

A note on exponential sums^{*})

L. CARLITZ in Durham (N. C., U. S. A.)

To Professor L. Rédei on his sixtieth birthday

1. Let p be an odd prime and let ζ denote a primitive p -th root of 1. Put

$$(1.1) \quad B = \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} c_s \zeta^s \quad (c_s = \pm 1),$$

where the coefficients c_s independently take on the values ± 1 . The number of sums B is evidently 2^{p-1} . Also put

$$(1.2) \quad B_r = \sum_{s=1}^r c_s \zeta^{k_s} \quad (c_s = \pm 1),$$

where $r \leq p-1$ and

$$1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_r \leq p-1.$$

RÉDEI [1, Theorems 6, 7] has proved the following results.

Theorem A. *The sum B satisfies*

$$(1.3) \quad (1-\zeta)^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} \mid B$$

if and only if

$$(1.4) \quad B = \pm \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{s}{p}\right) \zeta^s;$$

that is, if and only if B is a Gauss sum. If (1.3) does not hold, then B is divisible by at most $(1-\zeta)^{\frac{1}{4}(p-1)}$; this will occur if and only if $p = 4m + 1$ and

$$B = \pm (\eta_0 - \eta_2) \pm (\eta_1 - \eta_3),$$

where g is a primitive root (mod p) and

$$\eta_j = \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} \zeta^{g^{4s+j}} \quad (j = 0, 1, 2, 3).$$

^{*}) Research prepared under National Science Foundation grant NSF—G 9425.

Theorem B. If B_r satisfies

$$(1.5) \quad (1-\zeta)^e |B_r,$$

then $e \leq \frac{1}{2}r$.

The proof of these results depend upon some theorems concerning lacunary polynomials in the finite field $GF(p)$.

It may be of interest to note some corollaries of RÉDEI's theorems. If B is defined by (1.1) we may ask when B satisfies

$$(1.6) \quad |B|^2 = p.$$

Since

$$|B|^2 = B\bar{B}, \quad \bar{B} = \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} c_s \zeta^{-s},$$

it is evident that $|B|^2$ is an integer of the cyclotomic field $R(\zeta)$, where R denotes the rational field. Hence, in place of (1.6), we may ask when B satisfies the weaker condition

$$(1.7) \quad |B|^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Since $\mathfrak{p} = (1-\zeta)$ is a prime ideal of $R(\zeta)$ such that $(\mathfrak{p}) = p^{p-1}$, (1.7) is equivalent to

$$(1.8) \quad B\bar{B} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{p-1}}.$$

Now suppose that

$$(1.9) \quad \mathfrak{p}^e |B, \quad \mathfrak{p}^{e+1} \nmid B;$$

applying the automorphism $\zeta \rightarrow \zeta^{-1}$, it is clear that (1.9) implies

$$\mathfrak{p}^e |\bar{B}, \quad \mathfrak{p}^{e+1} \nmid \bar{B}.$$

It follows that

$$(1.10) \quad \mathfrak{p}^{2e} |B\bar{B}, \quad \mathfrak{p}^{2e+1} \nmid B\bar{B}.$$

Comparing (1.10) with (1.8), we infer that

$$(1.11) \quad 2e \geq p-1.$$

Thus (1.8) implies (1.3) and therefore by the first of RÉDEI's results quoted above it follows that (1.4) holds. We may accordingly state

Theorem 1. *The sum B satisfies (1.7) if and only if (1.4) holds, that is if and only if B is a Gauss sum.*

As an immediate corollary, we have

Theorem 2. *The sum B satisfies (1.6) if and only if B is a Gauss sum.*

2. If we use the fuller notation

$$(2.1) \quad B(\zeta^k) = \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} c_s \zeta^{sk} \quad (1 \leq k \leq p-1),$$

where, as above, $c_s = \pm 1$, then we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} |B(\zeta^k)|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} c_s \zeta^{sk} \sum_{t=1}^{p-1} c_t \zeta^{-tk} = \sum_{s,t=1}^{p-1} c_s c_t \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \zeta^{(s-t)k}.$$

We shall assume that the c_s satisfy the condition

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} c_s = 0.$$

Then it is clear from the above that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} |B(\zeta^k)|^2 = \sum_{s,t=1}^{p-1} c_s c_t \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \zeta^{(s-t)k} = p \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} c_s^2,$$

so that

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} |B(\zeta^k)|^2 = p(p-1).$$

According to (2.3), the number $|B(\zeta^k)|^2$ is on the average equal to p . In view of the restriction (2.2), the number of sums $B(\zeta^k)$, for fixed ζ^k , is $\binom{p-1}{m}$, where $p = 2m + 1$; by Theorem 2, only two of the sums satisfy (1.6). Hence if $B(\zeta^k)$ is not a Gauss sum but (2.2) is satisfied, it follows from (2.3) that both inequalities

$$|B(\zeta^k)|^2 > p, \quad |B(\zeta^k)|^2 < p$$

are satisfied for appropriate values of k . This suggests the problem of determining upper and lower bounds for $|B(\zeta^k)|$. However for $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/p}$,

$$(2.4) \quad c_1 = \dots = c_m = 1, \quad c_{m+1} = \dots = c_{2m} = -1,$$

where $p = 2m + 1$, we have

$$B = B(\zeta) = \sum_{s=1}^m \zeta^s - \sum_{s=m+1}^{2m} \zeta^s = \zeta(1 - \zeta^m) \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} \zeta^s = \frac{\zeta(1 - \zeta^m)^2}{1 - \zeta},$$

so that

$$|B| = \left| \frac{(1 - \zeta^m)^2}{1 - \zeta} \right| = 2 \frac{\sin^2 \frac{m\pi}{p}}{\sin \frac{\pi}{p}}.$$

Therefore for large p we get

$$|B| \sim \frac{2}{\pi} p.$$

In particular, the statement

$$(2.6) \quad B = o(p)$$

for all B satisfying (2.2), is false.

Again for the choice

$$(2.7) \quad c_1 = c_3 = \dots = c_{2m-1} = 1, \quad c_2 = c_4 = \dots = c_{2m} = -1,$$

we have

$$B = B(\zeta) = \sum_{s=1}^{2m} (-1)^{s-1} \zeta^s = \frac{\zeta(1-\zeta^{2m})}{1+\zeta},$$

so that

$$|B| = \left| \frac{1-\zeta^{2m}}{1+\zeta} \right| = \frac{\sin \frac{2m\pi}{p}}{\cos \frac{\pi}{p}} = \frac{\sin \frac{\pi}{p}}{\cos \frac{\pi}{p}}.$$

For large p this implies

$$(2.8) \quad B \sim \frac{\pi}{p}.$$

Thus the statement

$$(2.9) \quad |B| > c > 0$$

for all B satisfying (2.2) where c is independent of p , is also false. It seems plausible that

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{\pi}{p} < |B| < \frac{2p}{\pi}$$

for all B satisfying (2.2).

3: Turning now to B_r defined by (1.2) we may apply the argument used in the proof of Theorem 1 together with Theorem A of RÉDEI to prove the following result.

Theorem 3. *If $r < p-1$, the congruence*

$$(3.1) \quad |B_r|^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

holds for no

$$B_r = \sum_{s=1}^r c_s \zeta^{k_s} \quad (c_s = \pm 1),$$

where $1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_r < p-1$. A fortiori the equality

$$(3.2) \quad |B_r|^2 = p$$

holds for no B_r .

If we put

$$(3.3) \quad B_r(\zeta^h) = \sum_{s=1}^r c_s \zeta^{hs^2} \quad (1 \leq h \leq p-1),$$

and in addition assume that

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{s=1}^r c_s = 0,$$

then exactly as in the proof of (2.3), we have

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{h=1}^{p-1} |B_r(\zeta^h)|^2 = pr.$$

Thus, when (3.4) is satisfied, $|B_r(\zeta^h)|^2$ is on the average equal to $pr/(p-1)$; for large p , the average is therefore r .

Clearly (3.4) requires that r be even. Put $r = 2t$, $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/p}$, $p = 2m + 1$, and consider

$$(3.6) \quad B_r = \sum_{s=1}^t \zeta^s = \sum_{s=m+1}^{m+t} \zeta^s = \frac{\zeta(1-\zeta^m)(1-\zeta^t)}{1-\zeta}.$$

Then

$$|B_r| = \frac{2 \sin \frac{m\pi}{p} \sin \frac{t\pi}{p}}{\sin \frac{\pi}{p}}.$$

For large p it follows that

$$(3.7) \quad |B_r| \sim \frac{2p}{\pi} \sin \frac{t\pi}{p}.$$

In particular if $r = o(p)$, (3.7) yields

$$(3.8) \quad |B_r| \sim r.$$

In the next place, if we take

$$(3.9) \quad B_r = \sum_{s=1}^r (-1)^{s-1} \zeta^s = \frac{\zeta(1-\zeta^r)}{1+\zeta},$$

then

$$|B_r| = \frac{\sin \frac{t\pi}{p}}{\cos \frac{\pi}{p}},$$

so that for large p it follows that

$$(3.10) \quad |B_r| \sim \sin \frac{t\pi}{p}.$$

In particular if $r = o(p)$, (3.10) becomes

$$(3.11) \quad |B_r| \sim \frac{r\pi}{2p}.$$

4. We now give another proof of RÉDER's theorem that (1.3) holds only when B is a Gauss sum. In the first place (1.3) is equivalent to

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} s^j c_s \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \left(1 \leq j < \frac{1}{2}(p-1)\right).$$

This is essentially the Lemma on p. 287 of [1]. Indeed, (4.1) follows easily from the identity

$$B = \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} c_s \zeta^s = \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} c_s (1 + (\zeta - 1))^s = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (\zeta - 1)^j \sum_{s=j}^{p-1} \binom{s}{j} c_s.$$

Now consider the polynomial $f(x)$ with coefficients in the $GF(p)$ such that

$$f(0) = 0, \quad f(s) = c_s \quad (s = 1, \dots, p-1).$$

Clearly

$$f(x) = - \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} c_s \frac{x^p - x}{x - s} = - \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} c_s (x - s)^{p-1}.$$

It follows from (4.1) that

$$(4.2) \quad \deg f(x) \leq m = \frac{1}{2}(p-1).$$

Since

$$f^2(0) = 0, \quad f^2(s) = 1 \quad (s = 1, \dots, p-1),$$

it follows at once that

$$(4.3) \quad f^2(x) = x^{p-1};$$

in view of (4.2), it is clear that (4.3) is an identity (and not merely a congruence mod $(x^p - x)$). Now put

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_m x^m \quad (a_j \in GF(p));$$

making use of (4.3) we get

$$f(x) = \pm x^m = \pm \left(\frac{x}{p}\right).$$

This evidently completes the proof of the theorem.

To prove the second half of Theorem A we require a little more. Suppose that B satisfies

$$(4.4) \quad p^t | B, \quad p^{t+1} \nmid B$$

for some t in the range $1 \leq t \leq m$. As above we define the polynomial $f(x)$ such that

$$f(0) = 0, \quad f(s) = c_s \quad (s = 1, \dots, p-1).$$

Now (4.4) is equivalent to

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} s^j c_s \begin{cases} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} & (1 \leq j < t) \\ \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} & (j = t); \end{cases}$$

it follows that

$$(4.6) \quad \deg f(x) = p-1-t.$$

Put

$$U(x) = \prod_{c_s=1} (x-s), \quad V(x) = \prod_{c_s=-1} (x-s),$$

so that

$$(4.7) \quad x^{2m}-1 = U(x)V(x), \quad \deg U(x) = \deg V(x) = m.$$

Thus $f(x)$ is uniquely determined by

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{cases} f(x) \equiv 1 \pmod{U(x)}, \\ f(x) \equiv -1 \pmod{V(x)}, \\ f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{x}. \end{cases}$$

It is easily verified that the system (4.8) has the solution

$$(4.9) \quad f(x) = x(U(x)V'(x) - U'(x)V(x)).$$

In the next place, it follows from (4.5) and (4.7) that

$$(4.10) \quad U(x) = x^m + a_t x^{m-t} + \dots + a_m, \quad V(x) = x_m + b_t x^{m-t} + \dots + b_m,$$

where $b_t = -a_t \neq 0$. Substituting in (4.9) we get

$$f(x) = 2ta_t x^{2m-t} + \dots,$$

so that

$$(4.11) \quad \deg f(x) = 2m-t.$$

Now assume that

$$(4.12) \quad \frac{1}{2}m < t < m.$$

Using (4.7) and (4.10) we get, since $2m-2t < m$,

$$b_j = -a_j \quad (t \leq j \leq m).$$

However, the coefficient of x^{2m-2t} in $U(x)V(x)$ is equal to $-a_t^2 \neq 0$. Thus

(4.12) is not possible. Consequently, when $t < m$, we must have $t \leq \frac{1}{2}m$.

For $t = \frac{1}{2}m$, the coefficient of x^{m-1} in $U(x)V(x)$ is

$$-2a_t a_{t+1} = 0,$$

so that $a_{t+1} = 0$. Similarly we find that

$$a_j = 0 \quad (t < j < m).$$

Thus (4.7) becomes

$$(x^{2m} - 1) = (x^m + a_t x^t + a_m)(x^m - a_t x^t + b_m),$$

where

$$a_m + b_m = a_t^2, \quad a_m b_m = -1, \quad a_m = b_m.$$

Put $a_m = \sigma$, where $\sigma^2 = -1$, then

$$a_t^2 = 2\sigma = (\sigma + 1)^2,$$

so that $a_t = \sigma + 1$. Hence we have

$$A(x) = x^m + (\sigma + 1)x^t + \sigma = (x^t + 1)(x^t + \sigma),$$

$$B(x) = x^m - (\sigma + 1)x^t + \sigma = (x^t - 1)(x^t - \sigma).$$

The second half of Theorem A now follows immediately.

We have incidentally proved the following result.

Theorem 4. *The sum B satisfies (4.4) for some t in the range $1 \leq t \leq m$ if and only if there exists a factorization*

$$(4.13) \quad x^{2m} - 1 = (x^m + a_t x^{m-t} + \dots + a_m)(x^m + b_t x^{m-t} + \dots + b_t),$$

where $a_t b_t \neq 0$.

For $t = m$ or $\frac{1}{2}m$ the possible factorizations (4.13) are described by Theorems 1 and 2 of RÉDEI's paper. It is easy to show that when $t|m$ such factorizations exist. Indeed, if $m = tk$, k odd, we have

$$x^m - 1 = (x^t - 1)(x^{(k-1)t} + x^{(k-2)t} + \dots + 1),$$

$$x^m + 1 = (x^t + 1)(x^{(k-1)t} - x^{(k-2)t} + \dots + 1).$$

and we get the factors

$$U = x^m - 2x^{m-t} + \dots - 1, \quad V = x^m + 2x^{m-t} + \dots + 1.$$

For k even, let σ be an integer such that $\sigma^k = -1$; then

$$x^m + 1 = x^{tk} - \sigma^k = (x^t - \sigma)(x^{(k-1)t} + \sigma x^{(k-2)t} + \dots + \sigma^{k-1})$$

and we get the factors

$$U = x^m + (\sigma - 1)x^{m-t} + \dots + \sigma^{k-1}, \quad V = x^m - (\sigma - 1)x^{m-t} + \dots + \sigma.$$

However the condition $t|m$ is not necessary. For example when $p=17$, $t=3$, a possible factor is

$$x^8 - x^5 + 4x^3 - 8x^2 + 8x - 4 = \\ (x-1)(x+2)(x+3)(x-4)(x+5)(x-6)(x-7)(x+8).$$

For $p=19$, $t=4$, a factorization (4.13) is apparently not possible. Assume that

$$x^{18} - 1 = (x^9 - x^5 + ax^4 + bx^3 + cx^2 + dx + e) \cdot \\ \cdot (x^9 + x^5 + a'x^4 + b'x^3 + c'x^2 + d'x + e');$$

there is no loss in generalization in normalizing the coefficient of x^5 . We find first that

$$a' = -a, \quad b' = -b, \quad c' = -c.$$

Also we get the conditions

$$a^2 = 2b, \quad ab = c, \quad -2bc - (e' - e) + a(d' - d) = 0, \\ -c^2 + a(e' - e) + b(d' - d) = 0, \quad b(e' - e) + c(d' - d) = 0.$$

Now the last three equations imply

$$\begin{vmatrix} -2bc & -1 & a \\ -c^2 & a & b \\ 0 & b & c \end{vmatrix} = c(2b^3 - c^2 - 3abc) = 0.$$

Since $abc \neq 0$, we get, using $ab = c$,

$$b^3 = 2c^2.$$

But $a^2 = 2b$, $ab = c$ imply $c^2 = 2b^3$, so that we have a contradiction.

Thus the question remains open what values of t is the range $1 \leq t < \frac{1}{2}m$ can satisfy (4.4).

Reference

- [1] L. RÉDEI, Zwei Lückensätze über Polynome in endlichen Primkörpern mit Anwendung auf die endlichen Abelschen Gruppen und die Gaußischen Summen, *Acta Math.*, 79 (1947), 273-290.

(Received September 7, 1959)