  $t > 1$ , since the permutation  $(b_0 b_{t-1} \dots b_1)$  is in  $G(D, V_j)$ , we can move the pebbles on  $b_0, \dots, b_{t-1}$  onto the vertices  $b_{t-1}, b_0, \dots, b_{t-2}$ , respectively, so that the rest of the pebbles get back to their initial positions. To achieve the final situation just move the pebbles on  $b_0, \dots, b_{t-1}$  one vertex forward along the path  $b_0, \dots, b_t$ .

#### 4. The main results

In this section we give a graph theoretic characterization of complete classes for the  $\alpha_1^{\lambda}$ -product. Further, we give a complete description of the classes of the form  $HSP_{\alpha_1}^{\lambda}(\mathcal{X})$ .

We start with two lemmas. In these lemmas the following designations will be used. Given a path  $a_0, \dots, a_n, n \geq 1$ , so that  $a_n$  is free and for each  $i=0, \dots, n-1$  there is a pebble on  $a_i$ , by moving the pebbles along the path  $a_0, \dots, a_n$  we shall mean the transformation that, in a single step, we move each pebble on  $a_i$  to  $a_{i+1}$ ,  $i=0, \dots, n-1$ . This definition extends to the case  $n=0$ : the placement of the pebbles remains unchanged. Given a cycle  $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) with at most one pebble on  $a_i, i=0, \dots, n-1$ , by rotating the pebbles around the cycle we shall mean the transformation obtained by moving the pebble on  $a_i$  to  $a_{i+1 \pmod n}$  for every  $i$ , provided that there was a pebble on  $a_i$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $D=(V, E)$  be a graph with  $D=\{a_0, \dots, a_{n+m}\}, n, m \geq 1, E=\{(a_0, a_1), \dots, (a_{n+m-1}, a_{n+m}), (a_{n+m}, a_0), (a_n, a_0)\}$ . Then for every pair  $i, j$  of different integers in  $\{0, \dots, n+m\}, \psi_{i, j}$  can be realized in  $D$ .*

**Proof.** Fix an integer  $i \in \{0, \dots, n+m\}$ . We shall show that  $G(D, V_i)$  is the group of all permutations on  $V_i$ . Since  $a_0, \dots, a_{n+m}$  is a cycle in  $D$ , we may restrict ourselves to  $i=n+1$ . To see that  $G(D, V_{n+1})$  is the group of all permutations on  $V_{n+1}$  it suffices to prove that the cyclic permutation  $(a_0 \dots a_n a_{n+2} \dots a_{n+m})$  and the transposition  $(a_{n-1} a_n)$  are in  $G(D, V_{n+1})$ .

Place pebble  $p_i$  onto  $a_i, i=0, \dots, n, n+2, \dots, n+m$ . Move  $p_n$  from  $a_n$  to  $a_{n+1}$ , then rotate the pebbles around the cycle  $a_0, \dots, a_{n+m}$ . We see that  $(a_0 \dots a_n a_{n+2} \dots a_{n+m}) \in G(D, V_{n+1})$ . For the transposition  $(a_{n-1} a_n)$ , apply the following procedure:

Step 1. Move  $p_n$  from  $a_n$  to  $a_{n+1}$ .

Step 2. Check if  $p_n$  is located on  $a_{n+m}$ , if so, go to Step 3. Move the pebbles along the path  $a_{n+m}, a_0, \dots, a_n$ . (It is guaranteed that  $a_n$  is free when this transformation applies.) Next, rotate the pebbles  $n$  times around the cycle  $a_0, \dots, a_n$ , and after that, move the pebbles along the path  $a_n, \dots, a_{n+m}$  and go back to Step 2.

Step 3. Before this step applies, the placement of the pebbles is this: for every  $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ ,  $p_i$  is located on  $a_i$ ;  $a_n$  is free; for every  $i \in \{n+2, \dots, n+m\}$ ,  $p_i$  is on  $a_{i-1}$ ;  $p_n$  is on  $a_{n+m}$ . Move  $p_{n-1}$  from  $a_{n-1}$  to  $a_n$  and then rotate the pebbles around the cycle  $a_0, \dots, a_n$  until  $a_0$  gets free, we see that  $a_0$  is free,  $p_{n-1}$  is located on  $a_1$ , and for every  $i \in \{0, \dots, n-2\}$ ,  $p_i$  is on  $a_{2+i}$ . Now move  $p_n$  from  $a_{n+m}$  to  $a_0$ , rotate the pebbles  $n-1$  times around the cycle  $a_0, \dots, a_n$ , and move the pebbles along the path  $a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{n+m}$ .

Lemma 4.2. Let  $G=(V, E)$  be a graph with  $V=\{a_0, \dots, a_{n+m+l}\}$ ,  $n \geq 0$ ,  $m, l \geq 1$ , and  $E=\{(a_0, a_1), \dots, (a_{n+m-1}, a_{n+m}), (a_{n+m}, a_0), (a_n, a_{n+m+1}), \dots, \dots, (a_{n+m+l-1}, a_{n+m+l}), (a_{n+m+l}, a_0)\}$ . Then, for every pair of different integers  $i, k \in \{0, \dots, n+m+l\}$ ,  $\psi_{i,k}$  can be realized in  $D$ .

Proof. Place  $p_i$  onto  $a_t$ ,  $t=0, \dots, n+m+l$ ,  $t \neq k$ . First we show that we may restrict the consideration to the case that  $k=n$ . Either  $k \in \{0, \dots, n+m\}$  or  $k \in \{0, \dots, n, n+m+1, \dots, n+m+l\}$ . If  $k \in \{0, \dots, n+m\}$  rotate the pebbles around the cycle  $a_0, \dots, a_{n+m}$  until  $a_n$  gets free, then move  $p_i$  to  $a_n$  so that the rest of the pebbles get back to the position they were after the rotations. Finally, rotate the pebbles around the cycle  $a_0, \dots, a_{n+m}$  so that  $p_i$  gets onto  $a_k$ . The pebbles  $p_t$  other than  $p_i$  get back to  $a_t$ , respectively. Similar procedure applies when  $k \in \{0, \dots, n+m+1, \dots, n+m+l\}$ .

Let  $k=n$ . Because the assumptions  $i \in \{0, \dots, n+m\}$  and  $i \in \{0, \dots, n, n+m+1, \dots, n+m+l\}$  are symmetrical, we may suppose  $i \in \{0, \dots, n+m\}$ . We shall realize  $\psi_{i,n}$  in five steps.

Step 1. Rotate the pebbles once around the cycle  $a_0, \dots, a_n, a_{n+m+1}, \dots, a_{n+m+l}$ . Observe that  $a_{n+m+1}$  becomes free and  $p_{n+m+l}$  gets onto  $a_0$ .

Step 2. Rotate the pebbles around the cycle  $a_0, \dots, a_{n+m}$  until  $p_i$  hits  $a_n$ . Then move  $p_i$  from  $a_n$  to  $a_{n+m+1}$ , so that  $a_n$  becomes free.

Step 3. When this step applies, one of the vertices  $a_0, \dots, a_{n+m}$  is free, and exactly one of  $p_{n+m+1}, \dots, p_{n+m+l}$ , say  $p_t$ , is in the cycle  $a_0, \dots, a_{n+m}$  ( $p_{n+m+l}$  for the first time). Check if  $p_i$  is on  $a_{n+m+1}$ , if so, go to Step 4. Otherwise rotate the pebbles around the cycle  $a_0, \dots, a_{n+m}$  until  $p_t$  gets onto  $a_n$ , and rotate the pebbles once around the cycle  $a_0, \dots, a_n, a_{n+m+1}, \dots, a_{n+m+l}$ . Go to Step 3.

Step 4. Observe that the placement of the pebbles is this. The cycle  $a_0, \dots, a_{n+m}$  contains  $p_{n+m+1}$  and the pebbles  $p_j$  with  $j \in \{0, \dots, n+m\}$ ,  $j \neq i$ ,  $j \neq n$ . Thus, one of

$a_0, \dots, a_{n+m}$  is free. The relative order of the pebbles  $p_j$  ( $j \in \{0, \dots, n+m\}$ ,  $j \neq i$ ,  $j \neq n$ ) is their original order. Further,  $p_i$  is on  $a_{n+m+1}$ ,  $p_{n+m+2}$  is on  $a_{n+m+1}, \dots, \dots, p_{n+m+l}$  is on  $a_{n+m+l-1}$ . It is now clear that the pebbles in the cycle  $a_0, \dots, a_{n+m}$  can be arranged in such a way that  $a_0$  gets free and after moving the pebbles along the path  $a_{n+m+1}, \dots, a_{n+m+l}$ ,  $a_0$  (so that  $p_i$  gets onto  $a_0$ ), the relative order of the pebbles  $p_j$ ,  $j \in \{0, \dots, n+m\}$ ,  $j \neq n$ , in the cycle  $a_0, \dots, a_{n+m}$  will be just as desired.

Step 5. We have  $p_{n+m+1}$  free. The pebbles  $p_{n+m+2}, \dots, p_{n+m+l}$  are back on  $a_{n+m+2}, \dots, a_{n+m+l}$ , respectively. Further, the cycle  $a_0, \dots, a_{n+m}$  contains the pebbles  $p_j$   $j \in \{0, \dots, n+m\}$ ,  $j \neq n$ , and the pebble  $p_{n+m+1}$ . The relative order of the pebbles  $p_j$  ( $j \in \{0, \dots, n+m\}$ ,  $j \neq n$ ) is just as desired. Rotate the pebbles around the cycle  $a_0, \dots, a_{n+m}$  until  $p_{n+m+1}$  gets onto  $a_n$  then move  $p_{n+m+1}$  from  $a_n$  to  $a_{n+m+1}$ . The pebbles  $p_{n+m+1}, \dots, p_{n+m+l}$  are now back on  $a_{n+m+1}, \dots, a_{n+m+l}$ , respectively. Further, it is clear that the pebbles in the cycle  $a_0, \dots, a_{n+m}$  can be arranged so that  $p_i$  is on  $a_n$ , and for  $j \in \{0, \dots, n+m\}$ ,  $j \neq i$ ,  $j \neq n$ ,  $p_j$  is on  $a_j$ .

Theorem 4.3.  $S_n | S(D)$  for every biconnected graph  $D$  on  $n+1$  vertices.

Proof. Let  $D=(V, E)$  with  $V=\{a_0, \dots, a_n\}$ . We are going to show that  $\psi_{i,j}$  can be realized in  $D$  for every possible pair of different integers  $i, j$ . Consequently,  $G(D, V_i)$  is the group of all permutations on  $V_i$  for every  $i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq n$ ). Hence the result follows by Fact 3.2.

Put pebble  $p_i$  onto  $a_t$  for every  $t \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ ,  $t \neq j$ . Take a path

$$a_i = b_0, b_1, \dots, b_k = a_j$$

from  $a_i$  to  $a_j$ . If  $k=1$ ,  $\psi_{i,j}$  can be realized obviously. We proceed by induction on  $k$ . Assume  $k>1$ . There are an  $m \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$  and a path

$$a_j = b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_{k+l} = b_m$$

with  $\{b_0, \dots, b_k\} \cap \{b_{k+1}, \dots, b_{k+l-1}\} = \emptyset$ . We distinguish two cases.

Case  $m \neq 0$ . Let us rotate the pebbles  $l$  times around the cycle  $b_m, \dots, b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_{k+l-1}$ . We see that  $b_m$  is free now. By induction hypothesis,  $p_1$  can be moved from  $a_i$  to  $b_m$  in such a way that meanwhile all the other pebbles get back to the vertex they were before. Finally, rotate the pebbles  $k-m$  times around the cycle  $b_m, \dots, b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_{k+l-1}$ . Obviously, we obtained a realization of  $\psi_{i,j}$ .

Case  $m=0$ . We have a cycle

$$b_0, b_1, \dots, b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_{k+l-1}.$$

Two subcases arise according to whether this cycle contains all the vertices of  $D$  or not.

Subcase  $V = \{b_0, \dots, b_{k+l-1}\}$ . Since  $D$  is biconnected, there is at least one edge in  $E$  other than the edges  $(b_0, b_1), \dots, (b_{k+l-2}, b_{k+l-1}), (b_{k+l-1}, b_0)$ . The result follows by Lemma 4.1.

Subcase  $V \neq \{b_0, \dots, b_{k+l-1}\}$ . Take a vertex  $c \in V - \{b_0, \dots, b_{k+l-1}\}$  closest to the cycle  $b_0, \dots, b_{k+l-1}$ . We then have paths  $b_t = c_0, c_1, \dots, c_u = c$  and  $c = d_0, \dots, d_v = b_s$  for  $t, s \in \{0, \dots, k+l-1\}$  such that the sets  $\{b_0, \dots, b_{k+l-1}\}$ ,  $\{c_1, \dots, c_u\}$  and  $\{d_1, \dots, d_{v-1}\}$  are pairwise disjoint. The result follows by Lemma 4.2.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $D = (V, E)$  be a cycle with  $n$  vertices. Then for every group  $G$ ,  $G|S(D)$  if and only if  $G|Z_m$  for some  $m \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that a group is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $S(D)$  if and only if it is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $Z_m$  with  $m \leq n$ .

Suppose that  $H$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $S(D)$ . From Fact 3.3, there is a subset  $V'$  of the vertex set of  $D$  such that  $H$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $G(D, V')$ . Let  $m$  be the cardinality of  $V'$ . We prove that  $G(D, V')$  is a cyclic group of order  $m$ .

Set  $V = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  and  $V' = \{a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_m}\}$  so that  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  is a cycle and  $i_1 < \dots < i_m$ . Place pebble  $p_j$  onto  $a_{i_j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . Rotate the pebbles once around the cycle  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . If each of the pebbles  $p_j$  is on the vertex  $a_{i_{j+1}}$ , or on  $a_{i_1}$  if  $j = m$ , we see that the cyclic permutation  $(a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_m})$  is in  $G(D, V')$ . Otherwise, rotate those pebbles around the cycle  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  for which it does not hold. In a finite number of steps we obtain a realization of the cyclic permutation  $(a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_m})$ . Thus,  $(a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_m}) \in G(D, V')$ . On the other hand, since by our rules and the structure of  $D$  the pebbles can never pass each other, every permutation in  $G(D, V')$  is a power of the cyclic permutation  $(a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_m})$ .

Conversely, it is clear from the above proof that if  $H$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of a cyclic group  $Z_m$  with  $m \leq n$  then  $H$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $G(D, V')$  for every subset  $V'$  of  $V$  with  $m$  elements. Thus, Fact 4.2 yields  $G|S(D)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a class of automata. Set  $D(\mathcal{K}) = \{D \mid \exists A \in \mathcal{K} \text{ } D \text{ is a subgraph of } D(A)\}$ , where the notion of a subgraph of a graph is used in the usual sense. With the concept of  $D(\mathcal{K})$  and that of a biconnected graph we are able to characterize complete classes for the  $\alpha_1^\lambda$ -product:

**Theorem 4.5.** *A class  $\mathcal{K}$  is complete for the  $\alpha_1^\lambda$ -product if and only if for every positive integer  $n$ ,  $D(\mathcal{K})$  contains a biconnected graph on at least  $n$  vertices.*

*Proof.* If  $D(\mathcal{K})$  does not contain biconnected graphs then, by Theorem 4.4, Fact 3.5 and Fact 3.1, every simple group dividing  $S(A)$  for some  $A \in P_{1a_1}^\lambda(\mathcal{K})$  is commutative. If  $n$  is the highest integer such that  $D(\mathcal{K})$  contains a biconnected graph on  $n$  vertices then, again by Theorem 4.4, Fact 3.5 and Fact 3.1, every simple group dividing  $S(A)$  for an  $A \in P_{1a_1}^\lambda(\mathcal{K})$  is either commutative or a divisor of  $S_n$ . In either case,  $\mathcal{K}$  cannot be complete for the  $\alpha_1^\lambda$ -product by Theorem 1.1.



For the converse, suppose that for every positive integer  $n$  there exists a biconnected graph in  $D(\mathcal{K})$  having at least  $n$  vertices. Take a simple group  $G$ . There is a positive integer  $n$  with  $G|S_n$ . By Theorem 4.3, Fact 3.2 and Fact 3.1, it is easy to see that  $S_n|S(\mathbf{A})$  for some  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{P}_{1\alpha_1}^\lambda(\mathcal{K})$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{K}$  is complete for the  $\alpha_1^\lambda$ -product by Theorem 1.1.

In exactly the same way we obtain the following result:

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a class of automata. If  $\mathcal{K}$  is not complete for the  $\alpha_1^\lambda$ -product then three cases arise.*

(i) *There is a highest integer  $n$  such that  $D(\mathcal{K})$  contains a biconnected graph on  $n$  vertices. Then  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{HSP}_{\alpha_1}^\lambda(\mathcal{K})$  if and only if for every simple group  $G$  with  $G|S(\mathbf{A})$ , either  $G|S_{n-1}$  or  $G|G(D)$  for a biconnected graph  $D \in D(\mathcal{K})$  on  $n$  vertices or  $G$  is a prime group of order  $p$  and  $D(\mathcal{K})$  contains a cycle of length at least  $p$ .*

(ii)  *$D(\mathcal{K})$  does not contain biconnected graphs but there is at least one cycle in  $D(\mathcal{K})$ . Then  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{HSP}_{\alpha_1}^\lambda(\mathcal{K})$  if and only if for every simple group with  $G|S(\mathbf{A})$ ,  $G$  is a prime group of order  $p$  such that  $D(\mathcal{K})$  contains a cycle of length at least  $p$ .*

(iii) *Otherwise, i.e. if there is no cycle in  $D(\mathcal{K})$ , then  $\mathbf{HSP}_{\alpha_1}^\lambda(\mathcal{K})$  is the class of all monotone automata or the class of all discrete automata or the class of all trivial automata, just as in Theorem 1.2.*

**Corollary 4.7.** *There are a countable number of classes of automata of the form  $\mathbf{HSP}_{\alpha_1}^\lambda(\mathcal{K})$ .*

## References

- [1] Z. ÉSIK, Complete classes of automata for the  $\alpha_1$ -product, *Found. Control Engineering*, **11** (1986), 95—107.
- [2] Z. ÉSIK and P. DÖMÖSI, Complete classes of automata for the  $\alpha_0$ -product, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, **47** (1986), 1—14.
- [3] Z. ÉSIK and J. VIRÁGH, On products of automata with identity, *Acta Cybernet.*, **7** (1986), 299—311.
- [4] A. GINZBURG, *Algebraic theory of automata*, Academic Press (New York—London, 1968).

A. JÓZSEF UNIVERSITY  
BOLYAI INSTITUTE  
ARADI VÉRTANÚK TERE 1  
6720 SZEGED, HUNGARY