

## On the discreteness of the spectrum of a differential equation.

By E. C. TITCHMARSH in Oxford.

It was proved by WEYL<sup>1)</sup> that the spectrum associated with the differential equation

$$(1) \quad \frac{d^2\varphi}{dx^2} + \{\lambda - q(x)\}\varphi = 0 \quad (0 \leq x < \infty)$$

is discrete if  $q(x)$  is bounded in any finite interval and tends to infinity as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . His proof is reproduced in my book *Eigenfunction Expansions Associated with Second Order Differential Equations* (Oxford, 1946), § 5. 12. Other proofs have since been given<sup>2)</sup>.

The following is another simple proof. Let  $\varphi(x, \lambda)$  be the solution of (1) which satisfies a given boundary condition

$$(2) \quad \varphi(0, \lambda) \cos \alpha + \varphi_x(0, \lambda) \sin \alpha = 0$$

at  $x=0$ . Then it can be proved as in § 5. 12 of my book that, for every real  $\lambda$ , either  $\varphi(x, \lambda)$  is  $L^2$  (in which case  $\varphi(x, \lambda)$  and  $\varphi_x(x, \lambda)$  both tend to zero as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ), or  $\varphi(x, \lambda) \rightarrow \infty$ , or  $\varphi(x, \lambda) \rightarrow -\infty$ . Consider any finite interval  $a \leq \lambda \leq b$ , and denote the sub-sets of this interval where  $\varphi$  has the above properties by  $E_0, E_1$ , and  $E_2$  respectively.

If  $\lambda'$  belongs to  $E_1$ ,  $\varphi(x, \lambda') \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\varphi_{xx}(x, \lambda') \rightarrow \infty$  (by (1)), and so  $\varphi_x(x, \lambda') \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence for some  $\xi$ , with  $q(\xi) > \lambda'$ ,  $\varphi(\xi, \lambda') > 0$  and  $\varphi_x(\xi, \lambda') > 0$ . Hence also  $\varphi(\xi, \lambda) > 0$  and  $\varphi_x(\xi, \lambda) > 0$  if  $\lambda - \lambda'$  is sufficiently small. This, however, implies that  $\varphi(x, \lambda) \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence any point of  $E_1$  is an interior point of an interval of points of  $E_1$ , and so  $E_1$  is an open set. Similarly  $E_2$  is an open set. Hence  $E_0$  is a closed set.

<sup>1)</sup> H. WEYL, Über gewöhnliche Differentialgleichungen mit Singularitäten und die zugehörigen Entwicklungen willkürlicher Funktionen, *Math. Annalen*, **68** (1910), pp. 220–269.

<sup>2)</sup> K. FRIEDRICHS, Spektraltheorie halbbeschränkter Operatoren und Anwendung auf die Spektralzerlegung von Differentialoperatoren. II., *Math. Annalen*, **109** (1934), pp. 685–713. Criteria for the discrete character of the spectra of ordinary differential operators, *Studies and Essays presented to R. Courant* (New York, 1948), pp. 145–160; a proof by E. C. TITCHMARSH will be published in the *Annali di Matematica*.

The above argument also shows that, if  $\lambda'$  is a point of  $E_1$ , then, for some  $\xi$ ,  $\varphi(\xi, \lambda) \geq m > 0$ , while  $\varphi(x, \lambda)$  is steadily increasing for  $x \geq \xi$ , if  $\lambda$  is in some interval  $|\lambda - \lambda'| \leq \eta$ . Hence  $\varphi(x, \lambda) \geq m$  for  $x \geq \xi$ ,  $|\lambda - \lambda'| \leq \eta$ . It follows as on p. 116 of my book that the function  $k(\lambda)$  is constant throughout the interval  $|\lambda - \lambda'| < \eta$ , and so in fact is constant throughout each interval of  $E_1$ .

To prove the theorem, we have now to show that  $E_0$  consists at most of a finite number of points.

Suppose on the contrary that there is a sequence of values of  $\lambda$  tending to (but different from) a limit  $\mu$ , such that these  $\lambda$ 's and  $\mu$  all belong to  $E_0$ . Let  $x_1$  be such that  $q(x) \geq \mu + \delta$  ( $\delta > 0$ ) for  $x \geq x_1$  (such an  $x_1$  exists if  $q(x) \rightarrow \infty$ ). Let  $x_2 > x_1$  be such that  $\varphi(x_2, \mu) \neq 0$ , and suppose e.g. that  $\varphi(x_2, \mu) > 0$ . As in § 5.12 of my book, this implies (since  $\varphi(x, \mu)$  is  $L^2$ ) that  $\varphi(x, \mu)$  decreases steadily to zero for  $x \geq x_2$ , and in particular that  $\varphi(x, \mu) > 0$  for  $x \geq x_2$ .

Now  $\varphi(x, \lambda)$  is a continuous function of both variables in any finite region (cf. § 1.5 of my book), and so  $\varphi(x, \lambda) \rightarrow \varphi(x, \mu)$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \mu$ , uniformly over  $0 \leq x \leq x_2$ . Hence

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \mu} \int_0^{x_2} \varphi(x, \lambda) \varphi(x, \mu) dx = \int_0^{x_2} \{\varphi(x, \mu)\}^2 dx > 0,$$

and so

$$\int_0^{x_2} \varphi(x, \lambda) \varphi(x, \mu) dx > 0$$

if  $\lambda$  is sufficiently near to  $\mu$ .

Also

$$\varphi(x_2, \lambda) \rightarrow \varphi(x_2, \mu) > 0$$

and so  $\varphi(x_2, \lambda) > 0$  if  $\lambda$  is sufficiently near to  $\mu$ . Since  $q(x) - \lambda > 0$  if  $x \geq x_1$ , and  $\lambda$  is sufficiently near to  $\mu$ , this implies, as before, that  $\varphi(x, \lambda) > 0$  for  $x \geq x_2$  and  $\lambda$  sufficiently near to  $\mu$ . Hence

$$\int_{x_2}^{\infty} \varphi(x, \lambda) \varphi(x, \mu) dx > 0$$

if  $\lambda$  is sufficiently near to  $\mu$ . Altogether

$$(3) \quad \int_0^{\infty} \varphi(x, \lambda) \varphi(x, \mu) dx > 0$$

if  $\lambda$  is sufficiently near to  $\mu$ .

This, however, is impossible; for on multiplying (1), and the corresponding equation with  $\mu$ , by  $\varphi(x, \mu)$ ,  $\varphi(x, \lambda)$ , respectively and subtracting,

we obtain

$$(\lambda - \mu) \varphi(x, \lambda) \varphi(x, \mu) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \{ \varphi(x, \lambda) \varphi_x(x, \mu) - \varphi(x, \mu) \varphi_x(x, \lambda) \}.$$

Using (2) it follows that

$$(\lambda - \mu) \int_0^x \varphi(x, \lambda) \varphi(x, \mu) dx = \varphi(X, \lambda) \varphi_x(X, \mu) - \varphi(X, \mu) \varphi_x(X, \lambda),$$

which tends to 0 as  $X \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\lambda \neq \mu$  it follows that

$$(4) \quad \int_0^{\infty} \varphi(x, \lambda) \varphi(x, \mu) dx = 0.$$

This gives a contradiction, and the theorem follows.

*(Received July 9, 1949.)*