

# Remarks on endomorphism rings of torsion-free abelian groups

By L. C. A. van LEEUWEN in Delft (Holland)

## 1. The commutativity of the endomorphism ring

In this paper we study endomorphism rings of torsion-free abelian groups. In [2], Problem 46(a) FUCHS asks to determine all abelian groups with commutative endomorphism ring. Later FUCHS has shown the following [3]. Call a family of groups  $G_\alpha (\alpha \in I)$  a *rigid* system if  $\text{Hom}(G_\alpha, G_\beta) = 0$  or a subgroup of the rationals according as  $\alpha \neq \beta$  or  $\alpha = \beta$ . To every cardinal  $m$ , less than the first inaccessible aleph, there exists a rigid system consisting of  $2^m$  torsion-free groups of cardinality  $m$ .

The groups in a rigid system are obviously always indecomposable and they have commutative endomorphism rings. So the question arises: if the endomorphism ring of a torsion-free abelian group  $G$  is commutative, is  $G$  then indecomposable? It is easy to construct a counter-example. Let  $p_1, p_2$  be different primes.  $G_{p_1}$  is the group of the rationals whose denominators are powers of  $p_1$ ;  $G_{p_2}$  is similar with respect to  $p_2$ . Then  $\{G_{p_1}, G_{p_2}\}$  is a rigid system and  $E(G) \cong E(G_{p_1}) + E(G_{p_2})$  (ring-direct sum), since  $G_{p_i}$  is a fully invariant subgroup of  $G = G_{p_1} + G_{p_2}$  (direct sum) ( $i=1, 2$ ). Hence  $E(G)$  is commutative, but  $G = G_{p_1} + G_{p_2}$  is decomposable.

Conversely, assume that  $G$  is an indecomposable group. Is  $E(G)$  then a commutative ring? For well-known indecomposable groups, such as the group  $Z$  of integers, the group  $Q$  of rationals, the group  $Z(p)$  of  $p$ -adic integers, any pure subgroup  $G$  of  $Z(p)$ , this is true. However, one can construct a counter-example as follows:

Let  $R$  be the ring of integer quaternions i.e. elements of the form  $a_0 + a_1i + a_2j + a_3k$  with  $a_i \in Z$  ( $i=0, 1, 2, 3$ ) and  $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$ ,  $ij = k = -ji$ ,  $ik = -j = -ki$ ,  $jk = i = -kj$  with obvious addition and multiplication.  $R$  is a reduced, torsion-free ring of rank 4. By a theorem of CORNER [1] every reduced torsion-free ring  $A$  of finite rank  $n$  is isomorphic to the endomorphism ring  $E(G)$  of some reduced, torsion-free group  $G$  of rank  $2n$ . Hence  $R$  is isomorphic to the endomorphism ring  $E(G)$  of some reduced, torsion-free group  $G$  of rank 8.

Since  $R$  has no zero-divisors, the same is true for  $E(G)$ . Hence 0 and 1 are the only idempotents in  $E(G)$ . But this implies that  $G$  is indecomposable, for if  $G = G_1 + G_2$  for subgroups  $G_1, G_2$ , then the projections  $\pi_i: G \rightarrow G_i$ ,  $i=1, 2$ , are orthogonal idempotents of  $E(G)$  whose sum  $\pi_1 + \pi_2 = 1$ . So we get either  $\pi_1 = 1$ ,  $\pi_2 = 0$  or  $\pi_1 = 0$ ,  $\pi_2 = 1$  which means either  $G_2 = 0$  or  $G_1 = 0$ . Hence  $G$  is indecomposable, but  $E(G) \cong R$  is not commutative. Thus we have to impose stronger conditions on the group  $G$  in order that its ring of endomorphisms be commutative. We recall from [4]:

Definition 1. (cf. [4], definition 2. 1) For groups  $G$  and  $H$ , we say that

- (i)  $G$  is quasi-contained in  $H$  ( $G \subseteq\subseteq H$ ) if  $nG \subseteq H$  for some non-zero integer  $n$ ;
- (ii)  $G$  is quasi-equal to  $H$  ( $G \doteq H$ ) if  $G \subseteq\subseteq H$  and  $H \subseteq\subseteq G$ ;
- (iii)  $G$  is quasi-decomposable if there exist non-zero independent groups  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $G \doteq A + B$ ;
- (iv)  $G$  is strongly indecomposable if  $G$  is not quasi-decomposable.

Now suppose that  $G$  is a torsion-free group of rank 2. Then  $G$  is strongly indecomposable or  $G = G_1 + G_2$ ,  $G_1 \cong G_2$ , or  $G \doteq G_1 + G_2$ ,  $G_i$  of incomparable types, or  $G \doteq S + B$ , type  $B <$  type  $S$ .

Let  $E(G)$  be the ring of endomorphisms of  $G$ . Then  $E(G)$  is a torsion-free ring and  $QE(G)$  is the minimal  $Q$ -algebra containing  $E(G)$ .  $QE(G)$  can be characterized as the set of linear transformation  $\Phi$  of  $QG$  (minimal  $Q$ -algebra containing  $G$ ) such that  $n\Phi(G) \subseteq G$  for some  $n \neq 0$  in  $Z$ .

The algebra  $QE(G)$  is the *ring of quasi-endomorphisms* of  $G$  and will be denoted by  $E(G)$ . Now if  $G$  is strongly indecomposable then  $E(G)$  is a quadratic number field,  $Q$ , or the ring of  $2 \times 2$  triangular matrices  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in Q \right\}$  with equal diagonal elements. In all cases  $E(G)$  is commutative, hence  $E(G)$ , which is a subring of  $E(G)$ , is commutative. Hence:

*If  $G$  is a strongly indecomposable group of rank 2, then  $E(G)$  is commutative.*

Although the condition of strong indecomposability of  $G$  is sufficient for the commutativity of  $E(G)$  it is not necessary, as may be seen from  $G = G_1 + G_2$ ,  $G_i$  of incomparable types (cf. first counter-example). We can extend this result to torsion-free groups of prime rank, in case  $G$  is irreducible.

Definition 2. A group  $G$  is irreducible if it has no proper non-trivial pure fully invariant subgroups (cf. [4], definition 5. 1).

Now let  $G$  be a strongly indecomposable group of prime rank. If  $G$  is irreducible, then  $E(G)$  is commutative. By Corollary 5. 6 [4],  $E(G) = \Gamma$  is a division ring and by Theorem 5. 5,  $[\Gamma:Q] = \text{rank } G = p$  ( $p$  a prime).

Now let  $F$  be the center of  $\Gamma$ , then  $[\Gamma:Q]=[\Gamma:F][F:Q]=p$ ; but  $[\Gamma:F]=n^2$ , so  $n^2|p$  which implies  $n=1$ , hence  $\Gamma=F$  or  $E(G)=\Gamma$  is commutative. Then  $E(G)$ , as a subring of  $E(G)$ , is commutative. For irreducible groups  $G$  of prime rank, REID [4] has shown that  $G$  is either strongly indecomposable or equal to a direct sum of isomorphic rank one groups. Hence for these groups indecomposability implies strongly indecomposability. Hence:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G$  be an irreducible, indecomposable torsion-free group of prime rank. Then  $E(G)$  is commutative.*

One might ask whether strong indecomposability is always sufficient for commutativity of the endomorphism ring. The answer is no and the counter-example is again the ring  $R$  of integer quaternions. As we have seen,  $R \cong E(G)$ , where  $G$  is a reduced torsion-free group of rank 8. Now the ring  $E(G)$  of quasi-endomorphisms of  $G$  is the quaternion field  $F$  with basis  $1, i, j, k$  over  $Q$ .

Since  $F$  is a field it is a *local ring*, that is, a ring  $R$  with identity such that  $R/J(R)$  is a division ring, where  $J(R)$  is the Jacobson radical of  $R$ .

By Corollary 4.3 [4], a torsion-free group  $G$  of finite rank is strongly indecomposable if and only if  $E(G)$  is a local ring. Since  $F=E(G)$  is such a ring, it follows that  $G$  is strongly indecomposable. However,  $E(G) \cong R$  is not commutative.

For the class of irreducible groups of prime rank we have seen that they are either strongly indecomposable or equal to a direct sum of isomorphic rank one groups. Now assume that  $G$  is such a group and  $E(G)$  is commutative. Then the number of direct summands in a direct sum representation of  $G$  cannot be greater than one.

Hence  $G$  is strongly indecomposable or  $G$  is a rank one group. A rank one group is clearly strongly indecomposable. Hence, if we use Theorem 1, we get:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $G$  be an irreducible group of prime rank. Then  $E(G)$  is commutative if and only if  $G$  is strongly indecomposable.*

If we omit the condition that the rank of  $G$  should be prime, we have the following result:

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $G$  be an irreducible group of finite rank  $k$ , such that  $k$  is square free. Then  $E(G)$  is commutative if and only if  $G$  is strongly indecomposable.*

**Proof.** Assume  $E(G)$  is commutative, then  $E(G)$  is commutative. Since  $G$  is irreducible,  $E(G)=\Gamma_m$  where  $\Gamma$  is a division algebra,  $m$  is the number of strongly indecomposable summands in a quasi-decomposition of  $G$  and  $m[\Gamma:Q]=\text{rank } G$  [4]. Since  $\Gamma_m$  is commutative, it follows that  $m=1$ ,  $E(G)=\Gamma$  and  $G$  is strongly indecomposable. Conversely, assume that  $G$  is strongly indecomposable. Since  $G$  is

irreducible,  $G$  has a quasi-decomposition  $G \doteq \sum_{i=1}^m G_i$  with each  $G_i$  strongly indecomposable [4]. It follows that  $m=1$  and  $E(G)=\Gamma$  is a division ring. Moreover  $[\Gamma:Q]=\text{rank } G=k$ . Since the dimension of  $\Gamma$  over its center must be a square dividing  $k$ , this dimension is 1 and  $E(G)=\Gamma$  is commutative. Hence  $E(G)$  is commutative. Note that Theorem 2 is a special case of Theorem 3.

From [4] we use the

**Definition 3.** Let  $G$  be a torsion-free group of finite rank. Let  $S$  be the pure subgroup of  $G$  generated by the collection of non-zero minimal pure fully invariant subgroups of  $G$ . We call  $S$  the pseudo-socle of  $G$ .

REID [4] has shown that  $G=S$  if and only if  $E(G)$  is semi-simple. So we investigate the commutativity of  $E(G)$  under the condition that the radical of  $E(G)$  is zero. First we remark that the quasidecomposition of a torsion-free group of finite rank is essentially unique i.e. if  $G$  has finite rank then any quasi-decomposition of  $G$  has only finitely many summands and if

$$\sum_{i=1}^s H_i \doteq G \doteq \sum_{j=1}^t K_j$$

with the  $H_i$  and  $K_j$  strongly indecomposable ( $i=1, \dots, s; j=1, \dots, t$ ), then  $s=t$  and for some permutation  $\pi$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, t\}$  we have  $K_j$  is quasi-isomorphic to  $H_{\pi(j)}$  ( $j=1, \dots, t$ ) [4].

**Theorem 4.** Let  $G$  be a torsion-free group of finite rank with  $E(G)$  semi-simple but not simple. Then  $E(G)$  is commutative if and only if in any quasi-decomposition of  $G$  the summands have commutative endomorphism rings.

**Proof.** Assume  $E(G)$  is commutative, then  $E(G)$  is commutative. Since  $E(G)$  has D.C.C. on right ideals and is semi-simple, we get  $E(G) \cong \Delta_1 + \dots + \Delta_m$  (direct sum), where  $\Delta_i$  is a field ( $i=1, \dots, m$ ). Identify  $E(G)$  with this direct sum and write  $E(G) = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i E(G)$ , where  $\Delta_i = f_i E(G)$  ( $i=1, \dots, m$ ) and  $f_i$  induces the projection of  $E(G)$  onto  $\Delta_i$ . To this decomposition of  $E(G)$  there corresponds a quasi-decomposition of  $G \doteq \sum_{i=1}^m Gf_i$  with  $E(Gf_i) \cong f_i E(G) f_i = \Delta_i$ , so that  $E(Gf_i)$  is a field. Hence  $Gf_i$  is strongly indecomposable ( $i=1, \dots, m$ ) ([4], Corollary 4.3). Hence any quasi-decomposition of  $G$  has  $m$  strongly indecomposable summands and each of these summands has a commutative quasi-endomorphism ring and therefore a commutative endomorphism ring.

Conversely, assume that the condition for  $G$  with respect to quasi-decomposability is satisfied. Since  $E(G)$  has D.C.C. on right ideals and is semi-simple, it may be identified with a finite direct sum of matrix rings over division rings:  $E(G) =$

$= \Delta_1 + \dots + \Delta_n$  (Wedderburn). This implies there is a set  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  of non-zero mutually orthogonal idempotents of  $E(G)$  whose sum is the identity in  $E(G)$ :  $1 \cong e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n$ . Then there is a quasi-decomposition  $G \cong \sum_{i=1}^n Ge_i$  of  $G$ , which corresponds to the direct decomposition of  $E(G)$  ([4], Theorem 3. 1). Now  $E(Ge_i) \cong e_i E(G) e_i = \Delta_i e_i = \Delta_i$ , since  $e_i$  is the unit element for  $\Delta_i$ , so that  $\Delta_i$  must be commutative. Hence  $E(G)$  is commutative and therefore  $E(G)$  is commutative. This completes the proof of the theorem.

From the semi-simplicity of  $E(G)$  one easily derives that the components  $Ge_i$  in a quasi-decomposition of  $G$  have a semi-simple quasi-endomorphism ring  $E(Ge_i)$ , since the radical of  $e_i E(G) e_i (\cong E(Ge_i))$  is  $e_i N e_i$ , where  $N$  is the radical of  $E(G)$ . Hence Theorem 4 reduces the case of groups  $G$  of finite rank with  $E(G)$  semi-simple but not simple to the case of strongly indecomposable groups  $G$  of finite rank with  $E(G)$  semisimple but not simple.

Next assume that  $G$  is a strongly indecomposable group with semi-simple  $E(G)$ . Then  $E(G)$  is a division algebra ([4], Corollary 4. 3). Now we have the following sufficient condition in order that  $E(G)$  be commutative:  *$G$  has a commutative  $E(G)$  if  $G$  has a non-zero minimal pure fully invariant subgroup  $P$ , whose rank  $k$  is square-free.*

(Note that the case  $G = P$  or  $G$  is irreducible is contained in Theorem 3.)

Indeed, if the condition is satisfied, then  $\text{rank } P = [E(G):Q] = k$ ,  $k$  square-free. Since the dimension of  $E(G)$  over its center must be a square dividing  $k$ ,  $E(G)$  is commutative and an algebraic number field. Hence  $E(G)$  is commutative.

The condition is satisfied if the rank of  $G$  is 2 or 3. If  $G$  is irreducible,  $G = P$  and the rank of  $G$  is square-free. If  $G$  is not irreducible, there exists a minimal non-zero pure fully invariant subgroup  $P$  in  $G$ , distinct from  $G$ , and the rank of  $P$  is 1 or 2. Hence the condition is satisfied.

## 2. The Jacobson radical

All the groups  $G$  considered here are torsion-free groups of finite rank. So  $E(G)$  always satisfies the D.C.C. for right ideals. It is well known that under this condition  $G$  is strongly indecomposable if and only if  $E(G)/N$  is a division ring, where  $N$  is the Jacobson radical of  $E(G)$  (Corollary 4. 3, [4]), i.e.  $E(G)$  is a local ring.

We prove now

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $G$  be a torsion-free group such that  $E(G)$  satisfies the D.C.C. on right ideals. Then the Jacobson radical of  $E(G)$  ( $= J(E(G))$ ) is zero implies that the Jacobson radical of  $E(G)$  ( $= J(E(G))$ ) is zero i.e.  $E(G)$  is semi-simple.*

**Proof.** Since  $E(G)$  satisfies D.C.C. for right ideals,  $J(E(G))$  coincides with the union of all left nilpotent ideals in  $E(G)$  and  $J(E(G))$  is nil. Hence  $J(E(G))$  is a pure ideal in  $E(G)$ , since the nil radical of a torsion-free ring is a pure ideal ([2], p. 271). It follows that nil radical of  $E(G) = E(G) \cap \text{nil radical of } E(G)$ , according to the correspondence between pure ideals in  $E(G)$  and  $E(G)$ . So we get nil radical of  $E(G) = E(G) \cap J(E(G))$  and then  $E(G) \cap J(E(G)) \subseteq J(E(G))$ .

Now suppose  $J(E(G)) = 0$  and let  $\varphi \in J(E(G))$ . Then  $\varphi \in E(G)$ , so  $\exists n \neq 0 \in Z$  such that  $n\varphi \in E(G)$ . Also  $n\varphi \in J(E(G))$ , hence  $n\varphi \in J(E(G)) \cap E(G) \subseteq J(E(G)) = 0$ , so  $n\varphi = 0$ , which implies  $\varphi = 0$ , since  $E(G)$  is torsion-free. Hence  $J(E(G)) = 0$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

Since  $E(G)$  is semi-simple if and only if  $G = S$ , it follows immediately:

**Corollary.** *Let  $G$  be a torsion-free group of finite rank. If the Jacobson radical  $J(E(G))$  of the endomorphism ring  $E(G)$  is zero, then  $G = S$ .*

One may ask whether  $J(E(G)) = 0$  is a necessary condition in order that  $J(E(G)) = 0$ . This is not the case as may be seen from the following example. Let  $G = Z(p)$  be the group of  $p$ -adic integers. Then  $E(G) = Z(p)$  and  $E(G) = K(p)$ , the  $p$ -adic number field. Hence  $J(E(G)) = 0$ , but  $J(E(G)) = pZ(p)$ , so  $J(E(G)) \neq 0$ . Of course, if  $E(G)$  satisfies D.C.C. on right ideals, then nil radical of  $E(G) = J(E(G)) = E(G) \cap J(E(G))$ . Hence  $J(E(G)) = 0$  if and only if  $J(E(G)) = 0$  in this case.

### References

- [1] A. L. S. CORNER, Every countable reduced torsion-free ring is an endomorphism ring, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **13** (1963), 687—710.
- [2] L. FUCHS, *Abelian groups* (Budapest, 1958).
- [3] L. FUCHS, The existence of indecomposable abelian groups of arbitrary power, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.*, **10** (1959), 453—457.
- [4] J. D. REID, On the ring of quasi-endomorphisms of a torsion-free group, *Topics in abelian groups* (Chicago, 1963), 51—68.

(Received March 23, 1970)