

A remark on the theorem of SIMMONS.

By A. RÉNYI in Budapest.

The theorem of SIMMONS in question [1] can be formulated as follows:
If n and h are positive integers, and if we put for $0 \leq p \leq 1$, $q = 1 - p$

$$(1) \quad f_{n,h}(p) = \sum_{r=0}^{h-1} \binom{n}{r} p^r q^{n-r} - \sum_{r=h+1}^n \binom{n}{r} p^r q^{n-r},$$

then we have

$$(2) \quad f_{n,h}\left(\frac{h}{n}\right) > 0 \quad \text{if} \quad p = \frac{h}{n} < \frac{1}{2}.$$

An ingenious and simple proof of this theorem has been given by E. FELDHEIM ([2] and [3]); the proof is reproduced also in the text book [4], p. 171–172).

The generalization of the inequality of SIMMONS, for the case when np is not an integer, has been considered in this journal by CH. JORDAN¹⁾ [5] and recently by I. B. HAAZ [6].

HAAZ tried to generalize the inequality of SIMMONS in that he has shown that for fixed values of n and h

$$(3) \quad f_{n,h}(p) > 0 \quad \text{if} \quad 1 \leq h \leq \frac{n+1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{h-1}{n} \leq p < \min\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{h}{n}\right).$$

The aim of this note is to show that the apparent generalization given by HAAZ is really a consequence of the original inequality of SIMMONS if $\frac{h}{n} < \frac{1}{2}$, and for the remaining cases $n = 2h$ resp. $n = 2h - 1$ it follows

¹⁾ One of JORDAN's results expressed by the notations of the present paper runs as follows:

$$f_{n,h}(p) > \binom{n}{h} p^h q^{n-h} \quad \text{if} \quad p < \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{h-1}{n} \leq p \leq \frac{h-\frac{1}{2}}{n};$$

further-for $\frac{h}{n+1} \leq p \leq \frac{h}{n}$ and $p < \frac{1}{2}$ the reversed inequality is valid.

from the evident relations

$$(4) \quad f_{2h,h}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_{2h-1,h}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) > 0.$$

To prove our assertions we need nothing else than the well known formula

$$(5) \quad \sum_{r=0}^s \binom{n}{r} p^r q^{n-r} = (n-s) \binom{n}{s} \int_p^1 t^s (1-t)^{n-s-1} dt$$

(see e. g. [2] p. 110 or [4] p. 133). It follows from (1) and (5) that

$$(6) \quad f_{n,h}(p) = \binom{n}{h} \int_p^1 (h(1-t) + (n-h)t) t^{h-1} (1-t)^{n-h-1} dt - 1.$$

It can be seen from (6) without any calculations that $f_{n,h}(p)$ is a *decreasing* function of p ($0 \leq p \leq 1$). Thus it follows from (2) that

$$(7) \quad f_{n,h}(p) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad p \leq \frac{h}{n} \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{h}{n} < \frac{1}{2},$$

further it follows from (4) resp. (5) that

$$(8) \quad f_{2h,h}(p) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_{2h-1,h}(p) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad p < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Evidently (7) and (8) contain (3) which is thus shown to be a consequence of (2) resp. (4).

We have at the same time shown that for $\frac{h}{n} < \frac{1}{2}$ (3) can be replaced by the stronger inequality

$$(3') \quad f_{n,h}(p) > f_{n,h}\left(\frac{h}{n}\right) \quad \text{for} \quad p < \frac{h}{n} < \frac{1}{2}.$$

References.

- [1] T. C. SIMMONS, A new theorem of probability, *Proceedings of the London Math. Soc.*, 26 (1894-95), 290-334.
- [2] E. FELDHEIM, Simons valószínűség számítási tételének új bizonyítása és általánosítása, *Mat. és Fiz. Lapok*, 45 (1938), 99-113.
- [3] E. FELDHEIM, Nuova dimostrazione e generalizzazione di un teorema di calcolo delle probabilità, *Giornale dell'Istituto Italiano degli Attuari*, 10 (1939), 229-243.
- [4] RÉNYI A., *Valószínűség számítás* (Budapest, 1954).
- [5] CH. JORDAN, Complément au théorème de Simmons sur les probabilités, *these Acta*, 11 (1946), 19-27.
- [6] I. B. HAAZ, Une généralisation du théorème de Simmons, *these Acta*, 17 (1956), 41-44.

(Received February 12, 1957.)