

Mean Ergodic Theorem in reflexive spaces

D. J. PATIL

The mean ergodic theorem proved by LORCH [4] states that if T is a linear operator on a reflexive Banach space X with $\|T\| \leq 1$ then

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{n}(I+T+T^2+\dots+T^{n-1})x \rightarrow Px,$$

for each $x \in X$, P being a projection onto the subspace $\{x \in X: Tx = x\}$. BLUM and others in a series of papers [1, 2, 3] studied the question of the convergence

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{n}(T^{k_1}+T^{k_2}+\dots+T^{k_n})x \rightarrow Px,$$

where (k_n) is a given subsequence of the positive integers and X is a Hilbert space. The definitive result due to these authors is that if X is a Hilbert space and $\|T\| \leq 1$ then (2) holds for each $x \in X$ if for each z on the unit circle it is true that

$$(3) \quad \frac{1}{n}(z^{k_1}+z^{k_2}+\dots+z^{k_n})(1-z) \rightarrow 0.$$

This result is the best possible in the sense that if (2) holds for each contraction T then (3) must follow. The methods used to prove these results depend heavily on the Hilbert space structure and do not apply in the case where X is not a Hilbert space. We prove below a theorem which enables us to obtain a condition on the subsequence (k_n) which is sufficient for the truth of (2) where T now acts on any reflexive Banach space. Since it involves no additional effort we have stated our theorem for a sequence of polynomials more general than the one appearing in (3).

Theorem. *Let X be a reflexive Banach space, T a linear contraction on X , $(p_k)_1^\infty$ a sequence of complex polynomials and $q(z)=(z-\lambda_1)\dots(z-\lambda_n)$, $\lambda_1=1$, $|\lambda_i|=1$,*

$1 \leq i \leq n; \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ if $i \neq j$. Suppose that

- (i) $p_k(1) \rightarrow 1, p_k(\lambda_i) \rightarrow 0 (2 \leq i \leq n),$ as $k \rightarrow \infty,$
 (ii) $\sup_k \|p_k(T)\| < \infty,$
 (iii) $q(T)p_k(T)x \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty, x \in X.$

Then for each $x \in X, p_k(T)x \rightarrow Px$ where P is the bounded projection onto the subspace $\{x \in X: Tx = x\}$ such that the range of $I - P$ is the closure of the range of $I - T$.

Proof. In the following, for an operator S on a reflexive space B we will denote by $R(S)$ and $N(S)$ the closure of the range of S and the null space of S , respectively. We note the well-known result that if $\|S\| \leq 1$, then

$$(4) \quad B = R(I - S) \oplus N(I - S).$$

We now claim that the following relations hold:

$$(5) \quad X = N(q(T)) \oplus R(q(T)),$$

and

$$(6) \quad (Rq(T), N((T - \lambda_2 I) \dots (T - \lambda_n I))) \subseteq R(I - T).$$

Assuming the truth of (5) and (6), we will prove the theorem.

First, the relation (5) implies that $p_k(T)x$ converges for each $x \in X$. This is so since for $x \in R(q(T))$ and $\varepsilon > 0, x = q(T)y + y'$ with $\|y'\| < \varepsilon$. By (iii) and (ii) we will then have that $p_k(T)x \rightarrow 0$. If $x \in N(q(T))$ then $x = x_1 + \dots + x_n$ with $Tx_i = \lambda_i x_i, (1 \leq i \leq n)$. Thus $p_k(T)x = p_k(\lambda_1)x_1 + \dots + p_k(\lambda_n)x_n$, and by the relations in (i), the sequence $p_k(T)x$ converges to x_1 .

Next, if we also have the relation (6), then noting that $N(q(T)) = N(I - T) \oplus N((T - \lambda_2 I) \dots (T - \lambda_n I))$ we have in view of the decomposition (4) that $p_k(T)x \rightarrow Px$ where P is as in the statement of the theorem.

We will now prove by induction on n that

$$(7) \quad X = N(I - T) \oplus \dots \oplus N(I - \bar{\lambda}_n T) \oplus Y,$$

where $\overline{(I - T)Y} = \dots = \overline{(I - \bar{\lambda}_n T)Y} = Y$. This surely implies (5) and (6).

Let us suppose that for $n - 1$ there exists such a $Y = Y_{n-1}$. This Y_{n-1} is necessarily invariant under T , and by (4), we have

$$Y_{n-1} = R(I - \bar{\lambda}_n T | Y_{n-1}) \oplus N(I - \bar{\lambda}_n T | Y_{n-1}).$$

Now it is immediate that $N(I - \bar{\lambda}_n T) \subseteq Y_{n-1}$, thus $N - (I - \bar{\lambda}_n T | Y_{n-1}) = N(I - \bar{\lambda}_n T)$ and we only have to show that for $Y_n = R(I - \bar{\lambda}_n T | Y_{n-1})$ we have $\overline{(I - T)Y_n} = \dots = \overline{(I - \bar{\lambda}_n T)Y_n} = Y_n$. The last equality is immediate, the others follow from the corresponding equalities for Y_{n-1} , from the fact that $N(I - \bar{\lambda}_n T)$ is invariant under

T and from the boundedness of the projections defined by the decomposition of Y_{n-1} . Thus the proof of (7) and therefore that of the theorem are complete.

The following corollaries now follow directly from the theorem. These corollaries are stated in such a way that the conditions on the operator T and the sequence (p_k) are independent of each other.

For $p(z) = \sum_0^N a_n z^n$, set $\|p\|_A = \sum_0^N |a_n|$ and $\|p\|_\infty = \sup \{|p(z)| : |z| \leq 1\}$.

Corollary 1. *Let X be a reflexive Banach space and T a linear contraction on X . Let $(p_k), q$ be as in the theorem and suppose that the relations (i) of the theorem hold. Suppose further that*

(ii)'
$$\sup_k \|p_k\|_A < \infty,$$

(iii)'
$$\|qp_k\|_A \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then $p_k(T)x \rightarrow Px$ ($x \in X$) where P is as in the theorem.

Corollary 2. *Let X be a reflexive Banach space and T a linear operator on X such that for every polynomial $p, \|p(T)\| \leq \|p\|_\infty$. Let $(p_k), q$ be as in the theorem and suppose that the relations (i) of the theorem hold. Suppose further that*

(ii)"
$$\sup \|p_k\|_\infty < \infty,$$

(iii)"
$$\|qp_k\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then $p_k(T)x \rightarrow Px$ ($x \in X$) where P is as in the theorem.

We now return to the problem discussed in the introduction. Let (k_n) be a subsequence of the positive integers satisfying (3) and take $p_n(z) = \frac{1}{n}(z^{k_1} + \dots + z^{k_n}), q(z) = z^\nu - 1, \nu$ a positive integer. Then all the conditions except (iii)' of Corollary 1 are satisfied. The condition (iii)' will also be fulfilled if

(8)
$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \text{card}(E_N \cap (E_N + \nu)) = 1,$$

where $E_N = \{k_1, \dots, k_N\}$ and $E_N + \nu$ is the translate of E_N by ν . We can therefore conclude that for a linear contraction T on a reflexive space X if a sequence (k_n) satisfies (3) then the condition (8) is sufficient for the convergence of (2). The example in [2], p. 428 is of a sequence (k_n) satisfying (3) and (8) with $\nu = 2$.

We note that any linear contraction T on a Hilbert space satisfies the hypothesis (on T) of Corollary 2. However, as shown in [3], the conclusion of Corollary 2 holds under weaker hypothesis on (p_n) . Thus the Corollary 2 has significance only when the reflexive space X is not a Hilbert space.

References

- [1] J. R. BLUM, B. EISENBERG and L. S. HAHN, Ergodic Theory and the measure of sets in the Bohr Group, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **34** (1973), 17—24.
- [2] J. R. BLUM and B. EISENBERG, Generalized summing sequences and the mean ergodic theorem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **42** (1974), 423—429.
- [3] J. R. BLUM and J. I. REICH, Mean Ergodic Theorem for families of contractions in Hilbert space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- [4] E. R. LORCH, Means of iterated transformations in reflexive Banach spaces, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **45** (1939), 945—947.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN—MILWAUKEE
MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN 53201