

Moment theorems for operators on Hilbert space. II

ZOLTÁN SEBESTYÉN

Introduction. The present note is a direct continuation of our previous investigation [1] about the momentlike problems of the existence of a contraction or a subnormal operator T on Hilbert space H such that $x_n = T^n x_0$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$) for some given sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ in H . The corresponding continuous problem was to find a continuous semigroup $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of contractions on H such that $T_0 = I_H$ and $x_t = T_t x_0$ ($t \geq 0$) with some given continuous family $\{x_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ in H . Our present object is to generalize these problems as follows.

Problems. Given a sequence $\{A_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ of bounded linear operators on H it is natural to ask: under what condition does there exist an operator T on H with

$$(1) \quad A_n = T^n A_0 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

For a continuous family $\{A_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of operators on H a continuous semigroup $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of bounded linear operators with $T_0 = I_H$ and

$$(2) \quad A_t = T_t A_0 \quad (t \geq 0)$$

may be sought.

We shall treat only the following cases:

(A) (1) holds with a contraction T .

(B) (2) holds with a continuous semigroup $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of contractions such that $T_0 = I_H$.

(C) (1) holds with a subnormal operator T .

Results.

Theorem A. *Problem (A) has a solution if and only if*

$$(3) \quad \left\| \sum_{n',n} A_{n'+n} h_{n',n} \right\|^2 \leq \sum_{\substack{m \geq n \\ m',n'}} (A_{m'-n+m} h_{m',m}, A_{n'} h_{n',n}) + \\ + \sum_{\substack{n < m \\ m',n'}} (A_{m'} h_{m',m}, A_{n'-m+n} h_{n',n})$$

holds for any finite sequence $\{h_{n',n}\}_{n',n \geq 0}$ in H .

Theorem B. Problem (B) has a solution if and only if

$$(4) \quad \left\| \sum_{t',t} A_{t'+t} h_{t',t} \right\|^2 \leq \sum_{\substack{t \leq s \\ s',t'}} (A_{s'-t+s} h_{s',s}, A_{t'} h_{t',t}) + \sum_{\substack{s < t \\ s',t'}} (A_{s'} h_{s',s}, A_{t'-s+t} h_{t',t})$$

holds for any finite sequence $\{h_{t',t}\}_{t',t \geq 0}$ in H .

Theorem C. Let $\{A_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of operators on the Hilbert space H such that

(i) $\{\text{Range } A_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ spans the space H .

(ii) $\|A_n\| \leq \kappa^n$ holds for some constant $\kappa \geq 0$ and $n=1, 2, \dots$.

Under these assumptions Problem (C) has a solution if and only if there exists a double sequence $\{A_{n',n}\}_{n',n=0}^{\infty}$ of operators on H such that

$$(iii) \quad A_{0,n} = A_n \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(iv) \quad A_m^* A_{n',n} = A_{n'+m}^* A_n \quad \text{for } m, n', n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \text{ and}$$

$$(v) \quad \left\| \sum_{n',n} A_{n',n} h_{n',n} \right\|^2 \leq \sum_{\substack{n',m \\ n',n}} (A_{n'+m} h_{m',m}, A_{m'+n} h_{n',n})$$

hold for any finite (double) sequence $\{h_{n',n}\}_{n',n=0}^{\infty}$ in H .

Necessity. (A) Let U be a unitary dilation (see [2]) of T on some Hilbert space K containing H . Then

$$(5) \quad PU^n h = T^n h \quad (h \in H; n = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

where P is the orthogonal projection of K onto H . Let further $\{h_{n',n}\}_{n',n=0}^{\infty}$ be any finite (double) sequence in H . Then by (1) and (5)

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{n',n} A_{n'+n} h_{n',n} \right\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{n',n} T^n A_{n'} h_{n',n} \right\|^2 \leq \left\| \sum_{n',n} U^n A_{n'} h_{n',n} \right\|^2 = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n \leq m \\ m',n'}} (U^{m-n} A_m h_{m',m}, A_{n'} h_{n',n}) + \sum_{\substack{m < n \\ m',n'}} (A_m h_{m',m}, U^{n-m} A_{n'} h_{n',n}) = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n \leq m \\ m',n'}} (T^{m-n} A_m h_{m',m}, A_{n'} h_{n',n}) + \sum_{\substack{m < n \\ m',n'}} (A_m h_{m',m}, T^{n-m} A_{n'} h_{n',n}) = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n \leq m \\ m',n'}} (A_{m'-n+m} h_{m',m}, A_{n'} h_{n',n}) + \sum_{\substack{m < n \\ m',n'}} (A_m h_{m',m}, A_{n'-m+n} h_{n',n}). \end{aligned}$$

(B) Let U_t be a unitary dilation (see [2]) of the continuous semigroup $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of contractions on some Hilbert space K containing H . Then

$$PU_t h = T_t h \quad (h \in H; t \geq 0),$$

where P is the orthogonal projection of K onto H . For any finite (double) sequence $\{h_{r,t}\}_{r \geq 0, t \geq 0}$ in H (4) can be verified in the same manner as (3) was before.

(C) Let N be a normal extension of T on a Hilbert space K containing H . Then

$$(6) \quad PN^{*n'} N^n h = T^{*n'} T^n h \quad (h \in H; n', n = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

where P denotes the orthogonal projection of K onto H . Let further

$$A_{n',n} = T^{*n'} T^n A_0 \quad (n', n = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then by (1) and (6) we have $A_{0,n} = T^{*0} T^n A_0 = T^n A_0 = A_n$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Furthermore, for any h, k in H

$$\begin{aligned} (A_m^* A_{n',n} h, k) &= (T^{*n'} T^n A_0 h, A_m k) = \\ &= (T^{*(n'+m)} T^n A_0 h, A_0 k) = (N^{*(n'+m)} N^n A_0 h, A_0 k) = \\ &= (N^n A_0 h, N^{n'+m} A_0 k) = (T^n A_0 h, T^{n'+m} A_0 k) = \\ &= (A_n h, A_{n'+m} k) = (A_{n'+m}^* A_n h, k). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for any finite (double) sequence $\{h_{n',n}\}_{n',n=0}$ in H we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{n',n} A_{n',n} h_{n',n} \right\|^2 &= \left\| P \sum_{n',n} N^{*n'} N^n A_0 h_{n',n} \right\|^2 \leq \left\| \sum_{n',n} N^{*n'} N^n A_0 h_{n',n} \right\|^2 = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{m',m \\ n',n}} (N^{n'+m} A_0 h_{m',m}, N^{n'+n} A_0 h_{n',n}) = \sum_{\substack{m',m \\ n',n}} (T^{n'+m} A_0 h_{m',m}, T^{n'+n} A_0 h_{n',n}) = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{m',m \\ n',n}} (A_{n'+m} h_{m',m}, A_{n'+n} h_{n',n}). \end{aligned}$$

These prove the properties (iii), (iv), (v) as required.

Sufficiency. (A) Let F_0 be the linear space of all double sequences $\{h_{n',n}\}$ ($n', n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) in the Hilbert space H . In view of (3) one can define a semi-definite inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ (for $\{h_{m',m}\}, \{k_{n',n}\}$ in F_0) by

$$\langle \{h_{m',m}\}, \{k_{n',n}\} \rangle := \sum_{\substack{n \leq m \\ m',n'}} (A_{m'-n+m} h_{m',m}, A_{n'} k_{n',n}) + \sum_{\substack{m < n \\ m',n'}} (A_{m'} h_{m',m}, A_{n'-m+n} k_{n',n}).$$

Hence we obtain a Hilbert space F by factoring F_0 with respect to the null space of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and completing this factor space with respect to the norm arising from the new definite inner product (denoted also by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$). For simplicity the residue class of $\{h_{m',m}\} \in F_0$ in F is denoted by the same symbol. We have two natural operations on

F_0 : for $\{h_{n',n}\}$ in F_0 we set

$$U_0\{h_{n',n}\} = \{h'_{n',n}\} \quad \text{where } h'_{n',n} = h_{n',n-1} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1 \quad \text{and } h'_{n',0} = 0;$$

$$V_0\{h_{n',n}\} = \sum_{n',n} A_{n'+n} h_{n',n}.$$

U_0 and V_0 induce an isometry U of F into F and a contraction V of F into H , as an easy calculation shows. We are going to show that $T = VUV^*$ is the desired contraction on H .

Indeed, for any $\{h_{m',m}\}$ in F_0 and h in H

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \{h_{m',m}\}, V^*A_j h \rangle &= (V\{h_{m',m}\}, A_j h) = \sum_{m',m} (A_{m'+m} h_{m',m}, A_j h) = \\ &= \langle \{h_{m',m}\}, \{k_{n',n}^{(j)}\} \rangle \quad (j = 0, 1, 2, \dots), \end{aligned}$$

where $k_{n',n}^{(j)} = h$ if $n=0, n'=j$, and 0 otherwise. Hence $V^*A_j h = \{k_{n',n}^{(j)}\}$, and thus $UV^*A_j h = \{k_{n',n}^{(j)'}\}$, where $k_{n',n}^{(j)'} = h$ if $n=1, n'=j$, and 0 otherwise. It follows that for h in H , $j=0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$TA_j h = VUV^*A_j h = \sum_{n',n} A_{n'+n} k_{n',n}^{(j)'} = A_{j+1} h.$$

This is actually identical with (1), and the proof is complete.

(B) The proof is completely analogous to the previous one. The continuity of the family of contractions is a direct consequence of the continuity of the original operator family and the construction given in the proof.

(C) Let $\{A_{n',n}\}$ ($n', n=0, 1, \dots$) be a double sequence of operators satisfying (i)–(v) of Theorem C on the Hilbert space H . Let K_0 be the linear space of all finite double sequences $\{h_{n',n}\}$ ($n', n=0, 1, \dots$) in H with semi-definite inner product of $\{h_{m',m}\}$ and $\{k_{n',n}\}$ in K_0 given by

$$(7) \quad \langle \{h_{m',m}\}, \{k_{n',n}\} \rangle := \sum_{\substack{m',m \\ n',n}} (A_{m'+m} h_{m',m}, A_{m'+n} k_{n',n}).$$

As in the proof of Theorem A we get a Hilbert space K in which the elements of K_0 may be considered to form a dense linear manifold. We also have two operations on K_0 , namely for $\{h_{n',n}\}$ in K_0 we set

$$N_0\{h_{n',n}\} = \{h_{n',n}^{0,1}\} \quad \text{where } h_{n',n}^{0,1} = h_{n',n-1} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1 \quad \text{and } h_{n',0}^{0,1} = 0.$$

$$V_0\{h_{n',n}\} = \sum_{n',n} A_{n',n} h_{n',n}.$$

In view of (v), V_0 induces a contraction V of K into H .

By (7) for any $\{h_{m',m}\}$ in K_0 and h in H

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \{h_{m',m}\}, V^*A_j h \rangle &= (V\{h_{m',m}\}, A_j h) = \\ &= \sum_{m',m} (A_{m',m} h_{m',m}, A_j h) = \langle \{h_{m',m}\}, \{k_{n',n}^{(j)}\} \rangle \quad (j = 0, 1, 2, \dots), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$(8) \quad V^*A_j h = \{k_{n',n}(j)\} \text{ where } k_{n',n}(j) = h \text{ if } n=j, n'=0, \text{ and } 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

It follows that $VV^*A_j h = A_{0,j} h = A_j h$, and hence by (i)

$$(9) \quad VV^* = I_H \text{ (=the identity on } H\text{)}.$$

That N_0 induces a normal operator on H needs some further argument.

First of all we show that $N_0^* \{k_{n',n}\} = \{k_{n',n}^{1,0}\}$ where $k_{n',n}^{1,0} = k_{n'-1,n}$ for $n' \geq 1$ and $k_{0,n}^{1,0} = 0$. This follows from (7) since for any $\{h_{m',m}\}$ in K_0 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \{h_{m',m}\}, N_0^* \{k_{n',n}\} \rangle &= \langle N_0 \{h_{m',m}\}, \{k_{n',n}\} \rangle = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{m',m \\ n',n}} (A_{n'+m+1} h_{m',m}, A_{m'+n} k_{n',n}) = \langle \{h_{m',m}\}, \{k_{n',n}^{1,0}\} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Let $h_{n',n}^{j',j} = h_{n'-j',n-j}$ for $n' \geq j', n \geq j$, and 0 otherwise. Then

$$(N_0^* N_0)^{2^j} \{h_{n',n}\} = \{h_{n',n}^{2^j,2^j}\} \text{ for any } \{h_{n',n}\} \text{ in } K_0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|N_0 \{h_{n',n}\}\|^2 &= \langle N_0^* N_0 \{h_{n',n}\}, \{h_{n',n}\} \rangle \leq \|N_0^* N_0 \{h_{n',n}\}\| \cdot \|\{h_{n',n}\}\| \leq \\ &\leq \|(N_0^* N_0)^2 \{h_{n',n}\}\|^{1/2} \cdot \|\{h_{n',n}\}\|^{3/2} \end{aligned}$$

and by induction, for any $j=0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|N_0 \{h_{n',n}\}\|^{2^{j+2}} &\leq \|(N_0^* N_0)^{2^j} \{h_{n',n}\}\|^2 \cdot \|\{h_{n',n}\}\|^{2^{j+2}-2} = \\ &= \|\{h_{n',n}^{2^j,2^j}\}\|^2 \cdot \|\{h_{n',n}\}\|^{2^{j+2}-2} = \\ &= \|\{h_{n',n}\}\|^{2^{j+2}-2} \sum_{\substack{m',m \\ n',n}} (A_{n'+m+2^j} h_{m',m}, A_{m'+n+2^j} h_{n',n}) \leq \\ &\leq \|\{h_{n',n}\}\|^{2^{j+2}-2} \sum_{\substack{m',m \\ n',n}} \|A_{n'+m+2^j}\| \cdot \|A_{m'+n+2^j}\| \cdot \|h_{m',m}\| \cdot \|h_{n',n}\| \leq \\ &\leq \|\{h_{n',n}\}\|^{2^{j+2}-2} \mathcal{K}^{2^{j+1}} \left(\sum_{m',m} \mathcal{K}^{m'+m} \|h_{m',m}\| \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ we get $\|N_0 \{h_{n',n}\}\| \leq \sqrt{\mathcal{K}} \|\{h_{n',n}\}\|$ for any $\{h_{n',n}\}$ in K_0 . Thus N_0 can be extended by continuity to a normal operator N on K .

We shall show that $T = VNV^*$ is the desired subnormal operator on H . In view of (9), we may regard N as a normal extension of T , only H must be identified (by the aid of V^*) with a subspace of K . Finally (8) implies

$$TA_j h = VNV^*A_j h = VN\{k_{n',n}(j)\} = V\{k_{n',n}^{0,1}(j)\} = A_{j+1} h$$

for any h in H and $j=0, 1, 2, \dots$, which amounts to (1).

The proof is complete.

We remark that

$$T^*A_jh = VN^*V^*A_jh = VN^*\{k_{n',n}(j)\} = V\{k_{n',n}^{1,0}\} = A_{1,j}h$$

for any h in H and $j=0, 1, 2, \dots$

The method of proof of Theorem C yields also the following.

Proposition. *Let $\{A_{n',n}\}$ ($n', n=0, 1, 2, \dots$) be a double sequence of operators on the Hilbert space H such that $\{\text{Range } A_{0,n}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ spans H . There exists a normal operator T on H with*

$$(1^*) \quad A_{n',n} = T^{*n'}T^n A_0 \quad \text{for } n', n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

if and only if

$$(iv^{**}) \quad A_{n',n}^* A_{m',m} = A_{0,m'+n}^* A_{0,n'+m} \quad \text{for } m', m, n', n = 0, 1, \dots, \text{ and}$$

$$(ii^*) \quad \text{there exists a constant } \alpha' \cong 0 \text{ such that } \|A_{n',n}\| \cong \alpha'^{n'+n} \quad (n', n = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Proof. The necessity of the condition is simple so that we omit the details. Assume, on the contrary, that (ii*) and (iv*) are satisfied. Set $A_n = A_{0,n}$ for $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then we have equality in (v) of Theorem C, and therefore V is an isometry of K into H (see the proof of Theorem C) so that by (9) V is unitary between K and H . As a consequence, $T = VNV^*$ is unitarily equivalent to the normal operator N , hence is itself normal and by (10), satisfies (1*). The proof is complete.

References

- [1] Z. SEBESTYÉN, Moment theorems for operators on Hilbert space, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **44** (1982), 165—171.
 [2] B. SZ.-NAGY, Extensions of linear transformations in Hilbert space with extend beyond this space, Appendix to F. RIESZ and B. SZ.-NAGY, *Functional Analysis*, Ungar (New York, 1960).

DEPARTMENT OF MATH. ANALYSIS II
 EÖTVÖS LORÁND UNIVERSITY
 MŰZEUM KÖRÚT 6—8
 1088 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY