

## A generalization of the Halmos—Bram criterion for subnormality

By MARY R. EMBRY in Charlotte (North Carolina, USA)

**Introduction.** In [3] and [1] HALMOS and BRAM show that a continuous linear operator  $A$  on a complex Hilbert space  $X$  is subnormal if and only if  $\sum_{i,j=0}^n (A^i x_j, A^j x_i) \geq 0$  for all finite collections  $x_0, \dots, x_n$  of  $X$ . In Section 1 we generalize this criterion by showing that  $A$  is subnormal if and only if  $\sum_{i,j=0}^n (A^{i+j} x_j, A^{i+j} x_i) \geq 0$  for all finite subcollections  $x_0, \dots, x_n$  of  $X$ . As an application of this criterion we show in Section 2 that an operator  $A$  is the restriction of a normal partial isometry to an invariant subspace if and only if  $A = A^* A^2$  and  $\|A\| \leq 1$ . In Section 3 we show, using our new criterion for subnormality, that an operator  $A$  is subnormal if and only if  $\{A^{*n} A^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is a Hausdorff moment sequence.

Throughout the paper  $X$  is a complex Hilbert space with inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  and norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . If  $B$  is a continuous linear operator on  $X$ , then  $B^*$  is the adjoint of  $B$ .  $B$  is *normal* if  $BB^* = B^*B$ , *quasi-normal* if  $B(B^*B) = (B^*B)B$ , an *isometry* if  $B^*B = I$  and a *partial isometry* if  $(B^*B)^2 = B^*B$ . An operator  $A$  is *subnormal* if it is the restriction of a normal operator  $B$  to an invariant subspace of  $B$  and *hyponormal* if  $AA^* \leq A^*A$ . A sequence  $\{C_n\}_0^\infty$  of operators on  $X$  is a *Hausdorff moment sequence* if there exists a positive operator measure  $\varphi$  on some interval  $[a, b]$  such that  $C_n = \int_a^b t^n d\varphi$  for each nonnegative integer  $n$ .

**1. A criterion for subnormality.** The Halmos—Bram criterion that an operator  $A$  on  $X$  be subnormal is that  $\sum_{i,j=0}^n (A^i x_j, A^j x_i) \geq 0$  for all finite collections  $x_0, \dots, x_n$  of  $X$ . We generalize this as follows:

**Theorem 1.** *An operator  $A$  on a complex Hilbert space  $X$  is subnormal if and only if  $A$  satisfies*

$$(S_1) \quad \sum_{i,j=0}^n (A^{i+j} x_j, A^{i+j} x_i) \geq 0$$

for each finite collection  $x_0, \dots, x_n$  of  $X$ .

Proof. The proof of the necessity of the condition is easy. Note that  $(S_1)$  is the special case of the Halmos—Bram condition which we obtain by choosing  $x_i = A^i x'_i$  for  $i=0, \dots, n$ .

To prove the sufficiency of the condition we imitate the techniques of Halmos and Bram and prove that if  $A$  satisfies condition  $(S_1)$ , then  $A$  is the restriction of a quasi-normal operator to an invariant subspace. This will complete our proof, since every quasi-normal operator is subnormal ([4, problem 154]).

Assume now that  $A$  satisfies condition  $(S_1)$ . The first step in the proof will be to show that  $A$  also satisfies

$$(S_2) \quad \sum_{i,j=0}^n (A^{i+j+1} x_j, A^{i+j+1} x_i) \leq \|A\|^2 \sum_{i,j=0}^n (A^{i+j} x_j, A^{i+j} x_i).$$

To obtain this result we outline a modification of BRAM's proof in [1, Theorem 1, p. 76].

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $A_1 = A/(\|A\| + \varepsilon)$ .  $A_1$  also satisfies condition  $(S_1)$ . Let  $Y = l^2(X)$ . Define  $C$  on  $Y$  by  $(Cy)_i = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_1^{*i+j} A_1^{i+j} y_j$ . An argument similar to that used by Bram shows that  $C$  is a well-defined, bounded operator on  $Y$  and that  $C \cong 0$  on  $Y$ . Now define  $B$  on  $Y$  by  $(By)_i = A_1 y_i$ . A computation almost identical to that used by Bram shows that  $\|B^* C B y\| \leq \|C y\|$  for all  $y$  in  $Y$  and hence by [5, p. 426] that  $B^* C B \leq C$  since  $\|B\| = \|A_1\| < 1$ . It now follows that if  $x_0, \dots, x_n$  are elements of  $X$ , then

$$\sum_{i,j=0}^n (A^{i+j+1} x_j, A^{i+j+1} x_i) \leq (\|A\| + \varepsilon)^2 \sum_{i,j=0}^n (A^{i+j} x_j, A^{i+j} x_i).$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  was an arbitrary positive number, condition  $(S_2)$  is satisfied.

The second step in the proof of the theorem is the construction of a quasi-normal extension of  $A$ . The following modification of HALMOS' proof in [3] will give us this result.

Let  $\tilde{X}$  be the set of all sequences  $\{x_i\}_{i=-\infty}^{\infty}$  in  $X$  such that  $x_i = 0$  for  $i < 0$  and  $x_i \neq 0$  for at most a finite number of  $i$ . On  $\tilde{X}$  define

$$(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = \sum_{i,j} (A^{i+j} x_j, A^{i+j} y_i).$$

Let  $\tilde{Y}$  be the set of equivalence classes obtained by identifying  $\tilde{x}$  with 0 if  $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}) = 0$ . Then since  $A$  satisfies condition  $(S_1)$ ,  $(, )$  is an inner product on  $\tilde{Y}$ . Define  $D$  on

$\tilde{X}$  by  $(D\tilde{x})_i = Ax_i$ . Using the fact that  $A$  also satisfies condition  $(S_2)$ , we have

$$(D\tilde{x}, D\tilde{x}) = \sum_{i,j} (A^{i+j+1}x_i, A^{i+j+1}x_j) \leq \|A\|^2 \sum_{i,j} (A^{i+j}x_i, A^{i+j}x_j) = \|A\|^2 (\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}).$$

It now follows that  $D$  may be considered to be a continuous linear operator on  $\tilde{Y}$ .

Define  $E$  on  $\tilde{X}$  by  $(E\tilde{x})_i = x_{i-1}$  and note that  $DE = ED$  on  $\tilde{X}$ . Furthermore on  $\tilde{X}$  we have the relation

$$(D\tilde{x}, D\tilde{y}) = \sum_{i,j} (A^{i+j+1}x_i, A^{i+j+1}x_j) = \sum_{i,j} (A^{i+j}x_i, A^{i+j}x_{j-1}) = (\tilde{x}, E\tilde{y}).$$

Thus on the completion of  $\tilde{Y}$ , the extensions of  $D$  and  $E$  satisfy the equation  $E = D^*D$ . However, we have already observed that  $D$  commutes with  $E$ . Therefore the extension of  $D$  to the completion of  $\tilde{Y}$  is a quasi-normal extension of  $A$  and the proof of the theorem is complete.

In [6, Theorem 7, p. 73] MAC NERNEY shows that a sequence  $\{C_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  of Hermitian operators on  $X$  is a Hausdorff sequence for the interval  $[a, b]$  if and only if

$$a \sum_{i,j=0}^n (x_i, C_{i+j}x_j) \leq \sum_{i,j=0}^n (x_i, C_{i+j+1}x_j) \leq b \sum_{i,j=0}^n (x_i, C_{i+j}x_j)$$

for each finite collection  $x_0, \dots, x_n$  in  $X$ . Using this result and Theorem 1, we readily obtain the following:

*Corollary. An operator  $A$  on  $X$  is subnormal if and only if  $\{A^{*n}A^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is a Hausdorff moment sequence.*

We note that if  $A$  is subnormal, then  $A$  is quasi-normal if and only if  $A^{*n}A^n = \int t^n d\varphi$  where  $\varphi$  is a spectral measure (that is,  $\varphi$  is a projection-valued operator measure). The proof of this assertion is simple. If  $A$  is quasi-normal, then  $A^{*n}A^n = (A^*A)^n$  for  $n \geq 0$  and thus  $A^{*n}A^n = \int t^n d\varphi$  where  $\varphi$  is the spectral resolution of  $A^*A$ . Conversely, if  $A^{*n}A^n = \int t^n d\varphi$  and  $\varphi$  is projection-valued, then  $A^{*n}A^n = (A^*A)^n$  for  $n \geq 0$ . Furthermore, by the last corollary  $A$  is subnormal and hence hyponormal. However if  $A$  is hyponormal and  $A^{*2}A^2 = (A^*A)^2$ , then  $(A^*A - AA^*)A = 0$ , proving that  $A$  is quasi-normal.

**2. The operator equation  $A = A^*A^2$ .** Consider the weighted shift  $A$  on  $l^2$  defined by  $A(x_0, x_1, \dots) = (0, 2x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots)$ . A simple computation shows that  $A = A^*A^2$ . However, since the weights of  $A$  are not monotone increasing,  $A$  is not hyponormal [4, p. 160] and consequently not subnormal. Thus not every operator satisfying the equation  $A = A^*A^2$  is subnormal. The additional hypothesis needed to force  $A$  to be subnormal is that  $\|A\| \leq 1$ . We are now able to completely characterize operators satisfying these two conditions.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $A$  be an operator on a complex Hilbert space  $X$ .  $A$  is subnormal and the minimal normal extension of  $A$  is a partial isometry if and only if  $\|A\| \leq 1$  and  $A = A^*A^2$ .*

**Proof.** Assume first that  $B$  is a normal partial isometry on a Hilbert space  $Y$ , containing  $X$ , and that  $B=A$  on  $X$ . Since every partial isometry has norm  $\leq 1$ , we have  $\|A\| \leq \|B\| \leq 1$ . Let  $P$  be the projection of  $Y$  onto  $X$ . Then for each  $x$  in  $X$  we have  $A^*A^2x = PB^*B^2x = PBB^*Bx$  (since  $B$  is normal)  $= PBx$  (since  $B$  is a partial isometry)  $= Bx = Ax$  and consequently,  $A = A^*A^2$ .

Now assume that  $A = A^*A^2$  and  $\|A\| \leq 1$ . A simple inductive argument shows that  $A^{*k}A^k = A^*A$  for each integer  $k \geq 1$ . Therefore if  $x_0, \dots, x_n$  are elements of  $X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=0}^n (A^{i+j}x_j, A^{i+j}x_i) &= \sum_{i,j=0}^n (Ax_j, Ax_i) + \|x_0\|^2 - \|Ax_0\|^2 = \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=0}^n Ax_i \right\|^2 + \|x_0\|^2 - \|Ax_0\|^2 \geq 0 \text{ since } \|A\| \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1 we know that  $A$  is subnormal. Let  $B:Y \rightarrow Y$  be the minimal normal extension of  $A$ . It remains to show that  $B$  is a partial isometry. Let  $P$  be the projection of  $Y$  onto  $X$ . Then for  $x$  in  $X$ ,  $\|PB^*B^2x\| = \|A^*A^2x\| = \|Ax\| = \|A^3x\|$  (since  $A^{*3}A^3 = A^*A$ )  $= \|B^3x\| = \|B^*B^2x\|$ . Therefore  $B^*B^2x \in X$  for all  $x$  and consequently  $B^*B^2 = B$  on  $X$ . Since  $B$  is the minimal normal extension of  $A$ , the set of vectors  $\left\{ \sum_{i=0}^n B^{*i}x_i : x_i \in X \right\}$  is dense in  $Y$  and consequently  $B^*B^2 = B$  on a dense subset of  $Y$ . This is sufficient to imply that  $B$  is a partial isometry. The proof is complete.

The assertion in Theorem 2 parallels the assertion that an operator  $A$  is an isometry if and only if  $A$  is subnormal and the minimal normal extension of  $A$  is unitary.

### References

- [1] J. BRAM, Subnormal operators, *Duke Math. J.*, **22** (1955), 75—94.
- [2] R. G. DOUGLAS, On majorization, factorization, and range inclusion of operators on Hilbert space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **17** (1966), 413—415.
- [3] P. R. HALMOS, Normal dilations and extensions of operators, *Summa Brasil. Math.*, **2** (1950), 125—134.
- [4] P. R. HALMOS, *A Hilbert space problem book*, Van Nostrand Co. (Princeton, 1967).
- [5] E. HEINZ, Beiträge zur Störungstheorie der Spektralzerlegung, *Math. Ann.*, **123** (1951), 415—438.
- [6] J. S. MAC NERNEY, Hermitian moment sequences, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **103** (1962), 45—81.

UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT CHARLOTTE

(Received October 25, 1971)