

## The lattice of translations on a lattice

JUHANI NIEMINEN

**1. Introduction and preliminaries.** The purpose of this paper is to consider the lattice of all translations on a lattice and to illuminate the decomposition of lattices generated by translations on lattices. Also some properties of translations on meet-semilattices are given.

Let  $S$  be a meet-semilattice and  $\varphi$  a single-valued mapping of  $S$  into itself.  $\varphi$  is called a meet-translation, briefly a translation, on  $S$ , if  $\varphi(x \wedge y) = \varphi(x) \wedge \varphi(y)$  for each pair  $x, y$  of elements in  $S$ . A translation  $\varphi$  on a lattice  $L$  is defined analogously. Each translation  $\varphi$  on  $S$  (and on  $L$ ) has the following properties [7]:  $\varphi(x) \leq x$ ,  $\varphi(x) = \varphi(\varphi(x))$ , and  $x \leq y \Rightarrow \varphi(x) \leq \varphi(y)$ . In a lattice  $L$  the fixelements of  $\varphi$ , i.e. the elements  $t = \varphi(t)$ , constitute an ideal  $K_\varphi$  of  $L$ , which determines  $\varphi$  uniquely.

A non-empty subset  $J$  of a meet-semilattice  $S$  is called a semi-ideal of  $S$ , if (i)  $a \leq b$  and  $b \in J$  imply  $a \in J$ , and (ii)  $a, b \in J$  imply  $a \vee b \in J$  whenever  $a \vee b$  exists in  $S$ . As one can easily conclude from [7, Thm. 1], the fixelements of a translation  $\varphi$  on a meet-semilattice  $S$  form a semi-ideal  $K_\varphi$  of  $S$ , and  $K_\varphi$  determines  $\varphi$  uniquely [7, Thm. 3].

We denote by  $\mathcal{I}(L)$  the lattice of all ideals of a lattice  $L$ ,  $(a) = \{x \mid x \leq a, x, a \in S\}$  is the principal ideal generated by  $a$ . The semi-ideals of a meet-semilattice  $S$  constitute a lattice  $\mathcal{J}(S)$  with respect to the set-theoretical inclusion;  $I \vee J$  means the least semi-ideal containing  $I$  and  $J$  of  $\mathcal{J}(S)$ .

A translation  $s_a(x) = a \wedge x$  is called a specified translation.

The following lemma was proved in [6]:

**Lemma 1.** *An ideal  $I$  of a lattice  $L$  generates a translation  $\varphi$  on  $L$ , i.e.  $K_\varphi = I$ , if and only if for each  $y \in L$  there is an element  $k_y \in I$  such that  $I \wedge (y) = (k_y)$ .*

A direct analogy holds for translations  $\varphi$  on a meet-semilattice  $S$  and semi-ideals  $J$  of  $S$ .

**2. Translations on a lattice.** We denote by  $\Phi(L)$  the set of all translations on  $L$ . As shown by SZÁSZ and SZENDREI [8, Thm. 3],  $\Phi(L)$  is a meet-semilattice.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\varphi$  and  $\lambda$  be two translations on a lattice  $L$ . The mapping  $\beta$  on  $L$ , defined by  $\beta(x) = \varphi(x) \vee \lambda(x)$ , is a translation on  $L$  if and only if  $(K_\varphi \vee K_\lambda) \wedge \wedge(x) = (K_\varphi \wedge(x)) \vee (K_\lambda \wedge(x))$  for each  $x \in L$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $(K_\varphi \vee K_\lambda)$  have the property of the theorem. Then  $(K_\varphi \vee K_\lambda) \wedge \wedge(x) = (K_\varphi \wedge(x)) \vee (K_\lambda \wedge(x)) = (\varphi(x) \vee \lambda(x)) \wedge(x) = (\varphi(x) \wedge(x)) \vee (\lambda(x) \wedge(x)) = (\varphi(x) \vee \lambda(x)) \wedge(x)$ , and so  $K_\varphi \vee K_\lambda$  generates a translation on  $L$  with values  $\varphi(x) \vee \lambda(x)$ , i.e.  $K_\varphi \vee K_\lambda$  generates a translation  $\beta$  on  $L$ . Conversely, let  $\beta$  be a translation on  $L$ . The fixelements of  $\beta$  are the elements  $\varphi(x) \vee \lambda(x)$  ( $x \in L$ ), and so  $K_\beta = K_\varphi \vee K_\lambda$ . According to Lemma 1,  $(\beta(x)) \wedge(x) = (K_\varphi \vee K_\lambda) \wedge(x) = (\varphi(x) \vee \lambda(x)) \wedge(x) = (K_\varphi \wedge(x)) \vee (K_\lambda \wedge(x))$ , and the latter part of the theorem follows.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a translation on  $L$ . The mapping  $\varphi \vee \lambda$  is a translation on  $L$  for each  $\lambda \in \Phi(L)$  if and only if  $K_\varphi$  is a standard element of  $\mathcal{S}(L)$ .*

**Proof.** If  $K_\varphi$  is standard, then  $(K_\varphi \vee K_\lambda) \wedge(x) = (K_\varphi \wedge(x)) \vee (K_\lambda \wedge(x))$  for each  $\lambda \in \Phi(L)$ . Hence  $\beta(x) = \varphi(x) \vee \lambda(x)$  is a translation on  $L$ . Conversely, if  $\varphi \vee \lambda$  is a translation for each  $\lambda \in \Phi(L)$ , then, in particular the relation  $((a) \vee K_\varphi) \wedge \wedge(x) = ((a) \wedge(x)) \vee (K_\varphi \wedge(x))$  holds for each specified translation  $s_a$ ,  $a \in L$ , and for each  $x \in L$ . But already this equation implies the standardness of  $K_\varphi$  according to [1, Thm. 2( $\alpha'$ )].

**Corollary 2.** *The meet-semilattice  $\Phi(L)$  is a lattice if and only if  $L$  is a distributive lattice.*

**Proof.** If  $L$  is a distributive lattice, each  $I \in \mathcal{S}(L)$  is a standard element in  $\mathcal{S}(L)$ , and the first part of the assertion follows. Conversely, if  $\Phi(L)$  is a lattice, then each ideal  $(a)$  generating a specified translation  $s_a$  on  $L$  is a standard element of  $\mathcal{S}(L)$ , from which the distributivity of  $L$  follows.

**Lemma 2.**  *$\Phi(L)$  contains always a greatest element  $\omega$ , and there is a least element  $\tau$  in  $\Phi(L)$  if and only if  $0 \in L$ .*

**Proof.** The identical mapping  $\omega(x) = x$  is a translation on  $L$  and  $K_\omega = L$ ; evidently it is the greatest translation on  $L$ . The mapping  $\tau(x) = 0$  is obviously the least translation on  $L$  whenever a least element  $0$  exists in  $L$ , and  $k_\tau = \{0\}$ . If there is no least element in  $L$ , then there exists for each  $a_1 \in L$  an infinite chain  $a_1 > a_2 > \dots$  and the corresponding specified translations form an infinitely descending chain, whence  $\tau \notin \Phi(L)$ .

In the following we shall consider a decomposition of a lattice by means of translations on this lattice. In [2] JANOWITZ considered the decomposition of a lattice

into a direct sum; this decomposition is generalized for join-semilattices in [5]. Let  $L$  be a lattice with  $0$ .  $a \nabla b$  denotes the fact that  $a \wedge b = 0$  and  $(a \vee x) \wedge b = x \wedge b$  for all  $x \in L$ . For a subset  $H$  of  $L$  we denote by  $H^\nabla$  the set of elements  $a \in L$  such that  $a \nabla b$  for all  $b \in H$ . In a lattice  $L$  with  $0$ , let  $H_1, \dots, H_n$  be subsets of  $L$ , each of which contains  $0$ . We say that  $L$  is the direct sum of  $H_1, \dots, H_n$  and write  $L = H_1 \oplus \dots \oplus H_n$  when

- (1) every element  $a \in L$  can be expressed in the form  $a = a_1 \vee \dots \vee a_n$ ,  $a_i \in H_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and
- (2)  $H_i \subset H_j^\nabla$  for  $i \neq j$ .

The subsets  $H_1, \dots, H_n$  are called direct summands of  $L$ . If  $L = H_1 \oplus \dots \oplus H_n$ , then the expression in (1) is unique and the sets  $H_1, \dots, H_n$  are ideals of  $L$  [4, Lemma 4.8]. Moreover, in a lattice  $L$  with  $0$ , an ideal  $J$  of  $L$  is a central element of  $\mathcal{S}(L)$  if and only if it is a direct summand of  $L$  [2, Thm. 1]. Now we are able to prove a theorem on direct sums of a lattice.

**Theorem 2.** *A lattice  $L$  with  $0$  has a decomposition into non-trivial direct summands if and only if there are at least two non-trivial translations  $\varphi$  and  $\lambda$  on  $L$  such that  $\varphi \vee \lambda = \omega$  and  $\varphi \wedge \lambda = \tau$ , and  $\varphi$  and  $\lambda$  have join with each translation on  $L$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $L = J \oplus K$ . According to [2, Thm. 1],  $J$  and  $K$  are standard elements of  $\mathcal{S}(L)$ , and  $J \wedge K = (0)$  and  $J \vee K = L$  in  $\mathcal{S}(L)$ . Consider the meet  $J \wedge (x)$ ,  $x \in L$ . As  $L = J \oplus K$ ,  $x = a_1 \vee a_2$ ,  $a_1 \in J$  and  $a_2 \in K$ , and the expression  $x = a_1 \vee a_2$  is unique. So  $J \wedge (x) = (a_1)$ ,  $a_1 \in J$ , and hence  $J$  generates a translation  $\varphi$  on  $L$ . As  $J$  is standard in  $\mathcal{S}(L)$ , the join  $\varphi \vee \mu$  exists for each translation  $\mu \in \Phi(L)$ . Similar facts hold also for the translation  $\lambda$  on  $L$  generated by  $K$ .  $\varphi \wedge \lambda$  corresponds to the translation generated by the ideal  $J \wedge K = (0)$ , i.e.  $\tau$ , and  $\varphi \vee \lambda$  that of  $J \vee K = L$ , i.e.  $\omega$ . As  $J, K \neq L, (0)$ ,  $\varphi$  and  $\lambda$  are non-trivial translations on  $L$ , and the first part of the theorem follows.

Conversely, let  $\varphi$  and  $\lambda$  be two translations with the properties given in the theorem. As  $\varphi \vee \mu$  exists for each translation  $\mu \in \Phi(L)$ , the ideal  $J$  generating  $\varphi$  is a standard element of the lattice  $\mathcal{S}(L)$  (by Corollary 1 to Theorem 1), and this holds also for the ideal  $K$  generating  $\lambda$ . As  $\varphi \wedge \lambda = \tau$  and  $\varphi \vee \lambda = \omega$ ,  $J \wedge K = (0)$  and  $J \vee K = L$ , respectively. As  $J$  and  $K$  are standard and complements, they belong to the center of  $\mathcal{S}(L)$  [3, Thm. 7.2] and, accordingly,  $L = J \oplus K$  [2, Thm. 1]. As  $\varphi$  and  $\lambda$  are non-trivial,  $J, K \neq L, (0)$ , and the decomposition is also non-trivial.

**3. Translations on partial lattices.** We call a meet-semilattice  $S$  a partial lattice if  $a \vee b$  exists for any two  $a, b \in S$  having a common upper bound in  $S$ . At first we consider the structure of meet-semilattices  $S$  for which  $\Phi(L)$  is a lattice.

Let  $\varphi(x)$  and  $\lambda(x)$  be translations on a partial lattice  $S$ . As in the case of lattices, one can show that  $\beta(x) = \varphi(x) \vee \lambda(x)$  is a translation on  $S$  if and only if  $(K_\varphi \vee K_\lambda) \wedge (x) = (K_\varphi \wedge (x)) \vee (K_\lambda \wedge (x))$  for each  $x \in S$ ,  $K_\varphi, K_\lambda, (x) \in \mathcal{J}(S)$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $S$  be a partial lattice. Then the following three assumptions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The meet-semilattice of all translations on  $S$  is a lattice.*
- (ii) *Each translation on  $S$  is a join-endomorphism on  $S$ .*
- (iii)  *$(x)$  is a distributive sublattice of  $S$  for each  $x \in S$ .*

**Proof.** We shall show that (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) and (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii).

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). We shall show that  $\mathcal{J}(S)$  is a distributive lattice, from which the validity of the assertion follows.

Let  $I, J \in \mathcal{J}(S)$ .  $I \wedge J = I \cap J$ , and  $I \vee J = \{x | x \leq i \vee j, i \in I, j \in J \text{ and } i \vee j \in S\}$ . We must only show that  $F \wedge (I \vee J) \subseteq (F \wedge I) \vee (F \wedge J)$  when  $F, I, J \in \mathcal{J}(S)$ . Clearly,  $x \in F \wedge (I \vee J) \Leftrightarrow x \in F$  and  $x \leq i \vee j$ , where  $i \in I$  and  $j \in J$ . By assumption,  $(i \vee j)$  is a distributive sublattice of  $S$  and  $i, j, x \in (i \vee j)$ . So  $x = x \wedge (i \vee j) = (x \wedge i) \vee (x \wedge j)$ , where  $(x \wedge i) \in F \wedge I$  and  $(x \wedge j) \in F \wedge J$ . Therefore,  $x \in (F \wedge I) \vee (F \wedge J)$ .

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Let  $\Phi(S)$  be a lattice and  $w, y, z \in (x)$  in  $S$ . Then the mapping  $s_y \vee s_z$  is a translation on  $S$ , whence  $(y \vee z) \wedge (u) = (y \wedge u) \wedge (z \vee u)$  for each  $u \in S$  by the analogy of Theorem 1. The distributivity of  $(x)$  follows now by putting  $u = w$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $J$  be a semi-ideal of  $S$  generating a translation  $\varphi$  on  $S$ , and assume that  $x \vee y$  exists in  $S$ . As  $x \vee y$  exists and  $x \leq \varphi(x), y \leq \varphi(y)$ , then  $\varphi(x) \vee \varphi(y)$  exists in  $S$ . As shown in the proof (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i),  $\mathcal{J}(S)$  is a distributive lattice. Let us consider now  $\varphi(x \vee y)$ , i.e. the meet  $J \wedge (x \vee y) = (J \wedge (x)) \vee (J \wedge (y))$ , which implies that  $\varphi(x \vee y) = \varphi(x) \vee \varphi(y)$ . Thus  $\varphi$  is also a join-endomorphism on  $S$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Let  $u, w, z \in (x)$ . As the mapping  $s_u$  is also a join-endomorphism,  $s_u(w \vee z) = (u) \wedge (w \vee z) = s_u(w) \vee s_u(z) = ((u) \wedge (w)) \vee ((u) \wedge (z))$ , from which the distributivity of  $(x)$  follows.

As above, one can easily prove that in a partial lattice  $S$  each  $(x)$  is a modular lattice of  $S$  if and only if  $\mathcal{J}(S)$  is a modular lattice. The proof of the following theorem is analogous to that of Theorem 3, and hence we omit it.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $S$  be a partial lattice. Each translation on  $S$  has the property that  $\varphi(\varphi(z) \vee y) = \varphi(z) \vee \varphi(y)$  when  $\varphi(z) \vee y$  exists in  $S$ , if and only if  $(x)$  is a modular sublattice of  $S$  for each  $x \in S$ .*

The equivalenc (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) in Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 are generalizations of Theorems 4 and 5 in Szász's paper [7].

*Acknowledgement.* The author wishes to thank the referee for his valuable comments and suggestions.

## References

- [1] G. GRÄTZER and E. T. SCHMIDT, Standard ideals in lattices, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.*, **12** (1961), 17—86.
- [2] M. F. JANOWITZ, A note on normal ideals, *J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Ser. I-A*, **30** (1966), 1—9.
- [3] M. F. JANOWITZ, A characterization of standard ideals, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.*, **16** (1965), 289—301.
- [4] F. MAEDA and S. MAEDA, *Theory of symmetric lattices*, Springer-Verlag (Berlin—Heidelberg—New York, 1970).
- [5] J. NIEMINEN, A note on direct sums of join-semilattices, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sér. Sci. Math. Astron. Phys.*, **23** (1975), 1051—1054.
- [6] J. NIEMINEN, Derivations and translations on lattices, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **38** (1976), 359—363.
- [7] G. SZÁSZ, Translationen der Verbände, *Acta Univ. Comenianae Math.*, **5** (1961), 449—453.
- [8] G. SZÁSZ and J. SZENDREI, Über die Translationen der Halbverbände, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **18** (1957), 44—47.

DEPARTMENT OF TECHNICAL SCIENCES  
FINNISH ACADEMY  
LAUTTASAARENTIE 1  
00200 HELSINKI 20, FINLAND