

## On approximation of the solutions of quasi-linear elliptic equations in $\mathbf{R}^n$

L. SIMON

### Introduction

Let  $P=P(D)$  be an elliptic differential operator of order  $2m$  with constant coefficients  $\left( D = \left( -i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, -i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right) \right)$  and  $Q=Q(x, D)$  a differential operator of order  $2m$  with smooth coefficients which vanish for  $|x|>a$ .

For any domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  and any integer  $k \geq 0$  denote by  $H^k(\Omega)$  the Hilbert space of functions  $u$  with the norm

$$\|u\|_{H^k(\Omega)} = \left\{ \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \int_{\Omega} |D^\alpha u|^2 dx \right\}^{1/2}$$

(Sobolev space);  $L^2(\Omega) = H^0(\Omega)$ . Further denote by  $H_{loc}^k(\Omega)$  the set of functions  $u$  satisfying the condition:  $\varphi u \in H^k(\Omega)$  for arbitrary infinitely differentiable function  $\varphi$  which is equal to zero out of a compact subset of  $\Omega$ .

In [1] the elliptic equation

$$(0.1) \quad Au \equiv (P+Q)u = f \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}^n$$

has been considered when  $P(\xi) \neq 0$  for all  $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . It has been proved that if for any  $f \in L_a^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$  (i.e.  $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,  $f(x)=0$  if  $|x|>a$ ) there exists a solution  $u$  of the equation (0.1) which tends to zero at infinity then the solution is unique. Furthermore, by use of methods of [2] it is easy to show that for this solution the estimation

$$(0.2) \quad \|u\|_{H^{2m}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq c_1 \|f\|_{L_a^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}$$

holds. ( $c_1$  is a constant which does not depend on  $f$ .) In [1] there have been formulated conditions on the differential operators  $B_j(x, D)$  which guarantee that for sufficiently

large  $\varrho > 0$  the boundary value problem in  $B_\varrho = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < \varrho\}$

$$(0.3) \quad Au_\varrho = f \quad \text{in } B_\varrho$$

$$(0.4) \quad B_j(x, D)u_\varrho = 0 \quad \text{on } S_\varrho, \quad j = 1, \dots, m$$

( $S_\varrho = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| = \varrho\}$ ) has a unique solution  $u_\varrho$  in the Sobolev space  $H^{2m}(B_\varrho)$  and an estimation of the form

$$(0.5) \quad \|u - u_\varrho\|_{H^{2m}(B_\varrho)} \leq c_2 \|f\|_{L^2_0(\mathbb{R}^n)} e^{-c_3 \varrho}$$

holds, where  $c_2, c_3$  are positive constants which do not depend on  $f$  and  $\varrho$ .

In [3] similar results are proved when  $P(\xi) \neq 0$  for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  but  $P(0) = 0$  and (0.3), (0.4) is the Dirichlet problem, i.e.  $B_j(x, D) = \frac{\partial^j}{\partial v^j}$  where  $v$  is the normal vector to  $S_\varrho$ . Then instead of (0.2) and (0.5) the following estimations are valid: for any compact  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

$$(0.6) \quad \|u\|_{H^{2m}(K)} \leq c_1(K) \|f\|_{L^2_0(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

and

$$(0.7) \quad \|u - u_\varrho\|_{H^{2m}(K)} \leq c_2(K) g(\varrho) \|f\|_{L^2_0(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where  $c_1(K), c_2(K)$  are constants which do not depend on  $f$  and  $\varrho$ ,  $\lim_{\varrho \rightarrow +\infty} g(\varrho) = 0$ . Under certain conditions estimations of the form (0.2), resp.

$$(0.8) \quad \|u - u_\varrho\|_{H^{2m}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq g(\varrho) \|f\|_{L^2_0(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

can be shown where  $\lim_{\varrho \rightarrow +\infty} g(\varrho) = 0$ .

In this paper it will be supposed that the differential operators  $P$  and  $Q$  satisfy the above mentioned conditions of works [1], resp. [3] such that estimations of the form (0.6), (0.7), resp. (0.2), (0.8) hold. Our aim is to consider a quasi-linear elliptic equation of the form

$$(0.9) \quad Au + g(x, u, \dots, D^\beta u, \dots) = f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

where  $|\beta| \leq 2m - 1$  and to prove the existence of a solution of (0.9). Moreover, we are going to prove an estimation of type (0.7), resp. (0.8) for the quasi-linear equation (0.9).

In [4]—[8] there are proved existence theorems on quasi-linear and nonlinear elliptic equations in unbounded domains. These results, however, cannot be applied to the equation (0.9) in the case  $P(0) = 0$ .

1. Existence of solutions

Theorem 1. Suppose that for any  $f \in L^2_a(\mathbb{R}^n)$  there exists a unique solution  $u$  of (0.1) and for this solution the estimation (0.6) holds. Let  $g: \mathbb{R}^{n+N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function ( $N$  denotes the number of multiindices  $\beta$  such that  $|\beta| \leq 2m-1$ ) satisfying the conditions:

$$(1.1) \quad g(x, u, \dots, u_\beta, \dots) = 0 \quad \text{if } |x| > a;$$

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{|(u, \dots, u_\beta, \dots)| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, u, \dots, u_\beta, \dots)}{|(u, \dots, u_\beta, \dots)|} = 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } x;$$

(1.3) the first partial derivatives of  $g$  are continuous and bounded.

Then for any  $f \in L^2_a(\mathbb{R}^n)$  equation (0.9) has at least one solution  $u \in H^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , vanishing at infinity.

Proof. Denote by  $A^{-1}f$  the unique solution of (0.1) which vanishes at infinity. Function  $u$  is the solution of (0.9) (vanishing at infinity) if and only if  $v = Au$  is a solution of the equation

$$(1.4) \quad v + G(v) = f$$

in  $L^2_a(\mathbb{R}^n)$  where the operator  $G$  is defined by

$$G(v) = g(x, A^{-1}v, \dots, D^\beta A^{-1}v, \dots).$$

We shall first prove that  $G$  is a continuous and compact (nonlinear) operator in the Hilbert space  $L^2_a(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . By use of the mean value theorem and condition (1.3) we have the estimation

$$|G(v) - G(v^*)| \leq c_1[|A^{-1}(v - v^*)| + \dots + |D^\beta A^{-1}(v - v^*)| + \dots]$$

( $c_1$  denotes a constant) and thus

$$(1.5) \quad \left\{ \int_{B_a} |G(v) - G(v^*)|^2 \right\}^{1/2} \leq c_1 \left[ \left\{ \int_{B_a} |A^{-1}(v - v^*)|^2 \right\}^{1/2} + \dots + \left\{ \int_{B_a} |D^\beta A^{-1}(v - v^*)|^2 \right\}^{1/2} + \dots \right] \leq c_2 \|v - v^*\|_{L^2_a(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

because in virtue of (0.6)  $A^{-1}: L^2_a(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H^{2m}(B_a)$  is a bounded linear operator. Since  $A^{-1}$  is a bounded linear operator and by (1.2)

$$|g(x, u, \dots, u_\beta, \dots)| \leq c_3 |(u, \dots, u_\beta, \dots)|$$

( $c_3$  denotes a constant), thus

$$\|G(v)\|_{L^2(B_a)} \leq c_4 \|A^{-1}v\|_{H^{2m}(B_a)} \leq c_5 \|v\|_{L^2_a(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Hence by use of condition (1.1) we find that for any  $v \in L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $G(v) \in L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and thus by (1.5)  $G: L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a continuous operator.

From conditions (1.1)–(1.3) it follows that for any  $v \in L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$(1.6) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} G(v) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}(x, A^{-1}v, \dots, D^\beta A^{-1}v, \dots) + \sum_{|\beta| \leq 2m-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_\beta}(x, A^{-1}v, \dots, D^\beta A^{-1}v, \dots) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (D^\beta A^{-1}v)$$

and  $G(v) \in H_a^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (i.e.  $G(v) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $G(v) = 0$  for  $|x| > a$ ). From (1.6) it is also clear that  $G$  maps bounded subsets of  $L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  into bounded subsets of  $H_a^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Hence  $G: L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a compact operator.

Now we shall prove the equality

$$(1.7) \quad \lim_{\|v\|_{L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|G(v)\|_{L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|v\|_{L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}} = 0.$$

Denote  $A^{-1}v$  by  $u$  then

$$(1.8) \quad \frac{\|G(v)\|_{L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|v\|_{L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}} = \frac{\|g(x, u, \dots, D^\beta u, \dots)\|_{L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|u\|_{H^{2m}(B_a)}} \cdot \frac{\|u\|_{H^{2m}(B_a)}}{\|v\|_{L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}}.$$

In virtue of the boundedness of  $A^{-1}$  the second factor on the right hand side is bounded. Moreover,  $\|u\|_{H^{2m}(B_a)} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\|v\|_{L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rightarrow \infty$  since  $v = A(u)$  and  $A: H^{2m}(B_a) \rightarrow L^2(B_a)$  is a bounded linear operator. Thus to prove (1.7) we have only to show that

$$(1.9) \quad \lim_{\|u\|_{H^{2m}(B_a)} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|g(x, u, \dots, D^\beta u, \dots)\|_{L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|u\|_{H^{2m}(B_a)}} = 0.$$

For any positive number  $b > 0$

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{B_a} |g(x, u(x), \dots, D^\beta u(x), \dots)|^2 dx = \\ & = \int_{|(u(x), \dots, D^\beta u(x), \dots)| > b} |g(x, u(x), \dots, D^\beta u(x), \dots)|^2 dx + \\ & + \int_{|(u(x), \dots, D^\beta u(x), \dots)| \leq b} |g(x, u(x), \dots, D^\beta u(x), \dots)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

By (1.2) for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  the number  $b > 0$  can be chosen such that

$$|g(x, u(x), \dots, D^\beta u(x), \dots)| \leq \varepsilon |(u(x), \dots, D^\beta u(x), \dots)|.$$

Thus

$$(1.11) \quad \int_{|(u(x), \dots, D^\beta u(x), \dots)| > b} |g(x, u(x), \dots, D^\beta u(x), \dots)|^2 dx \leq \varepsilon^2 \int_{B_a} |(u(x), \dots, D^\beta u(x), \dots)|^2 dx \leq \varepsilon^2 \|u\|_{H^{2m}(B_a)}^2.$$

For a fixed  $b > 0$  the second term on the right in (1.10) is bounded because  $g$  is continuous and  $|x| \leq a$ ,  $|(u(x), \dots, D^\beta u(x), \dots)| \leq b$ . Therefore from (1.10), (1.11) we have (1.9) and equality (1.7) is proved.

Since  $G: L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a continuous compact operator satisfying (1.7), thus by use of Schauder's fixed point theorem we can prove that the equation (1.4) has at least one solution  $v \in L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for any  $f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . By (1.7) we can choose a number  $\varrho_0 > 0$  such that

$$\|v\|_{L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} > \varrho_0 \quad \text{implies} \quad \frac{\|G(v)\|_{L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|v\|_{L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}} < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Set  $F(v) = f - G(v)$ . Then the operator  $F$  is bounded in  $L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , i.e.

$$\|v\|_{L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \varrho_0 \quad \text{implies} \quad \|F(v)\|_{L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \varrho_1,$$

since  $G$  is bounded in  $L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $\varrho$  denote the number  $\max\{\varrho_0, \varrho_1, 2\|f\|\}$ . Then  $F$  maps the sphere  $\{v \in L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|v\|_{L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \varrho\}$  into itself, because  $\|F(v)\| \leq \varrho_1 \leq \varrho$  if  $\|v\| \leq \varrho_0$  and

$$\|F(v)\| \leq \|f\| + \|G(v)\| \leq \varrho/2 + \|v\|/2 \leq \varrho \quad \text{if} \quad \varrho_0 \leq \|v\| \leq \varrho.$$

Moreover,  $F$  is a continuous and compact operator, hence by Schauder's fixed point theorem  $F$  has at least one fixed point. Thus equation (1.4) has at least one solution  $v \in L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and then the function  $u = A^{-1}v \in H_{loc}^{2m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a solution of (0.1), vanishing at infinity.

Consider now the following boundary value problem in  $B_\varrho$ :

$$(1.12) \quad Au_\varrho + g(x, u_\varrho, \dots; D^\beta u_\varrho, \dots) = f \quad \text{in} \quad B_\varrho,$$

$$(1.13) \quad B_j(x, D)u_\varrho = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad S_\varrho, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

**Theorem 2.** *Assume that the conditions of Theorem 1 are fulfilled. Further suppose that if  $\varrho \geq \varrho_0$  then for any  $f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the problem (0.3), (0.4) has a unique solution  $u_\varrho \in H^{2m}(B_\varrho)$  and the estimation (0.7) holds. Then for any  $\varrho \geq \varrho_0$  and  $f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the problem (1.12), (1.13) has at least one solution  $u_\varrho \in H^{2m}(B_\varrho)$ .*

**Proof.** Denote by  $A_\varrho^{-1}f$  the unique solution  $u_\varrho \in H^{2m}(B_\varrho)$  of the problem (0.3), (0.4). If  $v_\varrho \in L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a solution of

$$(1.14) \quad v_\varrho + g(x, A_\varrho^{-1}v_\varrho, \dots, D^\beta A_\varrho^{-1}v_\varrho, \dots) = f$$

then  $u_\varrho = A_\varrho^{-1}v_\varrho \in H^{2m}(B_\varrho)$  is a solution of (1.12), (1.13). Define an operator  $G_\varrho$  by the formula

$$G_\varrho(v_\varrho) = g(x, A_\varrho^{-1}v_\varrho, \dots, D^\beta A_\varrho^{-1}v_\varrho, \dots).$$

Then  $G_\varrho: L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a continuous and compact operator and

$$(1.15) \quad \lim_{\|v\|_{L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|G_\varrho(v)\|_{L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|v\|_{L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}} = 0 \quad \text{uniformly for } \varrho \cong \varrho_0.$$

This statement can be verified by means analogous to those used before in proving Theorem 1. We want only to show the proof of (1.15). Since

$$A_\varrho^{-1}v = (A_\varrho^{-1} - A^{-1})v + A^{-1}v,$$

thus by estimations (0.6) and (0.7)  $A_\varrho^{-1}: L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H^{2m}(B_a)$  is a bounded linear operator and  $\|A_\varrho^{-1}\|$  is uniformly bounded for  $\varrho \cong \varrho_0$ :

$$(1.16) \quad \frac{\|A_\varrho^{-1}v\|_{H^{2m}(B_a)}}{\|v\|_{L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \cong c_1$$

for any  $v \in L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\varrho \cong \varrho_0$ . Further

$$(1.17) \quad \|A_\varrho^{-1}v\|_{H^{2m}(B_a)} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{uniformly for } \varrho \cong \varrho_0 \quad \text{as } \|v\|_{L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rightarrow \infty,$$

since  $v = A(A_\varrho^{-1}v)$  and  $A: H^{2m}(B_a) \rightarrow L^2(B_a)$  is a bounded linear operator which does not depend on  $\varrho$ . The equality

$$\frac{\|G_\varrho(v)\|_{L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|v\|_{L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}} = \frac{\|g(x, A_\varrho^{-1}v, \dots, D^\beta A_\varrho^{-1}v, \dots)\|_{L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|A_\varrho^{-1}v\|_{H^{2m}(B_a)}} \cdot \frac{\|A_\varrho^{-1}v\|_{H^{2m}(B_a)}}{\|v\|_{L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}}$$

and (1.9), (1.16), (1.17) imply (1.15).

Thus by use of Schauder's fixed point theorem we find that there exists a solution  $v_\varrho$  of (1.14) (see the proof of Theorem 1), hence  $u_\varrho = A_\varrho^{-1}v_\varrho \in H^{2m}(B_\varrho)$  is a solution of (1.12), (1.13).

### 2. Theorem on approximation

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose that all conditions of Theorem 2 are fulfilled. Let  $(\varrho_j)$  be any sequence of numbers  $\varrho_j \cong \varrho_0$  such that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_j = +\infty$  and let  $u_{\varrho_j}$  be a solution of (1.12), (1.13) for  $\varrho = \varrho_j$ . Then the sequence  $(\varrho_j)$  has a subsequence  $(\varrho_j^*)$  such that for any compact  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$*

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{\varrho_j^*} - u^*\|_{H^{2m}(K)} = 0$$

holds where  $u^* \in H_{loc}^{2m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a solution of (0.9) vanishing at infinity.

If the solution  $u$  of equation (0.9) is unique then for the solutions  $u_\varrho$  of (1.12), (1.13)

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{\varrho \rightarrow \infty} \|u_\varrho - u\|_{H^{2m}(K)} = 0$$

holds with arbitrary compact  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

If estimations (0.2), (0.8) are valid, too, then

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{\varrho_j^*} - u^*\|_{H^{2m}(B_{\varrho_j^*})}$$

resp. (in the case of unicity)

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{\varrho \rightarrow \infty} \|u_\varrho - u\|_{H^{2m}(B_\varrho)} = 0$$

hold.

Proof. The solutions  $v_\varrho \in L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  of the equation (1.14) constitute a bounded set in the Hilbert space  $L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If it were not true then there would exist a sequence  $(v_{\varrho_j'})$  of solutions of (1.14) such that

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|v_{\varrho_j'}\|_{L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = +\infty.$$

From (1.14) it is clear that

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{v_{\varrho_j'}}{\|v_{\varrho_j'}\|_{L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}} + \frac{G_{\varrho_j'}(v_{\varrho_j'})}{\|v_{\varrho_j'}\|_{L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}} = \frac{f}{\|v_{\varrho_j'}\|_{L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}}.$$

By (2.5) and (1.15) the term on the right and the second term on the left in (2.6) tend to the zero of  $L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . The norm of the first term on the left equals one, thus from (2.6) we have a contradiction.

From the boundedness of the solutions  $v_\varrho$  of (1.14) and from (1.16) it follows the boundedness of the functions  $u_\varrho = A_\varrho^{-1}v_\varrho$  in  $H^{2m}(B_a)$ .

Consider any sequence of numbers  $\varrho_j \cong \varrho_0$  such that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_j = +\infty$ . The sequence  $(u_{\varrho_j})$  of solutions of (1.12), (1.13) with  $\varrho = \varrho_j$  is bounded in the norm of  $H^{2m}(B_a)$ . Hence  $(u_{\varrho_j})$  has a subsequence  $(u_{\varrho_j^*}) = (u_j')$  which tends to a function  $u_0 \in H^{2m-1}(B_a)$  in the norm of  $H^{2m-1}(B_a)$ :

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|u_j' - u_0\|_{H^{2m-1}(B_a)} = 0.$$

In view of (1.3) and the mean value theorem it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} & |g(x, u_j', \dots, D^\beta u_j', \dots) - g(x, u_0, \dots, D^\beta u_0, \dots)| \cong \\ & \cong c_1 \sum_{|\beta| \cong 2m-1} |D^\beta u_j' - D^\beta u_0| \end{aligned}$$

( $c_1$  denotes a constant). Thus

$$(2.8) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_a} |g(x, u_j', \dots, D^\beta u_j', \dots) - g(x, u_0, \dots, D^\beta u_0, \dots)|^2 dx = 0.$$

Consider the functions  $v'_j = Au'_j$ . Then

$$(2.9) \quad v'_j + g(x, u'_j, \dots, D^\beta u'_j, \dots) = f$$

since the functions  $u'_j$  are solutions of the problem (1.12), (1.13) for  $\varrho = \varrho_j^*$ . Equalities (2.8), (2.9) imply that the sequence  $(v'_j)$  tends to a function  $v^* \in L^2_\varrho(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in the norm of  $L^2_\varrho(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and

$$(2.10) \quad v^* + g(x, u_0; \dots, D^\beta u_0, \dots) = f.$$

We shall prove that for any compact  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

$$(2.11) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|u'_j - A^{-1}v^*\|_{H^{2m}(K)} = 0.$$

Since  $u'_j = A_{\varrho_j^*}^{-1}v'_j$ , thus

$$(2.12)$$

$$\|u'_j - A^{-1}v^*\|_{H^{2m}(K)} \leq \|A_{\varrho_j^*}^{-1}v'_j - A^{-1}v'_j\|_{H^{2m}(K)} + \|A^{-1}(v'_j - v^*)\|_{H^{2m}(K)}.$$

The sequence  $(v'_j)$  is bounded in  $L^2_\varrho(\mathbb{R}^n)$  hence by (0.7) the first term on the right in (2.12) tends to zero as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Applying the estimation (0.6) to  $A^{-1}(v'_j - v^*)$  we find that the second term on the right in (2.12) tends to zero, too. Thus (2.12) implies (2.11).

From (2.11), (2.7) it follows that

$$(2.13) \quad u_0 = A^{-1}v^* \quad \text{a. e. in } B_a.$$

Denote  $A^{-1}v^*$  by  $u^*$  then  $u^* = u_0$  a.e. in  $B_a$ ,  $v^* = Au^*$  and by use of (2.10) we find that

$Au^* + g(x, u^*, \dots, D^\beta u^*, \dots) = f$ , further  $u^*$  tends to zero at infinity. Equality (2.11) implies the estimation (2.1).

Equality (2.2) can be proved as follows. Assume that the solution  $u$  of (0.9) is unique but equality (2.2) is not valid. Then there exist a compact  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , a number  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and a sequence  $(u_{\tilde{\varrho}_j}) = (\tilde{u}_j)$  such that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\varrho}_j = +\infty$  and

$$(2.14) \quad \|\tilde{u}_j - u\|_{H^{2m}(K)} \geq \varepsilon_0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then by use of the first part of the proof we have that  $(\tilde{u}_j)$  has a subsequence  $(\tilde{u}'_j)$  such that

$$(2.15) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\tilde{u}'_j - \tilde{u}\|_{H^{2m}(K)} = 0$$

where  $\tilde{u}$  is a solution of (0.9), vanishing at infinity. Since the solution of (0.9) is unique, thus  $\tilde{u} = u$  and (2.14) is impossible because of (2.15).

If the estimations (0.2), (0.8) are valid, too, then it is easily seen that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|u'_j - A^{-1}v^*\|_{H^{2m}(B_{\varrho_j^*})} = 0$$

(see the proof of (2.11)). This equality implies (2.3). (2.4) can be proved similarly if the solution of (0.9) is unique.

Remark. In [1] and [2] there are formulated sufficient conditions on  $P$  and  $Q$  which guarantee that the conditions in Theorem 2 and in Theorem 3 are fulfilled (see the introduction).

### References

- [1] Л. ШИМОН, Об аппроксимации решений граничных задач в неограниченных областях, *Дифференциальные уравнения*, **IX**, 8 (1973), 1482—1492.
- [2] Б. Р. ВАЙНБЕРГ, Принципы излучения, предельного поглощения и предельной амплитуды в общей теории уравнений с частными производными, *Успехи Матем. Наук*, **23**, 3 (129) (1966), 115—194.
- [3] L. SIMON, On elliptic differential equations in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest*, **27** (1984), to appear.
- [4] D. E. EDMUNDS and J. R. L. WEBB, Quasilinear elliptic problems in unbounded domains, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London, Ser. A*, **337** (1973), 397—410.
- [5] J. R. L. WEBB, Boundary value problems for strongly nonlinear elliptic equations, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2), **21** (1980), 123—132.
- [6] F. E. BROWDER, Pseudo-monotone operators and nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems on unbounded domains, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, **74** (1977), 2659—2661.
- [7] F. H. MICHAEL, An application of the method of monotone operators to nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems in unbounded domains, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest*, **25** (1982), 69—84.
- [8] F. H. MICHAEL, An elliptic boundary value problem for nonlinear equations in unbounded domains, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest*, **26** (1983), 125—139.

DEPARTMENT OF ANALYSIS II  
EÖTVÖS LORÁND UNIVERSITY  
MÚZEUM KRT. 6—8  
1088 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY