

A note on boundedly complete decomposition of a Banach space

P. K. JAIN, KHALIL AHMAD and S. M. MASKEY

1. Introduction. Let E be a Banach space. A sequence (M_i) of subspaces of E is said to be a *decomposition* of E if each $x \in E$ can uniquely be expressed as $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$, where $x_i \in M_i$ for each i , and convergence is with respect to the norm on E . The uniqueness implies the existence of (not necessarily continuous) associated projections P_i of E onto M_i such that $P_i P_j = \delta_{ij} P_j$, where $\delta_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq j$ and $\delta_{ij} = 1$ for $i = j$, and we write $P_i(x) = x_i$. If each P_i is continuous, the decomposition is called a *Schauder decomposition* and we write it as (M_i, P_i) . A decomposition (M_i) is called *boundedly complete* if the relation $\sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\| < \infty$ implies that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$ converges, where $x_i \in M_i$ for each i .

The study of decomposition of a Banach space was initiated in the work of GRINBLIUM [3] and developed further in [2, 9, 10, 11, 12]. The purpose of the present note is to give certain sufficient conditions for a decomposition to be boundedly complete.

2. In this section, we state and prove a lemma, on which we rely heavily when proving our main results.

Lemma. Let (M_i) be a Schauder decomposition of E . Then the following statements are equivalent:

(A) For each number $\lambda > 0$ there exists a number $r_\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\| = 1, \quad \left\| \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} x_i \right\| \cong \lambda \quad \text{imply} \quad \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \right\| \cong 1 + r_\lambda$$

($x_i \in M_i$ for each i).

(B) For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\| > 1 - \delta, \quad \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \right\| = 1 \quad \text{imply} \quad \left\| \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} x_i \right\| \leq \varepsilon$$

($x_i \in M_i$ for each i).

Proof. (A) \Rightarrow (B). Suppose (A) holds and (B) is not true, then there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for every $\delta > 0$,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\| > 1 - \delta, \quad \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \right\| = 1, \quad \left\| \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} x_i \right\| > \varepsilon \quad (x_i \in M_i).$$

Then, for $\lambda = \frac{\varepsilon}{K}$, where K is a constant appearing in Grinblyum's K -condition for Schauder decomposition (see [8], p. 93), there exists no $r_\lambda > 0$ so as to satisfy (A).

Indeed, let $r_\lambda > 0$ be arbitrary, $\delta = r_\lambda / (1 + r_\lambda)$ and $y_i = x_i / \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right\|$. Then

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right\| = 1, \quad \left\| \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} y_i \right\| \geq \varepsilon / K \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j \right\| = \lambda,$$

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} y_i \right\| = 1 / \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right\| < \frac{1}{1 - \delta} = 1 + r_\lambda.$$

This is a contradiction and hence (A) implies (B).

(B) \Rightarrow (A). Assume that (A) is not true, i.e. there exists a $\lambda > 0$ such that for every $r_\lambda > 0$,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\| = 1, \quad \left\| \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} x_i \right\| \geq \lambda, \quad \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \right\| < 1 + r_\lambda.$$

Then, for $\varepsilon = \lambda(1 - \eta)$ with $0 < \eta < 1$ arbitrary, there exists no $\delta > 0$ so as to satisfy (B). Indeed, let $\delta > 0$ be arbitrary with $\delta \leq \eta$. Let $r_\lambda = \delta / (1 - \delta)$ and $y_i = x_i / \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j \right\|$.

Therefore

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right\| = 1 / \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j \right\| > \frac{1}{1 + r_\lambda} = 1 - \delta, \quad \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} y_i \right\| = 1,$$

$$\left\| \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} y_i \right\| \geq \lambda / \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j \right\| > \frac{\lambda}{1 + r_\lambda} \geq \varepsilon,$$

which is a contradiction, hence (B) implies (A).

Note. The statements (A) and (B) in the lemma will be referred to as properties A and B, respectively.

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. *Let (M_i) be a Schauder decomposition of E . If (M_i) satisfies property A (or B), then (M_i) is boundedly complete. The converse may not be true.*

Proof. Suppose $\sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\| = \alpha < \infty$ with $x_i \in M_i$. Let $y_n = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, choose a sequence (n_k) of positive integers such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|y_{n_k}\| = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n\| = \beta$ (say). If $\beta = 0$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$ converges (to zero). If $\beta \neq 0$, we shall show that (y_{n_k}) is a Cauchy sequence. In fact, otherwise there would exist a $\delta > 0$ and subsequences $(y_{n_{k_j}})$, $(y_{n_{l_j}})$, of (y_{n_k}) with $n_{k_j} > n_{l_j}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$) such that

$$\|y_{n_{k_j}} - y_{n_{l_j}}\| \cong \delta \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then, since

$$\left\| \frac{y_{n_{k_j}} - y_{n_{l_j}}}{\|y_{n_{l_j}}\|} \right\| \cong \frac{\delta}{\alpha} = \lambda > 0,$$

we would have by property A

$$\left\| \frac{y_{n_{l_j}}}{\|y_{n_{l_j}}\|} + \frac{y_{n_{k_j}} - y_{n_{l_j}}}{\|y_{n_{l_j}}\|} \right\| \cong 1 + r_\lambda,$$

hence

$$\|y_{n_{k_j}}\| \cong \|y_{n_{l_j}}\| (1 + r_\lambda).$$

Thus

$$\beta = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|y_{n_{k_j}}\| \cong \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|y_{n_{l_j}}\| (1 + r_\lambda) = \beta (1 + r_\lambda),$$

which is impossible since $\beta \neq 0$. Consequently, (y_{n_k}) is a Cauchy sequence. Hence $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_{n_k} = x \in E$. Therefore, (M_i) being a Schauder decomposition,

$$x = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_{n_k} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i.$$

This shows that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$ converges, whenever

$$\sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\| < \infty.$$

For the converse, consider the following counter-example which would complete the proof of the theorem.

Example 3.2. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space. Define

$$I_1(X) = \left\{ (x_i) : x_i \in X, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|x_i\| < \infty \right\},$$

the norm on $l_1(\chi)$ being given by

$$\|(x_i)\|^* = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|x_i\|.$$

Further, let us assume the Banach space χ to be such that the topological dual of the space $l_1(\chi)$ is its respective cross dual (see [6], Table 3.29, and [5]). Now, we observe that (N_i) with $N_i = \{\delta_i^{x_i} : x_i \in \chi\}$, where $\delta_i^{x_i}$ means the sequence $(0, 0, \dots, x_i, 0, \dots)$ i.e. the i -th entry in $\delta_i^{x_i}$ is x_i and all others are zero, forms a Schauder decomposition (see [4], p. 290, and [8], p. 95) of $l_1(\chi)$. Now, we define

$$\bar{N}_1 = \{\delta_1^{\frac{x}{2}} + \delta_2^{\frac{x}{2}} : x \in \chi\}, \quad \bar{N}_2 = \{\delta_1^{-\frac{x}{2}} + \delta_2^{\frac{x}{2}} : x \in \chi\}, \quad \bar{N}_i = N_i, \quad \text{for } i \neq 1, 2.$$

Then (\bar{N}_i) is a boundedly complete decomposition, but does not satisfy property A.

Remark. Properties A and B are not invariant under an isomorphism of the space E onto another space E_1 . Hence they are not isomorphic properties since (N_i) forms a boundedly complete decomposition, equivalent to (\bar{N}_i) , of E which satisfies property A.

Definition 3.3. A Schauder decomposition (M_i) is said to be *monotone* if $\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i \right\|$, for all n , where x_i is an arbitrary element of M_i .

Definition 3.4. A Banach space is *uniformly convex* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $\|x\|, \|y\| \leq 1$, and $\|x - y\| \geq \varepsilon$, then $\|(x + y)/2\| \leq 1 - \delta$.

Now, we give sufficient conditions for a decomposition to satisfy property A (or B).

Theorem 3.5. *If (M_i) is a monotone decomposition of a uniformly convex space E , then (M_i) satisfies property B (hence property A).*

Proof. Let property B be not true. Then for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ (in particular, we choose $\delta > 0$ of definition 3.4), there exists a sequence (x_i) , $x_i \in M_i$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \right\| > 1 - \delta, \quad \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} x_i \right\| > \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, monotonicity of (M_i) implies

$$1 - \delta < \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \right\| = 1.$$

Let $x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ and $y = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$. Then $\|x\|, \|y\| \leq 1$, and

$$\|x - y\| = \left\| \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} x_i \right\| > \varepsilon,$$

and so $\|(x+y)/2\| \leq 1 - \delta$. Hence

$$1 - \delta \geq \|(x+y)/2\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} x_i \right\| \geq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\| > 1 - \delta,$$

which is a contradiction.

Corollary 3.6. *If (M_i) is a monotone decomposition of a uniformly convex space E , then (M_i) is boundedly complete.*

References

- [1] P. CASAZZA, On a geometric condition related to boundedly complete bases and normal structure in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **36** (1972), 443—447.
- [2] W. J. DAVIS, Schauder decompositions in Banach spaces, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **74** (1968), 1083—1085.
- [3] M. M. GRINBYUM, On the representation of a space of type B in the form of the direct sum of the subspaces, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N. S.)*, **70** (1950), 749—752.
- [4] M. GUPTA, P. K. KAMTHAN and K. L. N. RAO, Generalised Köthe sequence spaces and decompositions, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4), **113** (1977), 287—301.
- [5] M. GUPTA, P. K. KAMTHAN and J. PATTERSON, Duals of generalised sequence spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **82** (1981), 152—168.
- [6] P. K. KAMTHAN and M. GUPTA, *Sequence spaces and series*, Marcel Dekker, Inc. (New York, 1981).
- [7] A. R. LOVAGLIA, Locally uniformly convex Banach spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **78** (1955), 225—238.
- [8] J. T. MARTI, *Introduction to the theory of bases*, Springer-Verlag (Berlin, 1969).
- [9] W. H. RUCKLE, The infinite sum of closed subspaces of an F -space, *Duke. Math. J.*, **31** (1964), 543—554.
- [10] B. L. SANDERS, On a generalisation of the Schauder basis concept, Dissertation, Florida State University, 1962.
- [11] B. L. SANDERS, Decompositions and reflexivity in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **16** (1965), 204—208.
- [12] B. L. SANDERS, On the existence of [Schauder] decompositions in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **16** (1965), 987—990.
- [13] I. SINGER, *Bases in Banach spaces I*, Springer-Verlag (Berlin, 1970).

(P. K. J. and S. M. M.)
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF DELHI
DELHI, INDIA

(K. A.)
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
JAMIA MILLIA ISLAMIA
NEW DELHI — 110025, INDIA