University of New England **DUNE: DigitalUNE**

Athletic Training Student Publications

Exercise And Sport Performance Department

11-2015

Comparison Of Knee Moments And Landing Patterns During A Lateral Cutting Maneuver: Shod Vs. Barefoot

Brianna A. Bisesti *University of New England*

Michael A. Lawrence University of New England

Alexander J. Koch Lenoir-Rhyne University

Lara A. Carlson University of New England

Follow this and additional works at: http://dune.une.edu/at_studpub Part of the <u>Sports Sciences Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Bisesti, Brianna A.; Lawrence, Michael A.; Koch, Alexander J.; and Carlson, Lara A., "Comparison Of Knee Moments And Landing Patterns During A Lateral Cutting Maneuver: Shod Vs. Barefoot" (2015). *Athletic Training Student Publications*. Paper 1. http://dune.une.edu/at_studpub/1

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Exercise And Sport Performance Department at DUNE: DigitalUNE. It has been accepted for inclusion in Athletic Training Student Publications by an authorized administrator of DUNE: DigitalUNE. For more information, please contact bkenyon@une.edu.

1				
2				
3 4	A COMPARISON OF KNE A LATERAL CU	E MOMENTS AND FOOT STRIKE PATTERNS DURING JTTING MANEUVER: SHOD VS. BAREFOOT		
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10	Keywords: barefoot, shod, lateral cutting, ACL			
11				
12				
13				
14				
15	Number of Words in Abstract: 187			
16	Number of Words in Manuscript: 3,162			
17	Number of References	: 22		
18	Number of Tables	: 1		
19	Number of Figures	: 2		

21 Abstract

Non-contact anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries often occur during lateral cutting
maneuvers where extension, adduction, and external rotation create high loads on the
ACL. The aim of this study was to examine knee moments and foot strike patterns during
lateral cutting while shod (SD) and barefoot (BF). Fifteen NCAA Division III athletes (7
female and 8 male; age 20.2 \pm 1.5 yr; mass 71.5 \pm 11.3 kg; height, 1.7 \pm .06 m) without
lower limb pathologies were analyzed during 5 trials of 45 degree lateral cutting
maneuvers for each limb in both BF and SD conditions with the approach speed at 4.3
m/s. Kinetic and kinematic data were collected using an eight-camera motion capture
system and a force plate with collection rates at 240 Hz and 2400Hz respectively. Paired
t-tests were used to determine differences conditions. The SD condition produced a
significantly ($p < 0.05$) greater peak adduction moment and cutting while BF caused a
more anterior foot strike. Lateral cutting while BF places no more stress on the ACL than
when SD. Our findings suggest that lateral cutting maneuvers while BF will not increase
stress on the ACL.
Keywords: barefoot, shod, lateral cutting, ACL

- 46 Question:
- 47 Does performing a BF cutting maneuver increase risky mechanics that may stress the
- 48 ACL?
- 49
- 50 Variables:
- Knee angles at initial contact
- 52 Peak knee abduction
- Peak knee frontal plane moments
- Peak knee extension moments
- Peak GRF
- Max ROL
- 57

58 Introduction

59	Movements that cause nearly full knee extension, combined with external or internal

tibial rotation, predispose an athlete to a noncontact ACL injury (Bencke & Zebis, 2011).

61 Lateral cutting maneuvers have been directly related to causing non-contact ACL injuries

62 (L. D. Besier T, Cochrane J, Ackland T, 2001; Houck, 2003). An abundant amount of

research has focused on athletic movements when wearing shoes; however, less is known

64 about athletic maneuvers while barefoot (BF).

65 BF running has been intensely examined; however, there has been minimal research

66 involving other BF athletic maneuvers. Although BF running has become increasing

- 67 popular, many sports are commonly played BF in less developed areas such as Brazil and
- 68 Africa (Boshoff, 1997). Playing sports BF is becoming a more popular trend in the

69	United States via annual BF soccer tournaments and fundraisers (e.g. Portland Barefoot
70	& World Soccer Festival, Grassroot Soccer). These events draw large numbers of players
71	of various ages and experience levels, many of whom who do not normally perform
72	athletic maneuvers BF.
73	
74	An abundant amount of research has focused on athletic movements when wearing shoes;
75	however, few studies have focused on athletic tasks while BF. A common athletic task
76	while playing soccer is a cutting maneuver, which consists of a high-speed, lateral change
77	of direction [5]. Although lateral cutting maneuvers are important to game play, such
78	movements drastically increase the likelihood of injury particularly to the anterior
79	cruciate ligament [6]. Maneuvers which include rapid deceleration with a fixed foot and
80	the knee approximately 10-30° of flexion [6] have been identified as common
81	mechanisms of non-contact ACL injury in athletes.
82	
83	Certain knee mechanics that have been identified as risk factors associated with ACL
84	injury incidence including: less knee flexion at initial contact, greater knee valgus
85	motion, a greater knee extension moment, and a greater knee valgus moment {Hughes,
86	2014 #301}. These risk factors are specific to females as there is little evidence regarding
87	ALC injury biomechanical risk factors specific to males (Alentorn-Geli, 2014). However,
88	Benjaminse et al. (2011) suggested that biomechanical differences during cutting and
89	jump landing maneuvers are not conclusively different between males and females.
90	Furthermore a if a male were to perform a cut with these risky mechanics the ACL would
91	still be stressed regardless of the gender of the athlete.

93	We hypothesize that the BF condition would have 1) no change in knee extension
94	moments; 2) no change in knee angle of initial contact; 3) no change in peak knee
95	abduction; 3) no change in peak knee frontal plane moments; 4) peak impact GRF will
96	not change between conditions; and 4) maximal rate of loading will be greater in the BF
97	condition.
98	
99	Methods
100	Participants
101	Fifteen athletes from various NCAA Division III sports (e.g., basketball, soccer, lacrosse,
102	etc) without lower limb pathologies volunteered to participate in this study (7 female, 8
103	male; age 20.19 \pm 1.38 yr; mass 71.46 \pm 10.18 kg; height, 1.71 \pm 0.06 m). All subjects
104	read and signed the informed consent approved by the Institutional Human Subjects
105	Review Board of the University of New England.
106	
107	Procedures
108	Retro-reflective markers were placed on the medial and lateral malleoli, first and fifth
109	metatarsal heads, and heels. Cluster markers were placed on the posterior pelvis, lateral
110	thighs and lateral lower legs. The pelvis was constructed using a modified Helen Hayes
111	pelvis (Davis, 1991). A regression formula was used to determine the hip joints (Bell,
112	1989). The knee joint was defined as the midpoint of the medial and lateral knee markers.
113	The ankle joint was defined as the midpoint of the malleoli. Each segment was allowed
114	six degrees of freedom. Shoes used for the shod condition were New Balance 623 (New

115	Balance, Boston, MA). Subjects were allowed to familiarize themselves with the cutting
116	maneuver for each condition. Five trials of the lateral cutting maneuver were collected for
117	each limb in both the SD and BF conditions. The order of the conditions was randomized.
118	Speed for all trials was set at 4.3 m/s with a window of error being \pm 5% of the target
119	speed. Speed was selected based on pilot testing and the ability of our subject's success
120	of completing the cutting maneuver. The speed was verified using Brower Photogates
121	(Brower, Draper, USA). Trials outside this speed were not included in the analysis.
122	Subjects were allowed an approach of approximately 8 m. The 45 degree angle was
123	marked with tape on the track surface (Figure 1). The motion of each subject was tracked
124	during the stance phase while completing a 45 degree lateral cutting maneuver with eight
125	Oqus Series-3 cameras (Qualisys AB, Gothenburg, Sweden) set at 240Hz.
126	
127	Cutting maneuvers were performed on a force plate (AMTI Watertown, MA) set at
128	2400Hz with an indoor rubber track covering affixed to the surface of the plate (Super X,
129	All Sports Enterprises, Conshohocken, PA). Visual 3D (C-motion, Germantown, MD)
130	was used to apply a Butterworth filter with a cutoff of 12 Hz to kinematic data, a filter
131	with a cutoff of 50Hz to analog data (determined by retaining 95% of signal power
132	through a fast Fourier transformation) [10], and calculate all variables.
133	
134	Statistical Analysis
135	SPSS v21 (IBM, Chicago, Illinois) was used to run a repeated measures MANOVA (limb
136	x condition) to determine statistical differences. Statistical significance was set at the p

137 0.05 level of confidence.

139	Results
140	Cutting while barefoot produced greater knee flexion angles at initial contact ($p = 0.004$),
141	less knee abduction ($p = 0.029$), less of a knee extension moment ($p = 0.005$), and
142	subjects landed with a more anterior center of pressure ($p = 0.002$) than when shod (Table
143	1). The maximal knee extension moment was significantly greater ($p = 0.034$) in the non-
144	dominant limb than the dominant limb (Table 1).
145	
146	Discussion
147	The purpose of this study was to determine if peak knee moments and foot strike
148	patterns were different between SD and BF conditions during lateral cutting maneuvers.
149	Ours was the first study that examined athletic maneuvers between BF and SD scenarios
150	and our study focused on the knee moments of extension, adduction, and external rotation
151	during the WA and PPO phases that have been linked to ACL stress. We hypothesized
152	the BF condition would have greater extension, adduction, and external rotation knee
153	moments than the SD condition during the WA and PPO phases and that there will be no
154	difference in foot strike patterns. Our findings; however, indicate that the peak knee
155	extension and external rotation moments during the WA phase are no different when SD

156 or BF. Furthermore, the SD condition produced a greater peak knee adduction moment

during the WA phase. No differences in peak knee moments were found in the PPO

158 phase. With regard to foot strike patterns, the BF condition had a more anterior center of

159 pressure location at initial contact. The results of this study suggest that BF cutting places

160 no greater torque on the knee than when SD.

138

161	Besier et al found that peak knee moments of extension, adduction, and external
162	rotation during the WA and PPO phases of a lateral cutting maneuver created the highest
163	load on the ACL, thus increasing the risk of a noncontact ACL injury (L. D. Besier T,
164	Cochrane J, Ackland T, 2001). The most detrimental forces associated with noncontact
165	ACL injuries include the combination of these knee movements along with a knee flexion
166	angle of approximately 20-30° (Alentorn-Geli, et al., 2009). Paquette also suggested an
167	increased risk for injury when there is no adaption period when shifting from SD to BF
168	running (Paquette M., 2012). Our study found greater peak knee adduction moments in
169	the SD condition during the WA phase while performing a cutting maneuver.
170	ACL injuries during lateral cutting usually occur early in the stance phase while
171	decelerating (Koga, et al., 2010; Krosshaug, et al., 2007). Females are 2-8 times more
172	likely to rupture their ACL than males (Agel, Arendt, & Bershadsky, 2005; Arendt &
173	Dick, 1995). Females also produce greater knee adduction moments than males when
174	performing a lateral cut (Malinzak, Colby, Kirkendall, Yu, & Garrett, 2001), which is
175	thought to be part of the mechanism responsible for the greater rate of ACL tears in
176	females. A prospective study found that females who later ruptured their ACL had greater
177	knee adduction moments during a single leg landing than those athletes who did not
178	rupture their ACL (Hewett, et al., 2005). Stearns et al. also found great knee adduction
179	moments when cutting in female soccer players who had ruptured their ACL than their
180	healthy counterparts (Stearns & Pollard, 2013). When comparing our results to Stearns,
181	our SD condition produced greater knee adduction moments (1.03 Nm/kg) than Stearns's
182	healthy control group (0.80 Nm/kg), but less than the ACL reconstructed group (1.33
183	Nm/kg). It is also interesting to note that our BF condition produced less of a knee

adduction moment (0.66 Nm/kg) than either Stearns's ACL reconstructed, or control 184 groups. Even though we were not able to run statistical tests comparing Stearn's and our 185 data, comparisons between the data demonstrate that performing the cutting maneuver BF 186 187 was able to reduce the knee adduction moments back to a value similar to a healthy athlete. 188 Several key differences affect running mechanics when BF such as increased tactile 189 190 awareness of the floor, loss of the cushioning of a shoe, and the loss of the raised heel of a shoe, all of which tend to lead to alterations in foot strike patterns (Lieberman, et al., 191 2010). The majority of the mechanical changes during BF running stem from the 192 193 alteration in foot strike patterns. Similar to BF running, BF cutting shifted the center of pressure to a more anterior position (Lieberman, et al., 2010). Research has shown that 194 running BF alters foot strike patterns and decreases knee extension joint moments 195 (Paquette M., 2012). Our findings suggest that even during a multi-planar maneuver such 196 as BF lateral cutting, alterations in foot strike patterns occur. 197

198

199 Conclusions

A limitation of this study is that the subjects rarely participated BF in field sports, so the results of this study would be more applicable to a population new to BF sports. Regardless of this limitation, cutting while BF altered foot strike patterns and resulted in less of a knee adduction moment. As Hewett et al found, greater knee adduction moments are predictive of an increased risk of ACL injury (Hewett, et al., 2005). These findings suggest that performing lateral cutting maneuvers BF does not increase risk of ACL rupture as compared to SD. As BF running continues to become increasingly

207	popular, playing sports BF may become more prevalent. Furthermore, it is important to				
208	understand how other movements besides forward running affect the lower limbs.				
209					
210					
211					
212					
213					
214					
215					
216					
217					
218					
219					
220					
221					
222					
223					
224					
225					
226					
227					
228					
229					

230 **References**

231 Agel, J., Arendt, E. A., & Bershadsky, B. (2005). Anterior cruciate ligament injury in national 232 collegiate athletic association basketball and soccer: a 13-year review. Am J Sports Med, 233 33(4), 524-530. 234 Alentorn-Geli, E., Myer, G. D., Silvers, H. J., Samitier, G., Romero, D., Lazaro-Haro, C., et al. 235 (2009). Prevention of non-contact anterior cruciate ligament injuries in soccer players. 236 Part 1: Mechanisms of injury and underlying risk factors. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol 237 Arthrosc, 17(7), 705-729. 238 Arendt, E., & Dick, R. (1995). Knee injury patterns among men and women in collegiate 239 basketball and soccer. NCAA data and review of literature. Am J Sports Med, 23(6), 694-240 701. 241 Beaulieu, M. L., Lamontagne, M., & Xu, L. (2009). Lower limb muscle activity and kinematics of 242 an unanticipated cutting manoeuvre: a gender comparison. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol 243 Arthrosc, 17(8), 968-976. 244 Bencke, J., & Zebis, M. K. (2011). The influence of gender on neuromuscular pre-activity during 245 side-cutting. J Electromyogr Kinesiol, 21(2), 371-375. 246 Besier T, L. D., Ackland T. (2003). Muscle activation strategies at the knee during running and 247 cutting maneuvers. Journal of Orthopedic & Sport Physical Therapy, 33(6), 358-367. 248 Besier T, L. D., Cochrane J, Ackland T. (2001). External loading of the knee joint during running 249 and cutting maneuvers. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise 33(7), 1168-1175. 250 Boshoff, G. B. E. (1997). "Barefoot" administrators: laying the foundation for sports 251 development in South Africa. . Journal of Sports Management 11(1), 10. 252 Cochrane, J. L., Lloyd, D. G., Besier, T. F., Elliott, B. C., Doyle, T. L., & Ackland, T. R. (2010). 253 Training affects knee kinematics and kinetics in cutting maneuvers in sport. Med Sci 254 Sports Exerc, 42(8), 1535-1544. 255 Fukanoa, M., Naganoa, Y., Idab, H., Fukubayashic, T. (2009). Change in tibial rotation of barefoot 256 versus shod running. *Footwear Science*, 1(1), 19-23. 257 Griffin, L. Y., Agel, J., Albohm, M. J., Arendt, E. A., Dick, R. W., Garrett, W. E., et al. (2000). 258 Noncontact anterior cruciate ligament injuries: risk factors and prevention strategies. J 259 Am Acad Orthop Surg, 8(3), 141-150. 260 Griffin, L. Y., Albohm, M. J., Arendt, E. A., Bahr, R., Beynnon, B. D., Demaio, M., et al. (2006). 261 Understanding and preventing noncontact anterior cruciate ligament injuries: a review 262 of the Hunt Valley II meeting, January 2005. Am J Sports Med, 34(9), 1512-1532. 263 Hewett, T. E., Myer, G. D., Ford, K. R., Heidt, R. S., Jr., Colosimo, A. J., McLean, S. G., et al. (2005). 264 Biomechanical measures of neuromuscular control and valgus loading of the knee 265 predict anterior cruciate ligament injury risk in female athletes: a prospective study. Am 266 J Sports Med, 33(4), 492-501. 267 Houck, J. (2003). Muscle activation patterns of selected lower extremity muscles during stepping 268 and cutting tasks. J Electromyogr Kinesiol, 13(6), 545-554. 269 Koga, H., Nakamae, A., Shima, Y., Iwasa, J., Myklebust, G., Engebretsen, L., et al. (2010). 270 Mechanisms for noncontact anterior cruciate ligament injuries: knee joint kinematics in 271 10 injury situations from female team handball and basketball. Am J Sports Med, 38(11), 272 2218-2225. 273 Krosshaug, T., Nakamae, A., Boden, B. P., Engebretsen, L., Smith, G., Slauterbeck, J. R., et al. 274 (2007). Mechanisms of anterior cruciate ligament injury in basketball: video analysis of 275 39 cases. Am J Sports Med, 35(3), 359-367.

276 277	Lieberman, D. E., Venkadesan, M., Werbel, W. A., Daoud, A. I., D'Andrea, S., Davis, I. S., et al.
277	(2010). Four strike patterns and consider forces in habitually barefoot versus shou ruppers Nature $A63(7280)$ 521-525
270	Malinzak R A Colby S M Kirkendall D T VI R & Garrett W E (2001) A comparison of
280	knee joint motion patterns between men and women in selected athletic tasks. <i>Clin</i>
281	Biomech (Bristol, Avon), 16(5), 438-445.
282	O'Connor, K. M., Monteiro, S. K., & Hoelker, I. A. (2009). Comparison of selected lateral cutting
283	activities used to assess ACL injury risk. <i>J Appl Biomech</i> , 25(1), 9-21.
284 285	running
286	shoes on lower limb mechanics in rear and forefoot
287	strike runners. <i>Footwear Science, 5</i> (1), 9-18.
288	Stearns, K. M., & Pollard, C. D. (2013). Abnormal frontal plane knee mechanics during sidestep
289	cutting in female soccer athletes after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction and
290	return to sport. Am J Sports Med, 41(4), 918-923.
291	Yu, B., & Garrett, W. E. (2007). Mechanisms of non-contact ACL injuries. Br J Sports Med, 41
292	Suppl 1, i47-51.
293	
294	
205	
255	
296	
297	
298	
299	
300	
301	
302	
303	
304	
504	
305	
206	
500	
307	
208	
200	

309	Figure 1: Lateral Cutting Course
310	
311	
312	
313	
314	
315	
316	
317	
318	
319	
320	
321	
322	
323	
324	
325	
326	
327	
328	
329	
330	
331	

- 332 Figure 2: Phases of Stance

- ---

Table 1. Companson of Kiec Mechanics between Shou and Dareloot, Mean (50	Table 1: Com	parison of Knee	e Mechanics betwe	een Shod and Barefo	ot, Mean (S	SD)
--	--------------	-----------------	-------------------	---------------------	-------------	-----

		Knee Flexion Angle	Peak Knee Valgus	Peak Knee Extension	Peak Knee Valgus	Center of
		at IC (degrees)	Angle (degrees)	Moment (Nm/kg)	Moment (Nm/kg)	Pressure at IC (m)
Shod	Dominant	-15.7 (5.7)	-6.5 (5.1)	2.20 (0.92)	-0.76 (0.35)	-0.05 (0.09)
	Non-dominant	-17.7 (5.9)	-5.4 (4.6)	2.54 (0.77)†	-0.74 (0.32)	-0.03 (0.08)
Barefoot	Dominant	-20.0 (5.3)*	-4.8 (4.1)*	2.09 (0.74)*	-0.73 (0.21)	0.03 (0.08)*
	Non-dominant	-21.6 (5.1)*	-4.7 (3.8)*	2.27 (0.88)+*	-0.91 (0.37)	0.05 (0.10)*

*Significantly different than Shod Condition

[†]Significantly different than Dominant Limb

IC = Initial Contact

Table 2: Intra-Class Correlations

		Knee Flexion Angle at IC	Peak Knee Valgus Angle	Peak Knee Extension Moment	Peak Knee Valgus Moment	Center of Pressure at IC
Shod	Dominant	0.906	0.995	0.984	0.875	0.731
	Non-dominant	0.885	0.883	0.984	0.886	0.874
Barefoot	Dominant	0.941	0.853	0.979	0.878	0.851
	Non-dominant	0.862	0.971	0.97	0.653	0.67

IC = Initial Contact