## FISH OF THE MURES (MAROS) RIVER: SYSTEMATICS AND ECOLOGY

TEODOR T. NALBANT

#### A brief historical sketch of the research

The main stem of the Mureş River has more than 700 km of waterway. From its source to the confluence with the Tisza River, along its main valley, there is a natural or artificial system of marshes, pools and a network of channels. Therefore, this system maintains different biotopes with lotic or lenitic aspects with a very characteristic and a diverse fish fauna. During our field work more than 50 species were collected or observed. But before our treatment, it is necessary to pay a tribute to those that increased our knowledge concerning the fish fauna of Transylvania generally and of the Mureş River specifically.

The first information on the fish of Transylvania are due to Fridvalszky (1767). He mentions only four species: Barbones, Truttas, Mugiles and Thymallos (i.e. Barbus, Salmo, Leuciscus and Thymallus). The number of species increased to 20 in the paper of Benkõ (1778). Forty years later Leonhard (1818) mentions nearly the same species, presenting for the first time the description of the mottled barb or gray barb without naming it. Thirty-four years later, Heckel (1858) described this species as Barbus petenyi. Bielz, in three important contributions (1853, 1856 and 1888) presented a list of 39 species for all waters of the Transvlvanian Basin. In his third paper (1888) he added, after Karoli (1887) and Herman (1887), contributions to the following species: Abramis brama, Gobio uranoscopus and Cobitis elongata. Heckel and Kner, in their monumental work on freshwater fish of the Austrian Monarchy, included a great number of species from the Mureş River. Other significant contributions were made by Steindachner (1863), Károlvi (1877), Simonkai (1887) and Vutskits (1918). The last named author synthesized all the data until 1913 completing them with original contributors. He added in his treatment the following species: Aspius aspius, Blicca bjoerkna, Pelecus cultratus, Acipenser schypa, Lucioperca lucioperca, Rutilus pigus virgo and Chalcalburnus vhalcoides mento. He removed Acipenser sturio, Abramis leuckardti, Salvelinus salvelinus and Cobitis elongata from the list of Transvlvanian fish. Among the modern authors important contributions concerning the fish of Transylvania generally, and particularly of the Mures River, were made by Rotarides (1944), Jászfalusi (1941, 1957), Băceseu (1947), Bănăreseu (1953, 1964), Bănăreseu, Müller and Nalbant (1957). A special contribution concerning the fish fauna of Transylvania was made by Bănăreseu and Müller (1959). They listed 51 species with rich comments on their taxonomy and zoogeography.

#### Materials and methods

During the year 1991 the fish were obtained during one general collecting trip (August) and one special collecting trip (October) with an electrofishing aggregate. Altogether 42 species and more than 2100 specimens were caught.

The first cruise began August 1 and was finished August 29. A number of 18 fishing stations were made: Senetea, Faier Brook, Suseni, Joseni, Borzont, Sărmaş, Stînceni, Răstolița, Ruşii Munti, Gorneşti, Ungheni, Gura Arieşului, Sîntimbru, confluence with the Cugir River, confluence with the Beriu (Orăștie) River, confluence with the Almaş River, Peciea and Makô. A supplemental station was made in a channel at Nădlac near the frontier between Romania and Hungary. The specimens were collected with a hand net.

The second trip on the Mureş River was made especially for electro-fishing. This particular collecting cruise was relatively short in time, 18-22 October. Nine fishing stations were made: Sărmaș, Stînceni, Lunea Bradului, Răstolița, Brîncovenești, Gornești, confluence with the Cugir River, confluence with Beriu (Orăștie) River and Pecica. The specimens were fixed in a 7-8% formaldehyde solution and then transferred to a 75% ethanol solution in the scientific collection of the Department of Taxonomy and Evolution, Institute of Biological Sciences, București. Another part of material, obtained in the October trip, was partially transported alive to the National Museum of Natural History in Madrid, Department of Zoology, for electophoretic studies, but the majority was preserved specimens (in formaldehyde 5%). The number of specimens of all species were counted. In the table the information are not presented in exact values since the fishing process represents a stochastic choice. On the other hand, the behaviour of each species (even of the different stages of development) to the net used was very diverse. Some species (Alburnoides bipunctatus, Rhodeus sericeus, Orthrias barbatulus, Cottus gobio) and early stages were obtained without difficulty. Other species (Leuciscus cephalus, Gobio uranoscopus, Zingel streber, etc.) were difficult to collect. Therefore the present evaluation was made on the basis of frequency of the specimens in each sample, for each species.

### Fishing stations

Twenty fishing stations were made during two collecting trips. Most of them are the same in both trips. Only two (Lunca Bradului and Brîncoveneşti) were new and added in the October trip. However, in that cruise other stations were eliminated (Senetea, Faier Brook, Suseni, Joseni, Borzont, Ruşii Munti, Ungheni, Gura Arieşului, Sîntimbru, confluence with Almaş river and Makó), we retained only those stations most important for identifying the most oxyphilic species such as Gobio uranoscopus, Gobio kessleri, Orthrias barbatulus, Sabanejewia aurata, Sabanejewia romanica, Stizostedion lucioperea, Gymnocephalus baloni, Zingel streber and Cotus gobio.

# Stations

1. Senetea (Mureş River):

width of course 6-7 m; swift current, shallow water ca 0.25-1.5 m depth; bottom mostly stone, in a few areas near the shore sand.

2. Faier Brook near its confluence with the Mureş River:

width: 1.5-3 m; depth 0.2-1.0 m; bottom: stones, gravel and sand, sometimes submerged vegetation such as Typha, Potamogeton; current: 0.25-1.0 m/sec.

# 3. Suseni (Mureş River):

width: 5-13 m; current: swift, 0.50-1.50 m/sec.; depth: 0.25-1.5 m; bottom: stones, gravel, coarse sand, rarely submerged vegetation (Fontinalis).

### 4. Joseni (Mureş River):

width: 7-15m; current: swift, 0.5-1.5 m/sec; depth: 0.20-1.20 m; bottom: stones, gravel, coarse sand, a few zones (flooded areas) with yellow-gray silty-mud.

# 5. Borzont (Mures River):

width: 10-18m, current: swift, 0.75-1.65 m/sec; depth: 0.50-2.10 m; some islands not covered by vegetation; bottom: stones, coarse gravel, coarse sand, rich in emerse vegetation such as Typha.

The following four stations — Sărmaş, Stînceni, Lunca Bradului and Răstolița, are situated on the Mureş River and have similar features. The river crosses a volcanic chain of mountains forming a cutoff valley.

Width: 30-90 m; current: swift, 0.50-2.0 m/sec; bottom: mostly stony, sometimes with big stones (1.5-2.5 m), sometimes with islands covered by vegetation; depth: variable, from 0.25 to 1.50 m or more.

#### 10. Brîncovenesti (Mures River):

generally the river has the same features as previous stations but it has a large floodplain which crosses a hill area. The bottom is made by small stones, gravel and coarse sand in few cases, near the slope, with fine sand. The islands were covered by vegetation sometimes made by Typha.

### 11 and 12. Ruşii Munti and Gorneşti (Mureş River):

width: generally a large valley, 60-100 m; current: generally swift 0.50-1.0 m/sec; depth: 0.25-1.50 m or more; bottom: made by coarse gravel, coarse sand, fine sand and sometimes a fluid mud which covers the gravel.

# 13. Ungheni (Mureş River):

large flood-plain and the width of the main stream is very variable (50-150 m), sometimes with islands covered by vegetation: current: relatively swift, 0.30-0.75 m/see; depth: variable, from 0.30 to 1.75 m or more.

Between Ungheni and Gura Arieşului the river has high slopes covered by vegetation, generally Salix, in a few cases some islands, a reduced current of 0.25-0.45 m/sec, and a depth from 0.50 to 2 m or more, bottom sandy or muddy. No fishing stations were made in this part of the river.

# 14. Gura Arieşului (Mureş River):

width: cca 30-70 mm; current: relatively swift 0.5-1.0 m/sec; depth: 0.30-1.50 m or more; bottom: stony, gravel, coarse sand and silty-mud near the slope in few cases, sometimes small islands covered by vegetation.

#### 15. Sîntimbru (Mures River):

generally the same features but the speed of the water in the main stream was always less than 1 m/sec.

16. Confluence with Cugir River (Mouth of the Cugir River):

width: 10-20 m; current: swift, 0.5-1.20 m/sec; depth: 0.20-0.75 m; bottom: generally stony and coarse gravel but sometimes medium and fine sand; some islands covered by vegetation (shrubs of Salix mostly).

17. Confluence with Beriu River (Mouth of the Beriu or Orăștic rivers):

width: 10-15 m; current: 0.30-0.75 m/sec; depth: 0.20-1.0 m; bottom: coarse and medium gravel generally, but in some places with coarse sand or a fluid mud covering the gravel.

18. Confluence with the Almaş River (Mouth of Almaş):

width: 5-7 m; current: swift 0.5-1.20 m/sec; depth: generally 0.25-0.75 m but more at the mouth: bottom: coarse sand generally, sometimes line gravel.

19. Pecica (Mureş River):

width: 100-300 m; current: generally slow 0.25-0.50 m/sec; depth: very variable 0.30-1.5 m and more (5-6 m in few cases); bottom: mostly coarse and medium sand but a yellow or gray silty mud can cover large zones; large islands covered by very dense vegetation generally tree shrubs and bushes.

20 Makó (the Maros River in Hungary):

generally the same features as previous station.

### Systematics and ecology of fishes

Generally there are no systemic or nomenclature problems concerning the fish of the Mureş River. However, in a few species of genera Leuciscus, Cobitis and Sabanejewia the taxonomy needs more classifications.

In the present study 56 species are treated.

1.Eudontomyzon danfordi Regan

In clean and rapid waters of the Mureş River from Senetea to Gorneşti, possibly to Ungheni.

2. Acipenser ruthenus ruthenus Linnaeus

Only in the interior part of the Mureş River from Aiud but apparently it is missing now between Aiud and Zam due to pollution. Isolated specimens were obtained by fishermen between Zam and Pecica.

3. Oncorhynchus mykis (Walbaum)

A few specimens were recorded between Sărmaş and Răstoliţa, they had apparently escaped from salmoniculture stations on the Gudia River.

4. Salvelinus fontinalis Mitchill

Same situation as previous species.

5. Salmo trutta fario Linnaeus

Only in tributaries of the upper Mureş (Gudia Mare, Zebrac, Răstolița etc. (see Jászfalusi, 1947)). However a few specimens were recorded in the Mureş River at the confluence with these tributaries.

6.Hucho hucho (Linnaeus)

Found in the Mureş River near Stînceni, but the specimens were obtained from Ceahlău fishculture station.

7. Thymallus thymallus (Linnaeus)

Present only in the tributaries of the upper Mureş (see also Jászfalusi, 1947): Gudia Mare, Răstolția, Gălăoaia but a few specimens were recorded in the Mureş River near the mouths of these tributaries.

8.Esox lucius Linnaeus

A species present from Senetea to the confluence with the Tisza River in Hungary.

9. Rutilus rutilus carpathorossicus Vladykov

From Sărmaş to the confluence with the Tisza River in Hungary.

10.Leuciscus leuciscus (Linnaeus)

A very rare species in Mureş river generally recorded from Gălăoaia and Tîrgu Mureş.

11.Leuciscus cephalus (Linnaeus)

The most common species in Mureş river, from Senetea to the confluence with the Tisza River in Hungary.

12.Leuciseus borysthenieus borysthenieus (Kessler)

The first record of this species in Mureş drainage, based on a single specimen, was in August this year in a channel of a fishculture station at Nădlac near the frontier between Romania and Hungary. The presence of this species in this area is extremely strange, since it is known only from the Danube Delta and recently was also recorded near București.

13.Leuciseus idus idus (Linnaeus)

Known from Aiud to the Tisza River but in Mures is a very rare species.

14. Phoxinus phoxinus (Linnaeus)

In very clean and rapid courses of Mureş River from Senetea to Ruşii Munti.

15. Tinca tinca (Linnaeus)

A very rare species generally in the abandoned meanders (Tg. Mureş, Luduş etc.) possibly to the Tisza River in Hungary.

16. Scardinius crythrophthalmus (Linnaeus)

Same situation as previous species.

17. Aspius aspius aspius (Linnaeus)

A species known from Brîncovenesti to the Tisza River.

18.Leucaspius delineatus delineatus Heckel

Known from Ruşii Munti to Gura Arieşului. Then in the lower part of the river.

19. Albumus albumus (Linnaeus)

Known from Senetea to the confluence with the Tisza River in Hungary. It is one of the most common species in the river.

20. Albumoides bipunctatus bipunctatus (Bloch)

Same situation as Alburnus alburnus but it was found as far as the confluence with the Almaş River at Zam.

21.Blicca bjoerkna (Linnaeus)

A very rare species known from Gornesti and the lower part of the river.

22. Abramis brama danubii (Pavlov)

Also known from the lower part of the river. An extremely rare species.

23. Abramis ballerus (Linnaeus)

Known from Tîrgu Mureş to the Tisza River.

24. Abramis sapa sapa (Linnaeus)

A very rare species known around Peciea.

25. Vimba vimba vimba

Same distribution as Abramis ballerus.

26.Pelecus cultratus (Linnacus)

A very rare species, known from Gorneşti to Ungheni then in the lower part of the river.

27. Chondrostoma nasus nasus (Linnaeus)

One of the most common species in the river, from Suseni to the Tisza River.

28. Rhodeus sericeus amarus (Bloch)

One of the most common species from Senetea to the Tisza River.

29.Pseudorasbora parva parva Nichols

Same situation as previous species.

30.Gobio gobio obtusirontris Valenciennes

Same as above. Possibly the subspecies muresia of Jászfalusi (1951) might be a valid name only for the Mureş drainage. A comparative study of populations is necessary.

31. Gobio uranoscopus frici Vladveov

A frequent species in swift areas of the river and always associated with stones or gravel. From Suseni to Tîrgu Mureş,

32. Gobio kessleri kessleri Dybowsky

Same as above. It appears from Sărmaş and is present to the Tisza River.

33.Gobio albipinnatus vladykovi Fang

From Gorneşti to the Tisza River. Relatively common.

34.Barbus barbus (Linnaeus)

A relatively common species from Tîrgu Mureş to the Tisza River, but present also from Lunca Bradului to Tîrgu Mureş.

35.Barbus peloponnesius petenyi Heckel

In very clean and rapid waters. Barbus meriodionalis from southern France and Italy differs enough from petenyi. This last named appears to be very close to the Greek species peloponnesius Valenciennes. The location of petenyi is the Mureş River (see Bănărescu, 1957:72).

36. Carassius carassius (Linnaeus)

An extremely rare species. A few specimens were caught during the year around Pecica and Nădlac channels.

37. Carassius auratus gibelia (Boeh)

A relatively frequent species from Tîrgu Mureş to the Tisza River.

38. Cyprinus carpio carpio Linnaeus

Common species, especially in clean and rapid waters generally with stony bottoms, although it was collected in sandy and even muddy areas. From Senetea to Tîrgu Mureş

40. Misgurnus fossilis (Linnaeus)

A rare enough species known from Tîrgu Mureş to the confluence with the Tisza River.

41. Cobitis elongatoides Băcescu

A relatively frequent species from Sarmas to the confluence with the Tisza River.

Note: Cobitis taenia was for a long time a "catch all species". In reality, in the freshwaters (both rivers and lakes) of Europe, three lineages at least can be discerned within this genus, each having two or more species. In the Danube drainage there is a species which differs greatly from Cobitis taenia Linnaeus, 1758, from Sweden (type locality) and Central Europe, in its colour pattern and lamina circularis (Canestrini seale). Therefore, for the majority of the Danubian populations the next available name is Cobitis elongatoides Băcescu, 1962, its closest relative being Cobitis vardarensis Karaman, 1928 from Axios (Vardar) basin, Loudias, Gallikos, lower Aliakmon and Pinios rivers (Greece) and also in the rivers of northwestern Anatolia. On the other hand, in Asia, especially in Far Eastern Asia, the genus Cobitis has a great number of species, many of them being distributed from Amur drainage southward to Menam Chao Phrya in Thailand and Kapuas river in Borneo. Cobitis melanoleuca Nichols, 1925 (=granoei Rendahl, 1935, =sibirica Gladkov, 1935) has the greatest range within the genus, from the tributaries of the Pacific slope to the Don River in Eastern Europe. Apparently this species has not reached the Danube system but its presence in a few Danubian tributaries may be possible.

42. Sabanejewia romantica Băcescu

A species known to inhabit the swift waters of the southern tributaries of the Mureş River (Cugir, Beriu, Strei).

43. Sabanejewia aurata (Filippi)

Along the Mureş River this species is known by its three subspecies: radnensis (Jászfalusi, 1951), in very clean and rapid water of upper courses of the Mureş River, from Sărmaş to Gorneşti, balcanica (Karaman, 1922) in clean and relatively swift water, from Tîrgu Mureş to its confluence with the Tisza River, and bulgarica (Drensky, 1928), from Periam Port (near Pecica) to its confluence with the Tisza. Between radnesis and balcanica, between Reghin and Gura Arieşului, even Sîntimbru, there are integrades. In the lower part of the Mureş River both balcanica and bulgarica has no integrades.

44. Silurus glanis (Linnaeus)

A species now relatively rare in the lower part of the Mureş River. It can reach 80 kg in weight.

45.Ictalurus nebulosus (Le Sueur)

Very rare species found in a channel connected with the Mureş River, at Nădlac.

46. Anguilla anguilla (Linnaeus)

One specimen was caught a long time ago between Reghin and Tîrgu Mureş.

47.Lota lota (Linnaeus)

A species present in very clean and fast running water from Senetea to Gornesti.

48.Lepomis gibbosus (Linnaeus)

Present in the river from Sărmaş to Sîntimbru, but possibly to the Tisza River.

49. Perea fluviatilis (Linnaeus)

Same situation as previous species.

50. Stizostedion lucioperea (Linnaeus)

A very rare species caught as isolated specimens near Tîrgu Mureş.

51. Gymnocephalus cernuus (Linnaeus)

A rare species, generally from Tîrgu Mureş to lower parts of the river.

52. Gymnocephalus baloni Holeik and Hensel

Found only at Pecica.

53. Gymnocephalus schraetzer (Linnaeus)

Only on sandy bottoms in the lower part of the Pecica River. A rare species.

54. Zingel zingel (Linnaeus)

Same situation as previous species. However generally this was found more seldom than Gymnocephalus schraetzer.

55. Zingel streber streber Siebold

Now a rare species in the Mureş River. Although Bănărescu and Müller (1959) found it between Tîrgu Mureş and Pecica, now the species apparently has disappeared in the lower part of the river. A few specimens were caught by fishermen near Gura Arieşului, all of the specimens were adults.

56. Cottus gobio gobio Linnaeus

Only in clean and rapid waters with stony bottoms from Senetea to Zam. At present however, this species is completely absent in the lower part of Mureş, from Tîrgu Mureş. A young specimen (65 mm total length) was collected by a fisherman in a tributary of the Mureş River near its confluence at Vărădia de Mureş and seen by one of us. The common sculpin is one of the most frequent species in the upper part of the Mureş. Many specimens have striped pelvics similar to Cottus paecilopus Linnaeus, but in the former species all rays of these fins are long.

# Remarks on the distribution of the fish species along the river

Generally every place of the river is more or less densely populated by different species, the fishes being disposed in a mosaic-like pattern. This is due to the fact that optimal conditions for each species are disposed in such a way. In the fast running waters, for instance, the slopes, especially with vegetation such as Typha, Potamogeton etc, are densely populated by early stages of different species. We have obtained by hand net, but not with electofishing, early stages (4.5-9.0 mm total length) of Alburnoides bipunctatus, Rutilus rutilus, Gobio gobio obtusirostris, Rhodeus sericeus, Cobitis elongatoides and others. Other young specimens were found along the shore in crevices or under stones, etc. (Orthrias barbatulus, Sabanejewia aurata). Some Cobitis and Sabanejewia specimens that burrow in fine sand. In all these places young stages are well protected against predators and strong currents. On the other hand, adults of gregarious species as Gobio kessleri, Alburnus alburnus, Phoximus phoximus, and Alburnoides bipunctatus are permanently moving in search of food. Other adults (or subadults) are generally territorial (Salmo trutta, Leuciseus cephalus, Gobio gobio, Gobio uranoscopus, Barbus peloponnesius petenyi, Barbus barbus, Zingel zingel, Zingel streber).

## Threatened species and proposals for protected areas

The Mureş River has only one (or perhaps two) endemic species: Sabanejewia aurata radnensis Jászfalusi, 1951. However, a possible second taxon described by the same author might be valid: Gobio gobio muresia. Thelocation of both taxa is the Mureş River at Stînceni. On the other hand, also the Mureş River or the drainage Mureş is the location for two other species: Barbus peloponnesius petenyi Heckel, 1858, and Eudontomyzon danfordi Regan, 1913 (Sebeş River).

In the lower part of the river there are two other interesting loaches Sabanejewia aurata baleaniea and Saurata bulgariea which are living together as two different species.

In the area between Reghin and Sîntimbru there are intergrades between S.aurata radnensis and S.aurata balcanica. Such a phenomenon is present only in the Timiş River, Banat, Romania, between S.aurata balcanica and S.aurata bulgarica.

Between the source of the Mureş River and Tîrgu Mureş there is the richest fauna in the river with one, or possibly two, endemic and extremely interesting species. I suggest this area be strictly protected against human activity, especially against pollution. I also suggest this river be protected as much as possible against pollution along its whole course. It is also necessary to protect against oil spills in the channels in the area between Pecica and Nădlac.

In the last twenty years a number of species have become rare or extremely rare. This is not an astonishing thing due to serious pollution of the river, which occurred in this time period. This is the most important reason for the loss of some species in the fauna of the Mureş River. Thus, Acipenser ruthenus, Abramis brama, Carassius carassius, Cyprinus carpio, Stizostedion lucioperea are practically considered as disappeared species. Other species, such as Vimba vimba, Abramis ballerus, Gobio albipinnatus vladykovi reached the upper part of the river. For instance, Vimba vimba is found now near Reghin, Abramis ballerus near Tîrgu Mureş and Gobio albipinnatus near Gorneşti.

# References

Băcescu.M. (1947): Peștii așa cum îi vede țăranul pescar Român (Fish as seen by Romanian farmers).-ICP. Man.3.

Bănăreseu, P. (1953): Zur Kenntnis der Systematik. Verbreitung und Ökologie von Gobio uranoscopus aus Rumanien.-Vestn. Cesk. Zool. Spoleen., 17 (3): 178-198.

Bănărescu, P. (1957): Analiza Zoogeographică a faunei ichthyologice a R.P. Romăne (Zoogeographical analysis of the ichthyofauna of Romania).-Probl.Geogr., 5:199-215.

Bănăreseu, P. (1964): Pisces Osteichthyes.- Ed. Acad. R.P.R.

Bănărescu, P. and Müller, G. and Nalbant, T. (1957): Peștii Ardealului (Fish of Transylvania). St. Cerat. Biol. Cluj. 90 (2):335-366.

Bănărescu, P., Müller, G.and Nalbant, T. (1959): Noi contribuțiuni la studiul ihtiofaunei de apă dulee a R.P. Române (New contributions to the study of the freshwater ichthyofauna of Romania).- Com.Zool.Soc.St. Nat.Geogr. (1957-1959) 111-126.

Benkő, J. (1778): Transylvania sive Magnus Transylvaniae Principatus.- Typis Jos. Nob. de Kurz.

Bielz, E.A. (1853): Übersiht der lebenden Fische Siebenbürgens,-Verh, Mitth, Siebenb, Ver, Naturwiss., 4: 172-185.

Bielz.e.a. (1856): Fauna der Wierbeltiere Siebenbürgens etc.- Hermannstadt.

Bielz, E. A. (1888): Fauna der Wierbeltiere Siebenbürgens nach ihrem jetzigen Bestande.- Verh. Mitth.Siebenb.Ver.Naturwiss., 38: 15-120.

Fridvalsky, J. (1767): Mineralogia Magni Principatus Transilvaniae.-Claudiopolis.

Heckel, J. (1848): Die Fishe Ungarns.-Heider's Berichte Mitth. Freund. Naturwiss. (1847) Wien.

Heckel, J.und Kner, R. (1659): Die Süsswasserfishe der Österreichishen Monarchie.-Leipzig.

Herman O. (1887): A magyar halászat könvve (The book of Hungarian Fisheries).- Pest.

Jászfalusi I., (1941): A Nádas patak halfaunája (The fish fauna of Nadas brook).- Acta Sci.Math. et Natur Kolozsvár, 3 (1942): 1-18.

Jászfálusi L. (1947): Descrierea Limnobiologică pisciolă a Muresului etc.- Limnobiogical and fishery description of the Mures River.-Not.Biol. 5 (1-3): 287-323.

Karoli J. (1877) Magyar halfauna egy új faja:Gobio uranoscopus Agass. (Hungarian fish fauna, a new species:Gobio uranoscopus Agass.).- Természetrajzi Füz., 16:16.

Leonhard J. (1818): Lehrbuch zur Beforderung der Kenntnis von Siebenbürgen.-Hermannstadt J.Barth. Verh.

Rotarides M.(1944): Adatok erdélyi vizeink halainak elterjedéséhez. (A contribution to the distribution of the fish of Transylvania).-Ann. Hist.Nat.Mus.Nat.Hung., 1:(16-38)

Simonkai (1887): Mentions after (Bănărescu & Müller (1957) in text only)

Steindachner F. (1863): Verzeichnis von gesamelten Fischen und Reptilien Siebenbürgen.- Verh. K.K. Zool.-Bot.

T. T. Nalbant, Institute of Biologie, str Frumoasă 31 corp B, 78114 București, Romania