

## Mean ergodicity in $G$ -semifinite von Neumann algebras

SÁNDOR KOMLÓSI

**Introduction.** Let  $A$  be a von Neumann algebra in a complex Hilbert space  $H$ , and let  $G$  be a semigroup of normal endomorphisms of  $A$ . Denote by  $A^G$  the set of all elements of  $A$  which are invariant with respect to each element of  $G$ . If the identity  $I$  belongs to  $A^G$ , then  $A^G$  is a von Neumann algebra too, but if this isn't so, then  $A^G$  is 'only' an ultraweakly closed involutive subalgebra of  $A$ , and hence there exists a largest projection  $P \neq I$  in  $A$  such that for every element  $T$  of  $A$  one has  $PT=TP=T$  ([7], Chap. I. § 3, Théorème 2.).

Let  $Q$  denote the set of positive, normal, linear mappings of  $A$  into itself obtained from the elements of  $G$  by forming convex combinations. The operators in  $A$  of the form  $V(T)$ , where  $V \in Q$  and  $T \in A$  are called the means of the operator  $T$ . For any  $T \in A$  let  $K_0(T, G)$  denote the set of all means of  $T$ . The investigation of the 'behaviour' of the means is one of the subjects of mean ergodic theory ([9], Kap. 1, § 2.). Concerning von Neumann algebras we refer only to the classical results of J. DIXMIER ([6]) and the paper of I. KOVÁCS and J. SZÚCS ([10]).

The purpose of this paper is to investigate a special class of von Neumann algebras.

§ 1 contains preliminary results without their proofs.

In § 2 we define the notion of 'weak ergodicity in means' to express a 'good behaviour' of the means of an operator. This section is devoted to establishing the simplest consequences of this definition.

Let  $K(T, G)$  be the weak closure of  $K_0(T, G)$ . In § 3 we shall give sufficient conditions for  $T$  in order that  $K(T, G) \cap A^G$  be nonempty (Theorem 3.1.), and that  $K(T, G) \cap A^G$  consist of exactly one operator.

**1. Definitions and preliminaries.** Let us consider a pair  $(A, G)$  of a von Neumann algebra  $A$  and a semigroup  $G$  of normal endomorphisms of  $A$ . We shall denote by  $A^+$  the positive portion of  $A$ .

A non-negative, finite or infinite valued function  $\varphi$  defined on  $A^+$  is called a weight on  $A^+$ , if it has the following properties:

- (i)  $\varphi(T+S) = \varphi(T) + \varphi(S)$  for every  $T, S \in A^+$ ; and
  - (ii)  $\varphi(cT) = c\varphi(T)$  for every  $c \geq 0$  and  $T \in A^+$ ,
- (with the convention that  $0 \cdot \infty = 0$ ).

We call  $\varphi$   $G$ -invariant if for every  $T \in A^+$  and  $g \in G$  we have  $\varphi(T) = \varphi(g(T))$ .

The notion of a  $G$ -invariant weight is a very natural generalization of that of a trace.

A weight  $\varphi$  on  $A^+$  is said to be faithful if the conditions  $T \in A^+$  and  $\varphi(T) = 0$  imply  $T = 0$ ; normal if, for every increasing directed set  $\mathcal{F} \subset A^+$  with  $\sup_{S \in \mathcal{F}} S = T \in A^+$ , we have  $\varphi(T) = \sup_{S \in \mathcal{F}} \varphi(S)$ ; semi-finite if, for every  $T \in A^+$ ,  $T \neq 0$  there exists  $S \in A^+$ ,  $S \neq 0$  such that  $S \leq T$  and  $\varphi(S) < \infty$ .

A weight  $\varphi$  on  $A^+$  is said to be non-infinite if there exists  $S \in A^+$ ,  $S \neq 0$  such that  $\varphi(S) < \infty$ .

For later purposes we state an important fact concerning weights.

**Proposition 1.1.** ([8], Lemma 1.5) *For any weight  $\varphi$  on  $A^+$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\varphi$  is normal,
- (ii)  $\varphi$  is ultraweakly lower semicontinuous,
- (iii) there exists a family of vectors  $\{x_i\}$  in  $H$  such that

$$\varphi(T) = \sum_i (Tx_i, x_i) \text{ for every } T \in A^+.$$

Now we shall define special subspaces of  $A$ . Denote by  $\Gamma$  the set of normal faithful  $G$ -invariant non-infinite and non-zero weights defined on  $A^+$ .

**Definition 1.1.** A projection  $E \in A$  is called *finite*, if there is a  $\varphi \in \Gamma$  such that  $\varphi(E) < \infty$ . An operator in  $A$  is called *simple*, if it is a linear combination of finite projections. Denote the set of simple operators by  $M_0$ .

Let  $\varphi \in \Gamma$  and let  $M_\varphi^+ = \{T \in A^+ \mid \varphi(T) < \infty\}$ . Denote by  $M$  the smallest norm closed subspace of  $A$  that contains  $M_\varphi^+$  for every  $\varphi \in \Gamma$ . Since  $\varphi$  defines a linear form  $\hat{\varphi}$  on the linear span of  $M_\varphi^+$ , it is not hard to see that the norm closure of  $M_0$  is identical with  $M$ .

Let  $N_\varphi = \{T \in A \mid \varphi(T^*T) < \infty\}$ .  $N_\varphi$  is a left ideal in  $A$ . Denote by  $N$  the norm closed linear hull of all  $N_\varphi$ . It is obvious that  $M_0 \subseteq N$  and hence  $M \subseteq N$ .

Definition 1.2. A pair  $(A, G)$  is said to have *property  $\Pi$*  if for every proper projection  $P \in A$  such that  $g(P) \cong P$  for every  $g \in G$ , we have that  $P \in A^G$ .

We classify the pairs  $(A, G)$  by their weights.

Definition 1.3. A pair  $(A, G)$  is called *finite* (resp. *semifinite*) if for every  $T \in A^+$ ,  $T \neq 0$  we can find a normal  $G$ -invariant finite (resp. semifinite) weight  $\varphi$  such that  $\varphi(T) \neq 0$ .

To facilitate the statement of the next proposition it will be convenient to introduce the following notations.

Definition 1.4. Let  $E$  be a projection in  $A^G$ . Let us consider the restricted von Neumann algebra  $A_E$ . Since  $E \in A^G$ , every element  $g$  of  $G$  induces a normal endomorphism  $g_E$  on  $A_E$ . These restricted endomorphisms form a semigroup. Let us denote this semigroup by  $G_E$ . The pair  $(A_E, G_E)$  is called a *restriction* of  $(A, G)$ .

Proposition 1.2. ([5], Theorem 1) *If a pair  $(A, G)$  has property  $\Pi$ , then there exists a maximal projection  $E$  in  $A^G$  such that the restricted pair  $(A_E, G_E)$  is finite.*

For finite pairs the following theorem will play an important role in proving Theorem 3.3.

Theorem. (I. Kovács—J. Szűcs ([10])) *Let the pair  $(A, G)$  be finite. For every  $T \in A$  the convex set  $K(T, G) \cap A^G$  contains exactly one element.*

In the following paragraphs we shall deal with pairs  $(A, G)$  for which the set  $\Gamma$  is non-empty. This requirement is fulfilled for example in the classical case, when the group  $\mathfrak{h}$  of inner automorphisms of  $A$  plays the role of  $G$ , and  $A$  is semifinite. We do not know if this is the case in general for semifinite pairs, but we can state the following:

Proposition 1.3. *If a semifinite pair  $(A, G)$  has property  $\Pi$  and  $\mathfrak{h} \subset G$ , then there exists a normal faithful  $G$ -invariant and semifinite weight on  $A^+$ .*

Property  $\Pi$  ensures that the support of any  $G$ -invariant weight defined on  $A^+$  does belong to  $A^G$ . It follows from the condition  $\mathfrak{h} \subset G$  that  $A^G$  is part of the center of  $A$  and hence DIXMIER's reasoning ([7], Chap. 1, § 6, Proposition 9.) can be repeated essentially word by word.

The terms and symbols introduced here will be used in what follows without further reference.

2. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an ultrafilter in  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{F}(T)$  the image of  $\mathcal{F}$  which is ultrafilter, too. Since the unit ball of  $A$  is weakly compact,  $K(T, G)$  is weakly compact, too, for every  $T \in A$ , and so the ultrafilter  $\mathcal{F}(T)$  of the means of  $T$  converges weakly to an element  $S$  of  $K(T, G)$ . Let this fact be expressed by the symbol  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} V(T) = S$ .

Now we define two notions to express 'good behaviour' of the means of an operator.

**Definition 2.1.** Let the operator  $T \in A$  be called *weakly quasi-ergodic* if it has the following properties:

(Li)  $K(T, G) \cap A^G$  is non-empty

(Lii) for each  $R \in K(T, G)$  the set  $K(R, G) \cap A^G$  is non-empty.

Denote by  $L$  the subset of weakly quasi-ergodic elements of  $A$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let the operator  $T \in A$  be called *weakly ergodic* if it has the following properties:

(Ei)  $K(T, G) \cap A^G$  consists of exactly one element,

(Eii) for each  $R \in K(T, G)$  the set  $K(R, G) \cap A^G$  consists of exactly one element.

Denote by  $E$  the subset of weakly ergodic elements of  $A$ . It is obvious that  $A^G \subset E \subset L$ .

**Proposition 2.1.**  $L$  is a norm closed,  $G$ -invariant subspace of  $A$ .

**Proof.** The  $G$ -invariance and the homogeneity of  $L$  are rather obvious. First we prove the additivity of  $L$ . Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be arbitrary elements of  $L$ . We shall show that the operator  $T = T_1 + T_2$  belongs to  $L$ . By assumption there is an operator  $S_1$  such that  $S_1 \in K(T_1, G) \cap A^G$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_1$  be an ultrafilter in  $Q$  such that  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}_1} V(T_1) = S_1$ . The limits  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}_1} V(T) = S_0$  and  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}_1} V(T_2) = R_2$  exist,  $S_0 \in K(T, G)$  and  $R_2 \in K(T_2, G)$ . By condition (Lii) there exists an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{F}_2$  in  $Q$  such that  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}_2} V(R_2) = R \in K(R_2, G) \cap A^G$ . It follows taking account of the facts that  $S_0 = S_1 + R_2$  and  $K(S_0, G) \subset K(T, G)$  that  $S = \lim_{\mathcal{F}_2} V(S_0) = S_1 + R \in K(T, G) \cap A^G$ .

Now let us consider an arbitrary element  $Y$  of  $K(T, G)$ . Then we can find an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $Q$  such that  $Y = \lim_{\mathcal{F}} V(T)$ . The limits  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} V(T_1) = Y_1$  and  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} V(T_2) = Y_2$  exist, and both belong to  $L$ . Since  $Y = Y_1 + Y_2$ , then using the previous result it is obvious that  $K(Y, G) \cap A^G$  is non-empty, so we have finished proving that  $T \in L$ .

Now we are going to show that  $L$  is norm closed. Let the sequence  $\{T_n\}$  of operators converge to the operator  $T$  uniformly. Let us suppose that for each  $n$ ,  $T_n \in L$ . Passing, if necessary, to a subsequence, we can assume without loss of generality that  $\|T_{n+1} - T_n\| < 1/2^{n+1}$  for each  $n$ .

Using the technique of the previous part of the present proof we can construct a sequence  $\{S_n\}$  recursively in the following way:

$$S_n \in K(T_n, G) \cap A^G \quad \text{and} \quad S_{n+1} - S_n \in K(T_{n+1} - T_n, G)$$

for each  $n$ . It is an obvious consequence of these facts that the sequence  $\{S_n\}$  converges in norm, and the limit  $S$  of it belongs to  $A^G$ .

Now we prove that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for any finite system of vectors  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k; y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k$  of  $H$  we can find an operator  $R \in K_0(T, G)$  such that

$$(*) \quad |((S-R)x_i, y_i)| < \varepsilon \text{ for each } i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

Let us choose a sufficiently large index  $p$ , for which  $\|S - S_p\|$  and  $\|T - T_p\|$  are both sufficiently small. Since  $S_p \in K(T_p, G)$ , there exists a  $V_0 \in Q$  such that  $|((S_p - V_0(T_p))x_i, y_i)|$  is sufficiently small for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Let  $R = V_0(T)$ . This operator satisfies  $(*)$ , and this means that  $S \in K(T, G) \cap A^G$ .

Now let us consider an arbitrary element  $Y$  of  $K(T, G)$ . We can find an ultra-filter  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $Q$  such that  $Y = \lim_{\mathcal{F}} V(T)$ . Let us set  $Y_n = \lim_{\mathcal{F}} V(T_n)$ . It is clear that  $Y_n \in L$  for every  $n$ , and that the sequence  $\{Y_n\}$  converges in norm to  $Y$ . Applying the preceding part to the sequence  $\{Y_n\}$ , we get that  $K(Y, G) \cap A^G$  is non-empty.

The next proposition might bear the name ‘The Theorem of Linear Choice’.

**Proposition 2.2.** *For every  $T_0 \in L$  and  $S_0 \in K(T_0, G) \cap A^G$  we can find a positive linear mapping  $\tau$  of  $L$  onto  $A^G$  which possesses the following properties:*

- (i)  $\tau(T) \in K(T, G)$  for each  $T \in L$ ,
- (ii)  $\tau(TS) = \tau(T)S$  and  $\tau(ST) = S\tau(T)$  for every  $T \in L$  and  $S \in A^G$ ,
- (iii)  $\tau(T_0) = S_0$ .

We omit the proof. It can be done by J. T. SCHWARTZ’s method developed in ([11], Lemma 5).

**Proposition 2.3.** *The weakly ergodic elements of  $A$  form a norm closed,  $G$ -invariant subspace  $E$  of  $A$ . Denote by  $\tau_0(T)$  the single element of  $K(T, G) \cap A^G$  for every  $T \in E$ . The mapping  $\tau_0$  is positive linear and has the property that*

$$\tau_0(TS) = \tau_0(T)S \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_0(ST) = S\tau_0(T) \quad \text{for every } T \in E \text{ and } S \in A^G.$$

**Proof.** The  $G$ -invariance of  $E$  is based upon the fact that for every  $T \in A$  the elements of  $G$  map  $K(T, G)$  into itself.

Denote by  $A$  the family of those linear mappings  $\tau$  of  $L$  onto  $A^G$  which have properties (i) and (ii) of Proposition 2.2. Let  $\tau$  and  $\psi$  be two arbitrary elements of  $A$ . Let us define the following subset

$$L_{\tau, \psi} = \{T \in L \mid \tau(T) = \psi(T)\}.$$

Taking into account the fact that every element of  $A$  is norm-continuous and linear it follows that  $L_{\tau, \psi}$  is a norm closed subspace of  $A$ . Denote by  $L_0$  the intersection of all such  $L_{\tau, \psi}$  subspaces. It is obvious that  $L_0$  is a norm closed subspace of  $A$  and by Proposition 2.2 it is identical with  $E$ .

If we restrict any  $\tau$  occurring in Proposition 2.2 to  $E$ , then we get the mapping  $\tau_0$  with the desired properties.

3. In this section we shall investigate pairs  $(A, G)$  for which  $\Gamma$  is non-empty and hence the subspaces  $M$  and  $N$  defined in Definition 1.1. are different from the trivial subspace  $\{0\}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *If for a pair  $(A, G)$  the set  $\Gamma$  is non-empty then all elements of the subspace  $N$  are weakly quasi-ergodic.*

**Proof.** By virtue of Proposition 2.1 it is enough to prove that for every  $\varphi \in \Gamma$   $N_\varphi \subset L$ . Proving this we follow S. M. ABDALLA ([1], Chap. 3, Theorem 3.4). For our purposes it is sufficient to show that for every  $T \in N_\varphi$

(i)  $K(T, G) \subset N_\varphi$  and (ii)  $K(T, G) \cap A^G$  is non-empty.

Let  $T \in N_\varphi$  and  $R \in K(T, G)$ . We can find a filter  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $\mathcal{Q}$  such that  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} V(T) = R$  in the strong operator topology. As  $K(T, G)$  is bounded, we have  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} (V(T)^* V(T)) = R^* R$  in the weak operator topology. On the other hand, if  $V \in \mathcal{Q}$  and  $V = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i g_i$  ( $\alpha_i > 0$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$ ,  $g_i \in G$ ), then we have by Schwarz's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(V(T)^* V(T)) &= \varphi \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i g_i(T)^* \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j g_j(T) \right) \right) = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \varphi(g_i(T)^* g_j(T)) \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \varphi(g_i(T)^* g_i(T))^{1/2} \cdot \varphi(g_j(T)^* g_j(T))^{1/2} = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \varphi(T^* T) = \varphi(T^* T). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varphi$  is normal, it is ultraweakly lower semicontinuous and so it is weakly lower semicontinuous on any bounded part of  $A^+$ , thus  $\varphi(R^* R) \leq \varphi(T^* T)$ . This proves (i).

Since  $\varphi$  is normal it can be represented in the following form:  $\varphi(T) = \sum_i (Tx_i, x_i)$  for every  $T \in A^+$ , where the  $x_i$ 's are suitable vectors from  $H$ . It follows that the function  $S \rightarrow \varphi(S^* S)$  is weakly lower semicontinuous on any bounded part of  $A$  and thus it attains its minimum on the weakly compact bounded set  $K(T, G)$ . Taking into account the fact that  $\varphi$  is faithful it follows that the function  $S \rightarrow (\varphi(S^* S))^{1/2} = \|S\|_2$  is a pre-Hilbert norm on  $N_\varphi$ , therefore the minimum is attained only at one point. Denote by  $T_0$  this element. It is not hard to see that for every element  $g$  of  $G$   $g(T_0) \in K(T, G)$ . On the other hand, it is evident that  $\varphi(T_0^* T_0) = \varphi(g(T_0)^* g(T_0))$  and this implies that  $g(T_0) = T_0$ . This means that  $T_0 \in A^G$  and proves (ii).

The next theorem is a generalisation of J. B. CONWAY's result ([4], Lemma 6).

**Theorem 3.2.** *If for a pair  $(A, G)$  the set  $\Gamma$  is non-empty and  $A^G$  does not contain any finite projection except 0, then for every  $T \in M$ ,  $K(T, G) \cap A^G = \{0\}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $P$  be a finite projection in  $A$ . Then we can find a  $\varphi \in \Gamma$  such that  $\varphi(P) < \infty$ . By Theorem 3.1 it follows that  $K(P, G) \cap A^G$  is non-empty. Denote

by  $S$  an arbitrary element of this set. Since  $\varphi$  is weakly lower semicontinuous on  $K(P, G)$  and finite constant on  $K_0(P, G)$ , the values of  $\varphi$  are finite on  $K(P, G)$ , thus  $\varphi(S) < \infty$ . On the other hand,  $P \in A^+$ , hence  $S \in A^+$ .

Let  $S = \int \lambda dE_\lambda$  be the spectral decomposition of  $S$ , where  $E_\lambda$  is right-continuous. Let  $\mu > \lambda$  be arbitrary positive reals. It is clear that  $E_\mu - E_\lambda$  belongs to  $A^G$  and that  $\lambda(E_\mu - E_\lambda) \in S$ . It follows that  $\lambda \cdot \varphi(E_\mu - E_\lambda) \leq \varphi(S)$  so the projection  $E_\mu - E_\lambda$  can't be infinite, and therefore  $E_\mu = E_\lambda$ . This proves that  $S = 0$ .

Now let  $T \in M$  be arbitrary. For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can find finite projections  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n$  and complex numbers  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n$  such that  $\|T - \sum_{i=1}^n c_i P_i\| < \varepsilon$ . By Theorem 3.1 it follows that  $K(T, G) \cap A^G$  is non-empty. Denote by  $S$  an arbitrary element of this set. By Proposition 2.2 there exists a positive linear mapping  $\tau$  of  $L$  onto  $A^G$  such that for every  $R \in L$ ,  $\tau(R) \in K(R, G) \cap A^G$  and  $\tau(T) = S$ . Since  $\|\tau\| \leq 1$ , we have  $\|\tau(T) - \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \tau(P_i)\| < \varepsilon$ . By the preceding part of the present proof we have  $\tau(P_i) = 0$  for all indices  $i$ , hence  $\|\tau(T)\| < \varepsilon$ . This proves that  $\tau(T) = S = 0$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let the pair  $(A, G)$  possess property  $\Pi$ . Let us suppose that  $\Gamma$  is non-empty and that  $\mathfrak{h} \subset G$ . In this case for every  $T \in M$ ,  $K(T, G) \cap A^G$  consists of a single element. In other words,  $M \subset E$ .*

**Proof.** Denote the largest projection of  $A^G$  by  $P$ . If  $P = 0$  then the statement of the theorem is trivial. If  $P \neq 0$ , then necessarily  $P = I$ . Indeed, if we set  $R = I - P$  then we have  $g(R)g(P) = 0$  and  $g(P) = P$  for every  $g \in G$  and thus  $g(R) \leq R$  for every  $g \in G$ . It follows from property  $\Pi$  that  $R \in A^G$ , and, consequently,  $I = P + R \in A^G$ .

In virtue of Proposition 2.3 and Theorem 3.1 it is sufficient to show that for every  $\varphi \in \Gamma$  and  $T \in M_\varphi^+$  the set  $K(T, G) \cap A^G$  contains exactly one element.

Denote by  $Y$  the maximal projection of  $A^G$  for which the restriction  $(A_Y, G_Y)$  of  $(A, G)$  is finite (Proposition 1.2.). Let  $Z = I - Y$ . Taking into account that  $\mathfrak{h} \subset G$  the projections  $Y$  and  $Z$  belong to the center of  $A$ . It follows immediately from this that for every  $S \in A$  the operator  $S$  is uniquely determined by its 'parts'  $S_Y$  and  $S_Z$ .

By Theorem 3.1  $K(T, G) \cap A^G$  is non-empty. Denote by  $R$  and  $S$  two elements of it. Using the facts that

$$(1) \quad (K(T, G) \cap A^G)_Y \subseteq K(T_Y, G_Y) \cap A^{G_Y} \quad \text{and}$$

$$(2) \quad (K(T, G) \cap A^G)_Z \subseteq K(T_Z, G_Z) \cap A^{G_Z}$$

the restricted operators  $R_Y$  and  $S_Y$  belong to the set (1) and the restricted operators  $R_Z$  and  $S_Z$  belong to the set (2). By the theorem of I. Kovács—J. Szűcs the set (1)

consists of a single element, so  $R_Y = S_Y$ . By Theorem 3.2 it follows that  $R_Z = S_Z = 0$ . This means that  $R = S$ , and thus the set  $K(T, G) \cap A^G$  has only one element.

*Acknowledgement.* I am indebted to Prof. J. Szűcs for his continued interest in my work and for several valuable suggestions.

### References

- [1] S. M. ABDALLA, *Invariant positive maps on von Neumann algebras* Dissertation for Candidate's Degree, Bolyai Institute (Szeged, 1974).
- [2] L. ALAOGU—G. BIRKHOFF, General ergodic theorems, *Ann. Math.*, **41** (1940), 293—309.
- [3] N. BOURBAKI, *Topologie générale*, Chap. I. *Structures topologiques*, (Paris, 1949).
- [4] J. B. CONWAY, The numerical range and a certain convex set in an infinite factor, *J. Functional Analysis*, **15** (1970), 428—435.
- [5] DANG-NGOC-NGHIEM, Sur la classification des systèmes dynamiques non commutatifs, *J. Functional Analysis*, **15** (1974), 188—201.
- [6] J. DIXMIER, Les anneaux d'opérateurs de classe finie, *Ann. Éc. Norm. Sup.*, **66** (1949), 209—261.
- [7] J. DIXMIER, *Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace hilbertien*, Gauthier—Villars (Paris, 1969).
- [8] U. HAAGERUP, Normal weights on  $W^*$ -algebras, *J. Functional Analysis*, **19** (1975), 302—317.
- [9] K. JACOBS, *Neuere Methoden und Ergebnisse der Ergodentheorie*, Springer-Verlag (Berlin—Göttingen—Heidelberg, 1960).
- [10] I. KOVÁCS—J. SZÚCS, Ergodic type theorems in von Neumann algebras, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **27** (1966), 233—246.
- [11] J. T. SCHWARTZ, Two finite, non-hyperfinite, non-isomorphic factors, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **16** (1963), 19—26.

FACULTY OF ECONOMICS  
UNIVERSITY OF PÉCS  
7601 PÉCS, PF. 219.  
HUNGARY