

On interpolation functions. III

By J. PEETRE in Lund (Sweden)

In several previous notes (see PEETRE [8], [9], [10]; see also GOULAOUIC [5]) we found various conditions, both necessary and sufficient, for a function to be an *interpolation function*, of given power p , $1 < p < \infty$ — a notion which has its origin in the work of FOIAS—LIONS [4]. In particular what concerns *non-exact* interpolation functions our results were almost complete, while as for *exact* interpolation functions the problem is, up to our knowledge, still essentially open (unless $p=2$, see DONOUGHUE [3]). This note is devoted to the observation that the methods of [8], [9], [10] are sufficiently powerful to settle the question not only in the limiting case $p=1$ (and, by a conveniently modified argument, the case $p=\infty$ too), which is fairly obvious (see [5]), but also in two additional cases of a quite different nature: 1° $0 < p < 1$, 2° $0 < p < \infty$ and, in place of the field of real numbers R , a general local field F (e.g. the field of P -adic numbers Q_P , P being any (rational) prime number). In case 1° we thus have to leave the realm of Banach spaces and admit “quasi-Banach” spaces; in case 2° we encounter analogous vector spaces over the field F . The possibility of both types of extensions, when dealing with interpolation in general, was first realized by KRÉE [6]. In fact it is possible to treat both cases simultaneously within the framework of what we call “ ϱ -normed additive groups”, with a given ϱ , $0 < \varrho \leq \infty$, and p ranging in the interval $0 < p \leq \varrho$. Clearly $\varrho=1$ in case 1° and $\varrho=\infty$ in case 2°. (It should be noted that there are also other parallels between the two cases. E.g. to DAY's theorem [2] to the effect that (in general) $(L^p)'=0$ if $p < 1$ (case 1°) there corresponds $(L^p)'=0$ if $p < \infty$ (case 2°): there is (in general) no integral for functions with values in F (see MONNA [7]).

*

Let G be an additive (Abelian) group. By a ϱ -norm, where $0 < \varrho \leq \infty$, in G we mean a mapping $G \ni a \rightarrow \|a\| \in R_+$ such that

a) $\|a\|=0 \Leftrightarrow a=0$,

b) $\|a+b\| \leq (\|a\|^\varrho + \|b\|^\varrho)^{1/\varrho}$ (i.e. $\|a+b\| \leq \max(\|a\|, \|b\|)$ if $\varrho=\infty$).

If $\varrho < \infty$ then $a \rightarrow \|a\|$ is a ϱ -norm if and only if $a \rightarrow \|a\|^\varrho$ is a 1-norm. Therefore there are really only two cases: 1° $\varrho=1$ and 2° $\varrho=\infty$. But it is, from the notational point

of view, convenient not to pretend of this fact. An additive group G in which a q -norm is singled out we call a q -normed additive group. The principal example is of course when G is a vector space over a " q -valued" field F . If $F=R$ with its usual valuation (absolute value) we must have $q \leq 1$ (unless $G=0$) but if F is a local field (say, the field of P -adic numbers Q_p) the case $q = \infty$ of course can occur (see [7]). If π is an endomorphism of G (i.e. $\pi(a+b) = \pi(a) + \pi(b)$) we say that π is bounded with bound M if

$$(1) \quad \|\pi a\| \leq M \|a\|.$$

The additive group of bounded endomorphisms of G we denote by $\mathcal{B}(G)$.

Let X be a locally compact space provided with a positive measure μ , ζ a positive μ -measurable function on X , G a complete q -normed additive group, $0 < p < \infty$. Denote by $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}(G)$ the space of bounded μ -measurable functions on X with values in G and compact support. If $a \in \mathcal{X}$ we set

$$(2) \quad \|a\|_{\zeta} = \|a\|_{L^p_{\zeta}} = \left[\int_X (\zeta(x) \|a(x)\|)^p d\mu \right]^{1/p}.$$

This is clearly a q_1 -norm in \mathcal{X} , with $q_1 = \min(q, p)$. The completion of \mathcal{X} in this q_1 -norm we denote by $L^p_{\zeta} = L^p_{\zeta}(G)$. A great portion of the theory of L^p spaces with values in a Banach space E (over R), as developed e.g. in BOURBAKI [1], chap. IV, can be carried over to the present case, L^p spaces with values in a complete q -normed additive group G (and weight function ζ). But if $p < q$, as we have already remarked, there is (in general) no integral (see [7]).

Now we come to our main definition. We say that a function $H = H(z_0, z_1)$, defined, continuous, and positive for $z_0 > 0, z_1 > 0$, is an *exact interpolation function*, of power p , with respect to G , if for any X, μ, ζ_0, ζ_1 it follows from $\pi \in \mathcal{B}(L^p_{\zeta_0}) \cap \mathcal{B}(L^p_{\zeta_1})$ that $\pi \in \mathcal{B}(L^p_{\zeta})$, with $\zeta = H(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$ and

$$(3) \quad M \leq \max(M_0, M_1)$$

for the three bounds M_0, M_1, M involved. We consider here only functions H which moreover are homogeneous of degree 1. We can thus write

$$H(z_0, z_1) = z_0 h(z_1/z_0)$$

where h is uniquely determined by H .

Our main result now reads:

Theorem. *Assume that H is an exact interpolation function of power p , with respect to a complete q -normed additive group G satisfying the condition:*

(*) *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive number $\lambda < \varepsilon$ and an endomorphism χ of G such that $\|\chi(a)\| = \lambda \|a\|$.*

Then $\varphi(\sigma) = (h(\sigma^{1/p}))^p$ is concave. If $p \leq q$ this condition is also sufficient for H to be an exact interpolation function of power p , with respect to any G .

Remark. If G is a vector space over a field F one can take χ in (*) to be multiplication with a suitable $c \in F$. E.g. if $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$ we may take c to be a power of P .

Proof (necessity). As in [9], p. 170, we take X to be the set of $n+1$ points x, x_1, \dots, x_n and assume that μ to each of these points assigns the mass 1. Furthermore we take $\zeta_0 \equiv 1$ and $\zeta_1(x) = z, \zeta_1(x_i) = z_i (i = 1, \dots, n)$ where

$$(4) \quad z^p = \frac{1}{n} (z_1^p + \dots + z_n^p).$$

For a given $\varepsilon > 0$ we choose λ and χ as in (*) and take n to be the integer part of $1/\lambda^p$, i.e. $n \leq 1/\lambda^p < n+1$ or

$$(5) \quad 1 - \varepsilon^p < 1 - \lambda^p < n\lambda^p \leq 1.$$

We define π by

$$\pi a(x) = 0, \quad \pi a(x_i) = \chi(a(x)) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

For the three bounds of π we have then (using the condition on χ in (*))

$$M_0 = \lambda n^{1/p}, \quad M_1 = \lambda \frac{1}{z} [z_1^p + \dots + z_n^p]^{1/p}, \quad M = \lambda \frac{1}{h(z)} [(h(z_1))^p + \dots + (h(z_n))^p]^{1/p}$$

or, in view of (4) and (5),

$$M_0 \leq 1, \quad M_1 \leq 1, \quad M > (1 - \varepsilon^p)^{1/p} \frac{1}{h(z)} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} [(h(z_1))^p + \dots + (h(z_n))^p] \right\}^{1/p}.$$

From (3) it follows now

$$(1 - \varepsilon^p) \frac{1}{n} [(h(z_1))^p + \dots + (h(z_n))^p] < (h(z))^p$$

or if we set $\sigma_i = z_i^p (i = 1, \dots, n)$ and use (4) again

$$(1 - \varepsilon^p) \frac{\varphi(\sigma_1) + \dots + \varphi(\sigma_n)}{n} < \Phi \left(\frac{\sigma_1 + \dots + \sigma_n}{n} \right).$$

Assume for simplicity that n is even, say $n = 2m$. Then we may take $\sigma_i = \sigma$ if $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $\sigma_i = \tau$ if $i = m+1, \dots, n$. It follows that

$$(1 - \varepsilon^p) \frac{\Phi(\sigma) + \Phi(\tau)}{2} < \Phi \left(\frac{\sigma + \tau}{2} \right)$$

or, since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary,

$$\frac{\Phi(\sigma) + \Phi(\tau)}{2} \leq \Phi \left(\frac{\sigma + \tau}{2} \right).$$

This proves the concavity of φ .

Proof (sufficiency). Let us set (see [8])

$$K_p(t, a) = \inf_{a = a_0 + a_1} (\|a_0\|_{\zeta_0}^p + t^p \|a_1\|_{\zeta_1}^p)^{1/p}$$

where $0 < t < \infty$ and $a \in L_{\zeta_0}^p + L_{\zeta_1}^p$. It is readily seen, using (1), that

$$K_p(t, \pi a) \leq \max(M_0, M_1) K_p(t, a).$$

Thus if we can find a representation of the form

$$(6) \quad \|a\|_{\zeta} = \Phi[K_p(t, a)]$$

with a functional $\Phi[\varphi]$ which is *monotone* and homogeneous of degree 1, we are through, because we then get

$$\|\pi a\|_{\zeta} = \Phi[K_p(t, \pi a)] \leq \max(M_0, M_1) \Phi[K_p(t, a)] = \max(M_0, M_1) \|a\|_{\zeta},$$

which leads to (3). By (2) we obtain

$$(7) \quad [K_p(t, a)]^p = \inf_X \int [(\zeta_0(x) \|a_0(x)\|)^p + (t\zeta_1(x) \|a_1(x)\|)^p] d\mu = \\ = \int_X \inf [(\zeta_0(x) \|a_0(x)\|)^p + (t\zeta_1(x) \|a_1(x)\|)^p] d\mu.$$

We claim that (if $p \leq q$)

$$(8) \quad \inf [(\zeta_0(x) \|a_0(x)\|)^p + (t\zeta_1(x) \|a_1(x)\|)^p] = [\min(\zeta_0(x), t\zeta_1(x)) \|a(x)\|]^p.$$

Indeed we have, by the " q -triangle inequality" and using the fact that $p \leq q$,

$$\min(\zeta_0(x), t\zeta_1(x)) \|a(x)\| \leq [(\zeta_0(x) \|a_0(x)\|)^q + (t\zeta_1(x) \|a_1(x)\|)^q]^{1/q} \leq \\ \leq [(\zeta_0(x) \|a_0(x)\|)^p + (t\zeta_1(x) \|a_1(x)\|)^p]^{1/p}.$$

This leads to " \leq " in (8). But by considering the special decomposition $a_0 = a$, $a_1 = 0$ or $a_0 = 0$, $a_1 = a$, depending on the value of t , we see that the corresponding lower bound is attained. Thus we get effectively " $=$ " in (8). Inserting next (8) in (7) we arrive at the formula

$$(9) \quad K_p(t, a) = \|a\|_{\min(\zeta_0, t\zeta_1)}.$$

Now every concave function φ admits the representation (see [9])

$$\varphi(\sigma) = C_0 + C_1 \sigma + \int_0^{\infty} \min(1, \tau\sigma) d\xi(\tau)$$

where C_0 and C_1 are positive constants and ξ is a positive measure on $(0, \infty)$. It follows that

$$(H(\zeta_0, \zeta_1))^p = C_0 \zeta_0^p + C_1 \zeta_1^p + \int_0^{\infty} (\min(\zeta_0, t\zeta_1))^p d\xi(t^p)$$

or, by (9), with $d\alpha(t) = d\xi(t^p)$,

$$(10) \quad \|a\|_{\zeta} = [C_0 \|a\|_{\zeta_0}^p + C_1 \|a\|_{\zeta_1}^p + \int_0^{\infty} (K_p(t, a))^p d\alpha(t)]^{1/p}.$$

Since, by (9),

$$\|a\|_{\zeta_0} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} K_p(t, a), \quad \|a\|_{\zeta_1} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} K_p(t, a),$$

(10) is a representation of the desired type (6).

Remark. In conclusion we remark that the above result probably also can be extended to the case when not only the weight function ζ but also p is a varied, à la STEIN—WEISS [12] (i.e. we have spaces $L_{\zeta_0}^{p_0}$ and $L_{\zeta_1}^{p_1}$ in place of just $L_{\zeta_0}^p$ and $L_{\zeta_1}^p$), by making use of the corresponding ideas in PEETRE [11].

References

- [1] N. BOURBAKI, *Intégration* (Paris, 1952).
- [2] M. M. DAY, The spaces L^p with $0 < p < 1$, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **46** (1940), 816—823.
- [3] W. DONOGHUE, The interpolation of quadratic norms, *Acta Math.*, **118** (1967), 251—270.
- [4] C. FOIAŞ—J. L. LIONS, Sur certains théorèmes d'interpolation, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **22** (1961), 269—2821.
- [5] C. GOULAOUIC, Prolongements de foncteurs d'interpolation et applications, *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, **18** (1968), 1—98.
- [6] P. KRÉÉ, Interpolation d'espaces qui ne sont ni normés, ni complets. Applications, *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, **17** (1968), 137—174.
- [7] A. MONNA, Linear topological spaces over non-Archimedean valued fields, *Proceedings of a Conference on Local Fields, Driebergen, 1967* (Berlin—Heidelberg—New York, 1967).
- [8] J. PEETRE, On an interpolation theorem of Foiaş and Lions, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **25** (1964), 255—261.
- [9] ——— On interpolation functions, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **27** (1966), 167—171.
- [10] ——— On interpolation functions. II, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **29** (1968), 91—92.
- [11] ——— A new approach in interpolation spaces (to appear in *Studia Math.*).
- [12] E. M. STEIN—G. WEISS, Interpolation of operators with change of measures, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **87** (1958), 159—172.

(Received Nov. 16, 1968)