

On identities preserved by general products of algebras

By Z. ÉSIK

Equational classes of automata (i.e. unoids) obtained by general product were characterized in [1]. Here we present similar results for tree automata, i.e., arbitrary algebras. We show that the main result $K^{**} = HSP_g(K) = HSP_{\alpha_0}(K) = HSPP_{f_{\alpha_0}}(K)$ in [1] remains valid in this generality, too.

First we briefly introduce the basic notions to be used. For all unexplained notions coming from universal algebra and tree-automata theory the reader is referred to [3] and [2].

By a rank-type we mean an arbitrary subset R of the set of nonnegative integers. A type corresponding to a rank-type R is a collection of operational symbols $F = \bigsqcup (F_k | k \in R)$ such that $F_k \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $k \in R$. In the sequel we fix a ranktype R and by a type always mean a type corresponding to R .

Algebras of type F constitute a similarity class \mathcal{K}_F . An algebra $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{K}_F$ is a pair $(A, \{f_{\mathfrak{A}} | f \in F\}) = (A, F)$ for short —, where $f_{\mathfrak{A}}$ is a k -ary operation on the nonvoid set A for any $f \in F_k$. By a class of algebras we shall mean an arbitrary nonvoid class of algebras.

We are going to deal with certain products of algebras. Let I be a nonvoid set linearly ordered by \leq . Given a system $\mathfrak{A}_i = (A_i, F_i)$ ($i \in I$) of algebras, by a general product we mean an algebra $\mathfrak{A} = (A, F) = \Pi(\mathfrak{A}_i, \varphi | i \in I)$, where $A = \Pi(A_i | i \in I)$, φ is a family of mappings of $(\Pi(A_i | i \in I))^k \times F_k$ into $\Pi((F_i)_k | i \in I)$, and finally, the operations in \mathfrak{A} are defined in accordance with φ as follows. Let $a_1, \dots, a_k, a \in A, f \in F_k$. Then, $f_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, \dots, a_k) = a$ if and only if $a_i = (f_i)_{\mathfrak{A}_i}(a_{1i}, \dots, a_{ki})$ holds for every $i \in I$ with $f_i = (\varphi(a_1, \dots, a_k, f))_i = \varphi_i(a_1, \dots, a_k, f)$. If for every nonnegative integer k , $\varphi_i(a_1, \dots, a_k, f)$ depends on f and a_{1j}, \dots, a_{kj} with $j < i$ only, then \mathfrak{A} is a so called α_0 -product of the \mathfrak{A}_i -s. We shall denote by P_g and P_{α_0} the operators corresponding to the formations of general and α_0 -products, resp. $P_{f_{\alpha_0}}$ will denote the formation of finite α_0 -products. Finite α_0 -products will be written as $\Pi(\mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n, \varphi)$ where $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$ with the usual ordering. The operators H, S and P have their usual meaning.

Also we fix a countable set $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ of variables and treat polynomial symbols of type F as trees built on X and F . T_F will denote the set of all trees of type F . If $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{K}_F$ and $p \in T_F$ then $p_{\mathfrak{A}}: A^{\omega} \rightarrow A$ is the polynomial induced by p in \mathfrak{A} . If a_1, a_2, \dots is an ω -sequence of elements of A then $p_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots)$ denotes the value of $p_{\mathfrak{A}}$ on a_1, a_2, \dots . If \mathfrak{A} is the general product described

previously then we can view φ as a mapping of $(\prod(A_i|i \in I))^\omega \times T_F$ into $\prod(T_{F_i}|i \in I)$ in a natural way. For each index $i \in I$ we shall denote by φ_i the i -th component-map of φ , as well.

The notion of subtrees of a tree p as well as the height $h(p)$ of a tree will be used in an unexplained but obvious way. A subtree q of a tree p is called proper if $q \neq p$. $\text{sub}(p)$ denotes the set of all proper subtrees of p . Also we shall in a natural way speak about an occurrence of a subtree in a tree, and about the substitution of a tree for occurrences of a subtree in a tree. If p is a tree then $\text{rt}(p)$ denotes the root of p .

By a relabeling we mean any mapping $\varphi: T_F \rightarrow T_{F'}$ with the following properties:

- (i) if $p \in F_0$ then $\varphi(p) \in F'_0$,
- (ii) if $p \in X$ then $\varphi(p) = p$,
- (iii) if $p = f(p_1, \dots, p_k)$ with $f \in F_k$, $k > 0$, $p_1, \dots, p_k \in T_F$ then there exist an $f' \in F'_k$ such that $\varphi(p) = f'(\varphi(p_1), \dots, \varphi(p_k))$.

Now we are in the position to give the most fundamental definitions. Let K be an arbitrary class of algebras. Then $K^* = \{K_F^* | F \text{ is a type}\}$, where K_F^* is the set of all identities $p = q$ ($p, q \in T_F$) such that $\varphi(p) = \varphi(q)$ is in the usual sense a valid identity in $K \cap \mathcal{K}_F$, for any relabeling $\varphi: T_F \rightarrow T_{F'}$. An algebra $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{K}_F$ is in K^{**} if and only if all identities belonging to K_F^* are valid in \mathfrak{A} . Thus, $K^{**} \cap \mathcal{K}_F$ is an equational class of algebras. If $p, q \in T_F$, we write $K^* \models p = q$ to mean that $K_F^* \models p = q$.

If we consider unoids, i.e. we take $R = \{1\}$, then for any type F and $p, q \in T_F$ we have $p = q \in K_F^*$ if and only if $p = q$ is valid in the equational class $HSP_{\alpha_0}(K) \cap \mathcal{K}_F$. Consequently, $K^{**} = HSP_{\alpha_0}(K)$, or even, $K^{**} = HSP_{\alpha_0}(K) = HSP_{\alpha_0}(K) = HSPP_{f_{\alpha_0}}(K)$ (cf. [1]).

In general, the first statement fails to hold. Indeed, take $R = \{1, 2\}$ and for every type F let $K \cap \mathcal{K}_F$ be the equational class determined by the identities $g(x_1) = h(x_1)$ ($g, h \in F_1$). Supposing $f \in F_2$, identity $f(g(x_1), g(x_1)) = f(h(x_1), h(x_1))$ is obviously valid in $HSP_{\alpha_0}(K) \cap \mathcal{K}_F$, but this identity is not in K_F^* . However, we still have a somewhat weaker result:

Theorem 1. Let $p, q \in T_F$ be arbitrary trees of type F . Then $p = q$ is a valid identity in an equational class $HSP_{\alpha_0}(K) \cap \mathcal{K}_F$ if and only if $K^* \models p = q$.

Proof. Sufficiency follows by observing that general product preserves K^* , that is, $P_g(K) \subseteq K^{**}$. Therefore, also $HSP_{\alpha_0}(K) \subseteq K^{**}$. In order to prove the necessity of our Theorem, let Σ contain those valid identities $p = q$ of the equational class $HSP_{\alpha_0}(K) \cap \mathcal{K}_F$ for which our statement does not hold. Supposing $\Sigma \neq \emptyset$, choose $p = q \in \Sigma$ in such a way that $|\text{sub}(p) \cup \text{sub}(q)|$ is minimal.

Now take an algebra $\mathfrak{A} = (A, F)$ freely generated by the sequence a_1, a_2, \dots in the equational class $HSP_{\alpha_0}(K) \cap \mathcal{K}_F$. First we show that if we have $r_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots) = s_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots)$ for some trees $r, s \in \text{sub}(p) \cup \text{sub}(q)$, then $r = s$, i.e., the trees r and s coincide. Assume to the contrary that there exist different trees $r, s \in \text{sub}(p) \cup \text{sub}(q)$ with $r_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots) = s_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots)$. Let us fix a tree $r \in \text{sub}(p) \cup \text{sub}(q)$ with the property that if $\bar{r} \in \text{sub}(p) \cup \text{sub}(q)$ and $\bar{r}_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots) = r_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots)$ then $h(\bar{r}) \cong h(r)$, and there is a distinct tree $s \in \text{sub}(p) \cup \text{sub}(q)$ with $r_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots) = s_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots)$. Given r , choose a different tree $s \in \text{sub}(p) \cup \text{sub}(q)$ such that $r_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots) = s_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots)$, and $h(\bar{s}) \cong h(s)$ whenever $\bar{s} \in \text{sub}(p) \cup \text{sub}(q)$ and

$s_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots) = \bar{s}_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots)$. Obviously, we have $h(r) \cong h(s)$. If $s \in \text{sub}(p)$ then let us substitute r for any occurrence of s in p , and denote the resulting tree by \bar{p} . If $s \notin \text{sub}(p)$ then put $\bar{p} = p$. Similar procedure when applied to q will produce the tree \bar{q} . Of course we have $\text{sub}(\bar{p}) \cup \text{sub}(\bar{q}) \subseteq \text{sub}(p) \cup \text{sub}(q)$, or even, the choice of r and s guarantees that $s \notin \text{sub}(\bar{p}) \cup \text{sub}(\bar{q})$. Thus, $|\text{sub}(\bar{p}) \cup \text{sub}(\bar{q})| < |\text{sub}(p) \cup \text{sub}(q)|$. Similarly, $|\text{sub}(r) \cup \text{sub}(s)| < |\text{sub}(p) \cup \text{sub}(q)|$.

As $r_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots) = s_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots)$, it follows that $r = s$ is a valid identity in $HSP_{\alpha_0}(K) \cap \mathcal{K}_F$. As $|\text{sub}(r) \cup \text{sub}(s)| < |\text{sub}(p) \cup \text{sub}(q)|$ also $K^* \models r = s$. As $r = s$ is a valid identity in $HSP_{\alpha_0}(K) \cap \mathcal{K}_F$, also the equalities $p_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots) = \bar{p}_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots)$ and $q_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots) = \bar{q}_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots)$ are satisfied. Since $p = q$ was a valid identity in $HSP_{\alpha_0}(K) \cap \mathcal{K}_F$ and \mathfrak{A} is freely generated by a_1, a_2, \dots , also $\bar{p} = \bar{q}$ is a valid identity in $HSP_{\alpha_0}(K) \cap \mathcal{K}_F$. As $|\text{sub}(\bar{p}) \cup \text{sub}(\bar{q})| < |\text{sub}(p) \cup \text{sub}(q)|$, by the choice of the identity $p = q$, we obtain that $K^* \models \bar{p} = \bar{q}$. The construction of the trees \bar{p} and \bar{q} shows that $\{r = s, \bar{p} = \bar{q}\} \models p = q$. We have already seen that $K^* \models r = s$, thus, $K^* \models p = q$. This is a contradiction.

So far we have shown that the equality $r_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots) = s_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots)$ is satisfied by trees $r, s \in \text{sub}(p) \cup \text{sub}(q)$ if and only if $r = s$. Next we are going to prove that $p = q \in K_F^*$. As $K^* \models p = q$ holds in this case evidently, this would again be a contradiction.

Assume that $p = q \notin K_F^*$. Then there is a type F' and a relabeling $\varphi: T_F \rightarrow T_{F'}$ such that $\varphi(p) = \varphi(q)$ is not a valid identity in the class $K \cap \mathcal{K}_{F'}$. Therefore, there is an algebra $\mathfrak{B} = (B, F') \in K$ and elements $b_1, b_2, \dots \in B$ with

$$\varphi(p)_{\mathfrak{B}}(b_1, b_2, \dots) \neq \varphi(q)_{\mathfrak{B}}(b_1, b_2, \dots).$$

Let $\mathfrak{C} = (C, F)$ be any α_0 -product $\Pi(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \psi)$ with ψ satisfying the following conditions for every $f \in F_k$ ($k \geq 0$):

- (i) $\psi_1(f) = f$,
- (ii) $\psi_2((p_1)_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots), \dots, (p_k)_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots), f) = \text{rt}(\varphi(f(p_1, \dots, p_k)))$

if $f(p_1, \dots, p_k)$ is a subtree of p or q .

In order to show that such an α_0 -product exists, it is enough to see that whenever both $f(p_1, \dots, p_k)$ and $f(q_1, \dots, q_k)$ are subtrees of p or q and $(p_i)_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots) = (q_i)_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots)$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$) then $\text{rt}(\varphi(f(p_1, \dots, p_k))) = \text{rt}(\varphi(f(q_1, \dots, q_k)))$. But this can be seen immediately as φ is a mapping and $(p_i)_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots) = (q_i)_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots)$ implies that $p_i = q_i$.

As $HSP_{\alpha_0}(K)$ is closed under α_0 -products, we get $\mathfrak{C} \in HSP_{\alpha_0}(K) \cap \mathcal{K}_F$. On the other hand, $\varphi(p)_{\mathfrak{B}}(b_1, b_2, \dots) \neq \varphi(q)_{\mathfrak{B}}(b_1, b_2, \dots)$ implies that $p_{\mathfrak{C}}((a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2), \dots) \neq q_{\mathfrak{C}}((a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2), \dots)$, contrary to our assumption that $p = q$ is valid in $HSP_{\alpha_0}(K) \cap \mathcal{K}_F$.

A set of identities $\Delta \subseteq T_F^2$ is called closed if whenever $\Delta \models p = q$ is valid for trees $p, q \in T_F$ then $p = q \in \Delta$. It is known from universal algebra that Δ is closed if and only if the following five conditions are satisfied by Δ :

- (i) $x_i = x_i \in \Delta$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$),
- (ii) $p = q \in \Delta$ implies that $q = p \in \Delta$,
- (iii) $p = q, q = r \in \Delta$ implies that $p = r \in \Delta$,

(iv) if $p_i=q_i \in \Delta$ for all $i=1, \dots, k$ ($k \geq 0$) and $f \in F_k$ then $f(p_1, \dots, p_k) = f(q_1, \dots, q_k) \in \Delta$,

(v) if $p=q \in \Delta$ and we get p' and q' from p and q by substituting all occurrences of a variable x_i by an arbitrary tree $r \in T_F$ then $p'=q' \in \Delta$.

By virtue of the previous Theorem, if K_F^* is closed for every type \hat{F} , then whenever $p=q$ is a valid identity in an equational class $HSP_{\alpha_0}(K) \cap \mathcal{K}_F$ then $p=q \in K_F^*$. Conversely, if $p=q \in K_F^*$ then $p=q$ is a valid identity in $HSP_{\alpha_0}(K) \cap \mathcal{K}_F$. As K_F^* always satisfies conditions from (i) to (v) above except (iv), a necessary and sufficient condition for K_F^* to be closed is to satisfy condition (iv). In this way we get the following

Corollary. Assume that K_F^* satisfies condition (iv) for every type F . Then an identity $p=q$ is valid in an equational class $HSP_{\alpha_0}(K) \cap \mathcal{K}_F$ if and only if $p=q \in K_F^*$. Conversely, if we have the equivalence $p=q$ is valid in an equational class $HSP_{\alpha_0}(K) \cap \mathcal{K}_F$ if and only if $p=q \in K_F^*$ then K_F^* satisfies condition (iv).

Further on we shall need the following

Lemma. Let $\mathfrak{A}=(A, F)=\Pi(\mathfrak{A}_i, \varphi) | i \in I$ be an arbitrary infinite α_0 -product of algebras $\mathfrak{A}_i=(A_i, F_i)$ and let $J \subseteq I$ and $T \subseteq T_F$ be finite sets. For every sequence $a_1, a_2, \dots \in A$ there is a finite α_0 -product $\mathfrak{B}=(B, F)=\Pi(\mathfrak{B}_i, \psi | i \in J_1)$ with $J \subseteq J_1$ and such that $\psi_i(a_{1J_1}, a_{2J_1}, \dots, p) = \varphi_i(a_1, a_2, \dots, p)$ for any $p \in T$ and $i \in J_1$.

Proof. Put $h = \max \{h(p) | p \in T\}$. If $h=0$ then our statement is obviously valid. We proceed by induction on h . Let $h>0$ and assume that the proof is done for $h-1$. For every $k>0$ and $f \in F_k$ set

$$U_f = \{p | p \in T, h(p) = h, \text{rt}(p) = f\},$$

$$V_f = \{p | p \in \cup(\text{sub}(q) | q \in T) \cup T, \text{rt}(p) = f\}.$$

Let $(p, q, i) \in U_f \times V_f \times J$ — say $p=f(p_1, \dots, p_k), q=f(q_1, \dots, q_k)$ — be arbitrary. If $\varphi_i(p_{1q_1}(a_1, a_2, \dots), \dots, p_{kq_k}(a_1, a_2, \dots), f) \neq \varphi_i(q_{1q_1}(a_1, a_2, \dots), \dots, q_{kq_k}(a_1, a_2, \dots), f)$ then choose an index $i_0 < i$ with $(p_{1q_1}(a_1, a_2, \dots))_{i_0} \neq (q_{1q_1}(a_1, a_2, \dots))_{i_0}$ for some $t \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Denote by I_0 the set of indices obtained in this way, and put $J' = J \cup I_0, T' = \cup(\text{sub}(p) | p \in T) \cup \{p \in T | h(p) < h\}$. By the induction hypothesis, there exist a finite set J'_1 and an α_0 -product $\mathfrak{B}'=(B', F) = \Pi(\mathfrak{B}'_i, \psi' | i \in J'_1)$ with $J' \subseteq J'_1$ and satisfying $\psi'_i(a_{1J'_1}, a_{2J'_1}, \dots, p) = \varphi_i(a_1, a_2, \dots, p)$ for each $p \in T'$ and $i \in J'_1$.

Set $J_1 = J'_1$ and define the α_0 -product $\mathfrak{B}=(B, F) = \Pi(\mathfrak{B}_i, \psi | i \in J_1)$ so that the following two conditions are satisfied:

(i) $\psi(b_1, \dots, b_k, f) = \psi'(b_1, \dots, b_k, f)$ if $f \in F_k$ ($k \geq 0$) and there exist trees $p_1, \dots, p_k \in T_F$ with $f(p_1, \dots, p_k) \in T'$ and $b_t = p_{tq_t}(a_{1J_1}, a_{2J_1}, \dots)$ ($t=1, \dots, k$),

(ii) $\psi_i(b_1, \dots, b_k, f) = \varphi_i(c_1, \dots, c_k, f)$ if $i \in I, f \in F_k$ ($k > 0$), and there exist trees $p_1, \dots, p_k \in T_F$ with $f(p_1, \dots, p_k) \in U_f$ and $b_t = p_{tq_t}(a_{1J_1}, a_{2J_1}, \dots), c_t = p_{tq_t}(a_1, a_2, \dots)$ ($t=1, \dots, k$).

¹ The ordering on J_1 is the restriction of the ordering on I to J_1 . If $a \in \Pi(A_i | i \in I)$ then $a_{J_1} \in \Pi(A_i | i \in J_1)$ is determined by $(a_{J_1})_i = a_i$ for any $i \in J_1$.

Such an α_0 -product exist, since otherwise we would have an index $i \in I$ together with distinct trees $p = f(p_1, \dots, p_k) \in U_f$, $q = f(q_1, \dots, q_k) \in V_f$ ($f \in F_k$, $k > 0$, $p_t, q_t \in T_F$) such that $(p_{t_{q_1}}(a_1, a_2, \dots))_j = (q_{t_{q_1}}(a_1, a_2, \dots))_j$ ($t = 1, \dots, k$) for all $j < i$ but $\varphi_i(p_{1_{q_1}}(a_1, a_2, \dots), \dots, p_{k_{q_1}}(a_1, a_2, \dots), f) \neq \varphi_i(q_{1_{q_1}}(a_1, a_2, \dots), \dots, q_{k_{q_1}}(a_1, a_2, \dots), f)$. Also the equalities $\psi_i(a_{1_{J_1}}, a_{2_{J_1}}, \dots, p) = \varphi_i(a_1, a_2, \dots, p)$ ($i \in I, p \in T$) follow in an easy way.

Theorem 2. $HSP_{f_{\alpha_0}}(K) = HSP_{\alpha_0}(K) = HSP_g(K) = K^{**}$ holds for any class K of algebras.

Proof. The last two equalities immediately follow by Theorem 1 and Birkhoff's Theorem. $HSP_{f_{\alpha_0}}(K) \subseteq HSP_{\alpha_0}(K)$ is obvious. We claim that also $HSP_{\alpha_0}(K) \subseteq HSP_{f_{\alpha_0}}(K)$. This can be seen by showing that if F is an arbitrary type and an identity $p = q$ ($p, q \in T_F$) is not valid in $P_{\alpha_0}(K) \cap \mathcal{K}_F$ then the same holds for $P_{f_{\alpha_0}}(K) \cap \mathcal{K}_F$. But this is a trivial consequence of our Lemma.

Theorem 2 is in a close connection with the characterization theorem of metrically complete systems of algebras in [2]. It turns out that a system K of algebras having finite types is metrically complete if and only if K^* contains only trivial identities. In other words this means that K is complete (that is, $HSP_g(K)$ is the class of all algebras) if and only if K is metrically complete.

DEPT. OF COMPUTER SCIENCE
A. JÓZSEF UNIVERSITY
ARADI VÉRTANÚK TERE 1
SZEGED, HUNGARY
H-6720

References

- [1] ÉSIK, Z. and F. GÉCSEG, General products and equational classes of automata, *Acta Cybernet.* v. 6, 1983, pp. 281—284.
- [2] GÉCSEG, F., On a representation of deterministic frontier-to-root tree transformations, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, v. 45, 1983, pp. 177—187.
- [3] GRÄTZER, G., *Universal algebra*, D. Van Nostrand Company, 1968.

(Received Jan. 18, 1983)