

Amalgamated free products of n -groups

JACEK MICHALSKI

1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to give a description for the amalgamated free products of n -groups. The present paper is the second in a series of papers devoted to the study of constructions of some special limits in the category \mathbf{Gr}_n of n -groups (in [7] two constructions of free products were given). Both these papers are based on the results of [6]. The relation of the functors Φ and Ψ to inductive and projective limits given in [6] is used to investigate the above-mentioned inductive limits of n -groups.

In these constructions the notion of a free covering group (cf. [10]) plays a central role. The paper [3] (cf. also [5]) contains a generalization of this notion, namely a free covering $(k+1)$ -group of an $(n+1)$ -group (where $n=sk$). The assignment of free covering $(k+1)$ -groups to $(n+1)$ -groups is functorial. This leads to the functor $\Phi_s: \mathbf{Gr}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{k+1}$ (in fact a class of functors depending on which construction of the free covering $(k+1)$ -group we consider), which is left adjoint to the forgetful functor $\Psi_s: \mathbf{Gr}_{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{n+1}$ (cf. [3], [6]). Here (contrary to [7]) the meaning of Φ_s is the same as in [6]. In Proposition 1, by $\Phi_q(\tilde{H})$ and $\Phi_q(\tilde{G}_t)$ we mean the respective free covering $(k+1)$ -groups of the $(qk+1)$ -groups \tilde{H} and \tilde{G}_t , disregarding their constructions.

In a category with initial objects any free product with an amalgamated initial object is isomorphic to the corresponding free product. The construction of free products of n -groups was given in [7]. However, the construction of amalgamated free products given here exploits the non-emptiness of amalgamated sub- $(n+1)$ -groups. Therefore we always assume that a polyadic group is nonempty (like in [7]).

The terminology and notation of this paper is the same as in [5], [6], [7]. We recall only that $(\mathbb{C}_{s,k+1}, \varphi)$ denotes the cyclic $(k+1)$ -group of order s (cf. [10], [3]) and the letters f and g denote the $(n+1)$ -group and $(k+1)$ -group operations, respec-

tively, in the $(n+1)$ -groups and $(k+1)$ -groups under consideration. The symbol $f_{(s)}$ is understood as in [7] (in particular $f_{(0)}(x)=x$). Throughout the paper we assume $n=sk$, $s=mq$, $n>1$.

Let us introduce some new abbreviations of the notation. In place of $f(\dots, x_1, \dots, x_r, \dots, x_1, \dots, x_r, \dots)$ with x_1, \dots, x_r repeated t times we write $f(\dots, \llbracket x_1, \dots, x_r \rrbracket^t, \dots)$. In particular, instead of $f(\dots, x, \dots, x, \dots)$ with x repeated t times we write briefly $f(\dots, \llbracket x \rrbracket^t, \dots)$.

2. Preliminaries

We start with recalling the construction of an amalgamated free product in the category \mathbf{Gr}_2 of groups (cf. [2], [11]).

Consider a nonempty family of monomorphisms $\{\gamma_t: B \rightarrow A_t\}_{t \in T}$ in \mathbf{Gr}_2 , where the groups A_t are mutually disjoint. Let e denote the neutral element of A_t for each $t \in T$, and $B_t = \gamma_t(B)$. Form a set D_t consisting of exactly one representative for any left coset $x B_t$ of the subgroup B_t and such that $e B_t \cap D_t = \{e\}$. Thus every element $a \in A_t$ can be expressed uniquely in the form $a = \hat{a} \gamma_t(b)$ where $\hat{a} \in D_t$, $b \in B$. The sequences of the form $\hat{a}_1 \dots \hat{a}_r b$ where $\hat{a}_i \in D_{t_i} - B_{t_i}$, $b \in B$, $t_i \neq t_{i+1}$, $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, will be called words. In the set A of words define a binary operation which from any two words forms a word in the following manner. Juxtapose the words to get a "long word" and perform all the necessary cancellations. The set A with this operation is the free product of A_t with amalgamated subgroup B . Henceforth by the amalgamated free product of groups we always mean the group described above.

Lemma 1. Let $[L'; \{\gamma_t: \Phi_n(G_t) \rightarrow L'\}_{t \in T}]$ be the free product of a nonempty family of groups $\{\Phi_n(G_t)\}_{t \in T}$ with amalgamated subgroup $\Phi_n(H)$ (i.e., the inductive limit of the family of monomorphisms $\{\Phi_n(e_t): \Phi_n(H) \rightarrow \Phi_n(G_t)\}_{t \in T}$ where $\langle \Phi_n(H), \tau_H, \zeta_H \rangle$ and $\{\langle \Phi_n(G_t), \tau_t, \zeta_t \rangle\}_{t \in T}$ are the free covering groups of the $(n+1)$ -groups H and $\{G_t\}_{t \in T}$, respectively. Then the morphism $\zeta: L' \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{n,2}$ defined by $\zeta(\hat{a}_1 \dots \hat{a}_r b) = \varphi_{(s)}(\zeta_{t_1}(a_1), \dots, \zeta_{t_r}(a_r), \zeta_H(b))$ (where $\hat{a}_1 \dots \hat{a}_r b \in L'$, $b \in \Phi_n(H)$, $\hat{a}_i \in \Phi_n(G_{t_i})$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$) is an epimorphism and a pair $\langle L', \tau \rangle$, where τ is the inclusion of $\zeta^{-1}(0)$ into L' , is the free covering group of the $(n+1)$ -group $L = \zeta^{-1}(0)$. Furthermore, $[L; \{\alpha_t: G_t \rightarrow L\}_{t \in T}]$, where $\tau \alpha_t = \Psi_n(\gamma_t) \tau_t$, is the free product of the $(n+1)$ -groups $\{G_t\}_{t \in T}$ with an amalgamated sub- $(n+1)$ -group H .

Proof. The proof of this lemma is analogous to that of Lemma 1 of [7].

The following two lemmas concern the decomposition of an $(n+1)$ -group $\mathfrak{G} = (G, f)$ into left cosets of a nonempty sub- $(n+1)$ -group H (cf. [1], [10]). As usual,

for the construction of the free covering group one fixes an element $c \in G$ (cf. [3], [5]). Since the element c is arbitrary, we may assume $c \in H$.

Lemma 2. *Let H be a sub- $(n+1)$ -group of an $(n+1)$ -group $\mathfrak{G}=(G, f)$ and $a \in G$. Then every element of the form (a, l) (with $l=0, 1, \dots, n-1$) in the group $\mathfrak{G}^{*n}=(G^{*n}, f^*)$ belongs to $(a, 0)H^{*n}$.*

Proof. Let $a \in G$ and $l=0, 1, \dots, n-1$. Then

$$f^*((a, 0), (c, l-1)) = (f(a, c, \llbracket c \rrbracket^{l-1}, \bar{c}, \llbracket c \rrbracket^{n-1-l}), l) = (a, l) \in (a, 0)H^{*n},$$

since $(c, l-1) \in H^{*n}$.

Lemma 3. *Two elements (a_1, l_1) and (a_2, l_2) of \mathfrak{G}^{*n} belong to the same left coset of the subgroup H^{*n} if and only if there exists an element $b \in H$ such that $a_1 = f(a_2, b, \llbracket c \rrbracket^{n-1})$.*

Proof. Let $(a_1, l_1) \in (a_2, l_2)H^{*n}$. In view of Lemma 2 $(a_1, 0) \in (a_2, 0)H^{*n}$, whence $(a_1, 0) = f^*((a_2, 0), (b, n-1)) = (f(a_2, b, \llbracket c \rrbracket^{n-1}, \bar{c}, \llbracket c \rrbracket^{n-1}), 0) = (f(a_2, b, \llbracket c \rrbracket^{n-1}), 0)$ for some $b \in H$. Thus $a_1 = f(a_2, b, \llbracket c \rrbracket^{n-1})$.

Conversely, let $a_1 = f(a_2, b, \llbracket c \rrbracket^{n-1})$. Thus $(a_1, 0) = f^*((a_2, 0), (b, n-1))$, whence $(a_1, 0) \in (a_2, 0)H^{*n}$. Then, by Lemma 2, $(a_1, l_1) \in (a_2, l_2)H^{*n}$.

3. A construction of amalgamated free products

Consider a nonempty family of monomorphisms $\{\varepsilon_i: H \rightarrow G_i\}_{i \in T}$ where H and G_i are nonempty $(n+1)$ -groups. Choose an arbitrary but fixed element $c \in H$. Let $c_i = \varepsilon_i(c)$. Decompose every G_i into left cosets of the sub- $(n+1)$ -group $H_i = \varepsilon_i(H)$ (i.e., elements a' and a'' belong to the same coset if and only if there exists an element $b_i \in H_i$ such that $a' = f(a'', b_i, \llbracket c_i \rrbracket^{n-1})$) and choose one element in every coset distinct from H_i . The representative of the coset aH_i (where $a \in G_i - H_i$) is denoted by \hat{a} . Therefore $a = f(\hat{a}, b_i, \llbracket c_i \rrbracket^{n-1})$ for some $b_i \in H_i$. By a word we shall mean a sequence of the form $\hat{a}_1 \dots \hat{a}_r b c$, where $r=0, 1, \dots$ and for $i=1, \dots, r$ we have $a_i \in G_i - H_i$, $b \in H$, $t_i \neq t_{i+1}$, $l=0, 1, \dots, n-1$, $r+l \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. Now we define an $(n+1)$ -ary operation f on the set L of all words. Given $n+1$ words, form by juxtaposition a "long word" and perform the following cancellations: If in the "long word" there appear neighbouring expressions of the form

1. $b_1 \overset{l_1}{c}$ and $b_2 \overset{l_2}{c}$, where $b_1, b_2 \in H$, then we replace them by $b \overset{\varphi(l_1, l_2)}{c}$, where $b = f_{(c)}(b_1, \llbracket c \rrbracket^{l_1}, b_2, \llbracket c \rrbracket^{l_2}, \bar{c}, \llbracket c \rrbracket^{n-1-\varphi(l_1, l_2)})$. If $b = \bar{c}$ and $\varphi(l_1, l_2) = n-1$, then we cancel the resulting expression $\bar{c} \overset{n-1}{c}$, unless it remains at the end of the "long word".

2. \hat{a}_1 and \hat{a}_2 , where $\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2 \in G_i - H_i$, then depending on the element $a = f(\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2, \bar{c}_i, \llbracket c_i \rrbracket^{n-2})$ we replace them by

(a) $\hat{a}bc$, where $b \in H$ is the solution of the equation $a = f(\hat{a}, \varepsilon_i(b), \bar{c}_i, \llbracket c_i \rrbracket^{n-2})$, if $a \notin H_i$;

(b) $a'c$, where $\varepsilon_i(a') = a$, if $a \in H_i$.

3. b_1c and \hat{a}_1 , where $\hat{a}_1 \in G_i - H_i$, then we replace them by $\hat{a}bc$, where $a = f_{(c)}(\varepsilon_i(b_1), \llbracket c_i \rrbracket^l, \hat{a}_1, \bar{c}_i, \llbracket c_i \rrbracket^{n-1-\varphi(l,0)})$ and b is the solution of the equation $a = f_{(c)}(\hat{a}, \varepsilon_i(b), \llbracket c_i \rrbracket^l, \bar{c}_i, \llbracket c_i \rrbracket^{n-1-\varphi(l,0)})$.

After a finite number of steps the "long word" becomes a word. Note that the resulting word does not depend on the order of the cancellations performed.

Define the family of morphisms $\{\alpha_i: G_i \rightarrow L\}_{i \in T}$ by the formula:

1. $\alpha_i(a) = \hat{a}b^{\frac{n-1}{c}}c$, where b is the solution of the equation $a = f(\hat{a}, \varepsilon_i(b), \llbracket c_i \rrbracket^{n-1})$, if $a \in G_i - H_i$;

2. $\alpha_i(a) = a'c$, where $\varepsilon_i(a') = a$, if $a \in H_i$.

Theorem 1. *The $(n+1)$ -groupoid L is an $(n+1)$ -group. The $(n+1)$ -group L together with the family of morphisms $\{\alpha_i: G_i \rightarrow L\}_{i \in T}$ is the free product of the $(n+1)$ -groups $\{G_i\}_{i \in T}$ with an amalgamated sub- $(n+1)$ -group H .*

Proof. We use the same notation as in Lemma 1. Let $\langle \Phi_n(H), \tau_H, \zeta_H \rangle$ and $\{\langle \Phi_n(G_i), \tau_i, \zeta_i \rangle\}_{i \in T}$ be the free covering groups of the $(n+1)$ -groups H and $\{G_i\}_{i \in T}$, respectively, with distinguished elements $c \in H$ and $c_i = \varepsilon_i(c) \in G_i$.

As was mentioned above, the elements of the free product L' of the groups $\Phi_n(G_i)$ with an amalgamated subgroup $\Phi_n(H)$ are words of the form $\hat{a}_1^* \dots \hat{a}_r^* b^*$, where $\hat{a}_i^* \in \Phi_n(G_i) - \Phi_n(H_i)$, $b^* \in \Phi_n(H)$, $t_i \neq t_{i+1}$, $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. According to Lemma 2, the elements \hat{a}_i^* can be chosen to be of the form $\hat{a}_i^* = (\hat{a}_i, 0)$. On the other hand, by Lemma 3, the decomposition of $\Phi_n(G_i)$ into left cosets of the subgroup $\Phi_n(H_i)$ coincides for elements of the form $(a, 0)$ with the decomposition of the $(n+1)$ -group G_i into left cosets of the sub- $(n+1)$ -group H_i . Therefore every element of L' is of the form $w = (\hat{a}_1, 0) \dots (\hat{a}_r, 0)(b, l)$ where $b \in H$, $l = 0, \dots, n-1$ and $\hat{a}_i \in G_i - H_i$; $t_i \neq t_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. According to Lemma 1 the morphism $\zeta: L' \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{n,2}$ defined by $\zeta(w) = \varphi_{(c)}(\zeta_{t_1}(\hat{a}_1, 0), \dots, \zeta_{t_r}(\hat{a}_r, 0), \zeta_H(b, l))$ is an epimorphism. Let $L = \zeta^{-1}(0)$ and let $\tau: L \rightarrow L'$ be the inclusion of L into L' . Then $w \in L$ if and only if $r+l \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ (since $\zeta(w) = \varphi_{(c)}(0, \dots, 0, l) \equiv r+l \pmod{n}$). The $(n+1)$ -group operation f on L is simply the long product obtained from the group operation f^* on L' . To simplify words of the form $(a, 0)$ in the $(n+1)$ -group L we write simply a . Then $\tau(a) = (a, 0) \in L$. Let $w = (\hat{a}_1, 0) \dots (\hat{a}_r, 0)(b, l) \in L$. Then (cf. [5])

$$\begin{aligned} w &= f_{(c)}^*((\hat{a}_1, 0), \dots, (\hat{a}_r, 0), (b, 0), \llbracket (c, 0) \rrbracket^l) = \\ &= f_{(c)}^*(\tau(\hat{a}_1), \dots, \tau(\hat{a}_r), \tau(b), \llbracket \tau(c) \rrbracket^l) = \tau(\hat{a}_1 \dots \hat{a}_r bc). \end{aligned}$$

Thus it is convenient to define L as the set of all sequences of the form $\hat{a}_1 \dots \hat{a}_r b c$ where $b \in H$, $r=0, 1, 2, \dots$, $l=0, \dots, n-1$, $r+l \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ and $a_i \in G_{t_i} - H_{t_i}$, $t_i \neq t_{i+1}$ for $i=1, \dots, r$. The $(n+1)$ -ary operation f on L is given by juxtaposition of $n+1$ words and performing all possible cancellations:

1. If there appear neighbouring expressions $b_1 c^{l_1}$ and $b_2 c^{l_2}$, where $b_1, b_2 \in H$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\dots b_1 c^{l_1} b_2 c^{l_2} \dots) &= f_{(\cdot)}^*(\dots, \tau(b_1), [\tau(c)]^{l_1}, \tau(b_2), [\tau(c)]^{l_2}, \dots) = \\ &= f_{(\cdot)}^*(\dots, (f(b_1, [c]^{l_1}, b_2, [c]^{l_2}, \bar{c}, [c]^{n-1-\varphi(l_1, l_2)}), \varphi(l_1, l_2)), \dots) = \\ &= f_{(\cdot)}^*(\dots, \tau(f(b_1, [c]^{l_1}, b_2, [c]^{l_2}, \bar{c}, [c]^{n-1-\varphi(l_1, l_2)})), [\tau(c)]^{\varphi(l_1, l_2)}, \dots) = \\ &= \tau(\dots f(b_1, [c]^{l_1}, b_2, [c]^{l_2}, \bar{c}, [c]^{n-1-\varphi(l_1, l_2)}) c^{\varphi(l_1, l_2)} \dots). \end{aligned}$$

If we obtain the expression $\bar{c} c^{n-1}$ not at the end of the "long word", then as in the proof of Theorem 2 of [7] one can show that it may be cancelled.

2. If there appear neighbouring expressions \hat{a}_1 and \hat{a}_2 , where $\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2 \in G_t - H_t$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\dots \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \dots) &= f_{(\cdot)}^*(\dots, \tau(\hat{a}_1), \tau(\hat{a}_2), \dots) = \\ &= f_{(\cdot)}^*(\dots, (f(\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2, \bar{c}_t, [c_t]^{n-2}), 1), \dots) = f_{(\cdot)}^*(\dots, (a, 1), \dots) \end{aligned}$$

where $a = f(\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2, \bar{c}_t, [c_t]^{n-2}) \in G_t$. Consider two cases:

(a) Let $a \notin H_t$. Then $(a, 1) = f^*((\hat{a}, 0), (\varepsilon_t(b), 0)) = (f(\hat{a}, \varepsilon_t(b), \bar{c}_t, [c_t]^{n-2}), 1)$, thus $a = f(\hat{a}, \varepsilon_t(b), \bar{c}_t, [c_t]^{n-2})$ and therefore $b \in H$ given by the equality $(a, 1) = f^*((\hat{a}, 0), (\varepsilon_t(b), 0))$ is the solution of the equation $a = f(\hat{a}, \varepsilon_t(b), \bar{c}_t, [c_t]^{n-2})$. Hence

$$\tau(\dots \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \dots) = f_{(\cdot)}^*(\dots, (\hat{a}, 0), (b, 0), \dots) = f_{(\cdot)}^*(\dots, \tau(\hat{a}), \tau(b), \dots) = \tau(\dots \hat{a} b c \dots).$$

(b) Let $a \in H_t$. Then

$$\tau(\dots \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \dots) = f_{(\cdot)}^*(\dots, (a, 1), \dots) = f_{(\cdot)}^*(\dots, \tau(a), \tau(c), \dots) = \tau(\dots a' c \dots)$$

where $\varepsilon_t(a') = a$.

3. If there appear neighbouring expressions $b_1 c^l$ and \hat{a}_1 , where $\hat{a}_1 \in G_t - H_t$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\dots b_1 c^l \hat{a}_1 \dots) &= f_{(\cdot)}^*(\dots, (\varepsilon_t(b_1), l), (\hat{a}_1, 0), \dots) = \\ &= f_{(\cdot)}^*(\dots, (f(\varepsilon_t(b_1), [c_t]^l, \hat{a}_1, \bar{c}_t, [c_t]^{n-1-\varphi(l, 0)}), \varphi(l, 0)), \dots) = f_{(\cdot)}^*(\dots, (a, \varphi(l, 0)), \dots) \end{aligned}$$

where $a = f_{(\cdot)}(\varepsilon_t(b_1), [c_t]^l, \hat{a}_1, \bar{c}_t, [c_t]^{n-1-\varphi(l, 0)})$. Then

$$(a, \varphi(l, 0)) = f^*((\hat{a}, 0), (\varepsilon_t(b), l)) = (f(\hat{a}, \varepsilon_t(b), [c_t]^l, \bar{c}_t, [c_t]^{n-1-\varphi(l, 0)}), \varphi(l, 0));$$

thus $a = f_{(c)}(\hat{a}, \varepsilon_t(b), \llbracket c_t \rrbracket^l, \bar{c}_t, \llbracket c_t \rrbracket^{n-1-\varphi(l,0)})$ and therefore $b \in H$ given by the equality $(a, \varphi(l, 0)) = f^*((\hat{a}, 0), (\varepsilon_t(b), l))$ is the solution of the equation $a = f(\hat{a}, \varepsilon_t(b), \llbracket c_t \rrbracket^l, \bar{c}_t, \llbracket c_t \rrbracket^{n-1-\varphi(l,0)})$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\dots b_1 c \hat{a}_1 \dots) &= f_{(c)}^*(\dots, (\hat{a}, 0), (\varepsilon_t(b), l), \dots) = \\ &= f_{(c)}^*(\dots, \tau(\hat{a}), \tau(b), \llbracket \tau(c) \rrbracket^l, \dots) = \tau(\dots \hat{a} b c \dots). \end{aligned}$$

The uniqueness of the resulting word is implied by the uniqueness of the form of a word in the amalgamated free product of groups.

According to Lemma 1, $\tau\alpha_t(a) = \Psi_n(\gamma_t)(a, 0)$. Consider two cases:

1. Let $a \in G_t - H_t$. Then $(a, 0) = f^*((\hat{a}, 0), (\varepsilon_t(b), n-1)) = (f(\hat{a}, \varepsilon_t(b), \llbracket c_t \rrbracket^{n-1}), 0)$; thus $a = f(\hat{a}, \varepsilon_t(b), \llbracket c_t \rrbracket^{n-1})$ and therefore $b \in H$ given by the equality $(a, 0) = f^*((\hat{a}, 0), (\varepsilon_t(b), n-1))$ is the solution of the equation $a = f(\hat{a}, \varepsilon_t(b), \llbracket c_t \rrbracket^{n-1})$. Hence $\gamma_t(a, 0) = (a, 0)(b, n-1)$, so $\tau\alpha_t(a) = (\hat{a}, 0)(b, n-1) = \tau(\hat{a} b c)$.

2. Let $a \in H_t$. Then $\gamma_t(a, 0) = (a, 0)$, whence $\tau\alpha_t(a) = \tau(a, 0) = a'c^0$ where $\varepsilon_t(a') = a$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

4. Some properties of amalgamated free products

In view of Theorem 3 of [6], if every $(n+1)$ -group G_t and also the $(n+1)$ -group H are derived from $(k+1)$ -groups, then the amalgamated free product is also derived from a $(k+1)$ -group. The converse is also true except for the following two cases: when T has only one element or when at most one of the monomorphisms is not an isomorphism. Then the amalgamated free product is isomorphic either to G_t or to H . It may happen that in this case the amalgamated free product (being isomorphic to one of the $(n+1)$ -groups G_t) is derived from a $(k+1)$ -group; none the less the $(n+1)$ -group H (as a sub- $(n+1)$ -group of that $(n+1)$ -group G_t) need not be derived from any $(k+1)$ -group. For this reason we have to make some additional assumptions.

Theorem 2. *Let L be the free product of $(n+1)$ -groups $\{G_t\}_{t \in T}$ with an amalgamated sub- $(n+1)$ -group H , where more than one monomorphism $\varepsilon_t: H \rightarrow G_t$ is not an isomorphism. Then the $(n+1)$ -group L is derived from a $(k+1)$ -group if and only if every $(n+1)$ -group G_t and the $(n+1)$ -group H are also derived from $(k+1)$ -groups.*

Proof. We use the notation of Theorem 1. Let L be an $(n+1)$ -group derived from a certain $(k+1)$ -group and let the word $w = \hat{a}_1 \dots \hat{a}_i b c$ be skew to the element

${}^0 cc = \alpha(c) \in \alpha(H)$ (where $\alpha = \alpha_i \varepsilon_i$) in that $(k+1)$ -group. In view of Corollary 2 of [9] the element w is s -skew to ${}^0 cc$ in the $(n+1)$ -group L .

Suppose that $r \neq 0$. Then $a_1 \in G_{t_1}$ for some $t_1 \in T$. Take any element of the form ${}^{n-1} \hat{a} \bar{c} c$ where $a \in G_t - H_t$ and $t \neq t_1$. From the definition of an s -skew element (cf. [9]) it follows that $w [{}^0 cc]^{k-1} [{}^{n-1} \hat{a} \bar{c} c]^{n-k-1} = {}^{n-1} \hat{a} \bar{c} c w [{}^0 cc]^{k-1} [{}^{n-1} \hat{a} \bar{c} c]^{n-k}$. After performing all the necessary cancellations the reduced word on the left side of the equality starts with \hat{a}_1 , the reduced word on the right side starts with \hat{a} . This contradicts the uniqueness of the form of a reduced word, since $\hat{a}_1 \neq \hat{a}$ ($t_1 \neq t$).

Thus the word w is of the form $w = {}^0 bc$. Since $w = {}^0 bc = \alpha(b) \in \alpha(H)$, by Proposition 3 of [9] the sub- $(n+1)$ -group $\alpha(H)$ of the $(n+1)$ -group L is also a sub- $(k+1)$ -group of the creating $(k+1)$ -group of L . Hence the $(n+1)$ -group H (isomorphic to the $(n+1)$ -group $\alpha(H)$) is also derived from a $(k+1)$ -group. On the other hand $\alpha(H) \subset \gamma_t(G_t)$; so every $(n+1)$ -group $\gamma_t(G_t)$ is derived from a $(k+1)$ -group.

Conversely, let $(n+1)$ -groups $\{G_t\}_{t \in T}$ and H be derived from $(k+1)$ -groups. Then, by Theorem 3 of [6], the $(n+1)$ -group L is also derived from a $(k+1)$ -group, which completes the proof of Theorem 2.

In [6] we proved a general theorem on the inductive limits of covering $(k+1)$ -groups of $(n+1)$ -groups. This theorem applied to the case of the free product yields Theorem 4 of [7].

In a category complete with respect to inductive limits the free product is a particular case of the free product with an amalgamated subobject (taking an initial object for the subobject). This is the case for the category Gr_2 and also for the categories Gr_n with $n > 2$. Therefore in Gr_2 the construction of a free product is a particular case of the construction of the free product with an amalgamated subgroup (in this case a one-element group). Note that the situation is quite different when we pass to Gr_n for $n > 2$. In the construction of a free product with an amalgamated sub- n -group presented here it is important that this sub- n -group is non-empty. Hence the construction is not a generalization of the construction of the free product. In particular, Theorem 4 of [7] is not applicable to the description of an amalgamated free product of covering $(k+1)$ -groups of $(n+1)$ -groups.

Proposition 1. *Let $\{e_t: H \rightarrow G_t\}_{t \in T}$ and $\{e'_t: H' \rightarrow G'_t\}_{t \in T}$ be nonempty families of monomorphisms, where $\langle H', \lambda_H, \zeta_H \rangle$ and $\{\langle G'_t, \lambda_t, \zeta_t \rangle\}_{t \in T}$ are covering $(k+1)$ -groups of indices q_H and $\{q_t\}_{t \in T}$ of the $(n+1)$ -groups H and $\{G_t\}_{t \in T}$, respectively, and in addition $\Psi_s(e'_t) \lambda_H = \lambda_t e_t$ for each $t \in T$. Then for each $t \in T$ we have $q_t = q_H$ and the free product of the $(k+1)$ -groups $\{G'_t\}_{t \in T}$ with an amalgamated sub- $(k+1)$ -group H' is a covering $(k+1)$ -group of index q_H of the free product of the $(n+1)$ -groups $\{G_t\}_{t \in T}$ with an amalgamated sub- $(n+1)$ -group H .*

Proof. The commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Psi_s(H') & \xrightarrow{\Psi_s(\varepsilon'_i)} & \Psi_s(G'_i) \\ \uparrow \lambda_H & & \uparrow \lambda_i \\ H & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_i} & G_i \end{array}$$

together with Theorem 4 of [5] implies the existence of morphisms $\xi_i: \mathfrak{C}_{q_H, k+1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{q_i, k+1}$ such that $\xi_i \zeta_H = \zeta_i \varepsilon'_i$. Since the morphisms $\varepsilon'_i: H' \rightarrow G'_i$ are (by assumption) monomorphisms, the morphisms ζ_i are isomorphisms (cf. Corollary 4 of [8]). Hence $q_H = q_i$. For simplicity we shall write q instead of q_H . In view of Corollary 3 of [5], the $(n+1)$ -groups H and $\{G_i\}_{i \in T}$ are derived from $(qk+1)$ -groups \tilde{H} and \tilde{G}_i , respectively, where in addition (see the remark on the definition of the functor Φ in the Introduction) $H' = \Phi_q(\tilde{H})$, $G'_i = \Phi_q(\tilde{G}_i)$, $\lambda_H = \Psi_m(\tau_{\tilde{H}})$, $\lambda_i = \Psi_m(\tau_{\tilde{G}_i})$ (here $s = mq$). Let $[\tilde{L}; \{\tilde{\alpha}_i: \tilde{G}_i \rightarrow \tilde{L}\}_{i \in T}]$, $[L; \{\alpha_i: G_i \rightarrow L\}_{i \in T}]$, $[L'; \{\alpha'_i: G'_i \rightarrow L'\}_{i \in T}]$ be the amalgamated free products. The functors Ψ_m and Φ_q preserve and reflect amalgamated free products (cf. [6]). Hence $L = \Psi_m(\tilde{L})$, $L' = \Phi_q(\tilde{L})$. Let $\langle L', \tau_{L'} \rangle$ be the free covering $(k+1)$ -group of the $(qk+1)$ -group \tilde{L} . Thus, by Corollary 4 of [5], $\langle L', \lambda_{L'} \rangle$ (where $\lambda_{L'} = \Psi_m(\tau_{L'})$) is a covering $(k+1)$ -group of index q of the $(n+1)$ -group L , which completes the proof of Proposition 1.

References

- [1] W. DÖRNTE, Untersuchungen über einen verallgemeinerten Gruppenbegriff, *Math. Z.*, **29** (1929), 1—19.
- [2] A. G. KUROŠ, *Group theory*, Nauka (Moscow, 1967). (Russian)
- [3] J. MICHALSKI, On some functors from the category of n -groups, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math.*, **27** (1979), 437—441.
- [4] J. MICHALSKI, Inductive and projective limits of n -groups, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math.*, **27** (1979), 443—446.
- [5] J. MICHALSKI, Covering k -groups of n -groups, *Arch. Math. (Brno)*, **17** (1981), 207—226.
- [6] J. MICHALSKI, On the category of n -groups, *Fund. Math.*, **122** (1984), 187—197.
- [7] J. MICHALSKI, Free products of n -groups, *Fund. Math.*, **123** (1984), 11—20.
- [8] J. MICHALSKI, Morphisms in the category of covering k -groups of n -groups, *Demonstratio Math.*, **16** (1983), 977—990.
- [9] J. MICHALSKI, On s -skew elements in polyadic groups, *Arch. Math. (Brno)*, **19** (1983), 215—218.
- [10] E. POST, Polyadic groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **48** (1940), 208—350.
- [11] Z. SEMADENI, A. WIWEGER, *Einführung in die Theorie der Kategorien und Funktoren*, Teubner (Leipzig, 1979).