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0. Introduction. Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be a Banach space and let $G$ be a bounded semigroup of adjoint operators in $\mathfrak{B}^{*}$. We have proved the following result in [3]:

Suppose $\mathfrak{B}$ is weakly complete and $G$ is commutative and separable. If for every $t \in \mathfrak{B}^{*}$, the $w^{*}$-closed convex hull of the orbit $G t=\{g t: g \in G\}$ contains exactly one $G$-invariant element $t^{G}$, then the mapping $t \rightarrow t^{G}: \mathfrak{B}^{*} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}^{*}$ is a $w^{*}$-continuous linear projection $P$ such that $g P=P g=P(g \in G)$.
(The term "separable" here means that $G$ contains a countable subset $G_{0}$ which is dense in $G$ if $G$ is considered in the topology of pointwise $w^{*}$-convergence on $\mathfrak{B}^{*}$.)

According to [4], the above result also holds if instead of the commutativity of $G$, we only assume its amenability.

In the present paper we are going to prove analogues of the above result for the uniformly closed convex hull of the orbit $G t$. The particular case where $\mathfrak{B}^{*}$ is a $W^{*}$-algebra $M$ and $G$ is a group of ${ }^{*}$-automorphisms of $M$ may be of some interest.

1. Results. Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be a Banach space with dual $\mathfrak{B}^{*}$ and let $G$ be a bounded semigroup of $w^{*}$-continuous linear operators in $\mathfrak{B}^{*}$. In other words, sup $\{\|g\|: g \in G\}<\infty$ and for every $g \in G$, there is a unique bounded linear operator $g_{*}$ acting in $\mathfrak{B}$, such that $\left(g_{*}\right)^{*}=g$. Let us consider the following two properties of the pair $\mathfrak{B}, G$ :
(N) For every $t \in \mathfrak{B}^{*}$, the norm-closed convex hull of the orbit $G t=\{g t: g \in G\}$ contains at least one $G$-invariant element.
$\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}\right)$ For every $t \in \mathfrak{B}^{*}$, the norm-closed convex hull of the orbit $G t$ contains exactly one $G$-invariant element, say $t^{\boldsymbol{G}}$.

Theorem 1. Suppose $\mathfrak{B}$ is weakly complete and $G$ is amenable and countable. Then condition ( N ) implies condition $\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}\right)$. If condition $\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}\right)(\operatorname{or}(\mathrm{N})$ ) is satisfied, then the mapping $t \rightarrow t^{G}\left(t \in \mathfrak{B}^{*}\right)$ is a $w^{*}$-continuous linear projection $P$ such that $g \dot{P}=$ $=P g=P(g \in G)$.

[^0]Theorem 2. Suppose $\mathfrak{B}$ is weakly complete and $G$ is commutative and separable. Then condition $(\mathrm{N})$ implies condition $\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}\right)$. If condition $(\mathrm{N})\left(\right.$ or $\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}\right)$ ) is satisfied, then the mapping $t \rightarrow t^{G}\left(t \in \mathfrak{B}^{*}\right)$ is a $w^{*}$-continuous linear projection $P$ such that $g P=$ $=P g=P(g \in G)$.

Proposition. Let $\mathfrak{B}^{*}=M$, a von Neumann algebra and let $G$ be a countable amenable group of ${ }^{*}$-automorphisms of $M$ or let $\mathfrak{B}^{*}=M$, a von Neumann algebra in a separable Hilbert space and let $G$ be a commutative group of *-automorphisms of $M$. Assume that condition $(\mathrm{N})$ is satisfied. Then condition $\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}\right)$ is also satisfied and $M$ is $G$-finite. (For this notion, cf. [2].)

## 2. Proofs.

Proof of Theorem 1. For every $\varphi \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $t \in \mathfrak{B}^{*}$, let us define the element $f_{\varphi, t} \in l^{\infty}(G)$ by the equality $f_{\varphi, t}(g)=\varphi(g(t))(g \in G)$. Assume that (N) holds and consider a given $t \in \mathfrak{B}^{*} .$. Then there is a sequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of elements of the convex hull conv $G$ of $G$, for which $v_{n}(t)$ converges in norm to a $G$-invariant element of $\mathfrak{B}^{*}$, say $t^{\prime}$. Let a positive number $\varepsilon$ be given. Using the notation $\|G\|=$ $=\sup \{\|g\|: g \in G\}$, we can find a positive integer $n_{0}$ such that $\left\|v_{n}(t)-t^{\prime}\right\|<\varepsilon /\|G\|$ if $n \geqq n_{0}$. Then $\left\|g v_{n}(t)-t^{\prime}\right\|=\left\|g\left(v_{n}(t)-t^{\prime}\right)\right\| \leqq\|g\|\left\|v_{n}(t)-t^{\prime}\right\|<\|G\|(\varepsilon /\|G\|)=\varepsilon$ uniformly in $g \in G$ for $n \geqq n_{0}$. Consequently, for a given $\varphi \in \mathfrak{B}$ we have $\left|f_{\varphi, t}\left(g v_{n}\right)-\varphi\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right|=$ $=\left|\varphi\left(g v_{n}(t)\right)-\varphi\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right|=\left|\varphi\left(g v_{n}(t)-t^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqq\|\varphi\|\left\|g v_{n}(t)-t^{\prime}\right\|<\|\varphi\| \varepsilon$ for all $g \in G$ if $n \geqq n_{0}$. Since $\varepsilon>0$ was arbitrary, we have proved that the constant function on $G$ which is equal to $\varphi\left(t^{\prime}\right)$ can be uniformly approximated by convex combinations of the right translates of the element $f_{\varphi, t}$ of $l^{\infty}(G)$.

Let $m$ now be a right invariant mean on $l^{\infty}(G)$. The result above implies that $m\left(f_{\varphi, t}\right)=\varphi\left(t^{\prime}\right)$. In particular, if $t^{\prime \prime}$. is another element of the norm-closed convex hull of $G t$, then $m\left(f_{\varphi, t}\right)=\varphi\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Consequently, $\varphi\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\varphi\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for every $\varphi \in \mathfrak{B}$, and thus $t^{\prime}=t^{\prime \prime}$. Therefore, since $t \in \mathfrak{B}^{*}$ was arbitrary, we have proved that (N) implies $\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ (even without assuming the weak completeness of $\mathfrak{B}$ or the countability of $G$ ).

Now let $\left\{G_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a right-hand summing sequence for $G$, i.e., let (1/card $G_{n}$ ) card $\left(\left[G_{n} \cup G_{n} g \backslash\left[G_{n} \cap G_{n} g\right]\right) \rightarrow 0\right.$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. (For the existence of such a sequence, see [1].) We are going to prove that for $\varphi \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $t \in \mathfrak{B}^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1 / \operatorname{card} G_{n}\right) \sum_{h \in G_{n}} f_{\varphi, i}(h) \rightarrow \varphi\left(t^{G}\right) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. To prove this, we fix $\varphi \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $t \in \mathfrak{B}^{*}$ and assume that for some filter $F$ finer than the filter base $\{\{n: n \geqq k\}: k \in \mathbf{N}\}, \lim _{F}\left(1 / \operatorname{card} G_{n}\right) \sum_{h \in G_{n}} f_{\varphi, t}(h)$ exists. Let $F_{1}$ be an ultrafilter finer than $F$. Then for every $f \in l^{\infty}(G)$ and $g \in G$, the limit $\lim _{F_{1}}\left(1 / \operatorname{card} G_{n}\right) \sum_{h \in G_{n}} f(h g)$ exists (since $F_{1}$ is an ultrafilter) and is independent of
$g \in G$ (because of the summing sequence property, since $F_{1}$ is finer than $\{\{n: n \geqq k\}: k \in \mathbf{N}\})$. Consequently, $m(f)=\lim _{F_{i}}\left(1 /\right.$ card $\left.G_{n}\right) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{G}_{n}} f(h)$ is a right invariant mean on $l^{\infty}(G)$. By the beginning of our proof,

$$
m\left(f_{\varphi, t}\right)=\lim _{F_{1}}\left(1 / \operatorname{card} G_{n}\right){\underset{h}{ } \in \boldsymbol{G}_{n}} f_{\varphi, t}(h)=\varphi\left(t^{G}\right) .
$$

This means that $\lim _{F}\left(1 /\right.$ card $\left.G_{n}\right) \sum_{h \in G_{n}} f_{\varphi, t}(h)=\varphi\left(t^{G}\right)$ for every filter $F$ which is finer than the filter base $\{\{n: n \geqq k\}: k \in \mathbf{N}\}$ and for which $\lim _{F}\left(1 / \operatorname{card} G_{n}\right) \sum_{n \in \mathbf{G}_{n}} f_{\phi, t}(h)$ exists. This means that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1 /\right.$ card $\left.G_{n}\right) \sum_{h \in G_{n}} f_{p, t}(h)=\varphi\left(t^{\boldsymbol{G}}\right)$. Since $\varphi \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $t \in \mathfrak{B}^{*}$. were arbitrary fixed elements, we have proved (*).

Let us write $w_{n}=\left(1 / \operatorname{card} G_{n}\right) \sum_{h \in G_{n}} h$. Then $w_{n} \in \operatorname{conv} G$ and by $(*), w_{n} t \rightarrow t^{G}$ in the $w^{*}$-topology for every $t \in \mathfrak{B}^{*}$. From this point we proceed in the same way as in the first paragraph of Proof of Theorem 2 in [3]. For the sake of completeness, we repeat that reasoning here.

Let $\varphi \in \mathfrak{B}$ be given. Then for every $t \in \mathfrak{B}^{*}$ we have $\left(w_{n}^{* *} \varphi-\dot{w}_{m}{ }^{*} \varphi, t\right)=$ $=\left(\varphi,\left(w_{n}-w_{m}\right) t\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, the sequence $\left\{w_{n}^{*} \dot{*}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a weäk Cauchy sequence in $\mathfrak{B}$. Since $\mathfrak{B}$ is weakly complete, there is an element $P_{*} \varphi$ of $\mathfrak{B}$ such that ( $\left.w_{n}{ }^{*} \varphi, t\right) \rightarrow\left(P_{*} \varphi, t\right)$ for every $t \in \mathfrak{B}^{*}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It is obvious that $P_{*}$ is a bounded linear operator in $\mathfrak{B}$. Furthermore, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that ( $\varphi, w_{n} t$ )= $=\left(w_{n}^{*} \varphi, t\right) \rightarrow\left(P_{*} \varphi, t\right)=\left(\varphi,\left(P_{*}\right)^{*} t\right)$ for $\varphi \in \mathfrak{B}, t \in \mathfrak{B}^{*}$. Consequently, for every $t \in \mathfrak{B}^{*}$ we have $w_{n} t \rightarrow\left(P_{*}\right)^{*} t(n \rightarrow \infty)$ in the $w^{*}$-topology of $\mathfrak{B}^{*}$ and thus $t^{G}=\left(P_{*}\right)^{*} t\left(t \in \mathfrak{B}^{*}\right)$. Since $\left(P_{*}\right)^{*}$ is obviously $w^{*}$-continuous, this completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Remark. The first part of the proof of Theorem 1 shows that if $G$ is a bounded amenable semigroup of linear operators in a Banach space $\mathbb{C}$ and for every $\mathbb{T} \in \mathbb{C}$, the norm-closed convex hull of the orbit $G t$ contains at least one $G$-invariant element, then it contains exactly one $G$-invariant element. This can be seen in the same way as in the first part of the proof of Theorem 1 if we replace $\mathfrak{B}^{*}$ by $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ by $\mathfrak{C}^{*}$ there.

Proof of Theorem 2. Assume (N). We shall prove that for every $t \in \mathfrak{B}^{*}$, the $w^{*}$-closed convex hull of the orbit $G t$ contains exactly one $G$-invariant element. Then Theorem 2 of [3] will imply the statement of Theorem 2 of this paper.

First we prove that for every $t \in \mathfrak{B}^{*}$, the norm-closed convex hull of $G t$ contains exactly one $G$-invariant element. (This follows from the above Remark, but in the commutative case the proof is simpler and we prefer to give an independent proof.) In fact, let $t^{\prime}$ and $t^{\prime \prime}$ be two $G$-invariant elements in the norm-closed convex hull of $G t$ and let $\varepsilon$ be a positive number. There exist $v$ and $w$ in conv $G$, such that $\left\|v t-t^{\prime}\right\|<\varepsilon$ and $\left\|w t-t^{\prime \prime}\right\|<\varepsilon$. We have $\left\|t^{\prime}-t^{\prime \prime}\right\| \leqq\left\|t^{\prime}-v w t\right\|+\left\|v w t-t^{\prime \prime}\right\|=$ $=\left\|w\left(t^{\prime}-v t\right)\right\|+\left\|v\left(w t-t^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\| \leqq\left\|t^{\prime}-v t\right\|+\left\|w t-t^{\prime \prime}\right\|<2 \varepsilon$, since $v w=w v$ and $\|v\| \leqq 1$,
$\|w\| \leqq 1$. Since $\varepsilon>0$ was arbitrary, this proves that $t^{\prime}=t^{\prime \prime}$ and thus the normclosed convex hull of $G t$ contains exactly one $G$-invariant element, say $t^{G}$.

Now we prove that for every $t \in \mathfrak{B}^{*}$, the only $G$-invariant element in the $w^{*}$ closed convex hull of $G t$ is $t^{G}$. In fact, let $t \in \mathfrak{B}^{*}$ and let $t_{0}$ be a $G$-invariant element in the $w^{*}$-closure of $[\operatorname{conv} G] t$. Given $\varepsilon>0$, there is $w \in \operatorname{conv} G$ such that $\left\|w t-t^{G}\right\|<\varepsilon$. Furthermore, there exists a net $v_{n}$ in conv $G$, such that $v_{n} t \rightarrow t^{\prime}$ in the $w^{*}$-topology. Then $w v_{n} t \rightarrow w t^{\prime}=t^{\prime}$ in the $w^{*}$-topology. On the other hand, $\left\|w v_{n} t-t^{G}\right\|=\left\|v_{n}\left(w t-t^{G}\right)\right\|<\varepsilon$. Consequently, $\quad\left\|t^{\prime}-t^{G}\right\| \leqq \sup _{n}\left\|w v_{n} t-t^{G}\right\|<\varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon>0$ was arbitrary, this proves that $t^{\prime}=t^{G}$ is the only $G$-invariant element in the $w^{*}$-closure of [conv G] $t$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Proof of Proposition. The Proposition is a special case of Theorems 1 and 2. We only have to note that if $M$ is a von Neumann algebra in a separable Hilbert space and $G$ is a group of *-automorphisms of $M$, then $G$ is separable, as was pointed out in [3].

Problem. If $\mathfrak{B}$ is weakly complete and separable, does condition $\left(N_{1}\right)$ imply that the mapping $t \rightarrow t^{\boldsymbol{G}}$ is $w^{*}$-continuous on $\mathfrak{B}^{*}$ ?
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