On additive functions satisfying a congruence

I. KÁTAI

1. Let f, g, u, v be real-valued completely additive functions,

$$L_n = f(n) + g(n+1) + u(n+2) + v(n+3).$$

We shall prove the following

Theorem. If $L_n \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$ for every $n \ge 1$, then f, g, u, v assume integer values for every n.

Corollary. If $L_n=0$ for every $n \ge 1$, then f, g, u, v are indentically zero-functions.

For the proof of the Corollary see [1].

Let A_N denote the assertion:

$$A_N$$
: $f(N)$, $g(N)$, $u(N)$, $v(N) \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$.

Let \mathscr{P} denote the set of primes. For the sake of brevity we shall put $a \equiv b$ instead of $a \equiv b \pmod{1}$.

We shall prove our Theorem in two steps. First we shall prove Theorem 1', after then Lemma 1:

Theorem 1'. Theorem is true if A_N is true for $N \le 11$.

Lemma 1. If $L_n \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$ for every $n \ge 1$, then A_N is true for $N \le 11$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1'. Assume that Theorem 1' does not hold. Then there exists a smallest N for which A_N does not hold. From $L_{N-3}\equiv 0 \pmod{1}$ it follows that $v(N)\equiv 0 \pmod{1}$. Furthermore,

$$0 \equiv L_{N-2} \equiv u(N) + v(N+1) \pmod{1}.$$

If $N+1 \notin \mathcal{P}$, then $v(N+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$, and so $u(N) \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$. If $N+1 \in \mathcal{P}$, then N is even, and so $u(N) \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$. Hence it follows that $f(N) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{1}$,

Received October 6, 1982.

86 I. Kátai

or $g(N) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{1}$, and that $N \in \mathcal{P}$. Let $N = P \in \mathcal{P}$. Now we distinguish three cases:

- (I) $f(P) \equiv \xi$, $g(P) \equiv \eta$, $\xi \not\equiv 0$, $\eta \not\equiv 0$;
- (II) $f(P) \equiv 0$, $g(P) \equiv \eta$, $\eta \not\equiv 0$;
- (III) $f(P) \equiv \xi$; $g(P) \equiv 0$, $\xi \not\equiv 0$.

Lemma 2. (1) Let 3P+b=2Z, $b\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, Z+1<2P. Then $u(Z)\equiv 0$, $v(Z)\equiv 0$.

(2) Let
$$3P+c=2U$$
, $c \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$, $U+1<2P$. Then $f(U)\equiv 0$, $g(U)\equiv 0$.

Proof. (1) We may assume that $Z \in \mathcal{P}$. Since $Z \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$, therefore all the prime factors occurring in Z-3, Z-2, Z-1, Z+1 are smaller than P. From $L_{Z-2}\equiv 0$, $L_{Z-3}\equiv 0$ we get that $u(Z)\equiv 0$, $v(Z)\equiv 0$.

(2) We may assume that $U \in \mathcal{P}$. Since $U \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, therefore all the prime factors occurring in U-1, U+1, U+2, U+3 are smaller than P. From $L_U \equiv 0$, $L_{U-1} \equiv 0$ we get that $f(U) \equiv 0$, $g(U) \equiv 0$.

Case (I). Observing that P-1, P+1, P+3 are even numbers with prime factors < P, we get from $L_{P-1}\equiv 0$, $L_P\equiv 0$ that $U(P+2)\equiv -\xi$, $g(P+2)\equiv -\xi$, and so $P+2\in \mathscr{P}$, $\mathscr{P}\equiv -1 \pmod{3}$. Similarly, in view of $2P+5\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, $2P+5\equiv 3P$, we see that $g(2P+3)\equiv -\xi$, $2P+3\in \mathscr{P}$. Since $2P-1\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, $2\mid P+1$, therefore $L_{2P-1}\equiv 0$ implies that $u(2P+1)\equiv -\xi$, $2P+1\in \mathscr{P}$.

Now we shall prove that $3P+2\not\equiv 0 \pmod{7}$, i.e., $P\not\equiv 4 \pmod{7}$. Indeed, if $7\mid 3P+2$, then from $L_{3P-1}\equiv 0$ we infer that

$$0 \equiv f(3P-1) + g(3P) + u(3P+1),$$

which gives that $f(3P-1)\not\equiv 0$ or $u(3P+1)\equiv 0$, but this is impossible as it was proved in Lemma 2. Since $P\not\equiv 4\pmod{7}$, and P, P+2, 2P+1, $2P+3\in \mathcal{P}$, we get that $P\not\equiv 0$, 2, 3, 4, 5 (mod 7); consequently $P\equiv 1$ or 6 (mod 7).

First, by considering $L_{2P-2}\equiv 0$ we deduce that $v(2P+1)\equiv 0$, and hence, by $L_{4P-1}\equiv 0 \pmod 5$, and by taking into account that $5\mid 4P-1$ we get that $g(4P)+u(4P+1)\equiv 0$, i.e., $u(4P+1)\equiv -\xi$. So 4P+1=3R, $u(R)\equiv -\eta$. It is obvious that $R\in \mathcal{P}$, since in the opposite case all its prime factors would be smaller than P. From $L_{R-2}\equiv 0$, by observing that (R+1)/2 < P, we deduce that $f(R-2)\equiv \eta$, and so that $R-2\in \mathcal{P}$. Since 3(R-2)=4P-5, therefore $f(4P-5)\equiv \eta$, and so

$$0 \equiv \eta + g(4P-4) + u(4P-3) + v(4P-2) \equiv \eta + u(4P-3).$$

Since 2/4P-3, 3/4P-3, therefore $P \not\equiv 6 \pmod{7}$.

It remains to consider the case $P \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$. Then $3R \equiv 5 \pmod{7}$, $R \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$, $2R-1 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$. Let us consider now

$$0 \equiv L_{2R-2} \equiv f(2R-2) + g(2R-1) + u(2R) + v(2R+1).$$

Since R, $R-2\in \mathcal{P}$, therefore $R\equiv 1\pmod 3$, consequently $3\mid 2R+1$. Furthermore (2R+1)/3 < P+2, and so $v(2R+1)\equiv 0$. Since $4\mid 2R-2$, $7\mid 2R-1$, therefore $f(2R-2)\equiv 0$, $g(2R-1)\equiv 0$, whence $u(R)\equiv 0$, which contradicts $u(R)\equiv -\eta$. So we have proved that Case (I) cannot occur.

Case (II). We get as earlier that $v(P+2)\equiv -\eta, P+2\in \mathcal{P}$, and so $P\equiv -1\pmod 3$. Since $3\mid 2P+1$, therefore from $L_{2P-1}\equiv 0$ we infer that $u(2P+1)\equiv -\eta, \ 2P+1\in \mathcal{P}$. Lemma 2 implies that $f(3P-1)\equiv 0, \ u(3P+1)\equiv 0, \ \text{and so from } L_{3P-1}\equiv 0 \ \text{we deduce}$ that $v(3P+2)\equiv -\eta, \ 3P+2\in \mathcal{P}$. Since $P, P+2, \ 2P+1, \ 3P+2\in \mathcal{P}$, therefore $P\equiv -1\pmod 5$. From $L_{2P-2}\equiv 0$ it follows that $v(2P+1)\equiv 0, \ \text{and so by } L_{4P-1}\equiv 0, \ 5\mid 4P-1, \ \text{we have}$

$$0 \equiv L_{4P-1} \equiv f(4P-1) + g(4P) + u(4P+1) + v(4P+2) \equiv 0 + \eta + u(4P+1) + 0,$$

hence $u(4P+1) \equiv -\eta$.

Thus 4P+1=3R, $u(R)\equiv -\eta$, and so $R\in \mathscr{P}$. From $L_{R-2}\equiv 0$ we deduce that $f(R-2)\equiv \eta$, $R-2\in \mathscr{P}$. Consequently $R\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Now we have $f(4P-5)==f(3(R-2))\equiv \eta$, implying

(2.1)
$$0 \equiv L_{4P-5} = f(4P-5) + g(4P-4) + u(4P-3) + v(4P-2) \equiv \pi + 0 + u(4P-3) + v(2P-1).$$

Now we shall prove that $v(2P-1)\equiv 0 \pmod{1}$. Indeed,

$$0 \equiv L_{2P-4} \equiv f(2P-4) + g(2P-3) + u(2P-2) + v(2P-1),$$

whence by $5 \mid 2P-3$ it follows immediately that $v(2P-1)\equiv 0$, and so from (2.1), $u(4P-3)\equiv -\eta$, $4P-3\in \mathscr{P}$. Since P, P+2, 2P+1, 3P+2, 4P-3, $4P+3\in \mathscr{P}$, therefore $P\equiv 2 \pmod{7}$. From 4P+1=3R, $R\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ we get that $P\equiv 5 \pmod{9}$.

Let us consider now the relation

$$0 \equiv f(5P-1) + g(5P) + u(5P+1) + v(5P+2).$$

We have $7 \mid 5P+2$, $6 \mid 5P-1$, and so $f(5P-1) \equiv 0$, $v(5P+2) \equiv 0$, yielding $u(5P+1) \equiv -\eta$. Thus 5P+1=4X or 5P+1=2X with a prime X>P. First we consider the case 5P+1=4X. Since $u(X) \not\equiv 0$, therefore from $L_{X-2} \equiv 0$ we get that $f(X-2) \not\equiv 0$. But, from $P \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$ we get that $X \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$, $3 \mid X-2$, (X-2)/3 < P, where $f(X-2) \equiv 0$.

It remains to consider the case 5P+1=2X, $X \in \mathcal{P}$. We have $u(X) \equiv -\eta$. Furthermore $X \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$. So

$$0 \equiv L_{X-2} \equiv f(X-2) + g(X-1) + u(X) + v(X+1).$$

Observing that $7 \mid X-2$, $6 \mid X-1$, and that X/6 < P, we get that

$$(2.2) v(X+1) \equiv \eta.$$

88 I. Kátai

Taking into account that 2X+2=5P+3, 9|5P+2, from $L_{5P}\equiv 0$ we deduce that $g(5P+1)\equiv -\eta$, i.e., $g(X)\equiv -\eta$. This, together with

$$0 \equiv f(X-1) + g(X) + u(X+1) + v(X+2),$$

 $3 \mid X+2$, and (X+2)/3 < P, implies that

$$(2.3) u(X+1) \equiv \eta.$$

Consequently X+1=2Z.

From (2.2) and (2.3) we get that $u(Z) \equiv \eta$, $v(Z) \equiv \eta$, $Z \in \mathcal{P}$. Using (Z+1)/2 < P and $2 \mid Z+1, Z-1, Z-3$, we see immediately that $f(Z-2) \equiv -\eta$, $g(Z-2) \equiv -\eta$, $Z-2 \in \mathcal{P}$. Since 2(Z-2) = X-3, we have $g(X-3) \equiv -\eta$. Let us consider the relation

$$0 \equiv f(X-4) + g(X-3) + u(X-2) + v(X-1).$$

In view of $X\equiv 2$ (7), $u(X-2)\equiv 0$. Furthermore 2, $3\mid X-1$, and so $v(X-1)\equiv 0$. Consequently $f(X-4)\equiv \eta$. But this is impossible, since $3\mid X-4$, (X-4)/3 < P.

Case (III). From $L_P \equiv 0$ we get that $u(P+2) \equiv -\xi$, $P+2 \in \mathcal{P}$. Hence $P \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$. Observing that $3 \mid 2P+5$, we get from $L_{2P+2} \equiv 0$, that

$$(2.4) g(2P+3) \equiv \xi, \quad 2P+3\in\mathscr{P}.$$

Let us consider now the relation

$$f(3P+4)+g(3P+5)+u(3P+6)+v(3P+7)\equiv 0.$$

From Lemma 2 we get that $g(3P+5)\equiv 0$, $v(3P+7)\equiv 0$, thus $f(3P+4)\equiv \xi$, $3P+4\in \mathcal{P}$. Since, $P, P+2, 2P+3, 3P+4\in \mathcal{P}$, therefore $P\equiv -1 \pmod{5}$.

Furthermore $L_{2P+3}\equiv 0$ immediately implies that $f(2P+3)\equiv 0$. Thus, by $5\mid 4P+9$, we get that

$$0 \equiv L_{4P+6} \equiv f(4P+6) + g(4P+7) + u(4P+8) + v(4P+9) \equiv$$

$$\equiv 0 + g(4P+7) + u(P+2) + 0,$$

i.e., $g(4P+7)\equiv \xi$.

Let 4P+7=3E, $g(E)\equiv \xi$, $E\in \mathscr{P}$. From $L_{E-1}\equiv 0$ we deduce that $v(E+2)\equiv -\xi$. Hence it follows that $E\equiv -1 \pmod 3$ and so $P\equiv 2 \pmod 9$. Now we prove that $u(E)\equiv 0$. Indeed, in the opposite case from $L_{E-2}\equiv 0$ it would follow that $f(E-2)\not\equiv 0$, but this is impossible since $3\mid E-2$, (E-2)/3 < P.

So we have that $u(3E) \equiv u(4P+7) \equiv 0$. Then

$$0 \equiv f(4P+5) + g(4P+6) + u(4P+7) + v(4P+8) \equiv$$

$$\equiv f(4P+5) + g(2P+3) + 0 + v(P+2).$$

From $L_{P-1}\equiv 0$ we get that $g(P)+v(P+2)\equiv 0$, and so $v(P+2)\equiv 0$. Using (2.4) we see that $f(4P+5)\equiv -\xi$, $4P+5\in \mathcal{P}$. Since $P\equiv -1\pmod 5$, we get that $E\equiv 1$

(mod 5). Consequently $5 \mid 2E+3$. So

$$0 \equiv f(2E+1) + g(2E+2) + u(2E+3) + v(2E+4) \equiv f(2E+1) + 0 + 0 - \xi,$$

i.e., $f(2E+1) \equiv \xi$, $2E+1 \in \mathcal{P}$. Similarly, $3 \mid 2E-1$, therefore

$$0 \equiv f(2E-1) + g(2E) + u(2E+1) + v(2E+2) \equiv 0 + \xi + u(2E+1) + 0,$$

i.e., $u(2E+1) \equiv -\xi$. We have 3(2E+1) = 8P+17, hence

$$0 \equiv f(8P+15) + g(8P+16) + u(8P+17) + v(8P+18) \equiv$$

$$\equiv f(8P+15) + g(P+2) - \xi + v(4P+9).$$

Since $4 \mid P+4$, we get from $L_{P-1} \equiv 0$ that $g(P+2) \equiv 0$. Also, $5 \mid 4P+9$ implies that $v(4P+9) \equiv 0$. Thus we have that $f(8P+15) \equiv \xi$.

Hence 8P+15 has to be a prime or the product of 7 and K, where $K \in \mathscr{P}$, $f(K) \equiv \xi$. Assume that 8P+15=7K, $f(K) \equiv \xi$. Then we get from $L_K \equiv 0$ that $u(K+2) \equiv -\xi$, $K+2 \in \mathscr{P}$. But $8P+15 \equiv 7K$, $P \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$ imply that $3 \mid K+2$, and hence, by (K+2)/2 < P, $u(K+2) \equiv 0$.

So $8P+15\in\mathcal{P}$. Since $P, P+2, 2P+3, 3P+4, 4P+5, 8\mathcal{P}+15\in\mathcal{P}$, therefore $P\equiv 3 \pmod{7}$. Let us consider now the relation

$$0 \equiv f(5P+8) + g(5P+9) + u(5P+10) + v(5P+11).$$

Since $9 \mid 5P+8$, $6 \mid 5P+11$, and $u(5P+10) \equiv u(P+2) \equiv -\xi$, therefore $f(5P+8) \equiv 0$, $v(5P+11) \equiv 0$, and so $g(5P+9) \equiv \xi$. Then 5P+9=2A, or 5P+9=4A, where $A \in \mathcal{P}$, $g(A) \equiv \xi$. The second case cannot occur. Let us assume that 5P+9=4A, $g(A) \equiv \xi$. Then, taking into account that $2 \mid A-1$, A+1, (A+1)/2 < P, we get from $L_{A-1} \equiv 0$ that $v(A+2) \equiv -\xi$. But this is impossible since $3 \mid A+2$.

Let us assume that 5P+9=2A. It follows from $P\equiv 3\pmod{7}$ that $A\equiv 5\pmod{7}$, i.e., $7\mid A+2$. Furthermore, $3\mid A+1$, (A+1)/3 < P, consequently $u(A+1)\equiv 0$, $v(A+2)\equiv 0$, and so $L_{A-1}\equiv 0$ immediately implies that $f(A-1)\equiv -\xi$. Since A-1 is an even number and has a prime divisor greater than P, therefore A-1=2B, $B\in \mathcal{P}$, $f(B)\equiv -\xi$. From $L_B\equiv 0$ we deduce that $u(B+2)\equiv \xi$. Since 5P+7=4B, $9\mid 5P+8$, $v(P+2)\equiv 0$, we get

$$0 \equiv f(5P+7) + g(5P+8) + u(5P+9) + v(5P+10) \equiv -\xi + u(2A),$$

i.e., $u(A) \equiv \xi$. So we have

$$f(A-2) + g(A-1) + u(A) + v(A+1) \equiv 0.$$

Since $3 \mid A-2, A+1$, and (A+1)/3 < P, therefore $f(A-2) \equiv 0$, $v(A+1) \equiv 0$, and so $g(A-1) \equiv g(B) \equiv -\xi$. In view of $L_{B-1} \equiv 0$ this yields that

$$(2.5) v(B+2) \equiv \zeta.$$

90 I. Kátai

Since 2B+4=A+3, we have that $u(A+3)\equiv \xi$, $v(A+3)\equiv \xi$. Let us consider now the relation

$$f(A+1)+g(A+2)+u(A+3)+v(A+4) \equiv 0.$$

Since $7 \mid A+2$, therefore $g(A+2) \equiv 0$. Furthermore $3 \mid A+1$, $3 \mid A+4$. As

$$(A+1)/3 = (2A+2)/6 = (5P+11)/6 < P$$

for P>11, we have $f(A+1)\equiv 0$. We know that $v(P)\equiv 0$ and $v(P+2)\equiv 0$. Since $P, P+2\in \mathcal{P}$, therefore P+4 is a composite number, and so the smallest integer on which v assumes a nonzero value (mod 1) is $\geq P+6$. However,

$$(A+4)/3 = (2A+8)/6 = (5P+17)/6 < P+6,$$

therefore $v(A+4)\equiv 0$. Consequently $u(A+3)\equiv 0$, contradicting (2.5). The proof of Theorem 1' is finished.

3. Proof of Lemma 1. For an arbitrary completely additive function h(n) we can extend the domain of definition for the set of positive rational numbers by h(a/b) = h(a) - h(b). Let us do it for f, g, u, v. For the sake of brevity the relation

$$f(a)+g(b)+u(c)+v(d) \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$$

will be denoted by $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$, where a, b, c, d are arbitrary positive rational numbers. From the additivity it follows that

if
$$\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$$
 and $\langle A, B, C, D \rangle$, then $\langle aA, bB, cC, dD \rangle$.

We shall say that $\langle aA, bB, cC, dD \rangle$ is the product of $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ and $\langle A, B, C, D \rangle$. It is obvious that $\langle 1/a, 1/b, 1/c, 1/d \rangle$ holds if $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ holds.

Let now $L_n = \langle n, n+1, n+2, n+3 \rangle$. First we shall express the values f(p), g(p), u(p), v(p) for primes $p \le 20$ as linear combinations of

$$K = \{f(2), g(2), u(2), v(2), f(3), g(3), u(3), v(3)\}.$$

The appropriate formulas will be denoted by F(p), G(p), U(p), V(p). Hence we can get some linear relations between the values listed in K.

$$V(5) = L_2 = \langle 2; 3; 2^2; 5 \rangle,$$

$$U(5) = L_3 = \langle 3; 2^2; 5; 2 \cdot 3 \rangle,$$

$$F(7) = L_7 L_2^{-1} = \langle 7 \cdot 2^{-1}; 2^3 \cdot 3^{-1}; 2^{-2} \cdot 3^2; 2 \rangle,$$

$$G(7) = L_6 = \langle 2 \cdot 3; 7; 2^3; 3^2 \rangle,$$

$$V(11) = L_8 L_3^{-1} = \langle 2^3 \cdot 3^{-1}; 2^{-2} \cdot 3^2; 2; 11 \cdot 2^{-1} \cdot 3^{-1} \rangle,$$

$$V(17) = L_{48} L_3^{-2} L_6^{-2} = \langle 2^2 \cdot 3^{-3}; 2^{-4}; 2^{-5}; 17 \cdot 2^{-2} \cdot 3^{-5} \rangle,$$

$$G(5) = L_{14} (F(7)V(17))^{-1} = \langle 3^3; 2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5; 2^{11} \cdot 3^{-2}; 2 \cdot 3^5 \rangle,$$

$$\begin{split} &V(7) = L_4G(5)^{-1} = \langle 2^2 \cdot 3^{-3}; \ 2^{-1} \cdot 3^{-2}; \ 2^{-10} \cdot 3^3; \ 7 \cdot 2^{-1} \cdot 3^{-5} \rangle, \\ &F(11) = L_{24}L_4L_{11}^{-1}G(5)^{-3} = \langle 11^{-1} \cdot 2^5 \cdot 3^{-8}; \ 2^{-5} \cdot 3^{-7}; \ 2^{-81} \cdot 3^7; \ 2^{-4} \cdot 3^{-12} \rangle, \\ &F(5^3) = L_5L_{25}V(5)L_{12}^{-1}V(7)^{-1} = \langle 5^3 \cdot 2^{-3} \cdot 3^2; \ 2^3 \cdot 3^4; \ 2^{11}; \ 2^6 \cdot 3^4 \rangle, \\ &V(13) = \frac{L_{54}L_{15}L_{12}^2L_7L_7E(5^3)}{L_{168}L_{10}^2L_7L_7L_7E(5^3)} = \langle 2^{-5} \cdot 3^5; \ 2^8 \cdot 3^{-4}; \ 2^{-4} \cdot 3^3; \ 13^{-1} \cdot 2^{-1} \cdot 3^3 \rangle, \\ &F(5) = L_{75}L_4L_3V(13)L_5^{-1}L_{13}^{-1}L_5^{-1} = \langle 5 \cdot 2^{-4} \cdot 3^3; \ 2^{10} \cdot 3^{-5}; \ 2^{-5} \cdot 3^4; \ 2^{-4} \cdot 3^3 \rangle, \\ &U(7) = F(5)L_5^{-1} = \langle 2^{-4} \cdot 3^3; \ 2^{0} \cdot 3^{-6}; \ 7^{-1} \cdot 2^{-5} \cdot 3^4; \ 2^{-7} \cdot 3^3 \rangle, \\ &G(13) = L_{12}U(7)L_2^{-1} = \langle 2^{-3} \cdot 3^4; \ 13 \cdot 2^{0} \cdot 3^7; \ 2^{-6} \cdot 3^4; \ 2^{-7} \cdot 3^3 \rangle, \\ &G(11) = F(5)V(13)^{-1}L_{10}^{-1} = \langle 3^{-4}; \ 11^{-1} \cdot 2^2 \cdot 3^{-1}; \ 2^{-3}; \ 2^{-3} \rangle, \\ &U(17) = F(5)L_{15}^{-1} = \langle 2^{-4} \cdot 3^3; \ 2^6 \cdot 3^{-5}; \ 17^{-1} \cdot 2^{-5} \cdot 3^4; \ 2^{-2} \cdot 3^{-7} \rangle, \\ &U(13) = F(11)L_{11}V(7)^{-1} = \langle 2^3 \cdot 3^{-5}; \ 2^{-2} \cdot 3^{-4}; \ 13 \cdot 2^{-21} \cdot 3^4; \ 2^{-2} \cdot 3^{-7} \rangle, \\ &U(13) = F(3)U(7)G(5)^{-1} = \langle 3^{-1}; \ 3^{-2}; \ 11^{-2} \cdot 2^{-1} \cdot 3^4; \ 2^{-3} \cdot 3^{-7} \rangle, \\ &U(19) = L_{64}G(11)U(7)G(5)^{-1} = \langle 2^{-3} \cdot 3^{-1}; \ 17^{-1} \cdot 2^{10} \cdot 3^{-6}; \ 2^{-16} \cdot 3^6; \ 19 \cdot 2^{-11} \cdot 3^{-1} \rangle, \\ &F(17) = \frac{L_{85}L_0L_6L_7L_2}{L_{42}L_{27}F(5)G(5)V(11)} = \langle 17 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 3^{-6}; \ 3^2 \cdot 2^{-6}; \ 3 \cdot 2^{-4}; \ 2^9 \cdot 3^{-7} \rangle, \\ &G(19) = L_{18}V(7)^{-1}L_3^{-2} = \langle 2^{-1} \cdot 3^3; \ 19 \cdot 2^{-3} \cdot 3^2; \ 2^{11} \cdot 3^{-3}; \ 2^{-1} \cdot 3^4 \rangle, \\ &F(19) = L_{19}U(7)V(11)^{-1}G(5)^{-1} = \langle 19 \cdot 2^{-5} \cdot 3; \ 2^{12} \cdot 3^{-10}; \ 2^{-17} \cdot 3^7; \ 2^{-6} \cdot 3^{-1} \rangle, \\ &F_1 := \frac{F(5)^3L_1^2}{F(5)^3} = \left\langle \frac{2^9}{3^7}; \frac{3^{18}}{2^{15}}; \ 2^{29}; \frac{2^{42}}{3^5} \right\rangle, \\ &F_2 := \frac{F(5)^3L_1^2}{F(5)^3} = \left\langle \frac{2^9}{3^7}; \frac{3^{18}}{2^{15}}; \ 2^{29}; \frac{2^6}{3^8}; \ 2^{25}; \ 2^{11} \cdot 3^{13} \right\rangle, \\ &F_6 := \frac{L_{32}G(11)U(17)}{L_{2}L_1V(7)} = \left\langle \frac{3}{2^2}; \frac{2^8}{3^8}; \ 2^{-3} \cdot 3$$

$$\begin{split} F_7 &:= \frac{L_{31}L_6L_4L_2L_8^8}{L_{62}L_9} = \langle 2^4; \ 2^5 \cdot 3^5; \ 2^{19}; \ 2^7 \cdot 3^8 \rangle, \\ F_8 &:= \frac{L_7^2G(5)^2L_1^8}{L_{49}L_2^2U(17)V(13)} = \left\langle \frac{2^7}{3}; \ 2 \cdot 3^{11}; \ 2^{27}; \ 2^{24} \cdot 3^6 \right\rangle, \\ F_9 &:= \frac{L_{63}L_2L_1^6}{L_8L_7U(13)} = \left\langle \frac{3^7}{2^5}; \ 2^{11} \cdot 3^3; \ 2^{22}; \ 2^{14} \cdot 3^8 \right\rangle, \\ F_{10} &:= \frac{L_{55}L_{13}F(11)U(19)}{L_{26}L_8^2L_3U(7)F(5)L_1^2} = \left\langle \frac{2^{13}}{3^{23}}; \ \frac{3^2}{2^{31}}; \ \frac{1}{2_{25}}; \ \frac{2^{14}}{3^{30}} \right\rangle, \\ F_{11} &:= \frac{L_{37}L_8L_4^2L_1^2}{L_{74}L_{18}L_2U(19)U(13)} = \left\langle \frac{3^9}{2^2}; \ 2^{12} \cdot 3^3; \ 2^{20}; \ 2^2 \cdot 3^{13} \right\rangle, \\ F_{12} &:= \frac{L_{30}L_{23}L_{45}L_2^3V(19)V(13)L_1^7}{L_{92}L_{22}L_8L_3F(11)F(5)^2} = \left\langle \frac{3^{10}}{2^7}; \ 2^{12} \cdot 3^5; \ 2^{27}; \ 2^{18} \cdot 3^9 \right\rangle. \end{split}$$

Let R denote the column vector [f(2, f(3), g(2), g(3), u(2), v(2), v(3)]. The formulas $F_2, ..., F_{12}$ lead to the linear equation $MR \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$, where

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & -7 & -15 & 19 & 26 & 42 & -5 \\ -1 & 2 & 9 & 6 & 25 & 11 & 13 \\ 6 & 0 & 7 & 27 & 69 & 58 & -17 \\ -2 & 1 & 8 & -4 & 1 & -9 & 6 \\ 6 & 6 & 2 & 22 & 53 & 63 & 9 \\ 4 & 0 & 5 & 5 & 19 & 7 & 8 \\ 7 & -1 & 1 & 11 & 27 & 24 & 6 \\ -5 & 7 & 11 & 3 & 22 & 14 & 8 \\ 13 & -23 & -31 & 2 & -25 & 14 & -30 \\ -2 & 9 & 12 & 3 & 20 & 2 & 13 \\ -7 & 10 & 12 & 5 & 27 & 18 & 9 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By using the Gaussian elimination over the ring of integers, we get easily that the only solution of it is $R \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$. Hence, by the formulas F(p), ..., V(p) we get immediately that $f(p), g(p), u(p), v(p) \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$ for $p \leq 19$.

References

[1] I. KATAI, A remark on additive functions satisfying a relation, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eōtvōs Sect. Math., in print.

EÖTVÖS LORÁND UNIVERSITY DEPT. OF NUMERICAL ANALYSIS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE MÚZEUM KRT. 6—8 1088 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY