

## A spectral characterization of the maximal ideal in factors

By ȘERBAN STRĂTILĂ and LÁSZLÓ ZSIDÓ in Bucharest (Romania)

**Introduction.** In a recent paper ([3]) J. A. DYER, P. PORCELLI and M. ROSENFELD obtained a spectral characterization of the elements in the greatest proper ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  of a properly infinite factor  $\mathcal{M}$ , namely that  $x \in \mathcal{J}$  iff  $\sigma(x+b) \cap \sigma(b) \neq \emptyset$  for every  $b \in \mathcal{M}$ . On the other hand, they proved that if  $\mathcal{M}$  is a factor of type  $I_n$ ,  $n < \infty$ , then for any  $0 \neq x \in \mathcal{M}$  there is  $b \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\sigma(x+b) \cap \sigma(b) = \emptyset$  and they conjectured that the same assertion is true if  $\mathcal{M}$  is a factor of type  $II_1$ .

In the present paper we prove this conjecture by showing that if  $\mathcal{M}$  is a factor of type  $II_1$  and  $0 \neq x \in \mathcal{M}$ , then there is a nilpotent element  $b \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $x+b$  is invertible (Corollary 4), getting exactly the same result as for factors of type  $I_n$ ,  $n < \infty$ . Moreover, the same result is established for elements in a properly infinite factor  $\mathcal{M}$ , which are not of the form  $\lambda + a$  with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $a \in \mathcal{J}$  (that is, for not "thin" elements; Corollary 5). This is done by proving Theorem 2 below, which allows us to represent every element  $x$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  as a suitable operator matrix and then by using the trick of BROWN and HALMÓS (cf. the proof of Theorem C in [3] and also below, Remark 3).

For results concerning operator algebras we refer to the treatise DIXMIER [2].

Two projections  $e, f$  in a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  are said to be *equivalent*,  $e \sim f$ , if there is an element  $v \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $v^*v = e$ ,  $vv^* = f$ ; then  $v = ve = fv$  and  $e, f$  belong to the same (two sided) ideals of  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra and  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ , then  $LP(x)$  (resp.  $RP(x)$ ) means the left projection (resp. the right projection) of  $x$ ; it is known that  $LP(x) \sim RP(x)$  (" $LP \sim RP$ " theorem) (cf. [6]). By  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$  we denote the algebra of all (bounded) operators on the Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$ . As usually, for an element  $x$  in a Banach algebra we denote by  $\sigma(x)$  its spectrum.

1. We begin with the following lemma which is surely known:

**Lemma.** *Let  $e, f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$  be two projections such that there is  $0 < \lambda \leq 1$  with  $f - fef \cong \lambda f$ . Then  $e \wedge f = 0$  and  $(e \vee f)(\mathfrak{H}) = e(\mathfrak{H}) + f(\mathfrak{H})$ .*

**Proof.** The inequality  $f - fef \cong \lambda f$  is equivalent to the conditions  $\|(1-e)f\xi\| \cong \sqrt{\lambda} \|f\xi\|$ ,  $\xi \in \mathfrak{H}$ . If  $e\xi = \xi = f\xi$  then it follows that  $\xi = 0$ , whence  $e(\mathfrak{H}) \cap f(\mathfrak{H}) = 0$

and  $e \wedge f = 0$ . For  $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{H}$  we have  $\|\eta + f\xi\| = \|(\eta + ef\xi) + (1-e)f\xi\| \cong \|(1-e)f\xi\| \cong \sqrt{\lambda} \|f\xi\|$ , so that, if  $\zeta \in (e \vee f)(\mathfrak{H}) = \bar{e}(\mathfrak{H}) + f(\mathfrak{H})$  and  $\zeta = \lim_n (\eta_n + f\xi_n)$  then the sequence  $\{f\xi_n\}$  is convergent and  $\xi = \lim_n f\xi_n \in f(\mathfrak{H})$ . Then  $\zeta - \xi = \lim_n \eta_n \in e(\mathfrak{H})$ , i.e.  $\zeta \in e(\mathfrak{H}) + f(\mathfrak{H})$ . Hence  $(e \vee f)(\mathfrak{H}) = e(\mathfrak{H}) + f(\mathfrak{H})$ . Q.E.D.

**Remark.** The greatest  $\lambda$  satisfying the inequality  $f - fef \cong \lambda^2 f$  could be called "the sinus of the angle between the projections  $e$  and  $f$ ". If  $\lambda = 1$ , then  $e$  and  $f$  are orthogonal, and the lemma says that if "the angle between  $e$  and  $f$ " is not zero, then  $(e \vee f)(\mathfrak{H}) = e(\mathfrak{H}) + f(\mathfrak{H})$ .

**2. Theorem.** Let  $\pi: \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  be a representation of the  $W^*$ -algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  on the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$  the center of  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{M}$  a closed ideal in  $\mathcal{M}$ . For every  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $x \notin \mathcal{L} + \mathcal{J}$  there are: an invertible element  $u \in \mathcal{M}$ , two equivalent orthogonal projections  $e_1, e_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $e_1 \notin \mathcal{J} \ni e_2$ , and an element  $y \in e_1 \mathcal{M} e_2$  such that, putting  $x_0 = u^{-1} x u$ , we have:

(i)  $x_0 e_1 = e_2 x_0 e_1, y x_0 e_1 = e_1, x_0 y = e_2;$

(ii) for every projection  $e'_1 \cong e_1$  there is an equivalent projection  $e'_2 \cong e_2$  and an element  $y' \in e'_1 \mathcal{M} e'_2$  such that

$$x_0 e'_1 = e'_2 x_0 e'_1, y' x_0 e'_1 = e'_1, x_0 y' = e'_2.$$

**Proof.** Suppose the  $W^*$ -algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  is realized as a von Neumann algebra acting on the Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$  and put  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}} = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{J})$ . Since the center of  $\mathcal{M} | \mathcal{J}$  is the canonical image of  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $x \notin \mathcal{L} + \mathcal{J}$ , there is a projection  $p \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $(1-p)xp \notin \mathcal{J}$ . Let  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  and let  $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  be a projection such that  $\pi(\tilde{x}) = x, \pi(\tilde{p}) = p$  and put  $\tilde{a} = (\tilde{1} - \tilde{p})\tilde{x}\tilde{p}, |\tilde{a}| = \sqrt{\tilde{a}^* \tilde{a}}$ . Since the support of  $|\tilde{a}|$  is smaller than  $\tilde{p}$  and  $|\tilde{a}| \notin \tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ , by using the spectral theorem we get a spectral projection  $\tilde{e} \notin \tilde{\mathcal{J}}, \tilde{e} \cong \tilde{p}$  such that

$$\|\tilde{a}\tilde{e}\xi\| \cong \lambda \|\tilde{e}\xi\|; \quad \xi \in \mathcal{H}; \quad \lambda > 0.$$

In particular, for  $\xi \in \mathfrak{H}$ :

$$\|\tilde{x}\tilde{e}\xi\| \cong \|(1-\tilde{e})\tilde{x}\tilde{e}\xi\| \cong \|(1-\tilde{p})\tilde{x}\tilde{e}\xi\| = \|\tilde{a}\tilde{e}\xi\| \cong \lambda \|\tilde{e}\xi\|.$$

We have  $\tilde{e} = RP(\tilde{x}\tilde{e})$  and we put  $\tilde{f} = LP(\tilde{x}\tilde{e})$ . Then  $\tilde{f}(\mathcal{H}) = \overline{\tilde{x}\tilde{e}(\mathfrak{H})} = \tilde{x}\tilde{e}(\mathfrak{H})$  and  $\|(1-\tilde{e})\tilde{x}\tilde{e}\xi\| \cong (\lambda/\|\tilde{x}\|)\|\tilde{x}\tilde{e}\xi\|, \xi \in \mathfrak{H}$ ; that is  $\tilde{f} - \tilde{f}\tilde{e}\tilde{f} \cong (\lambda/\|\tilde{x}\|)^2 \tilde{f}$ . Hence  $\tilde{e} \wedge \tilde{f} = 0$  and  $(\tilde{e} \vee \tilde{f})(\mathfrak{H}) = \tilde{e}(\mathfrak{H}) + \tilde{f}(\mathfrak{H})$ , by Lemma 1.

The operator

$$(\tilde{1} - \tilde{e})\tilde{f}: \tilde{f}(\mathfrak{H}) \rightarrow (\tilde{1} - \tilde{e})\tilde{f}(\mathfrak{H})$$

is invertible. We put  $\tilde{e}_1 = \tilde{e}, \tilde{e}_2 = LP((\tilde{1} - \tilde{e})\tilde{f})$  and we note that  $\tilde{f} = RP((\tilde{1} - \tilde{e})\tilde{f})$ . Let  $(\tilde{1} - \tilde{e})\tilde{f} = \tilde{w} |(\tilde{1} - \tilde{e})\tilde{f}|$  be the polar decomposition of  $(\tilde{1} - \tilde{e})\tilde{f}$  and let  $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{f}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}\tilde{f}$  be the inverse of  $|(\tilde{1} - \tilde{e})\tilde{f}|$  in  $\tilde{f}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}\tilde{f}$ . Then the operator

$$\tilde{g}\tilde{w}^*: \tilde{e}_2(\mathfrak{H}) \rightarrow \tilde{f}(\mathfrak{H})$$

is the inverse of the operator:

$$(\tilde{1} - \tilde{e})\tilde{f}: \tilde{f}(\mathfrak{H}) \rightarrow \tilde{e}_2(\mathfrak{H}).$$

Now define  $\tilde{u} = \tilde{g}\tilde{w}^*\tilde{e}_2 + (\tilde{1} - \tilde{e}_2) \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ . The operator  $\tilde{u}$  is one-to-one and  $\tilde{u}(\mathfrak{H}) = \tilde{f}(\mathfrak{H}) + \tilde{e}(\mathfrak{H}) + (\tilde{1} - \tilde{e}_1 - \tilde{e}_2)(\mathfrak{H})$ . Since  $(\tilde{e} \vee \tilde{f})(\mathfrak{H}) = \tilde{e}(\mathfrak{H}) + \tilde{f}(\mathfrak{H})$  it follows that  $\tilde{u}(\mathfrak{H}) = \mathfrak{H}$ , whence  $\tilde{u}$  is invertible and  $\tilde{u}^{-1} \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  by the closed graph theorem.

We put  $\tilde{x}_0 = \tilde{u}^{-1}\tilde{x}\tilde{u}$ . The operator

$$\tilde{x}\tilde{e}: \tilde{e}(\mathfrak{H}) \rightarrow \tilde{f}(\mathfrak{H})$$

is invertible, thus so is the operator

$$\tilde{x}_0\tilde{e}_1: \tilde{e}_1(\mathfrak{H}) \rightarrow \tilde{e}_2(\mathfrak{H})$$

as well as any operator:

$$\tilde{x}_0\tilde{e}'_1: \tilde{e}'_1(\mathfrak{H}) \rightarrow \tilde{e}'_2(\mathfrak{H})$$

where  $\tilde{e}'_1 \cong \tilde{e}_1$  is a projection and  $\tilde{e}'_2 = LP(\tilde{x}_0\tilde{e}'_1) \cong \tilde{e}_2$ . It follows that  $\tilde{x}_0\tilde{e}'_1 = \tilde{e}'_2\tilde{x}_0\tilde{e}'_1$  and that there is an element  $\tilde{y}' \in \tilde{e}'_1\tilde{\mathcal{M}}\tilde{e}'_2$ , such that  $\tilde{y}'\tilde{x}_0\tilde{e}'_1 = \tilde{e}'_1$ ,  $\tilde{x}_0\tilde{y}' = \tilde{e}'_2$ . By the "LP ~ RP" theorem we have  $\tilde{e}_1 = \tilde{e} \sim \tilde{f} \sim \tilde{e}_2$  and  $\tilde{e}'_1 \sim \tilde{e}'_2$ . Furthermore, since  $\tilde{e} \notin \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ , we also have  $\tilde{e}_1 \notin \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \ni \tilde{e}_2$ .

Putting  $u = \pi(\tilde{u})$ ,  $e_1 = \pi(\tilde{e}_1)$ ,  $e_2 = \pi(\tilde{e}_2)$  and  $y = \pi(\tilde{y}')$  ( $\tilde{y}'$  is the corresponding  $\tilde{y}'$  for  $\tilde{e}'_1 = \tilde{e}_1$ ) we obtain (i). If  $e'_1 \cong e_1$  is a projection then there is a projection  $\tilde{e}'_1 \cong \tilde{e}_1$  such that  $\pi(\tilde{e}'_1) = e'_1$  and (ii) follows. Q.E.D.

**3. Remark.** BROWN and HALMOS proved that for every  $0 \neq x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ ,  $\dim \mathfrak{H} < \infty$ , there is a nilpotent element  $b \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$  such that  $x + b$  is invertible (cf. the proof of Theorem C in [3]). The first step of their proof consists of finding an element in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$  similar to  $x$  and with a suitable matrix form; this suggested us Theorem 2. The second step of their proof is as follows. Let  $x$  be an operator ( $n \times n$ )-matrix of the "suitable form"  $x = (x_{ij})$  with  $x_{j,1} = 0$  for  $j < n$  and  $x_{n,1}$  invertible. Consider the matrix  $b = (b_{ij})$  with  $b_{i,i+1} = 1 - x_{i,i+1}$ ,  $b_{i,j} = -x_{i,j}$  for  $j > i + 1$  and  $b_{i,j} = 0$  for  $i \geq j$ . For  $n = 3$  the picture is as follows

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ 0 & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 - x_{12} & -x_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 - x_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x + b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_{22} & 1 \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is obvious that  $b$  is nilpotent and  $x + b$  is invertible.

Now, consider a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  with unit such that there are  $n$  mutually equivalent, mutually orthogonal projections  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $e_1 + \dots + e_n = 1$ . Then every element  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  can be represented as an operator ( $n \times n$ )-matrix whose components are in  $e_i\mathcal{M}e_i$ . Namely, let  $v_i$  be an element of  $\mathcal{M}$ , such that  $v_i^*v_i = e_i$ ,

$v_i v_i^* = e_i$ ,  $v_i = v_i e_1 = e_i v_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Put  $x_{ij} = v_i^* x v_j \in e_1 \mathcal{M} e_1$ . Then:

$$x = \sum_{i,j} e_i x e_j = \sum_{i,j} v_i x_{ij} v_j^* = (x_{ij})$$

where the last equality is a notation. We say that  $x_{ij}$  is the  $(i, j)$ -th component in the matrix representation of  $x$  with respect to the "basis"  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ . It is easy to see that  $(x^*)_{i,j} = x_{j,i}^*$  and  $(xy)_{i,j} = \sum_k x_{ik} y_{kj}$ . In particular, if  $x$  has a "suitable" matrix representation then there is a nilpotent element  $b$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $x+b$  is invertible.

The method just explained and Theorem 2 allows us to settle affirmatively the conjecture of DYER, PORCELLI and ROSENFELD.

**4. Corollary.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a finite factor and  $0 \neq x \in \mathcal{M}$ . There is a nilpotent element  $b \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $x+b$  is invertible. In particular:  $\sigma(x+b) \cap \sigma(b) = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* For factors of type  $I_n$ ,  $n < \infty$  the result is known. So, let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a factor of type  $II_1$  and denote by  $d$  its relative dimension function ( $d(1) = 1$ ). If  $x$  is a scalar element, then we may take  $b = 0$ . If not,  $x$  is not a central element. Since it suffices to prove the assertion of the corollary for an element similar (in  $\mathcal{M}$ ) to  $x$ , from Theorem 2 it follows that we can suppose that there are: a positive integer  $n$ , two equivalent orthogonal projections  $\bar{e}_1, \bar{e}_2 \in \mathcal{M}$  and an element  $y \in \bar{e}_1 \mathcal{M} \bar{e}_2$  such that:  $d(\bar{e}_1) = d(\bar{e}_2) = 1/n$ ,  $x\bar{e}_1 = \bar{e}_2 x \bar{e}_1$ ,  $y x \bar{e}_1 = \bar{e}_1$ ,  $x y = \bar{e}_2$ . Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathcal{M}$  be mutually equivalent, mutually orthogonal projections with  $e_1 + \dots + e_n = 1$  and  $e_1 = \bar{e}_1$ ,  $e_n = \bar{e}_2$ ; then  $x e_1 = e_n x e_1$ ,  $y \in e_1 \mathcal{M} e_n$ ,  $y x e_1 = e_1$ ,  $x y = e_n$ . The matrix representation of  $x$  with respect to the "basis"  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  is a "suitable" one. Indeed, for  $j \neq n$ :

$$x_{j,1} = v_j^* x v_1 = v_j^* e_j x e_1 v_1 = v_j^* e_j x e_1 v_1 = 0$$

and  $x_{n,1}$  is invertible in  $e_1 \mathcal{M} e_1$  with the inverse  $y_{1,n}$ :

$$y_{1,n} x_{n,1} = (v_1^* y v_n)(v_n^* x v_1) = v_1^* y e_n x v_1 = v_1^* y x v_1 = v_1^* e_1 v_1 = e_1$$

Hence the corollary follows from Remark 3. Q.E.D.

We can also extend the result obtained in [3] for properly infinite factors to properly infinite " $C^*$ -factors". In the following corollary "large" projections are those which are equivalent to 1 and "small" projections are those which are not equivalent to 1.

**5. Corollary.** *Let  $\pi: \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  be a representation of the properly infinite  $W^*$ -algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  on the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  whose center reduces to the scalar elements. Then  $\mathcal{M}$  has a greatest ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  which is generated by the small projections in  $\mathcal{M}$ , and an element  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{J}$  iff  $\sigma(x+b) \cap \sigma(b) \neq \emptyset$  for every  $b \in \mathcal{M}$ . Moreover, if  $x$  is not "thin" (i.e.  $x$  is not of the form  $\lambda + a$  where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $a \in \mathcal{J}$ ), then there is a nilpotent element  $b \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $x+b$  is invertible.*

Proof. It is well known that in a properly infinite factor the small projections form a  $p$ -ideal and since any factor has a greatest ideal this is generated by the small projections. It is easy to imitate the above argument in the present situation and so,  $\mathcal{M}$  has a greatest ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  is generated by the small projections.

Since the spectral theorem holds in  $\mathcal{M}$  and in  $\mathcal{M}$  there are "large" and "small" projections, HALMOS's proof for the infinite dimensional case of the theorem of DYER, PORCELLI and ROSENFELD applies and so,  $x \in \mathcal{I}$  iff  $\sigma(x+b) \cap \sigma(b) \neq \emptyset$  for every  $b \in \mathcal{M}$ .

Now suppose  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $x$  is not "thin". By Theorem 2 we can suppose that there are two orthogonal equivalent projections  $\bar{e}_1, \bar{e}_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\bar{e}_1 \notin \mathcal{I} \ni \bar{e}_2$  and an element  $y \in \bar{e}_1 \mathcal{M} \bar{e}_2$  such that  $x\bar{e}_1 = \bar{e}_2 x \bar{e}_1$ ,  $y x \bar{e}_1 = \bar{e}_1$ ,  $x y = \bar{e}_2$ . Since  $1 - \bar{e}_1 \cong \bar{e}_2 \sim 1$  and  $\bar{e}_1 \sim 1 = \bar{e}_1 + (1 - \bar{e}_1)$  there are two orthogonal large projection  $\bar{e}'_1, \bar{e}''$  whose sum is  $\bar{e}_1$ . Again by Theorem 2, we find a projection  $\bar{e}'_2 \cong \bar{e}_2$  and an element  $y' \in \bar{e}'_1 \mathcal{M} \bar{e}'_2$  such that  $x \bar{e}'_1 = \bar{e}'_2 x \bar{e}'_1$ ,  $y' x \bar{e}'_1 = \bar{e}'_1$ ,  $x y' = \bar{e}'_2$ . We put  $e_1 = \bar{e}'_1$ ,  $e_2 = 1 - \bar{e}'_1 - \bar{e}'_2$ ,  $e_3 = \bar{e}'_2$ . Then  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  is a family of mutually orthogonal, mutually equivalent (large) projections,  $e_1 + e_2 + e_3 = 1$ , and the matrix representation of  $x$  with respect to this basis has the following properties:  $x_{1,1} = 0 = x_{3,1}$  and  $x_{3,1}$  is invertible in  $e_1 \mathcal{M} e_1$ . Hence the last assertion of the corollary follows from Remark 3. Q.E.D.

We note that the matrix representation of the not "thin" element  $x$ , obtained in the preceding proof, obviously implies a theorem of BROWN and PEARCY ([1], Theorem 2). So the proof of the commutator theorem may be shortened even in the case of a properly infinite  $C^*$ -factor (cf. also [4]).

We have also obtained an extension, to general  $W^*$ -algebras, of the theorem of DYER, PORCELLI and ROSENFELD, giving a spectral characterization of the strong radical ([5]).

### References

- [1] A. BROWN and C. PEARCY, Structure of commutators of operators, *Annals of Math.*, **82** (1965), 112—127.
- [2] J. DIXMIER, *Les  $C^*$ -algèbres et leurs représentations*, Gauthier Villars (Paris, 1964).
- [3] J. A. DYER, P. PORCELLI and M. ROSENFELD, Spectral characterization of two sided ideals in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , *Israel J. Math.*, **10** (1971), 26—31.
- [4] H. HALPERN, Commutators in properly infinite von Neumann algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **139** (1969), 55—73.
- [5] Š. STRÁTILĀ and L. ZSIDÓ, An algebraic reduction theory for  $W^*$ -algebras. II (*to be published*)
- [6] I. KAPLANSKY, *Rings of operators*, Benjamin (1968).

(Received February 21, 1972)