

Derivations and translations on l-semigroups

H. MITSCH

Introduction. Functions of lattices into themselves have been studied in [4], [7], [9], [10]. With respect to pointwise intersection \wedge and union \vee and to composition of functions \circ the set $(F(L), \wedge, \vee, \circ)$ of all transformations of a lattice (L, \wedge, \vee) forms a “right-lattice ordered semigroup” (rl-semigroup; see [3], [4]). This means a set S with three binary operations \wedge, \vee and \cdot , such that (S, \cdot) is a semigroup, (S, \wedge, \vee) is a lattice and

$$(x \vee y)z = (xz) \vee (yz), \quad (x \wedge y)z = (xz) \wedge (yz) \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in S.$$

Note that with respect to the order-relation induced by the lattice-operations multiplication satisfies: $x \leq y \Rightarrow xz \leq yz$ for each $z \in S$.

Recently SZÁSZ [9], [10] started the investigation of special functions on lattices (L, \wedge, \vee) , so-called “derivations”, motivated by the formal rules of derivations in rings, i.e. transformations φ of L which satisfy

$$\varphi(x \vee y) = \varphi(x) \vee \varphi(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(x \wedge y) = [\varphi(x) \wedge y] \vee [x \wedge \varphi(y)] \quad \text{for all } x, y \in L.$$

Since in $F(L)$ also the composition of functions is defined, it is natural to consider transformations of the rl-semigroup $(F(L), \wedge, \vee, \circ)$ which satisfy also a formal chain rule:

$$\varphi(f \circ g) = [\varphi(f) \circ g] \wedge \varphi(g) \quad \text{for all } f, g \in F(L).$$

For rings with a third operation \circ , so-called *composition-rings*, such derivations with chain-rule have been studied — especially for polynomial-rings — in [6], [8].

In the following we suppose S to be a right-lattice ordered semigroup and investigate transformations φ of (S, \wedge, \vee, \cdot) — so-called *C-derivations* — which have the following properties:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{I. } \varphi(x \vee y) = \varphi(x) \vee \varphi(y) \\ \text{II. } \varphi(x \wedge y) = [\varphi(x) \wedge y] \vee [x \wedge \varphi(y)] \\ \text{III. } \varphi(xy) = [\varphi(x)y] \wedge \varphi(y) \end{array} \right\} \quad \text{for all } x, y \in S.$$

Standard examples for S will be the rl-semigroups $(F(L), \wedge, \vee, \circ)$ of all transformations of a lattice L , $(L[x], \wedge, \vee, \circ)$ of all polynomials on L in the indeterminate x and $(P(L), \wedge, \vee, \circ)$ of all polynomial-functions on L (see [3]).

We shall use also the concept of *lattice ordered semigroup* (l-semigroup), which is defined as an rl-semigroup (S, \wedge, \vee, \cdot) satisfying also the two left-distributive laws:

$$x(y \vee z) = (xy) \vee (xz) \quad \text{and} \quad x(y \wedge z) = (xy) \wedge (xz) \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in S.$$

Note that now multiplication also satisfies: $x \leq y \Rightarrow zx \leq zy$ for each $z \in S$; for the general theory see [2].

1. Reduction to translations

The main purpose of this section is to show, that every C -derivation φ on an rl-semigroup S is a special meet-translation [9], i.e. $\varphi(x) = x \wedge a$ for all $x \in S$, $a \in S$ fixed, if the lattice (S, \wedge, \vee) has a greatest element or if the semigroup (S, \cdot) has an identity.

Properties. Let φ be a C -derivation on S ; then

- 0) $\varphi(x \wedge y) = \varphi(x) \wedge \varphi(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$; $\varphi(x) \leq x$ for all $x \in S$ ([10]).
- 1) If S has a least element o , then $\varphi(o) = o$ (by II).
- 2) If c is a left-zero of (S, \cdot) with least element o , then $\varphi(c) = o$ ($\varphi(c) = \varphi(co) = \varphi(c)o \wedge \varphi(o) = o$).
- 3) If S does not have a least element but admits a left-zero, then there is no C -derivation on S . ($\varphi(c) = \varphi(cx) = \varphi(c)x \wedge \varphi(x) \leq \varphi(x) \leq x$ for all $x \in S$ by 0): contradiction.)
- 4) If S has a right-identity e , then $\varphi(x) \leq \varphi(e)$ for all $x \in S$. ($\varphi(x) = \varphi(xe) = \varphi(x)e \wedge \varphi(e) \leq \varphi(e)$ for all $x \in S$.)
- 5) If S admits a right-identity e and a least element o , then $\varphi(e) = \varphi(o)$ implies $\varphi(x) = o$ for all $x \in S$.
- 6) If (S, \cdot) is 0-right-simple with o such that $ox = o$ for all $x \in S$, then $\varphi(a) = o$ for an element $a \neq o$ implies $\varphi(x) = o$ for all $x \in S$. (Since $aS = S$, hence for all $x \in S$ there exists a $y \in S$ with $ay = x$; thus $\varphi(x) = \varphi(ay) = \varphi(a)y \wedge \varphi(y) = oy \wedge \varphi(y) = o$ for all $x \in S$.)

Examples. 1) If S admits a least element o , then $\varphi(x) = o$ for all $x \in S$ is a C -derivation, the *trivial* C -derivation.

2) The identity-function $\varphi(x) = x$ for all $x \in S$ is a C -derivation, iff $xy \leq y$ for all $x, y \in S$.

3) The constant function $\varphi(x) = a$ for all $x \in S$, $a \in S$ fixed, is a C -derivation,

iff $a=o$ (if $o \in S$ exists) ($a=\varphi(x \wedge x)=\varphi(x) \wedge x \cong x$ for all x).

4) Concerning meet-translations we know by Corollary 3 of [10]:

Lemma 1.1. *Let S be an rl -semigroup with greatest element i . Then every C -derivation φ on S has the form $\varphi(x)=x \wedge a$ for all $x \in S$ and a suitable element $a \in S$.*

In order to determine the suitable elements $a \in S$ we prove:

Lemma 1.2. *Let S be an rl -semigroup. The function $\varphi(x)=x \wedge a$ for all $x \in S$ is a C -derivation, iff 1) a is a neutral element of (S, \wedge, \vee) and 2) $xy \wedge a \cong ay \wedge y$ for all $x, y \in S$.*

Proof. If $a \in S$ satisfies 1), then $\varphi(x)=x \wedge a$ does I and II of the definition, too. If a also satisfies 2), then $\varphi(xy)=xy \wedge a=(xy \wedge a) \wedge (ay \wedge y)=(x \wedge a)y \wedge (a \wedge y)=\varphi(x)y \wedge \varphi(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$. The converse is clear.

Combining Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2 we get the following

Theorem 1.3. *Let S be an rl -semigroup with greatest element. Then the C -derivations on S are the functions φ of the form $\varphi(x)=x \wedge a$ with a fixed neutral element a of S such that $xy \wedge a \cong ay \wedge y$ for each pair x, y of elements of S .*

Example. 5) Let S be an rl -semigroup with identity e admitting an invertible element $a \neq e$; then $\varphi(x)=x \wedge e$ is not a C -derivation. (If φ is a C -derivation, then by Lemma 1.2: $xy \wedge e \cong y$ for all $x, y \in S$; but since $aa' = a'a = e$ for $a' \in S$, this implies in particular $e \cong a$ and $e \cong a'$; consequently we get $a' \cong aa' = e$, thus $a' = a = e$: contradiction.)

Theorem 1.4. *Let S be an rl -semigroup with greatest element i , such that $ix = i$ for all $x \in S$. Then there is no C -derivation on S except the trivial one (if defined).*

Proof. By Theorem 1.3 every C -derivation on S has the form $\varphi(x)=x \wedge a$ such that $xy \wedge a \cong ay \wedge y$ for all $x, y \in S$. For $x=i$ we get $a \cong ay \wedge y \cong y$ for all $y \in S$. If S has a least element o , then $a=o$ and φ is the trivial C -derivation; if not, then we have a contradiction.

The existence of $i \in S$ ensured that every C -derivation is a special meet-translation. The same is true if an identity exists:

Lemma 1.5. *Let S be an rl -semigroup with right-identity e . Then every C -derivation on S has the form $\varphi(x)=x \wedge a$ for all $x \in S$ with $a=\varphi(e)$.*

Proof. Since $x=x \vee (x \wedge e)$, hence $\varphi(x) \cong x \wedge \varphi(e)$ for all $x \in S$. But $\varphi(x) \cong \varphi(e)$ by 4) and $\varphi(x) \cong x$ for all $x \in S$ by 0); thus $\varphi(x) \cong x \wedge \varphi(e)$ and equality follows.

Corollary. *If e is the identity of S and $\varphi(x)=x \wedge a$ is a C -derivation, then:*

1) $a \cong e$; 2) $a^2 = a$; 3) $xy = y$ for all $y \cong a \cong x \cong e$.

Proof. Since $a = \varphi(e) = e \wedge a$, we have $a \leq e$; thus $a^2 \leq a$, $ay \leq y$ for all $y \in S$. By Lemma 1.2: $xy \wedge a \leq ay \wedge y = ay$ for all $x, y \in S$; for $x = e$ we get $y \wedge a \leq ay$. For $y = a$ we obtain $a \leq a^2$, thus $a^2 = a$; for $y \leq a$ we conclude $y \leq ay$, so that $ay = y$ for all $y \leq a$. Now if $a \leq x \leq e$, then $y = ay \leq xy \leq y$ for all $y \leq a$ and the assertion follows.

Remark. If S is an rl-semigroup with right-identity which is the least element of S , then there is only the trivial C -derivation on S . The same is true in the following case:

Lemma 1.6. *Let S be a left-simple rl-semigroup with right-identity. Then there is no C -derivation on S except the trivial one (if defined).*

Proof. Again for every C -derivation on S we have: $\varphi(x) = x \wedge a$ with $xy \wedge a \leq y$ for all $x, y \in S$. Since $Sy = S$ for all $y \in S$, for each $y \in S$ there is an $x \in S$ with $xy = e$; thus by Corollary 1) of Lemma 1.5 we conclude $a = e \wedge a \leq y$ for all $y \in S$ and $a = o$ (if $o \in S$ exists).

Corollary. *Let $S \neq \{e\}$ be an rl-group; then there is no C -derivation on S .*

Proof. Since a semigroup S is a group iff S is left- and right-simple (see [1]), there is at most the trivial C -derivation $\varphi(x) = o$ on S . But an rl-group cannot have a least element o : $o \leq e$ implies $o^2 = o$ and since the only idempotent in S is e , we get $o = e$; thus $e \leq a$ for all $a \in S$ implies $a^{-1} \leq e$, so that $a^{-1} = e$ and $a = e$ for all $a \in S$.

Example. 6) Concerning semigroup-left-translations (see [1]) we note the following: if S is a semigroup with left-identity e and φ a mapping of S into itself such that $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(x)y$ for all $x, y \in S$, then for $x = e$ one gets $\varphi(y) = \varphi(e)y$ for all $y \in S$ and $\varphi(x) = ax$ for all $x \in S$.

Lemma 1.7. *Let S be an rl-semigroup with right-identity e . Then the mapping $\varphi(x) = ax$ for all $x \in S$, $a \in S$ fixed, is a C -derivation iff 1) $a \in S$ is left-distributive with respect to \vee and 2) $ab = a \wedge b$ for all $b \in S$.*

Proof. If $a \in S$ satisfies 1), then $\varphi(x \vee y) = a(x \vee y) = ax \vee ay = \varphi(x) \vee \varphi(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$. If it also satisfies 2), then $\varphi(x \wedge y) = a(x \wedge y) = a \wedge (x \wedge y) = [\varphi(x) \wedge y] \vee [x \wedge \varphi(y)]$ for all $x, y \in S$. Furthermore, since $ax = a \wedge x \leq a$ implies $axy \leq ay$ for all $x, y \in S$, it follows: $\varphi(xy) = axy = (ax)y \wedge ay = \varphi(x)y \wedge \varphi(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$. Conversely, let $\varphi(x) = ax$ be a C -derivation; then by I of the definition: $a(x \vee y) = ax \vee ay$ for all $x, y \in S$; by Lemma 1.5 we have $ax = \varphi(x) = x \wedge \varphi(e) = x \wedge a$, that is $ab = a \wedge b$ for all $b \in S$.

Combining Lemmas 1.5 and 1.7 we get similarly to Theorem 1.3:

Theorem 1.8. *Let S be an rl -semigroup with right-identity. Then the C -derivations on S are the functions φ of the form $\varphi(x)=ax$ with a fixed element $a \in S$ which is left-distributive with respect to \vee such that $ab=a \wedge b$ for all $b \in S$.*

Remark. If S is an rl -semigroup with (right-identity e and) greatest element i , then $\varphi(x)=ax$ such that $ai=i$ is not a C -derivation except $\varphi(x)=x$ (if possible). In fact: if $\varphi(x)=ax=a \wedge x$ for all $x \in S$, then $i=ai=\varphi(i)=a \wedge i=a$ and $\varphi(x)=x$ for all $x \in S$.

For l -semigroups we have:

Theorem 1.9. *Let S be an l -semigroup with identity e , which is the greatest element of S . Then the C -derivations on S are exactly the left-translations $\varphi(x)=ax$ such that $ab=a \wedge b$ for all $b \in S$.*

Proof. On an l -semigroup every function $\varphi(x)=ax$ with $ab=a \wedge b$ for all $b \in S$ is a C -derivation by Lemma 1.7. Conversely, if φ is any C -derivation on S , then by Lemmas 1.2 and 1.5: $\varphi(x)=a \wedge x$ with $xy \wedge a \leq ay$ for all $x, y \in S$. For $x=e$ we get $y \wedge a \leq ay$; but $a, y \leq e$ implies $ay \leq a$ and $ay \leq y$, thus $ay \leq a \wedge y$ and $ay=a \wedge y$ for all $y \in S$. Consequently $\varphi(x)=ax$ for all $x \in S$ with $ab=a \wedge b$ for all $b \in S$.

Corollary. *Let S be a Boolean l -semigroup with identity e (resp. a uniquely complemented l -semigroup with e as greatest element); then the C -derivations on S are exactly the left-translations of S .*

Proof. By the Corollary (resp. Remark) in § 6 of [4] we have in both cases $e=i$ and $xy=x \wedge y$ for all $x, y \in S$.

Returning to general rl -semigroups with identity we show:

Lemma 1.10. *Let S be an rl -semigroup with right-identity e (resp. with greatest element i). Then the set of all C -derivations on S is a commutative, idempotent semigroup with respect to composition of functions: $(\varphi \circ \psi)(x)=\varphi[\psi(x)]$ for all $x \in S$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi(x)=a \wedge x$, $\psi(x)=b \wedge x$ with $a=\varphi(e)$, $b=\psi(e)$ be arbitrary C -derivations on S (see Theorems 1.3 resp. 1.8). Then $(\varphi \circ \psi)(x)=(a \wedge b) \wedge x=c \wedge x$ for all $x \in S$ with $(\varphi \circ \psi)(e)=c \wedge e=c$, since by Corollary 1) to Lemma 1.5: $a \leq e$, $b \leq e$, hence $c=a \wedge b \leq e$. Furthermore, since a and b are neutral, $c=a \wedge b$ is neutral, too. Since $xy \wedge a \leq ay \wedge y$ and $xy \wedge b \leq by \wedge y$ for all $x, y \in S$, we get $xy \wedge (a \wedge b) \leq (a \wedge b) \wedge y$ for all $x, y \in S$ and we can apply Lemma 1.2. Trivially we have $(\varphi \circ \psi)(x)=(\psi \circ \varphi)(x)$ and $(\varphi \circ \varphi)(x)=\varphi(x)$ for all $x \in S$.

The results deduced above show, that the class of rl -semigroups which admit non-trivial C -derivations is quite restricted. For concrete examples of rl -semigroups we can prove even more:

Theorem 1.11. *Let (L, \wedge, \vee) be an arbitrary lattice. Then on the rl-semigroups $(F(L), \wedge, \vee, \circ)$ resp. $(P(L), \wedge, \vee, \circ)$ there is no C-derivation except the trivial one (if $o \in L$ exists).*

Proof. We give the proof for $F(L)$. If a least element does not exist, then there is no C-derivation by Property 3. If a least element exists, then for the constant functions $f_a(x)=a, f_o(x)=o$ for all $x \in L$ we have $f_a \circ f_o = f_a$ and $f_o \circ f_a = f_o$ for all $a \in L$. If φ is a C-derivation on $F(L)$, then $\varphi(f_a) = [\varphi(f_a) \circ f_o] \wedge \varphi(f_o) \cong \varphi(f_o)$ and conversely $\varphi(f_o) \cong \varphi(f_a)$; thus $\varphi(f_a) = \varphi(f_o)$ for all $a \in L$. Since $F(L)$ has an identity $\text{id}(x)=x$ for all $x \in S$, with respect to \circ , we know by Lemma 1.5 that $\varphi(f) = f \wedge \varphi(\text{id})$ for all $f \in F(L)$. Moreover, $\varphi(\text{id}) \cong \text{id}$ by Property 0). Consequently: $[\varphi(\text{id})](a) = a \wedge [\varphi(\text{id})](a) = f_a(a) \wedge [\varphi(\text{id})](a) = [\varphi(f_a)](a) = [\varphi(f_o)](a) \cong f_o(a) = o$. Therefore $[\varphi(\text{id})](a) = o$ for all $a \in L$. Thus $\varphi(\text{id}) = \theta$, the zero-function on L and $\varphi(f) = f \wedge \theta = \theta$ for all $f \in F(L)$.

The proof of this Theorem depends essentially on the constant functions on L , which are left-zeroes of the semigroup $(F(L), \circ)$. We can generalize it to left-zero l-semigroups with identity e , that means l-semigroups S , such that $xy=x$ for all $x \neq e, y \in S$ (see [1]) — for example the set of all constant functions on a lattice:

Lemma 1.12. *Let S be a left-zero l-semigroup with identity e . Then there are no C-derivations on S except $\varphi(x)=o$ and $\varphi(x)=x$ for all $x \in S$ (if defined).*

Proof. By Lemma 1.5, $\varphi(x) = x \wedge \varphi(e)$ for all $x \in S$. If there is no least element in S , then by Property 3) there is no C-derivation on S . If there is $o \in S$, then $\varphi(x) = o$ for all $x \neq e$ in S by Property 2) Thus we have to determine only $\varphi(e)$: if $\varphi(e) \neq e$, $\varphi(e)$ is a left-zero of S and $\varphi(e) = \varphi[\varphi(e)] = o$ by Lemma 1.10; if $\varphi(e) = e$, we have for any $x \neq e$: $o = \varphi(x) = x \wedge e$. If e is not the greatest element, then there is an $x > e$ and $o = x \wedge e = e = \varphi(e)$; if e is the greatest element, then $o = x \wedge e = x$ for all $x \neq e$ in S , $S = \{o, e\}$ and $\varphi(x) = x$ for all $x \in S$.

2. Derivations with dual chain-rule

As mentioned above, a large class of rl-semigroups admits only the trivial C-derivation (if defined). Even the standard examples of mappings resp. polynomial-functions on lattices belong to this class. Therefore the abstraction of derivation of functions, which formalizes the rules of differentiating a sum, a product and the composite of functions, turns out to be not very useful. Also if axiom III of a C-derivation is replaced by its dual:

$$\text{III}'. \quad \varphi(xy) = \varphi(x)y \vee \varphi(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in S$$

we get nothing new. We can show even more:

Theorem 2.1. *Let S be an rl -semigroup with identity e resp. $o=ox$ for all $x \in S$ (if $o \in S$ exists). Then there is no derivation with dual chain-rule except the trivial one (if defined).*

Proof. If S admits no least element and if φ is any mapping satisfying I, II and III', then $\varphi(x) = \varphi(xe) = \varphi(x)e \vee \varphi(e) \cong \varphi(e)$ for all $x \in S$; but $\varphi(x) \cong x$ for all $x \in S$ by Property 0) (valid also in this case) and thus $\varphi(e)$ is the least element of S : contradiction. If S admits o with $ox = o$ for all $x \in S$, then $\varphi(o) = \varphi(ox) = \varphi(o)x \vee \varphi(x) \cong \varphi(x)$ for all $x \in S$; by Axiom I the mapping φ is order-preserving, hence $\varphi(o) \cong \varphi(x)$ for all $x \in S$ and $\varphi(x) = \varphi(o) = a$ with some $a \in S$, for all $x \in S$; by Axiom II we have $a = \varphi(x) = \varphi(x \wedge x) = \varphi(x) \wedge x \cong x$ for all $x \in S$ and $a = o$; thus $\varphi(x) = o$ for all $x \in S$.

Remark. Motivated by the properties of "derivations of formal languages", which are in short lattice-endomorphisms of the l -semigroup of all formal languages on an alphabet X satisfying the dual chain rule III', the Axiom II of a derivation finally may be replaced by

$$\text{II}'. \varphi(x \wedge y) = \varphi(x) \wedge \varphi(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in S.$$

Such "derivations" are studied in [5].

References

- [1] A. H. CLIFFORD and G. B. PRESTON, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, Amer. Math. Soc. Math. Surveys 7, Vol. I (Providence, 1961).
- [2] L. FUCHS, *Partially ordered algebraic systems*, Monographs in Pure and Applied Math. 28, Pergamon Press (1963).
- [3] H. LAUSCH and W. NÖBAUER, *Algebra of polynomials*, North-Holland Math. Library, Vol. 5 (Amsterdam—New York, 1973).
- [4] H. MITSCH, Rechtsverbandshalbgruppen, *J. reine und angew. Math.*, **264** (1973), 172—181.
- [5] H. MITSCH, Derivations on l -semigroups and formal languages, *J. Pure and Appl. Algebra* (submitted).
- [6] W. MÜLLER, Eindeutige Abbildungen mit Summen-, Produkt- und Kettenregel, *Monatsh. Math.*, **73** (1969), 354—367.
- [7] J. NIEMINEN, Derivations and translations on lattices, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **38** (1976), 359—363.
- [8] W. NÖBAUER, Derivationssysteme mit Kettenregel, *Monatsh. Math.*, **67** (1963), 36—49.
- [9] G. SZÁSZ, Die Translationen der Halbverbände, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **17** (1956), 165—169.
- [10] G. SZÁSZ, Derivations on lattices, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **37** (1975), 358—363.