# Generalized resolvents of contractions 

H. LANGER and B. TEXTORIUS

1. Let $T$ be a contraction (that is a linear operator of norm $\leqq 1$ ), defined on a closed subspace $\mathfrak{D}(T)(\neq \mathfrak{5})$ of some Hilbert space $\mathfrak{G}$ and with values in $\mathfrak{5}$. By a contraction extension (c.e.) of $T$ we mean an extension $\tilde{T}$ of $T$ to some Hilbert space $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}} \supset \mathfrak{H}$, which is also a contraction. If $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}=\mathfrak{H}$, the c.e. $\tilde{T}$ is called canonical.

Let $\tilde{T}$ on $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$ be a c.e. of $T$, and denote by $\tilde{P}$ the orthogonal projector of $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$ onto $\mathfrak{5}$. The function

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \rightarrow R_{z}:=\left.\tilde{P}(z \tilde{T}-I)^{-1}\right|_{\mathfrak{5}}(|z|<1) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is defined and holomorphic on the open unit disc $\mathbf{D}:=\{|z|<1\}$ and whose values are bounded linear operators in $\mathfrak{G}$, is called a generalized resolvent of $T$ (generated by $\tilde{T}$ ). The generalized resolvent $R_{z}$ is called canonical if $\tilde{T}=T$.

It is the aim of this note to give a description of all generalized resolvents of a nondensely defined contraction $T$ in a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{G}$. This result is an analogue of the formula for the generalized resolvents of an isometric operator, proved in [1] for equal and in [2] (see also [3]) for arbitrary defect numbers.* In their turn these results have their origin in the classical formula of M. G. Krein on the generalized resolvents of an hermitian operator with equal defect numbers ([4], [5]).
2. Let $T$ be as above. By $\stackrel{\circ}{T}$ we denote the c.e. of $T$ given by

$$
\stackrel{\circ}{T} x:= \begin{cases}T x & x \in \mathfrak{D}(T) \\ 0 & x \in \mathfrak{D}(T)^{\perp}\end{cases}
$$

and set

$$
D:=\left(I-\stackrel{\circ}{T}^{*} \stackrel{\circ}{T}\right)^{1 / 2}, D_{*}:=\left(I-\dot{T}^{\circ} \dot{T}^{*}\right)^{1 / 2}, \mathscr{D}:=\overline{\mathfrak{R}(D)}, \mathscr{D}_{*}:=\overline{\mathfrak{R}\left(D_{*}\right)} .
$$

The characteristic function of $\stackrel{\circ}{T}^{*}$ is denoted by $X(z)$ (see [6, Chap. VI]):

$$
X(z):=\left.\left(-\stackrel{\circ}{T}^{*}-z D \dot{R}_{z} D_{*}\right)\right|_{\mathscr{Q} *}, \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z}:=(z \stackrel{\circ}{T}-I)^{-1}, z \in \mathbf{D} .
$$

[^0]It is defined and holomorphic on the open unit disc $\mathbf{D}$ and its values are contractions, mapping $\mathscr{D}_{*}$ into $\mathscr{D}$, see [6, chap. VI]. By $\mathscr{K}$ (or, sometimes, more explicitly by $\left.\mathscr{K}\left(\mathcal{D}(T)^{\perp}, \mathscr{D}_{*}\right)\right)$ we denote the set of all functions $G(z)$, defined and holomorphic on $\mathbf{D}$ and whose values are contractions from $\mathfrak{D}(T)^{\perp}$ into $\mathscr{D}_{*}$, by $\mathscr{K}_{0}$ (or $\mathscr{K}_{0}\left(\mathfrak{D}(T)^{\perp}\right.$, $\left.\mathscr{D}_{*}\right)$ ) the subset of $\mathscr{K}$, consisting of all $G \in \mathscr{K}$ which are independent of $z$. Finally, $\Gamma$ is the orthogonal projector of $\mathfrak{5}$ onto $\mathfrak{D}(T)^{\perp}$.

Theorem. Let $T$ be a contraction in the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{5}$ with a closed domain $\mathfrak{D}(T) \neq \mathfrak{5}$. The formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{z}=\stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z}-z \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z} D_{*} G(z)(I-\Gamma X(z) G(z))^{-1} \Gamma D R_{z}^{\circ} \quad(|z|<1) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

establishes a 1,1-correspondence between the set of all generalized resolvents $R_{z}$ of $T$ and all $G \in \mathscr{K}$. The generalized resolvent $R_{z}$ is canonical if and only if $G \in \mathscr{K}_{0}$.

Proof. a) Let $\tilde{T}$ be a canonical c.e. of $T$. We define an operator $F$ from $\mathfrak{D}(T)^{\perp}$ into $\mathfrak{G}$ by the formula $F x:=\tilde{T} x\left(x \in \mathcal{D}(T)^{\perp}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\stackrel{\circ}{T}_{\dot{T}^{*}}+F F^{*} \leqq I \quad \text { or } \quad F F^{*} \leqq I-\stackrel{\circ}{T} \dot{T}^{*}=D_{*}^{2} .
$$

Therefore the operator $F_{1}:=F^{*} D_{*}^{-1}$ is a contraction, which is densely defined on $\mathscr{D}_{*}$ and with values in $\mathfrak{D}(T)^{\perp}$. The adjoint of its closure $G:=\left(\bar{F}_{1}\right)^{*}$ belongs to $\mathscr{K}_{0}$. Observing $\stackrel{\circ}{T} \Gamma=0$ we find with $R_{z}:=(z \tilde{T}-I)^{-1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{z}-\stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z}=z \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z}(\stackrel{\circ}{T}-\tilde{T}) R_{z}=z \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z}(\stackrel{\circ}{T}-\tilde{T}) \Gamma R_{z}=-z \dot{R}_{z} F \Gamma R_{z} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows

$$
R_{z}=\left(I+z \AA_{z} F \Gamma\right)^{-1} \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z}, \Gamma R_{z}=\left(I+z \Gamma \AA_{z} F\right)^{-1} \Gamma \AA_{z}
$$

and (3) can be written as

$$
R_{z}-{\stackrel{\circ}{R_{z}}}=-z \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z} F\left(I+z \Gamma \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z} F\right)^{-1} \Gamma \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z}=-z \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z} D_{*} G\left(I+z \Gamma \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z} D_{*} G\right)^{-1} \Gamma \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z}
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma D=\Gamma, \quad \Gamma \stackrel{\circ}{T}^{*}=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
R_{z}-\stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z}=-z \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z} D_{*} G\left(I+z \Gamma D \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z} D_{*} G\right)^{-1} \Gamma \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z}=  \tag{5}\\
=-z \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z} D_{*} G\left(I-\Gamma\left(X(z)-\stackrel{\circ}{T}^{*}\right) G\right)^{-1} \Gamma \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z}= \\
=-z \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z} D_{*} G(I-\Gamma X(z) G)^{-1} \Gamma \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z}=-z \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z} D_{*} G(I-\Gamma X(z) G)^{-1} \Gamma D \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z}
\end{gather*}
$$

b) Let now $\tilde{T}$ be an arbitrary (not necessarily canonical) c.e. of $T$ in $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}} \supset \mathfrak{5}, \boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{z}}$ the corresponding generalized resolvent. We shall prove the following statement:
(i) If $z$ is fixed in D , then the operator $R_{z}^{-1}$ exists and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{z}:=\frac{1}{z}\left(R_{z}^{-1}+I\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a canonical c.e. of $T$.

Indeed, $R_{z} x=0$ for some $x \in \mathfrak{H}, x \neq 0$, implies $\left((z \tilde{T}-I)^{-1} x, x\right)=0$ and with $\tilde{u}:=(z \tilde{T}-I)^{-1} x$ we get

$$
0=((z \tilde{T}-I) \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}) \quad \text { or } \quad\|\tilde{u}\|^{2}=z(\tilde{T} \tilde{u}, \tilde{u})
$$

hence $\tilde{u}=0$ as $|z|<1$ and $\|\tilde{T}\| \leqq 1$, a contradiction. In the same way it follows that the inverse of $R_{z}^{*}$ exists, therefore the range of $R_{z}$ is dense in $\mathfrak{5}$.

In order to see that $T_{z}$ is a contraction we first show that the operator $S_{z}:=$ $=R_{z}^{-1}+I(|z|<1)$ is a contraction, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R_{z}^{-1} x+x\right\|^{2} \leqq\|x\|^{2} \quad \text { or } \quad\left\|R_{z}^{-1} x\right\|^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re}\left(R_{z}^{-1} x, x\right) \leqq 0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for arbitrary $x \in \mathfrak{R}\left(R_{z}\right)$. Putting $R_{z}^{-1} x=y,(z \tilde{T}-I)^{-1} y=\tilde{v}$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|R_{z}^{-1} x\right\|^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re}\left(R_{z}^{-1} x, x\right)=\|y\|^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re}\left(y,(z \tilde{T}-I)^{-1} y\right)= \\
=\|(z \tilde{T}-I) \tilde{v}\|^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re}((z \tilde{T}-I) \tilde{v}, \tilde{v})=\|z \tilde{T} \tilde{v}\|^{2}-\|\tilde{v}\|^{2} \leqq 0,
\end{gathered}
$$

and (7) follows. Further, for an arbitrary pair $x, y \in \mathfrak{F},\|x\|=\|y\|=1$, the function

$$
f(z):=\left(S_{z} x, y\right) \quad(|z|<1)
$$

is a holomorphic function of modulus $\leqq 1$, which vanishes at $z=0$. By Schwarz' lemma, $\frac{1}{z} f(z)$ is of modulus $\leqq 1$ in $\mathbf{D}$, hence also $T_{z}=\frac{1}{z} S_{z}$ is a contraction. Finally, if $x \in \mathfrak{D}(T)$ we find

$$
\left(T_{z}-T\right) x=\frac{1}{z} R_{z}^{-1}\left(I+R_{z}-z R_{z} T\right) x=\frac{1}{z} R_{z}^{-1} \tilde{P}(z \tilde{T}-I)^{-1}(z \tilde{T}-z T) x=0
$$

therefore $T_{z}$ is an extension of $T$. The statement (i) is proved.
Now the results of a) can be applied to the canonical c.e. $T_{z}$ of $T$. Observing the relation $\left(z T_{z}-I\right)^{-1}=R_{z}$, the representation (5) gives

$$
R_{z}-\stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z}=-z \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z} D_{*} G(z)(I-\Gamma X(z) G(z))^{-1} \Gamma D \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z}
$$

where $G(z):=\left(\overline{F_{1}(z)}\right)^{*}, F_{1}(z):=F(z)^{*} D_{*}^{-1}$ and $F(z):=T_{z} l_{\mathcal{D}(T)^{\perp}}$. As $T_{z}$ is holomorphic in $\mathbf{D}$, the function $G(z)$ belongs to $\mathscr{K}$. Therefore, an arbitrary generalized resolvent of $T$ admits a representation (2) with some $G \in \mathscr{K}$.
c) Let now, conversely, a function $G \in \mathscr{K}$ be given. According to [6, Chap. V, Prop. 2.1] its domain $\mathfrak{D}(T)^{\perp}$ and range $\mathscr{D}_{*}$ decompose as

$$
\mathfrak{D}(T)^{\perp}=\mathfrak{D}^{\prime} \oplus \mathscr{D}^{0}, \mathscr{D}_{*}=\mathscr{D}_{*}^{\prime} \oplus \mathscr{D}_{*}^{0} \quad \text { resp. }
$$

such that $G^{0}(z):=\left.G(z)\right|_{\mathfrak{D} 0}$ is a purely contractive holomorphic function (see [6, Chap. V, 2.2], whose values are operators from $\mathfrak{D}^{0}$ into $\mathscr{D}_{*}^{0}$, and $G^{\prime}(z):=\left.G(z)\right|_{\mathfrak{D}}$, is a unitary operator from $\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}$ onto $\mathscr{D}_{*}^{\prime}$, independent of $z,|z|<1$.

The purely contractive holomorphic function $G^{0}(z)$ is the characteristic function of some contraction $S$ in a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{S}_{1}$, that is, $\mathfrak{D}^{0}$ and $\mathscr{D}_{*}^{0}$ can be identified with the subspaces $\mathscr{D}_{s}=\overline{\mathfrak{R}\left(D_{s}\right)}$ and $\mathscr{D}_{s^{*}} \overline{\mathfrak{R}\left(D_{s^{*}}\right)}$ resp. of $\mathfrak{H}_{1}$, and we have

$$
G^{0}(z)=\left.\left(-S-z D_{s^{*}}\left(z S^{*}-I\right)^{-1} D_{S}\right)\right|_{\mathscr{S}_{s}} \quad(|z|<1) .
$$

Thus, $\mathfrak{D}^{0}$ and $\mathscr{D}_{*}^{0}$ can be considered as subspaces of $\mathfrak{G}$ as well as of $\mathfrak{S}_{1}$. Besides $\Gamma$, projecting $\mathfrak{G}$ orthogonally onto $\mathfrak{D}(T)^{\perp}$, we introduce the orthogonal projectors $\Gamma^{0}, \Gamma^{\prime}, \Gamma_{*}^{0}$ and $\Gamma_{*}^{\prime}$ in $\mathfrak{S}$ onto $\mathfrak{D}^{0}, \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}, \mathscr{D}_{*}^{0}$ and $\mathscr{\mathscr { T }}_{*}^{\prime}$ respectively and the orthogonal projectors $P$ and $P_{*}$ onto $\mathscr{D}_{s}$ and $\mathscr{D}_{S^{*}}$ in $\mathfrak{S}_{1}$.

Now an extension $\tilde{T}$ of $T$, acting in the space $\mathfrak{S} \oplus \mathfrak{S}_{1}$, will be defined as follows: With respect to the decomposition

$$
\mathfrak{G} \oplus \mathfrak{S}_{1}=\mathfrak{D}(T) \oplus \mathfrak{D}^{\prime} \oplus \mathfrak{D}^{0} \oplus \mathfrak{H}_{1}
$$

of the initial space it has the matrix representation

$$
\tilde{T}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\circ}{T}(1-\Gamma) & D_{*} \Gamma_{*}^{\prime} G^{\prime} & -D_{*} P_{*} S & D_{*} \Gamma_{*}^{0} D_{s^{*}}  \tag{8}\\
0 & 0 & D_{S} & S^{*}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Clearly, $\tilde{T}$ is an extension of $T$. In order to see that $\tilde{T}$ is contractive we consider the operator $\tilde{T} \tilde{T}^{*}=\left(\tau_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1,2}$ in $\mathfrak{S} \oplus \mathfrak{F}_{1}$. Observing

$$
\tilde{T}^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(1-\Gamma) \mathbb{T}^{*} & 0 \\
G^{\prime *} \Gamma_{*}^{\prime} D_{*} & 0 \\
-P S^{\prime} \Gamma_{*}^{0} D_{*} & D_{S} \\
D_{S^{*}} \Gamma_{*}^{0} D_{*} & S
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the fact that $G^{\prime *}$ maps $\mathscr{D}_{*}^{\prime}$ unitarily onto $\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}: G^{\prime} G_{*}^{\prime}=\left.I\right|_{\mathfrak{D}_{*}^{\prime}}$, we find

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tau_{11}=\stackrel{\circ}{T}(I-\Gamma) \stackrel{\circ}{T}^{*}+D_{*} \Gamma_{*}^{\prime} D_{*}+D_{*} P_{*} S P S^{*} \Gamma_{*}^{0} D_{*}+D_{*} \Gamma_{*}^{0} D_{S^{*}}^{2} \Gamma_{*}^{0} D_{*} \leqq \\
\leqq \stackrel{\circ}{T}(I-\Gamma) \stackrel{\circ}{T}^{*}+D_{*} \Gamma_{*}^{\prime} D_{*}+D_{*} P_{*} S S^{*} \Gamma_{*}^{0} D_{*}+D_{*} \Gamma_{*}^{0} D_{S^{*}}^{0} \Gamma_{*}^{0} D_{*}= \\
=\stackrel{\circ}{T}(I-\Gamma) \stackrel{\circ}{T}^{*}+D_{*} \Gamma_{*}^{\prime} D_{*}+D_{*} \Gamma_{*}^{0} D_{*} \leqq \circ \text { } \stackrel{\circ}{T}^{*}+D_{*}^{2}=I, \\
\tau_{12}=-D_{*} P_{*} S D_{S}+D_{*} \Gamma_{*}^{0} D_{S^{*}} S=D_{*} P_{*}\left(-S D_{S}+D_{s^{*}} S\right)=0, \\
\tau_{22}=D_{S}^{2}+S^{*} S=I .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, $\tilde{T}$ is a c.e. of $T$. Next we have to calculate the generalized resolvent of $T$, generated by $\tilde{T}$. In order to do this we observe the following proposition, whose simple proof will be left to the reader.
(ii) If the c.e. $\tilde{T}$ of $T$, acting in $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}=\mathfrak{S} \oplus \mathfrak{S}_{1}$ has the matrix form

$$
\tilde{T}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\hat{T} & C \\
B & A
\end{array}\right)
$$

then we have

$$
\left.\widetilde{P}(z \hat{T}-I)^{-1}\right|_{\mathfrak{5}}=\left(z \hat{T}-I-z^{2} C(z A-I)^{-1} B\right)^{-1}
$$

We apply this proposition to the operator $\tilde{T}$ in (8). With respect to the decomposition $\mathfrak{G} \oplus \mathfrak{S}_{1}$ of initial and range space $\tilde{T}$ can be written as

$$
\tilde{T}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\stackrel{\circ}{T}+D_{*} \Gamma_{*}^{\prime} G^{\prime} \Gamma^{\prime}-D_{*} P_{*} S \Gamma^{0} & D_{*} \Gamma_{*}^{0} D_{S^{\bullet}} \\
D_{S} \Gamma^{0} & S^{*}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and we get for the corresponding generalized resolvent

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{z} & =\left(z \stackrel{\circ}{T}-I+z D_{*} \Gamma_{*}^{\prime} G^{\prime} \Gamma^{\prime}-z D_{*} P_{*} S \Gamma^{0}-z^{2} D_{*} \Gamma_{*}^{0} D_{S^{*}}\left(z S^{*}-I\right)^{-1} D_{S} \Gamma^{0}\right)^{-1}=  \tag{9}\\
& =\left(z T+I+z D_{*}\left(\Gamma_{*}^{\prime} G^{\prime} \Gamma^{\prime}-P_{*} S \Gamma^{0}-z \Gamma_{*}^{0} D_{S^{*}}\left(z S^{*}-I\right)^{-1} D_{S^{*}} \Gamma^{0}\right)^{-1}=\right. \\
& =\left(z \stackrel{\circ}{T}-I+z D_{*}\left(\Gamma_{*}^{\prime} G^{\prime} \Gamma^{\prime}+\Gamma_{*}^{0} G^{0}(z) \Gamma^{0}\right)\right)^{-1}=\left(z T^{\circ}-I+z D_{*} G(z) \Gamma\right)^{-1}= \\
& =\stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z}\left(I+z D_{*} G(z) \Gamma \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z}^{\circ}\right)^{-1}=\stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z}-z \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z} D_{*} G(z)(I-\Gamma X(z) G(z))^{-1} \Gamma \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{z} .
\end{align*}
$$

The last equality follows easily if one observes the relation (4) and

$$
\Gamma X(x) G(z)=-z \Gamma D \AA_{2} D_{*} G(z)
$$

As the formula (2) can be written as

$$
R_{z}=\left(z \stackrel{\circ}{T}-I+z D_{*} G(z) \Gamma\right)^{-1}
$$

(see (9)), the correspondence between the generalized resolvents $R_{z}$ of $T$ and the functions $G \in \mathscr{K}$ is bijective.
d) It remains to prove the last statement of the theorem. If $G_{0} \in \mathscr{K}_{0}$ is given we consider the operator $\tilde{T}$ :

$$
\tilde{T x}:= \begin{cases}T x & x \in \mathfrak{D}(T)  \tag{10}\\ D_{*} G_{0} x & x \in \mathfrak{D}(T)^{\perp}\end{cases}
$$

Then $\tilde{T}$ is an extension of $T$ and, moreover, we have

$$
\tilde{T} \tilde{T}^{*}=\stackrel{\circ}{T} \Gamma \stackrel{\circ}{T}^{*}+D_{*} G_{0} G_{0}^{*} D \leqq \stackrel{\circ}{T} \stackrel{\circ}{T}^{*}+D_{*}^{2}=I
$$

hence $\tilde{T}$ is a c.e. of $T$. With the notation of part a) of the proof we find

$$
F=D_{*} G_{0}, \quad F_{1}=G_{0}^{*} \quad \text { and } \quad G=G_{0} .
$$

That is, the generalized resolvent of $T$, generated by $\tilde{T}$ from (10), is given by (2) with $G=G_{0}$. The theorem is proved.

Remark 1. In the case of an isometric operator $T$, the unitary extension $\tilde{T}$, generating a given generalized resolvent of $T$, is uniquely determined (up to isomorphisms) if some minimality condition is imposed on $\tilde{T}$. This is not true in the situation considered here. E.g., if $G \in \mathscr{K}_{0}$ and $G$ is not a unitary constant, in the proof of the Theorem (parts c ) and d)) two different extensions of $T$, which generate the same generalized resolvent, have been given.

Remark 2. With the notation in the proof of the theorem, the operator

$$
T^{\prime}:=\left.\left(\stackrel{\circ}{T}+D_{*} G^{\prime} \Gamma^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\mathfrak{D}(r) \oplus \mathbb{D}}
$$

is a contraction in $\mathfrak{G}$ which extends $T$. Evidently, the operator $\tilde{T}$ in (8) is a c.e. of $T^{\prime}$. Thus the generalized resolvent $R_{z}$ of $T$ in (9) is also a generalized resolvent of $T^{\prime}$. That is, there exists a function $H \in \mathscr{K}\left(\mathfrak{D}^{0}, \mathscr{D}_{1, *}\right)$, such that we have

$$
R_{z}=\stackrel{\circ}{R}_{1, z}-z \dot{R}_{1, z} D_{1, *} H(z)\left(I-X_{1}(z) H(z)\right)^{-1} \Gamma^{0} R_{1, z}^{\circ}
$$

$\left(\stackrel{\circ}{R}_{1, z}:=\left(z \stackrel{\circ}{T}^{\prime}-I\right)^{-1}, D_{1, *}:=\left(I-\stackrel{\circ}{T}^{\prime}\left(\stackrel{\circ}{T}^{\prime}\right)^{*}\right)^{1 / 2}, \mathscr{D}_{1, *}:=\overline{\mathscr{R}\left(D_{1, *}\right)}\right.$ and $X_{1}$ is the corresponding characteristic function). It is not hard to see that the functions $G^{0}$ and $H$ are connected by the relation

$$
D_{1, *} H(z)=D_{*} G^{0}(z) \quad(|z|<1)
$$

We mention that the construction of the operator $\tilde{T}$ in part c ) of the proof can also be used if $G$ decomposes as

$$
G(z)=G_{1} \oplus G_{2}(z) \quad(|z|<1)
$$

where $G_{1} \in \mathscr{K}_{0}\left(\mathcal{D}_{1}, \mathscr{D}_{*, 1}\right), G_{2} \in \mathscr{K}\left(\mathfrak{D}_{2}, \mathscr{D}_{*, 2}\right)\left(\mathcal{D}(T)^{\perp}=\mathcal{D}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{2}, \mathscr{D}=\mathscr{D}_{*, 1} \oplus \mathscr{D}_{*, 2}\right)$ and $G_{2}$ is purely contractive. Then the above remark holds also true for the operator

$$
T_{1}:=\left.\left(\stackrel{\circ}{T}+D_{*} G_{1} \Gamma_{1}\right)\right|_{\mathfrak{D}(T) \oplus \mathcal{D}_{1}}
$$

( $\Gamma_{1}$ orthogonal projector onto $\mathfrak{D}_{1}$ ) instead of $T^{\prime}$.
Remark 3. If $\tilde{T}$ is a noncanonical c.e. of $T$ in some Hilbert space $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}} \supset \mathfrak{H}$, and if

$$
\tilde{T}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\hat{T} & C \\
B & A
\end{array}\right)
$$

with respect to the decomposition $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}=\mathfrak{G} \oplus \mathscr{Q}$, then the operator function $T_{z}$ in (6) can be written as

$$
T_{z}=\hat{T}+z C(I-z A)^{-1} B
$$

Hence $T_{1 / z}$ is the transfer function of the node $(A, B, C, \hat{T}, \mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{G})$ in the sense of [7].

An analogue of the theorem above can be formulated for a dissipative operator. In this form it has applications to the spectral theory of canonical differential operators, which will be considered elsewhere.

Added in proof. An extension of the Theorem to dual pairs of contractions will appear in: Proceedings of the $6^{\text {th }}$ Conference on Operator Theory in Timisoara and Herculane, 1981, Birkhäuser (Basel-Boston-Stuttgart, 1982).

## References

[1] M. G. Krein and H. Langer, Über die verallgemeinerten Resolventen und die charakteristische Funktion eines isometrischen Operators im Raume $\Pi_{\mathbf{k}}$, Colloquia Mathematica Societatis János Bolyai, 5: Hilbert space operators and operator algebras (Tihany 1970), North Holland (Amsterdam-London, 1972), 353-399.
[2] H. Langer, Generalized coresolvents of a $\pi$-isometric operator with unequal defect numbers, Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen., 5; 4 (1971), 73-75 [Russian; English translation in Functional Anal. Appl., 5 (1971/72), 329-331].
[3] H. Langer and P. Sorjonen, Verallgemeinerte Resolventen Hermitescher und isometrischer Operatoren im Pontrjaginraum, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Ser. A I Math., 561 (1974), 3-45.
[4] M. G. Krein, On the resolvents of an hermitian operator with defect index (m, m), Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 52, no. 8 (1946), 657-660. [Russian]
[5] N. I. Ahiezer and I. M. Glazman, The theory of linear operators in Hilbert space, Ungar (New York, 1961).
[6] B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş, Harmonic analysis of operators in Hilbert space, North Holland (Amsterdam-London, 1970).
[7] H. Bart, I. Gohberg and M. A. KaAshoek, Minimal factorization of matrix and operator functions, Birkhäuser (Basel-Boston-Stuttgart, 1979).

MOMMSENSTRASSE 13
DDR-8027 DRESDEN


[^0]:    Received October 20, 1980.
    ${ }^{*}$ In these papers the more general case of an isometric operator in a $\pi_{\kappa}$-space (Pontrjagin space with index $x$ ) has been considered.

