

## On non-modular $n$ -distributive lattices: The decision problem for identities in finite $n$ -distributive lattices

A. P. HUHN

*To Professor K. Tandori on his sixtieth birthday*

**1. Introduction.** It was proved in [1] that the lattice  $\mathfrak{C}(R^{n-1})$  of all convex sets of the  $n-1$  dimensional Euclidean space  $R^{n-1}$  is a member of the lattice variety  $D_n^f$  generated by the finite  $n$ -distributive lattices. It is an open question whether this variety equals  $D_n$ , the class of all  $n$ -distributive lattices. An answer might be based on a solution to the word problem for free lattices in  $D_n$ . In this paper we accomplish a slightly different task and solve the word problem for free lattices in  $D_n^f$ . Besides, we give a new example of a lattice in this variety, namely we show that the dual of  $\mathfrak{C}(R^{n-1})$  is a member of  $D_n^f$ , too.

We need some notions of universal algebra and lattice theory. By an  $n$ -distributive lattice we mean a lattice satisfying the identity

$$x \wedge \bigvee_{i=0}^n y_i = \bigvee_{j=0}^n (x \wedge \bigvee_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq j}}^n y_i).$$

A lattice variety is a class of lattices that can be characterized by a set of identities. The variety generated by a class  $K$  of lattices is the smallest lattice variety containing  $K$ . The decision problem for identities in a class  $K$  of lattices is the problem of finding an algorithm which, given any identity  $p=q$ , decides whether  $p=q$  holds in every member of  $K$  or not. It is equivalent to the word problem for free lattices in the variety generated by  $K$ .

We are going to use the following concepts concerning convex sets. Let  $a, r_0 \in R^{n-1}$ . Then the set of all  $r \in R^{n-1}$  such that the scalar product  $(a, r - r_0)$  equals 0, is called a hyperplane. The set of all  $r$  with  $(a, r - r_0) \geq 0$  is called a (closed) halfspace. A finite intersection of halfspaces is a convex polyhedron. The convex closure of a finite number of points is a convex polytope. It is well-known that convex polytopes,

convex polyhedra and convex sets of  $R^{n-1}$  all form lattices, and that in all these three lattices the operations are the intersection and the convex closure of two convex sets. (See [2].) Convex polytopes are exactly the bounded convex polyhedra, thus, in the above list, the former lattice is always a proper sublattice of the latter one.

**2. On the dual of  $\mathfrak{C}(R^{n-1})$ .** We prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** *The dual of  $\mathfrak{C}(R^{n-1})$  is a member of the variety  $D_n^f$ .*

*Proof.* In [1], Lemma 3.1, it was shown that  $\mathfrak{C}(R^{n-1})$  is a member of the variety generated by the lattice  $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{fin}}(R^{n-1})$  of all  $n-1$  dimensional convex polytopes, therefore, it is also a member of the variety generated by  $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{fin}}^-(R^{n-1})$  of all  $n-1$  dimensional convex polyhedra. Thus it is sufficient to show that the latter lattice is a member of the variety generated by all finite dually  $n$ -distributive lattices. By Theorem 1.1 of [1],  $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{fin}}^-(R^{n-1})$  is dually  $n$ -distributive and its meet-irreducible elements are exactly the halfspaces of  $R^{n-1}$ . Let  $K$  be a finite set of halfspaces and let  $\mathfrak{C}^-(K)$  consist of all those convex polyhedra that are intersections of elements of  $K$ .  $\mathfrak{C}^-(K)$  is a lattice ordered by the inclusion relation, in fact, it is a meet-sublattice of  $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{fin}}^-(R^{n-1})$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}$  denote the set of all finite subsets of the set of all halfspaces of  $R^{n-1}$ . The following two facts obviously include Theorem 2.1.

**Lemma 2.2.** *For any  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $\mathfrak{C}^-(K)$  is dually  $n$ -distributive.*

**Lemma 2.3.**  *$\mathfrak{C}_{\text{fin}}^-(R^{n-1})$  is a member of the variety generated by all  $\mathfrak{C}^-(K)$ ;  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ .*

*Proof of Lemma 2.2.* The dual  $n$ -distributivity of  $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{fin}}^-(R^{n-1})$  and the meet-irreducibility of halfspaces in it imply that whenever a halfspace contains the intersection of a finite number of other halfspaces, then it contains the intersection of  $n$  of these halfspaces. In fact, let  $h, h_1, \dots, h_m, m > n$ , be halfspaces and assume that  $h$  contains the intersection of the  $h_i, i=1, 2, \dots, m$ . Then (denoting by  $\vee$  the convex closure)

$$h = h \vee \bigcap_{i=1}^m h_i = \bigcap_{\substack{L \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\} \\ |L|=n}} (h \vee \bigcap_{i \in L} h_i),$$

and, by the irreducibility of  $h$ , there is an  $L, |L|=n$  with

$$h = h \vee \bigcap_{i \in K} h_i, \text{ i.e., } h \supseteq \bigcap_{i \in K} h_i.$$

Clearly, the lattices  $\mathfrak{C}^-(K)$  also satisfy this property, as it refers only to inclusion and intersection, which coincide in  $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{fin}}^-(R^{n-1})$  with those in  $\mathfrak{C}^-(K)$ . This, in turn, implies that the lattices  $\mathfrak{C}^-(K)$  are also dually  $n$ -distributive. To prove this, let  $a, b_0, \dots$

...,  $b_n \in \mathfrak{C}^-(K)$ . Let  $h \in K$ , and assume that

$$h \supseteq a \vee_H \bigcap_{i=0}^n b_i.$$

Then  $h$  contains  $a$  and  $h$  also contains  $n$  of the halfspaces occurring in the meet-representations of the  $b_i$ 's. Thus  $h$  contains  $n$  of the  $b_i$ 's, too, that is,

$$h \supseteq \bigcap_{j=0}^n (a \vee_H \bigcap_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq j}}^n b_i).$$

Thus the meet-representations of  $a \vee_H \bigcap_{i=0}^n b_i$  and of  $\bigcap_{j=0}^n (a \vee_H \bigcap_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq j}}^n b_i)$  coincide.

**Proof of Lemma 2.3.** Let  $p \supseteq q$  be an  $m$ -ary lattice inequality holding in all the lattices  $\mathfrak{C}^-(K)$ ,  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ . Let  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{fin}}^-(R^{n-1})$ . Let  $A$  be the set of subpolynomials of  $p$ , that is, (i) let  $p \in A$ , (ii) for  $p_1 \wedge p_2 \in A$  or  $p_1 \vee p_2 \in A$  let  $p_1, p_2 \in A$ , and (iii) let  $A$  be minimal relative to (i) and (ii). Let  $B$  be the set of subpolynomials of  $q$ . Finally let  $C$  be the set of all polyhedra  $r(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ ,  $r \in A \cup B$ , and let  $K$  be the set of all halfspaces occurring in the irredundant meet-representation of one of the elements of  $C$ . (A polyhedron can be represented as an intersection of halfspaces in different ways, however, the irredundant meet-representation is unique.) Let the realization of a polynomial  $r$  in the lattice  $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{fin}}^-(R^{n-1})$  be also denoted by  $r$  and let its realization in  $\mathfrak{C}^-(K)$  be denoted by  $r^K$ . Then

$$p(a_1, \dots, a_m) = p^K(a_1, \dots, a_m) \supseteq q^K(a_1, \dots, a_m) = q(a_1, \dots, a_m),$$

as  $K$  was chosen exactly to satisfy the two equalities in the above calculation.

**3. On the variety  $D_n^f$ .** Here we deal with the word problem for free lattices of  $D_n^f$ , in other words with the decision problem for identities in  $D_n^f$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *The word problem for free lattices in  $D_n^f$  is solvable.*

Before the proof we introduce some notations. Clearly, every lattice polynomial  $p$  can be written in the form

$$(1) \quad p = \bigvee_{i_1 \in I} \bigwedge_{i_2 \in I_{i_1}} \bigvee_{i_3 \in I_{i_1 i_2}} \dots \bigwedge_{i_{2k-2} \in I_{i_1 \dots i_{2k-3}}} \bigvee_{i_{2k-1} \in I_{i_1 \dots i_{2k-2}}} x_{i_1 \dots i_{2k-1}}$$

if we allow  $I$  and the  $I_{i_1 \dots i_r}$ 's to consist of one element. We define the depth  $d(p)$  of  $p$  by  $d(p) := k$ .  $m(p)$  denotes the length (that is, the number of components) in the longest meet:

$$m(p) = \max \left\{ \max_{i_1 \in I} |I_{i_1}|, \max_{\substack{i_1 \in I \\ i_2 \in I_{i_1} \\ i_3 \in I_{i_1 i_2}}} |I_{i_1 i_2 i_3}|, \dots \right\}.$$

Now define

$$c_n(p) := 1 + n + n^2 \cdot m(p) + n^3 \cdot (m(p))^2 + \dots + n^{d(p)} \cdot (m(p))^{d(p)-1}.$$

We are ready to formulate the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $p \leq q$  be a lattice inequality holding in all finite  $n$ -distributive lattices containing at most  $c_n(p)$  join-irreducible elements. Then  $p \leq q$  holds in every finite  $n$ -distributive lattice.*

To decide whether  $p \leq q$  holds in  $D_n^f$  requires now to check those finite  $n$ -distributive lattices having at most  $c_n(p)$  join-irreducibles. This can be carried out in finite time, hence Lemma 3.2 implies Theorem 3.1.

**Proof of the lemma.** Let  $L$  be a finite lattice, let  $p$  and  $q$  be lattice polynomials in  $m$  variables and let  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in L$ . Let  $K$  denote the set of join-irreducible elements of  $L$ . For a lattice polynomial  $r$ , let  $r^L$  denote the realization of  $r$  on  $L$ . Let  $b \in K$  and let  $b \leq p^L(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ . Under the hypotheses of the lemma, we shall prove that  $b \leq q^L(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ . Let us introduce the following notations for subpolynomials of  $p$ . ( $p$  is defined by (1).)

$$p_{i_1} = \bigwedge_{i_2 \in I_{i_1}} \bigvee_{i_3 \in I_{i_1, i_2}} \dots \bigwedge_{i_{2k-2} \in I_{i_1, \dots, i_{2k-3}}} \bigvee_{i_{2k-1} \in I_{i_1, \dots, i_{2k-2}}} x_{i_1 \dots i_{2k-1}}, \quad i_1 \in I,$$

$$p_{i_1 i_2} = \bigvee_{i_3 \in I_{i_1, i_2}} \dots \bigwedge_{i_{2k-2} \in I_{i_1, \dots, i_{2k-3}}} \bigvee_{i_{2k-1} \in I_{i_1, \dots, i_{2k-2}}} x_{i_1 \dots i_{2k-1}}, \quad i_1 \in I, \quad i_2 \in I_{i_1},$$

etc. Now, by the assumption on  $b$ , we have

$$b \leq \bigvee_{i_1 \in I} p_{i_1}^L(a_1, \dots, a_m).$$

Each  $p_{i_1}^L(a_1, \dots, a_m)$  is a join of join-irreducibles. By the  $n$ -distributivity of  $L$ , we may choose  $n$  of these join-irreducibles, say  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  such that

$$b \leq \bigvee_{j=1}^n b_j.$$

(A detailed proof of this fact can be given by dualizing and generalizing the first part of the proof of Lemma 2.2.) Now assign to each  $b_j$  one (and only one)  $p_{i_1}^L(a_1, \dots, a_m)$  such that

$$b_j \leq p_{i_1}^L(a_1, \dots, a_m).$$

Then, for every  $b_j$ , if  $p_{i_1}^L(a_1, \dots, a_m)$  is assigned to  $b_j$ , we have

$$b_j \leq p_{i_1 i_2}^L(a_1, \dots, a_m), \quad i_2 \in I_{i_1},$$

that is,

$$b_j \leq \bigvee_{i_3 \in I_{i_1, i_2}} p_{i_1 i_2 i_3}^L(a_1, \dots, a_m), \quad i_2 \in I_{i_1}.$$

Now we carry out the same construction in these  $|I_{i_1}|$  different cases on  $b_j$  and on  $\bigvee_{i_2 \in I_{i_1 i_2}} p_{i_1 i_2 i_3}^L(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)$ , with which we started on  $b$  and on  $\bigvee_{i_1 \in I} p_{i_1}^L(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ : For arbitrary fixed  $i_2 \in I_{i_1}$  choose join-irreducibles  $b_{j i_2 1}, \dots, b_{j i_2 n}$  of  $L$  such that

$$b_j \cong \bigvee_{l=1}^n b_{j i_2 l}.$$

Again, each  $b_{j i_2 l}$  is less than or equal to one of the  $p_{i_1 i_2 i_3}^L(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ 's. Assign a  $p_{i_1 i_2 i_3}^L(a_1, \dots, a_m)$  to  $b_{j i_2 l}$  such that

$$b_{j i_2 l} \cong p_{i_1 i_2 i_3}^L(a_1, \dots, a_m),$$

etc. Let  $K_0$  be the set of join-irreducibles defined during this procedure, that is,

$$K_0 = \{b\} \cup \{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^n \bigcup_{\substack{i_2 \in I_{i_1} \\ i_1 \text{ is} \\ \text{assigned} \\ \text{to } j}} \{b_{j i_2 1}, \dots, b_{j i_2 n}\} \cup \dots$$

Clearly,  $|K_0| \cong c_n(p)$ . Let  $\tilde{a}_i = \bigvee_{\substack{c \in K_0 \\ c \cong a_i}} c$ .

Let, furthermore,  $L_0$  consist of all joins of elements of  $K_0$ . Then, by the definitions of  $K_0$ ,  $L_0$  and of  $\tilde{a}_i$ ,  $b \cong p^{L_0}(\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_m)$ . By the hypotheses,  $p^{L_0}(\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_m) \cong \cong q^{L_0}(\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_m)$ . (Here we need the  $n$ -distributivity of  $L_0$ , which is a consequence of the fact that whenever a join-irreducible element in  $L_0$  is less than or equal to a join of elements of  $L_0$ , then it is less than or equal to an  $n$ -element subjoin of that join.) We obviously have  $q^{L_0}(\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_m) \cong q^L(\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_m) \cong q^L(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ . Hence  $b \cong \cong q^L(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ , as claimed.

### References

[1] A. P. HUHNS, On non-modular  $n$ -distributive lattices: Lattices of convex sets, to appear.  
 [2] V. L. KLEE (editor), *Convexity, Proc. Symposia in Pure Math.*, 7, AMS (Providence, R. I. 1963).